Aptheker Says He Would Debate the SWP on Hungary

CHICAGO, June 18 — Herbert Aptheker, a leading spokesman for the Communist Party, ad-dressed a meeting of about a dressed a meeting of about a hundred here tonight on the sub-ject "The Truth About Hun-gary." He presented a summary of his new book of the same

;

. ŝ i ; ŗ

-

1

t -, ι z 1

[

t

1

-

. . .

Providence of the second of th

of his and title. With only half an hour avail-able in the discussion period, there was little time for a full he discussion period, little time for a full of Aptheker's thesis discussion of Aptheker's thesis that the Hungary revolution was imperialist-inspired and organ-ized. However during the dis-cussion, Howard Mayhew, Chi-cago organizer of the Socialist Workers Party, took the floor to indicate the viewpoint of the SWP and of the Militant that the Hungarian revolution was was a progressive movement for workers' during the second second second second workers' during the second second second second second to the second s was a progressive movement fo workers' democracy and nation al independence. He then asked Aptheker if he would debate the issue with a spokesman for Apphexer if he would debate the issue with a spokesman for either the SWP or the Militant, Aptheker replied that he would be willing to accept such a debate. *

DAILY WORKER REVIEW

DAILY WORKER REVIEW Aptheker's book and view-point is apparently receiving a divided reception within the Communist Party itself. This fact is indicated by the review of the book by Robert Friedman in the June 19 Daily Worker. Friedman writes, "Aptheker, despite his searching examina-tion of the failings of the Hun-garian Communist government, places prime responsibility for the unrising at the door of im-perialism.

garian Communist government, places prime responsibility for the unrising at the door of im-perialism. "I do not believe either this book, or life itself, sustains this judgment. Nor do I believe the evidence bears out his honeful assertion that the present Kadar government is ending the re-pressions which Aptheker con-demns and which the Hungarian people abhor. "For this reason, despite much that is thoughtful and illumin-ating, I do not believe the Truth About Hungary is the full truth about Hungary . . "Aptheker sees in Hungary after the storm a government which has learned its lesson from the past. I am not so san-guine. Russian has been restored as a compulsory second lan-guage. The right to strike is proscribed by death penalty. The Hungarian people have no more opportunity to recall Premier Janos Kadar by popular refer-endum at stated intervals than they had to demonstrate their dissatisfaction with Rakosi." Indicting the Kremlin role in Hungary. Friedman replies to Aptheker that: "The Soviet Un-ion itself bore partial but signi-ficant responsibility for the Ra-kosi-Gero government. Direct-ly, because as an occupying power it, admittedly, outraged the national feelings of a peo-

ficant response kosi-Gero government. Direct-ly, because as an occupying power it, admittedly, outraged the national feelings of a peo-ple. . . Indirectly, because the the national recting the ple. Indirectly, because the Rakosi-Gero regime was only aping its betters, acting out in miniature the excesses, the obsessive suspicions, the grandilo-quent identification of self with state that marked the rule of Joseph Stalin."