The Kremlin Stand On Anti-Semitism

By Harry Ring

Is there official anti-Semitism in the Soviet Union? Much light is shed on this complex question by J. B. Salsberg in his report on the status of Soviet Jews in the February issue of the maga-sine Jewish Life. A member of the national committee of the Canadian L a b o r Progressive (Communist) Party, Salsberg has made a number of trips to the USSR to gather information on the Jewish question.

During his 1955 and 1956 visits he discussed the issue with high Soviet officials. Last week, we re-ported his findings that discriported his findings that discri-mination against Soviet Jews be-gan not with the dissolution of the Jewish Anti-Fascist Commit-tee in 1948 but in the early 1930's. We also reported his conclusion that the denial of the democratic rights of the Jews and other na-tional minorities paralleled the rights of the Jews and other na-tional minorities paralleled the rise of the Stalinist dictatorship.

CLAIM JEWS INTEGRATED

During his recent visit, Sals-berg tried to determine from So-viet officials why Jewish cultural institutions had been done away with and precisely what they considered the status and rights of the Soviet Jews to be. In reply they told him that with the industrialization of the USSE reply, dustrialization of the USSII the basis of Jewish communal life had disappeared, that the Jews had been transformed from artisans and craftsmen into in-dustrial workers and that along with this process they had been "integrated" into the cultural life around them.

"Those who still desire specific Jewish activity," he was told, "are small in number and they comprise the more backward elements. It would be an 'anachronism' to be set the porty's policy." to base the party's poliism'... to b cy on them."

first-hand account of the wide-spread response of Soviet Jews to the revival of Jewish cultural activity that was recently per-mitted on a limited. To refute the claim of assimi-tion, Salsberg gives his own activity that was recently per-mitted on a limited basis. He further demonstrates that while the Soviet government refuses to honor Jewish national rights on the pretext that all but a small num-ber of Jews have integrated, it does treat the Jews as a national grouping for the purpose of dis-criminating against them.

CAUTION AND SENSITIVITY

Salsberg, himself, at it is incorrect to maintains that it is speak of anti-Semitism as an official government policy. Nevertheless, he adds, "There does exist an incomprehensible caution, a peculiar sensitivity when it comes to tak-ing an open, bold and militant sensitivity when it comes to taking an open, hold and militant
position against backwardness.
This often leads to acts that are
essentially discriminatory." Thus,
when he asked why the passports
and other personal papers of Jewish citizens are stamped "Jew,"
"I got no clear or satisfactory
answer."

Soviet officials refused to confirm or deny the statements of Ekatrina Furtseva, a high-ranking government official, who told the National Guardian that in some government departments it was held that there was too great a concentration of Jews and so they were transferred to other jobs. But, Salsberg points out,

Two Standards for USSR? Jews in

t

50

5

C

Further information on the dual status of Jewish people in the Soviet Union is con-tained in the terms of the Soviet-Polish repatriation agreene New 28. ment as reported in the York Times, March 28. York Times, March 28. According to the terms of the agreement, those who had agreement, those who had Polish citizenship on Sept. 17, 1939, (the day Hitler and Stalin partitioned Poland), will be permitted to return from the Soviet Union to their homeland. The agreement further specifies that this includes "persons of Jewish nationality who possessed Polish citizenship" on that date. This distinction between Polish nationals and Jews "who possess Polish citizenship" confirms the reports that Jews in the Soviet Union are considered to be in a special category. They are, however, denied the Soviet Union at to be in a special categories are, however, denied of a nationals legal rights of a national minority on the grounds that they have all been assimilated in the general population.

their statements more than con-firmed the essense of hers,

Salsberg cites a particularly shameful incident related to him by one of the officials in this connection. Trying to explain the "delicate" problems in handling relations between the various relations between the various nationalities, the official told how six talented music students were selected to participate in a competition. When it was discovered that all six were Jews, four replacements were made from other peoples. "And the first prize was won by a Georgian," the official added. added.

"This example," Salsberg de-clares, "is not only in my opin-ion a slap in the face to the four ion a slap in the face to the four eliminated Jewish students; it is also a criticism of those responsible for the Soviet Union's nationalities policy. The explanation is full of colossal contradictions, How does it jibe with the integration' theory and the concept that Jews 'are expressing themselves in the culture of the people among who they live?'"

SECOND-CLASS CITIZENS

"Who examined," he asks. "and from why, the chosen student from Minsk, Moscow or Kiev, to find out if he was a 100 percent White Russian, Great Russian or Ukranor if he was only a Jewish ussian, Jewish Great Russian, Je or Jewish White Ukranian? or Are there two classes of citizens in the Soviet Union?"

"Such practices," Salsberg con-cludes, "are in complete contra-diction with the elementary con-cepts of socialist equality. In my opinion this is an example of an inconsistency and of a catering to the most backward elements in Soviet society, and in my opinion it is a form of discrimination that must be fought, exposed and eliminated."

(Second of a series)