COURT REVERSAL FREES FIVE REDS

Smith Act Convictions Are Upset in Connecticut for Insufficient Evidence

NEW HAVEN, Sept. 11-The conviction of five Communists

Special to The New York Times.

for conspiracy to teach and advocate the overthrow of United States Government by force was reversed today by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Judges Charles E. Clark and Sterry Waterman held that their

conviction by a jury last March was not warranted by the evidence presented by the Government. Judge Carroll C. Hincks dissented. The five freed by the Appeals Court were: Mrs. Martha Stone Asher, 45

years old, of Newark, N. J., formerly of Paterson, N. J.

Joseph Dimow, 36, New Haven, state press secretary of the Communist party in Connecticut.

Simon Silverman, alias Sid-

ney Taylor, 41, of New Haven,

party chairman. Robert C. Ekins, 47, of Old Saybrook, a sculptor and state party secretary. Jacob Goldring, 40, of Trum-

bull, state committee member

and organizer.

Federal District Court Judge Robert P. Anderson had sentenced Mr. Dimow to three years in prison. The four others

had received four-year terms.

They were free on bond pending the appeal. United States Attorney Simon S. Cohen, who prosecuted, said he was "amazed at the reversal." The majority judges noted in their decision that a recent Supreme Court decision had clari-

fied the requirements for a conviction under the Smith Act. Judges Clark and Waterman said they were loath to disturb a jury verdict but added "where the jury's finding is not sup-ported by the record it is our

duty to reverse." They held

that the "circumstantial proof"

of the Government was "too full of gaps." The judges wrote: "We would mock both fair trial and free speech as meaningful constitutional guarantees were we to ignore the deficiencies of this record." The Government's "strongest single example" of an inflammatory remark, attributed to Asher, was not strong

nist union steward at a social gathering on how far the party would go to obtain its objectives, Mrs. Asher replied: "If necessary we'll have bloodshed." The judges said that this was a "far cry from advocating and teaching the duty and necessity

of overthrowing the Government

of the United States, which was the crime charged in the indict-

ment."

enough, the two judges stated.

'Bloodshed' Remark Noted

Questioned by a non-Commu-

The second "most damming statement," according to the judges, was made by Mr. Goldring to a Government wit-ness when both were officers of the Communist party Bridgeport. Mr. Goldring was said to have declared: "Communism in the United

States can never be achieved through the use of the ballot.

Communism would be achieved either through an international revolution or through the con-

version of an imperialistic war

into one of a revolutionary nature." This, the court observed, "was good proof of the specific intent of Goldring, but it is not an example of incitement." Judges Clark and Waterman said that to convict the five the Government would have to prove that they had conspired with "top-echelon Communist

party leaders." They said the Government attorneys had failed

to prove this.

In dissenting, Judge Hincks that "recognition of Marx, Engel, Lenin and Stalin as authoritative exponents of the Marx-Leninist classics" was evidence of belief in the violent overthrow of government. Eight persons had been defendants in the five-month trial. James C. Tate, 48, of

Hartford, was found guilty and given a suspended sentence. He did not appeal. Alfred Marder of New Haven was acquitted. The jury was hung on the charges against Sidney S. Resnick, 34, of New Haven. Judge Anderson later dismissed the

The New York Times

charges against him.

Published: September 12, 1957 Copyright © The New York Times