Howard Fast Assailed by Soviet As a 'Deserter' and Slanderer

U. S. Author, Who in 1953 Won a Stalin **Peace** Prize, Is Scored for Quitting Party and Criticizing Moscow

Special to The New York Times.

MOSCOW, Aug 24 — Th Soviet people were told toda that Howard Fast, the America: writer who once enjoyed grea popularity here, had broke with the Communist party.

The news, which was an nounced in the United State last February, was coupled her with vehement denunciations o the writer. Mr. Fast, a winne of a 1953 Stalin Peace Prize was called "a deserter unde fire" and an author of "anti Soviet slander."

The newspaper Literaturnay: Gazeta said in an article head lined "desertion under fire" tha Mr. Fast had borrowed "the false arguments and slanderou: methods" of anti-Communis "fanatics" to announce hi break with communism. The article scoffed at his "eulogiz ing" of American democracy.

Mr. Fast once wrote a regular the Communist column for Daily Worker in New York. He made known his renunciation of communism in an interview ir the New York Times, and subsequently explained some of his ideas in the magazine Masses and Mainstream. He said in the interview that he was neither anti-Soviet noi anti-Communist but that he could not work or write in the Communist movement. None of the ideas he expressed in the interview was printed here. The author of "Thomas Paine" and many other novels of Americana was assailed by the Soviet paper for having repeated the Communist party." "the inventions of bourgeois nationalists and the most belli- times as much space as it decose reactionary agents of zion-voted to the reputation and reism." novel "Spartacus" is even now the author by American Comunder consideration for publica- munists including their leader, tion Literaturnaya Gazeta was William Z. Foster. tearing down one of the hitherto best known Americans in the Soviet Union. "Howard Fast has left the Communist party of the United here that he would reserve com-States," the article began. with the party, he preferred to about him. make this known through the In the interview printed in bourgeois New York Times in The New York Times of Feb. 1, an Schwartz, well-known specialist leading to his break with comin Soviet slander. Later, on the munism had been Nikita pages of Mainstream, Fast at- Khrushchev's denunciation tempted to explain. took this step for two reasons party.

The New York Times interview but criticizes the Masses and Mainstream article as follows:

"Nine tenths of the article Fast devotes not at all to explain the motives for this decision or to characterize the state of affairs in the United States Communist party, a member of which he was, but to anti-Soviet slander, a malicious attack on the Communist party of the Soviet Union and the Socialist system of our country.

"In a tone favored by anti-Communist fanatics from overseas propaganda centers, he borrows their false arguments and slanderous methods. Fast writes about the 'consequences of the cult of the individual in the Soviet Union' on the foreign policy of our Government, on Socialist democracy and morals,

"He also chose as a target of his attacks the nationalities policy of the Soviet Unon. He speaks of 'discrimination' against national minorities in the U.S.S.R. and repeats the inventions of bourgeois nationalists and the most bellicose reactionary agents of zionism. "Eulogizing the 'wonderful thing,' American democracy (for which Fast uses capital letters), he draws a dark and very far from real picture of the life of the Soviet people, who are allegedly deprived of anything approaching 'civil rights.' He comes out against the dictatorship of the proletariat, calling it 'tyranny' and against discipline and unity in Thereafter, in about three pudiation of Mr. Fast, the In striking at Mr. Fast, whose newspaper printed attacks on

Fast Reserves Comment

Mr. Fast said at his home ment until he had read more "Publicly breaking all ties detailed reports of the article

interview with Harry Mr. Fast said the chief factor S. of Stalin at the Twentieth Con-"As Fast himself writes, he gress of the Soviet Communist

-first because he was dissatis-1 "It is incredible and unbefied 'with the course of events lievable to me," Mr. Fast added, in the Communist world,' and "that Khrushchev did not end second, because he came to the his speech with a promise of conclusion the United States the reforms needed to guaran-Communist party was allegedly tee that Stalin's crimes will not 'not capable of making any fur- be repeated, reforms such as ther effective contribution to an end to capital punishment, the struggle for democracy and trial by jury and habeas corsocial justice'." pus. Without these reforms one The paper does not dispute can make neither sense nor rea-Mr. Fast's reasons as given in son of the speech itself."

Ehe New Hork Eimes

Published: August 25, 1957 Copyright © The New York Times