SOGALST

VOL. 1. - No. 1.

401

Saturday, August 14, 1937

5 Cents per Copy

SOCIALIST PARTY IS SPLIT IN NEW YORK EXPULSIONS

"La Guardia Socialists" Oust Left Wingers At **Rump Meeting of Central Committee**

By a vote of 48 to 2, with 18 rations. not voting, the Central Comittee of the Socialist Party, Local New man-Thomas-Valenti clique of York split the party by railroading through the expulsion in block pended the charter of three left left wingers have been brought of 52 leading members of the left wing branches, thus unseating wing, at a rump meeting held their delegates, and had also reon the evening of August 9. fused to recognize a number of Eighteen of the delegates to the other left wing delegates duly Central Committee meeting de- elected by their branches. The nounced the entre gathering as most ruthlessly arbitrary meaillegal and refuse to partici- sure were thus taken to assure pate in its high-handed delibe- the two-thirds majority required

Prior to the meeting, the Alt-"La Guardia socialists" had sus-

for expulsion.

The 52 already expelled are only the first batch scheduled for expulsion, since an additional 70 before the packed "Grievance Committee" on the same trumped-up charges. These 70 do not exhaust the number of the party members listed for expulsion, for the Right wing bureaucracy in control of the New York administration has openly announced its intention of kicking out every supporter of the left wing, which means more than 450 comrades in New York alone.

Farcical Hearing

The expulsions climaxed a cynically farcical "hearing" before a committee dominated by Alttheir caucus meetings, had alracy indictment", which did not Union. even attempt to accuse the individuals named for acts of indiscipline, but were limited to the Andres Nin, the leader of the charge of "associating" with an POUM (Workers Party of Marxorganization, namely, the Appeal legedly inimical to the Socialist Party and in violation of its discipline. Demands by the defendcrats, reformists and Popular ants that each individual be presented with specific charges against him, for specific actions, "Grievance Committee"

(Continued on page 8)

WHY WE ARE PUBLISHING THE SOCIALIST APPEAL

"As a further indispensable instrument in our fight, we are undertaking the immediate publication of "SOCIALIST APPEAL" The solemn decision under which the APPEAL suspended publication at the time of the Chicago Convention has been bruzenly violated. The internal discussion organ has never appeared; and has, in fact, been voted out of existence by the N. E. C. at its Philadelphia meeting. The official press is a purely factional press from which we are systemically excluded. The Left wing is expected to stay muzzled and now, with mass expulsions, to remain silent about the crimes committed against it. We refuse to be muzzled! The APPEAL is our answer to the gag-laws and the split drive. Every revolutionary consideration demands its immediate appearance as the militant and uncompromising spokesman and bannerbearer of the Left wing."

From the Manifesto to the Party Membership.

GPU Lynches Andres Nin

The Stalinist assassins are of Franco". making good on the threats utman faction leaders who, in tered a few months ago in Moscow, that they were going to ready voted for the expulsion of exterminate the "Trotskists and the socalled "Trotskyists". The uncontrollables" in Spain, just

The news has just seeped out of the Spanish censorship that ian Unification), was lynched by son of the G. P. U. in Madrid about the middle of July. His body, together with four other unknown corpses, was found in the outskirts of the capital.

Together with Juan Andrade, were arbitrarily denied—an act- Julien Gorkin and most of the ion unprecedented in the party other leaders of the POUM, Anand virtually without precedent dres Nin was arrested in June even in ordinary capitalist courts. by the Stalinist special police and transferred hastly to their devoted no more than four hours private dungeons in Madrid. They to hear a case involving the party were held on the absurdly hideous accusation of being "agents

Committee Finds Frame-Up

A committe of prominent English and French radicals who proceeded to Spain last menth to investigate the frame-ups, found that nobody, save the Stalinists, put any credence in the charges. We quote from the report of this investigation made by the wellknown French syndacalist, Robert Louzon:

"Vasquez and Montseny (leaders of the National Confederation of Labor) find the arrest of Nin and others scandalous, and their position, as Vasquez has just defined it in a speech, the proofs of which we saw, is this: If there are spies in the POUM, as there probably are in all the anti-Fascist parties, let them be punished, but that does not give the right to exterminate the POUM as an organization.

"In adition, he informed us that the CNT new has eight (Continued on page 8)

LaGuardia Sell-out in S.

workers in this country were dependent campaign. hitched up to the Roosevelt bandwagon. It wasn't quite as easy a job as in the good old days. Jim Farley and the rest of the Democratic machine politicians could not have pulled it off by themselves. They had to get help from the whole crew of labor bureaucrats, both A. F. of L. and C. I. O., and from the gang of liberals and Popular Frontists, home variety. In a number of States they had to change the Democratic label, in order to keep the workers' votes in the Roosevelt column: Farmer-Labor Party in Minnesota; Farmer-Labor Progressive Federation in American Labor Wisconsin; Party in New York.

Within the working class movement, the reformists either went along directly with the Roosevelt machine, as did the supported it indirectly, as did the italist order. Stalinists and Lovestoneites. Only

In 1936 the great bulk of the the Socialist Party fought an in-

Class Lines Sharpening

But now, in 1937, the lines have become even sharper. Throughout the world, the international charges themselves were made on as they judicially murdered the crisis of capitalism is breaking the basis of a blanket "conspi- Old Bolsheviks in the Soviet out openly into revolution and war. In this country, the working class is surging forward in a new class militancy. At all costs the bourgeoisie and its henchmen have got to keep the workers from moving toward the group, whose interests were al- the Stalinists in the private prirevolutionary position of independent Marxist politics.

In this task the labor bureau-Frontists have the chief part to play. Indirect support of capitalist candidates, parties, and politics—such as was given Roosevelt last year—is no longer enough. They have got to go over directly to schemes for keeping Social-Democratic Federation, or the workers chained to the cap-

(Continued on page 8)

Vho Is Financing the Right Wing Split Drive?

Who is financing the expulsion facts: drive against the left wing that has been launched in New York held on the evening of Monday, and nationally by the Thomas-Altman right wing?

We charge that the Thomasfund of thousands of dollars for to split the party by the expulsion of the left wing and to hand it over the counter to the ALP La Guardia bureaucracy.

We charge, further, that the Thomas-Altman caucus is raising this fund from sources which are not only outside the party but which, in the past period, have been violently hostile to the

charges, we submit the following approach the leaders of the In-

At a secret caucus meeting August 2, 1937 in the home of Norman Thomas, at which the latter was present together with Altman caucus is raising a slush Jack Altman, Aaron Levenstein, Hal Siegel, Girolamo Valenti and the purpose of financing its fight about a score of other leading members of the right wing caucus, the question of financing the drive against the left wing was taken up. At this meeting, a large collection was taken up from those present.

During the discussion of the financial question", Girolamo Valenti, editor of the Socialistand-Stalinist controlled Italian daily Stampa Libera, proposed In substantiation of these that a committee be selected to

ternational Ladies Garment | between \$5,000 and \$10,000 could | as the funds provided for the Workers Union and the Amalga- be obtained if it were gone about sustenance of La Stampa Libera mated Clothing Workers in New in the right way. The right wing by the Communist Party. When York for confidential contributions of money for the right wing ter entirely in Valenti's hands. drive to kick the left out of the party. Valenti stated that he was confident that a sum of anywhere

Next issue: The Socialist Appeal will print an article dealing in detail with the activities and connections of the notorious G. Valenti, right wing stalwart, and of the shady deals he has made for years with Tammany Hall politicians, with the Communist Party leaders and with the bureaucracy of the New York needle trades unions.

leaders decided to leave the mat-

It should be made clear that it was not a question of SP members in the unions mentioned, but of the old line leaders, heads of the ALP, the constant opponents of the left wing in the labor movement and of the SP in parti-

Valenti has always been an agent of these bureaucrats and his moral standing in the Italian labor movement of this country has a corresponding value. Valenti has been financed time and he has accepted just as readily its back.

Valenti expresses confidence in his ability to raise funds from the indicated sources for the Altman-Thomas group to fight the left wing with, he knows just what he is talking about. When the right wing caucus gives its approval to the raising of this fund, it knows just what it is doing and what it is doing it for. And when the needle trades bureaucracy contributes money to the Altman-Thomas faction, it too knows exactly what it is doing and why it is doing it.

Now, what has the party again by the right wing officials membership to say about this of these unions, with money which scandalous deal put over behind

Protest the lynching of Andres Nin in Spain!

SPEAKERS

James P. Cannon— Carlo Tresca Max Shachtman—Liston M. Oak James Casey

AT IRVING PLAZA HALL 15th St. & Irving Place FRIDAY, AUGUST 13th, 1937 Auspices: Socialist Party, New York.

East Side Branch

A Manifesto to the Members of the Socialist Party

The Socialist Party is now engulfed in a crisis of the most that the Right wing anti-revoluprofound depth and intensity. tionary clique, having taken couror temporary, that it is confined Centrists to launch their expul-Party capable of being ironed not draw back. The Altmanor months, is an illusion. The New York will complete the excrisis is national in scope, and af- pulsion of several hundred Left fects every single unit of the Party.

entire New York left wing en rades in New York. bloc immediately, the Right wing clique has taken the initiative to split the Socialist Party.

Experience has already shown the heels of the adoption of the law would preserve "unity" in of the right wing splitters, de-

Any idea that this crisis is local age from the capitulation of the wingers within a week or two. The Party nationally will there-By the mass expulsion of more by be put before an irremedithan 100 supporters of the Left able split, for it goes without wing in New York on transpar- saying that every serious revoluently fraudulent "charges" and tionist in the party will solidarize the announced plans to expel the himself with the expelled com-

The political aims of the Right wing combination (Altman-Thohas served as the springboard of are manifestly determined to the expulsion campaign. The ex- carry it through at all costs. Any pulsions, coming immediately on idea that the demands or sentiments of the Party militants gag resolution, are sufficient in throughout the country can check peal: to the militant and active themselves to refute the hypo- or alter their course is sheer il- members of the Party. We wish critical pretense of the authors lusion. Likewise it is naive to to make clear our analysis of the (Zam-Tyler-Delson) that the gag imagine that the Centrist lead- nature and causes of the crisis, ers of the Clarity group, who and our answer to it. We wish the party. These protestations of have already capitulated to the to call upon the militant and acthe Centrist Clarity group allies Right wing, will offer any serious resistance to their expulsionsigned only to deceive the party split campaign. On the contrary,

paign openly.

It is only political realism to expect that at the next stage of the struggle, and in the near to a few weak sections of the sion campaign, cannot and will future, the Centrists will become the most rabid advocates tionists and the main executors of the expulsion program. Indeed this is already occurring. The Clarityite majority of the New on July 23rd dropped four comrades from YPSL membership at Altman's demand. The Clarityites act thus, and will continue the splitters. The Left wing is obliged to see the party situation mas - Wisconsin - Massachusetts) as it is in reality, to arm itself imperatively require this split for the struggle and to prepare that the N.E.C. gag resolution in the immediate future and they itself for the inevitable conse-

quences. Confronted by such a crisis, therefore, we address ourselves to the only proper court of aptive members of the Party to stand four-square with us. We

This is not, of course, the first class; or whether the non-revolu-lists, and an entire revolutionary

sion. The Appeal caucus was dispeal tendency, to the extent that cation! it was possible, threw themselves the Party in the mass movement.

ation of the Right wing.

union question.

quirements, the Chicago Conven-

Once again, however, the fun-

The Right wing, on its side, was unable to accept he results Wing that, given a normal devcomparatively short time-cerrevolutionary —the tendency at any cost, the normal developto permit the revolutionary ten- the Right wing, assigning it full dency to achieve a majority, the freedom to pursue to the end its Right wing was more than ready not merely to split, but to des- tionary Left.

The Right Wing's Hands Forced

troy the party.

Additional and compelling reawing. During the first week of May, the events in Barcelona drew a line of blood between every species of Popular Frontist, on the one side, and revolutionary socialists on the other. From the point of view of the Right wing, there was an imperious need to suppress the voice of the revolutionists, to forbid the adherents of the revolutionary tendency from explaining to plans through the expulsion of the Party, and through the Party the revolutionists. In this aim to the working class, the real the Right wing failed, and to that meaning of the Barcelona events. negative degree at least, the In the Soviet Union, the unprece-Chicago Convention was another dented extent of the persecu-During the struggle with the victory (however unsatisfying) tions was laying bare the ulti-

Moreover, it is perfectly clear | tion" and join the expulsion cam- | Right wing failed in its major | The Spanish events and the Soobjective at Chicago was, at bot- viet persecutions, together with tom, because the vast majority the threatening approach of the of the active membership of the new war, were posing as an im-Party is opposed to the Right mediate issue the international wing; and because, in spite of question. Nearer home, the depadded Right wing membership mands of the coming autumn out peacefully within a few weeks | Thomas Central Committee in of the expulsion of the revolu- lists and outworn eligibility re- elections were requiring of the Right wing a thorough liquidation was sufficiently representa- tion of any independent socialist tive to enable the voice of the acpolitics into the Popular Fronttive membership to be heard ist farmer-labor set-ups of La York YPSL District Committee firmly on the Convention floor. Follette and La Guardia. Wisconsin was becoming impatient, The Right wing could not, and cannot, cope with a Convention and showing its seriousness by representative to any considerable its overtures to the Pittsburgh degree of the Party member- Convention of the Social Demoto act, as the direct agents of ship. Indeed, the Left not mere-cratic Federation. The Right ly defeated the major plan of wing had no time to lose. On the Right wing, but was even the very morrow of the convenible to make some positive headtion, it began its ruthless and way, as shown especially in the brutal campaign for the splitting lecisions on the questions of war, of the Party, and the expulsion the People's Front, and the trade of the revolutionary left.

In the face of this campaign, the N. E. C., nominally under damental issue was left undeci- the control of the Zam-Tylerded. The victory, such as it was, Delson ("Clarity") group, soon was only partial; and the partial crumpled up. Trying to shut victory was counter-balanced by its eyes and to raise itself above defeats. The decisions of the Con- the shattering internal conflicts, vention on the internal group or- and to "ignore" the campaign of gans, the exclusion of represent the Right wing, the N. E. C. and tatives of the Appeal tendency the National Office were unable from the N. E. C., the failure of to perform even the simplest membership, are empty words. they are already searching for have no fear or hesitation about the strength and the determinant to act on the determination of the convention to act on the determination and soon here and soon her the Convention to act on the technical tasks. The Call stag-The American Socialist Monthly Nevertheless, the Left was pre- appeared in one apologetic issue, pared to accept the decisions of and was heard from no more. the convention. The Socialist The inner-party organ, solemnly Appeal was suspended in accord- voted by the Convention, disapance with the convention deci- peared altogether. The Convention resolutions themselves had solved. The adherents of the Ap- to wait three months for publi-

> The N. E. C., timid, fearful, into the positive job of building dismayed by the demands of the swift succession of mighty historical occurrences, frightened by the determination of the active of the convention. The conventmembership to respond to those tion demonstrated to the Right events with revolutionary courage, was unable to stand up elopment of the Party, within a against the relentless campaign of the Right wing. At Philadeltainly before the next convention phia, in May, faced by the Barcelona events, the N. E. S. laid would be in a decided majority, the political basis for its capituand would take over the leader- lation to the Right wing by votship of the Party. Therefore, the ing the shameful Spanish resolu-Right wing decided to prevent, tion. Thomas returned from Europe, and took his unambiguous ment of the Party. Unable to place in the ranks of the Right achieve its ends at convention, wing. At the special session in where it felt the pressure of del- New York, the capitulation of egates expressing the will of the the N. E. C. to the Right wing active membership, the Right was completed, and the bloc bewing determined to proceed by tween the Clarity leadership and bureaucratic and administrative the Right wing sealed, by the means, in defiance of the deci- unanimous adoption of the insions of the convention, and above famous "emergency" Resolution. all in direct opposition to the will By the terms of this resolution, of the membership. Rather than the N. E. C. abdicates power to campaign to eliminate the revolu-

Without hesitation, the Right wing has accepted its cue. On July 6th, the Altman administration of Local New York voted sons forced the hand of the Right the first expulsion and suspensions of adherents of the revolutionary tendency. The "split situation" thus passes from threat to actuality—an actuality created by the Right.

It is necessary to understand with absolute clarity the political foundation of the crisis in the Party. This is all the more important, and more difficult, because systematic efforts have been and will be made by the Right wing and by the N. E. C. obscure and cover over the pelitical foundation. There will be much talk of "discipline", "me-thod", "tone", "Party loyalty", "Constitution", "disruption", and

1. - The Background of the Crisis

crisis in the Socialist Party. We tionary currents would consolido not refer to the great crises date their grip on the apparatus of past days, to the split with De of the Party, condemn the Party 1919, joined the Socialist Party, Leonism, or the crisis of the war- thereby to disintegration and time or during the founding of sterility, and compel the revoluthe Communist International. tionary current to turn else-These belong to past cycles of where for a suitable organizadevelopment, and are related to tional instrument. What the outthe present struggle so indirectly come would be, no one could foreas not to bear directly upon the tell with certainty in advance. present period of the Party's his- But what could be known was tory. But the present crisis is that the Socialist Party could directly related to, is in fact the not resume for any length of climax of, that cycle of the Par- time a normal life until the outty's evolution which began in come was irrevocably determined. 1933-1934. It was during this time that Hitler's consolidation of The Fight Against the Old Guard power in Germany, together with the role that the workingclass parties had played with reference to Hitler, proved finally and conclusively that there was no existing revolutionary party capable of leading the proletariat to the conquest of power and to socialism. The task was posed of re-building the revolutionary party against both social democratic reformism and Stalinism, and against the respective International organizations which embody the ideas and policies of social democracy and Stalinism.

accepted, with varying degrees members of widely varying points of clarity and understanding, by of view, ranging from clear remany of the active members of volutionary Marxism through the Socialist Party. This, in its every shade of centrism to return, meant that a fundamental formism which was impatient issue had been joined within the with the Old Guard not at all Socialist Party to which the entire future course of its development would be subordinated, and passivity. Consequently, the two which, manifesting itself in periodic crises, would continue to control the evolution of the Party until the issue itself was finally the Old Guard) were not at the settled, one way or the other. same time clean-cut victories for The issue was, simply, that between revolutionary Marxism on the one hand and non-revolutionary politics-reformism, Stalinism, centrism—on the other. The problem was: whether the tion of whether the Socialist revolutionary current would Party would become the revolutriumph, and would transform the Socialist Party as an organ- working class. ization into the revolutionary

The first phase of the task, dictated by the nature of the Party itself, was the struggle against the ideas and the organizational control of the Old Guard. This first phase resulted in two major Party crises, one occurring over the Detroit Declaration of Principles, the second over the New York split and the Cleveland Convention. In both of these. the Old Guard was defeated, and the Party was left in a position to continue its development to the Left. The struggle against the Old Guard, however, had en-In this country, this task was listed a combination of Party because of the ideas it held but only because of its organizational defeats of the Old Guard (the sesond of wich resulted in the secession of the major portion of the revolutionary current; and did not solve and could not have solved the fundamental issue. They served, in the last analysis, simply to leave open the questionary party of the American

group (the former Workers Party), for the first time since in order to remove the organizational barriers which separated them from the revolutionists and left wing militants within the Party, to fuse with them, and to join them in a common struggle for revolutionary ideas and a revolutionary party.

Following the Cleveland Convention, and still continuing, a new regroupment of forces has taken place within the Party. The remnant of the Old Guard, and those whose opposition to the Old Guard was non-revolutionary in character, all that is reactionary and conservative and passive in the Party, together with agents open and disguised of the Old Guard was non-revolutionary banded together in a new Right Wing, resolved to prevent at any cost the completion of the evolution of the Socialist Party into a revolutionary party, and to defeat the revolutionists. On the other side there has been welded together into ever firmer unity the genuinely revolutionary forces within the older "anti-Old Guard" grouping together with the newer revolutionary reinforcements. The alignment in the Party struggle has thus altered. The fundamental issue, however, remains the same as in the two years preceding the Cleveland Convention.

The Chicago Convention

The conflict between the revolutionary and non-revolutionary currents reached a third crisis just before the Chicago Convention. The new Right wing, egged on from outside and inside the Party by the Stalinist agents, was eager to utilize the Convention for the achievement of their party of the American working Old Guard, individual revolution- for the Left. The reason why the mate significance of Stalinism. what not. It is, however, a universal rule that under conditions of acute political crisis, all such stated, the division in the Party talk is a mere smoke screen. The can be traced back to the conflict real roots lie much deeper. No between the ideas and practises one is going to try to smash an organization to pieces because of the one side, and non-revolutiona dispute over "tone" and "me- ary (reformist, Stalinist, centrist thed", or a legalistic argument ideas and practises on the other. about disciplinary procedure. The conflict thus involves in the Not in the least. In such cases, it is always a question of basic question, from the road to power political opposition.

In general, as we have already junions. The present crisis, howof revolutionary Marxism on last analysis every key political to the nature of work in the major significance:

ever, arises not over systems of ideas and methods taken in general and in the abstract, but concretely over certain specific issues which arise out of the real circumstances of the present moment. Among these, four can properly be singled out as of

2. - The Political Basis of the Crisis

(1) Spain

In the Spanish Civil War, the international class struggle reaches the point of highest intensity since the Chinese events of 1927. No one will doubt the crucial importance of what is happening in Spain. The success of the Spanish proletarian revolution would have the most powerful and immediate effect on the entire course of the history of continental Europe (and thus of the world), providing an impetus which would send the international working class surging victoriously forward. The defeat of the Spanish revolution would be a most terrible blow to the European and international pro-

The form of the Spanish Civil War, however, has not been that of a direct class struggle for power between the working class and its allies, on the one side, and the bourgeoisie on the other. The treacherous policies of the working class parties had, long before the Civil War broke out, subordinated the working class to the bourgeois coalition government of the Popular Front. In July of last year, Franco, believing in the necessity for a fascist as against a democratic form of capitalism for Spain, struck against the Popular Front règime. The reply of the workers to Franco was the immediate and drect mobilization of their own forces for military combat, and the establishment of their own councils and committees to direct and control the army, the factories, and the land in their own interest. The extension and consolidation of the power of the workers' committees would have involved the transfer of State power to them, the establishment of a workers' State on the basis of the committees which State alone could conduct to victory a revolutionary war against Franco in the interest of the Spanish masses and the socialist revolu-

The May Events in Barcelona

Such an outcome would not merely have defeated Franco, but would have meant the death of Popular Frontism and the Popular Front règime, which, as a bourgeois goverment, was irrevocably bound to the capitalist order. The Government, therefore, after the first heroic days, was compelled to carry out systemathe liquidation of the power of the workers' committees and of all organizations and individuals holding the perspective of the proletarian revolution, and thus to re-consolidate a firm bourgeois power on the basis of its own règime. In this betrayal of the Spanish revolution, the Government had the full assistance and participation of the Socialist and Communist Parties of Spain, tied also to the bourgeoisie and the bourgeois order through their Popular Frontist policy of class collaboration.

Nevertheless, the exact meaning of Popular Frontism in Spain But there is no doubt, either, of the same way, Clarity, by to the conquest of power, these was, until May, obscured by the lanswer of the Right wing, trying to "postpone" the conflict are inseparable from the conworkers' power and its reconso- the Right wing stands on the progressive character against the leadership of the N. E. C. and of Caballero's, to be thrust aside Fascist armies of Franco. Revol- Clarity tries, again, to shut its when they have served their No program failing to base itself even more obviously, does its utionary Marxists pointed out eyes; tries to straddle the bar- purpose.

that the necessity for full united ricades by deploring in one action and material support of the Government in its military struggle against Franco—a necessity accepted and urged by all Marxistis-was not in the least ing connection between the Spaincompatible with, was in fact nish events and the crisis in our inseparable from, relentless political criticism of the policies of the Government and positive steps to protect and extend the basis of workers' power and the socialist revolution.

Until May, the point of view of the Marxists, and their predictions, seemed to many to be abstract and academic, swallowed up in the apparently sole immediate need for a united military struggle. Popular Frontism, it not fighting stalwartly against Franco? They forgot that it was the workers who had begun the military struggle not along with but against the intentions of he Popular Front règime; and above all they forgot that the Government was fighting for and not even invented to justify political support of the Popular Front government in the eyes of Left wingers - such as the fantastic theory that it was a "provisional revolutionary government", a hy-

brid with no class nature. In the first week of May, the blow fell that smashed through the masks. The revolutionary workers of Barcelona, in the ranks of the POUM and of the Anarchists, reaching the limit of their endurance of the provocations of the Government, rose to defend their right to bear arms, to publish their own press, and to struggle for socialism. The reply of Popular Frontism was unambiguous. The Catalonian Government, reinforced after three days by the troops of the Valencia Government, and assisted by the past compromises, the timidity, and the outright betrayals of the leaders of the workers' own massacred the organizations, workers in cold blood.

The question of Popular Frontism thus tumbled completely down from any academic shelf. No longer could an answer be evaded by grandiose phrases on united support of the struggle gainst Franco". No one disagreed about a united struggle against Franco, but it was now clear that this problem also was inseparable from the answer to be avoided. The political attitude towar the Popular Front was now put in just this brutal way: On which side of the Barcelona barricades do you stand?

Spain and the Party Crisis

n our own Party there could be no doubt of the attitude of the answer to this question given by the the answer of the Right wing, overwhelming bulk of the active lona, and against their assassins.

breath "all uprisings against the Government" and in the next "all suppression of the workers".

There is a close and interlockown Party. In Spain, the Stalinists, Socialists and other brands of Popular Frontists, together with their allies of the "democratic" bourgeoisie, utilize their control of the Government in an attempt to betray the revolution and to destroy the revolutionary workers. At a less grandiose stage of the class struggle, their defenders and political bed fellows in our Party utilize their hold on the apparatus of the was felt, could not be quite what Party in an attempt to suppress the Marxists stated, for was it and expel the adherents of the revolutionary tendency. The parallel is neither abstract nor accidental; it is exact and necessary. It is the Right wing in the Socialist Party which defends and support the assassins of the Spanish workers; and, on its own ground, carries on precisely the against capitalism. Theories were same type of policy in its campaign against the Left.

The parallel goes even further into details. In our Party, the leaders of the Clarity group have tried to maintain a balance between the revolutionary tendency and the Right wing. They beg for a "truce" in the Party, by which they mean in actuality the cutting off of all political discussion and criticism from the Left, and a free hand for the Right wing. They are sorry and upset by the 'factional atmosphere". Now, if we turn to the position of Clarity on Spain, we discover that Clarity is the defender of Caballero, whose government they defined as "provisional revolutionary". It was, it should be remembered. under Caballero's regime that the Barcelona events · occurred. But, it is argued, Caballero himself was against the suppression of the workers and their organizations, and against their massacre in Barcelona. Quite possible, if we are speaking of his personal wishes and preferences. But it was Caballero's policy from the begining that entailed the liquidation of the conquests of the workers, prevented the extension of the revolution, involved the oppressive and provocative acts against the revolutionary workers and their organizations, and culminated in the Barcelona events. By "postponing" the proanother question that could not blems of the revolution, by not against the revolutionists because the destroyers of October, the fighting against the Popular of the nature and policies of Sta-Frontists and concretely for the workers' revolution, Caballero in reality turned the direction of events over to the Stalinists and outright reformists, and through them the bourgeoisie. He therefore shares fully in the responsability for the assassinations of the workers. And his reward for having acted as a "left front" while the counter-revolution gatmembers: they stand in un-breakable solidarity with the be thrust aside in favor of the tober Revolution, the task of revolutionay workers of Barcel- more outright Negrin when he building the revolutionary party had served his purpose. And in fact that the Government, though compelled by the Barcelona within the Party, by not fighting stant, intransigent and unrelent- new war, all place in the immedcarrying out its liquidation of events at last to disclose itself: against the Right wing an concretely for and alongside of the lidation of bourgeois power be- other side of the barricades, along revolutionary tendency, turns hind the lines, was conducting a with the assassins of the Catalo- over control to the Right wing. military struggle of a socially nian workers. And the pitiful And their reward will be, like

No one can avoid the issue of facts and the practical conse-Spain. The white heat of civil quences which flow from them zational or verbal barrier. Least of all will the revolutionists in the Party avoid it or allow it to be avoided. We stand with the revolutionary Spanish workers fighting to defeat the armies of Franco through the triumph of their revolution. And we stand irrevocably against the Popular Front's betrayal of their revolution. There is no restriction which can or will silence the expression of our solidarity.

(2) The Soviet Persecutions

The last year, and especially the last months, have witnessed a growing change in the problem of the Soviet Union. There is a certain analogy between this change and that involved in the problem of the Spanish events. Until à year ago, a wide range of opinion could be concealed inder the general formula, "Defend the Soviet Union", just as a wide range hid under the slogan 'Defend the Spanish workers against Fascism". Even where 'Defense of the Soviet Union" was accompanied by certain criticisms of the Soviet bureaucracy, there was no guarantee of unanimity of opinion. Even Stalin was capable of criticizing Stalinism, and the most ardent "Friends of the Soviet Union" were eager to insist that they recognized certain "defects".

But with the Trials of last August and this January, and with the unexampled series of persecutions during the past few nonths, the question deepens profoundly. The Trials and persecutions pose a question of the same is either the legitimate heir-of the October Revolution; either this, or he is the destroyer of the October Revolution. The middle

ground has sunk away. The truth is that, with the internal Soviet difficulties, the failures in the Five Year Plans, the has been forced to disclose his to consolidate his own reactiona world scale, the liquidation of State power, the same process goes on-the physical annihila- ions. tion of the revolutionists: elsewhere, as in this country, Stalincontent with the campaign to forced to take these measures linism; because, within the Soviet Union, Stalinism is engaged in uprooting the last remnants of the October Revolution itself; because, on a world scale, Stalinism is no longer in any sense whatever a progressive force, but is now a major bulwark of capitalism.

Stalinism is not an Ally

The task of defending the Occapable of leading the proletariat ing struggle against Stalinism. a common goal; but an enemy upon an understanding of these solution depend upon internat-

war cuts through every organi- can even pretend to be adequate. Stalinism is the enemy of the revolution and the revolutionary tendency. It is not, therefore, surprising, to discover that the Right wing of the Party includes prominently both open and disguised political agents of Stalinism. There is Paul Porter, whose contribution to the pre-convention discussion was an elaborate pamphlet of Stalinist propaganda, subsidized through a block sale to the Workers' Bookshops-and still for sale both within and outside the Party. There is the group of members from the Wisconsin organization, whose letter setting forth the full Stalinist position on the Trials was featured in the Daily Worker, and distributed in Mexico during the hearings of the Sub-Commission of Enquiry into the charges against Trotsky. There is the Connecticut Committee of Correspondence which spends its energies demanding the expulsion from the Party and labor movement of all "counter-revolutionary Trotskyites". There are the other stooges in New York, Denver, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, busily doing their chores for the Kremlin assassins. All of these are the staunch and unwavering supporters of the Right

plane, there is the Altman group proper, the basic character of which is strikingly revealed by its attitude toward the Trials. From the begining, the Altaman administration in Local New York has consistently sabotaged the work of the American Committee for the Defense of Trotsky, and has prevented the Party kind as the Barcelona events. It from undertaking the great pois no longer possible to skate litical tasks imposed by the around it on neat phrases. Stalin Trials. It has brought members up on charges for speaking on the question of the Trials, and has forbidden public meetings of branches to be held with the Trial as a subject. Immediately following the Chicago Convention, simultaneous with the culminating drive of the Commusmoldering discontent, and the nist Party to smash the Ameriapproach of the new war, Stalin can Committee, the Altman group undertook its own campaign, endhand quite openly. In an attempt ing with the proposal to the Philadelphia N. E. C. meeting to ary position against actual and have the Party withdraw its suppotential threats, he has been port from the merican Commitcompelled to undertake, within tee. It is the Trials which today the Soviet Union and indeed on pose in its most naked form the whole question of Stalinism. And the revolutionists. Within the on the question of the Trials we Soviet Union he is annihilating find that the Right wing includes physically the entire generation as an integral part of its forces of those who made the October those who flatly defend and sup-Revolution. Wherever, as in port from the American Commit-Spain, Stalinism influences the who defend them in practice, with whatever verbal modificat-

On a subtler and more vicious

The revolutionary Left wing does not permit itself illusions. ism must for the time being be It understands Stalinism and its agents and influences for what drive the revolutionists out of they are; it says so, and acts the labor movement. Stalinism is accordingly. Nor will the Left wing be silent. We will brand executioners of the Russian Revolution and the generation which made it, the banner-bearers of social-patriotism and the betrayers of the world proletariat, for what they are. To be silent in the face of betrayal is to share in that betrayal. We speak plainly to the Right wing, to the Party and the entire Party membership. Our voice will be

(3) The Fourth International.

The Spanish events, the Soviet persecutions, the approach of the iate foreground the international Stalinism is not an ally seeking question. This is perhaps clearest of all in the case of the Spablocking the road. These are the nish events. Just as the causes simple facts, made brutally clear for the Spanish Civil War are to by the events of the past year. be found in world economy, so, ional forces. No single important the revolutionary forces in a cialist Party. The Fourth Inter-ter-Labor" and "Labor" Party. phase of the civil war can be separated from international considerations.

This is equally true whether we approach the Spanish events from the point of view of Franco, or of the Popular Front Governments, or of the revolutionary workers and the struggle for socialism. Thus the Spanish events provide one more decisive testing ground for the two existing Internationals of the working class. The answer to the test is unambiguous: The Spanish events prove beyond any remaining doubt the hopeless bankruptcy of the two existing Internationals.

Within Spain, the Socialist and Communist Parties, in harmony with their parent Internationals, have pursued a basically identical policy of class collaboration. Under the slogan of the Popular Front, they have attempted to confine the struggle of the workers within the framework of capitalist democracy, subordinating their policies to the demands of the Foreign Offices of England, France and the Soviet Union, and to their own "democratic" bourgeoisie; and they have systematically suppressed and in the end executed those who stand for the perspective of the workers' revolution. Outside of Spain, by advocating and upholding the " non-intervention " treacherous pact, the parties of the two Internationals have played the game of Stalin-Blum-Eden..

During this same time, the two Internationals and their constituent parties have, under the slogans of "collective security" and "defense of democracy of democracy against fascism", passed over to an open policy of social-patriot-This is most strikingly ism. symbolized by the voting of the war budget in France by both the Socialist and Communist Parties in January of this year an act which at the time of the last war took place only after the war had been declared.

The Two Bankrupt Internationals

Throughout the world, the two Internationals and their Parties have undertaken the systematic persecution and destruction of the revolutionists and the revolutionary organizations. The Soviet Union is only the most striking and terrible exemple. Within Spain the two parties jointly carry out the persecution. In France and its colonies, it is the Popular Front Government, supported by the parties of the two Internationals, which jails, tortures and executes revolutionists, suspends the revolutionary press, and sends its police and Mobile Guards against striking workers. Throughout the world, the parties of the two Internationals conduct their campaign **to drive the revolutionists out** of the labor movement, in order to try to remove the last obstacle to their plans of betrayal to the coming war.

No illusion about the possibility of "reforming" the existing Internationals can any longer be retained. "Reform" is excuded national, and rejecting both politically and organization- Fourth. ally: politically, by the crystallization of the Internationals on the basis of class collaboration and social-patriotism; and organizationally by the iron monolithism of the Third International and the purely bureaucratic structure of the Second. There are, it is true, large numbers of workers now adhering to the parties of the Internationals who can be won to revolutionary Internationalism, and perhaps even these, as well as now unaffiliated workers, can and will be won only by resolute break, both political and organizational, with Convention. the two existing Internationals.

The break cannot be left as a

from the lessons of the past and draws the full conclusion of re-This task cannot be put aside. remain within the old Intertime is not yet ripe for the new attempt to slough off responsibility on to the backs of the of the militant workers still adhere to the existing Internationals, only strengthens immeasurably the argument for the new International. For the masses, in so far as they adhere to the existing Internationals, far from being led in any degree toward workers' revolution, are the thereby tied hand and foot to the bourgeoisie, and prepared for slaughther in the coming imperialist war.

It is the new International which alone can blaze the trail for the masses toward power and toward socialism. From the the La Guardia movements. very first days, a genuine and can alter powerfully in favor of the workers the balance of forces. How great a role it could have played in Spain, where the absence of such a center has has meant that the magnificent heroism and militancy of the Spanish workers has been left with no pole of firm and unswerving revolutionary perspective around which to crystallize.

Right wing and Fourth International

Once again, we find that the fundamental question of the new International, posed in all its immediacy by history itself, is directly related to the internal crisis in the Socialist Party. The spearhead of the attack of the Right wing is formed by the International question. The culminating charge of the Right wing against the Left is that the adherents of the Left are Fourth Internationalists. This was the main theme of the address of Levenstein to the Special Session of the N. E. C., in which he demanded the expulsion of the Left wing en block because it believed in the Fourth International. For months in Local New York, all applicants for Party membership even suspected of sympathy to the idea of the Fourth International have been rejected by Altman's membership committee Thomas utilize his public column in the Call to polemize against the Fourth International, and to declare the incompatibility of belief in the Fourth International with membership in the Socialist Party. At the Special Session of the N. E. C., proposals were made to exact a loyalty oath from all members pledging order in the minds of dreamers, ed by Thomas, though Thomas allegiance to the Second Interthe

The mass expulsions in New York were largely based on the charge of expressing belief in the Fourth International. There that this same Second Interis now so eager to profess devotion, refused to endorse the 1936 independent election campaign of the Party, is in the closest relation with the Social Democratic Federation, and holds entire party organizations; but and pursues policies on every important question directly opposed to the avowed policies of the Party adopted at the Chicago

The International question is

new, Fourth International, based national is not in the least a upon the theory and practice of mere phrase to conjure with. It revolutionary Marxism. This is is the perspective which sums up the immediate and inescapable all the great problems of our task imposed by the demands of time; the slogan which in conthe present, following equally densed and concentrated form the perspective for the future. volutionary Marxism to the experiences of the present epoch. The hollow argument that the To those who direct their attack bulk of the militant workers still against the Fourth International who defend and support the Innationals, and that therefore the ternationals of treachery and betrayal, the revolutionary Left International, is only a cowardly replies proudly and defiantly by unfurling, at the head of its column, the ideas, the banner, and masses. The fact that the bulk the goal of the Fourth International.

(4) Farmer-Laborism

The fourth of the key political questions forming foundation stones of the present crisis in the Party is the issue of Farmer-Laborism. We refer not to the question of a Labor Party or Farmer-Labor Party in the abstract, but to the very concrete problems of the Farmer-Labor Progressive Federation of Wisconsin and the American Labor Party of New York: that is to say, to the La Follette and the

The labor movement in this functioning International center country has, during the last two years, surged forward. The chief symbol of this upsurge is, course, the C. I. O. movement. Never before in this country, and at no time anywhere else in the rendered vain so much sacrifice, world, has there been anything quite comparable to the C. I. O. movement. In gigantic strikes and demonstrations and organization the prey of traitors and cowards, drives, the American workers have been displaying an unprecedented militancy and class solidarity.

As yet, the conscious political expression of this upsurge has lagged far behind its direct action on the field of the class struggle. The profound problem for the bourgeoisie and for all of its hangers-on is to try to make sure that this class militancy finds a political outlet in channels altogether safe for capitalism; as, conversely, problem for Marxists is to direct it along a revolutionary perspective. In 1936, by a variety of devices, the bourgeoisie was successful in chaining the class militancy of the workers to the sugared demagogy of Roosevelt, and the Roosevelt machine. In the bulk, the workers have still not broken fully with these chains.

Nevertheless, the possibility of this break already gives rise to nightmares in the minds of the bourgeoisie, the liberals and the reformists. A new straightjacket, knit firmly on the capitalist ooms, must be made ready. And such a straightjacket they find at hand in the conception of what they call a Labor or Farmer-

Labor Party.

Labor Party-Real and Ideal

It is not necessary to discuss the "ideal" Labor Party, made to summary for which was deliveror to determine whether it is a is not a member of the City wing has a clear answer to the but included is the following pa theoretical question. The only ragraph: Labor Party which meets the requirements of Marxists is the is a certain irony in reflecting revolutionary party; and, while permitting under certain given national, to which the Right wing conditions critical support of or even participation as an autonomous organization in a Labor Party having the backing of the majority of the organized working class, revolutionists do not believe that it is ever their proper business to "adovocate" or 'build" a reformist party which will prove to be their most bitter rival. But the theoretic question is not here at issue. It is the specific question of La Follette neither abstract nor postponable, and La Guardia. The movements

And what sort of movements are they? About this no elaborate argument is needed. Are they 'anti-capitalist"? Not one of their leaders would dream of pretending so. They are dedicated heart and soul to the preservation of capitalism. Are they Quite against imperialist war? the contrary, they are busily preparing a mass base for the coming "defensive" war. Are coming they "free of all entanglements with capitalist parties" (a foolish question enough, when they are themselves capitalist parties)? How absurd: their chief task in 1936 was to gather votes for Roosevelt. Do they run genuine representatives of the proletariat for office? La Follette and La Guardia are the answer.

The Farmer-Labor Progressive Federation and the American Labor Party are both vicious muddles of class collaboration, Popular Frontism, outworn Populism and atavistic liberalism. the docile instruments of labor bureaucrats and careerist "progressive" capitalist politicians.

Support of these movements at the present time in actuality represents the perspective of the liquidation of independent working class politics. That is the long and short of it. And it is, again, not in the least an accident, that the Right wing of the Party is made up of those who in practice are the ardent and eager supporters of these two movements. They support them exactly because they are for the liquidation of independent revolutionary working class politics.

The Wisconsin organization of the Party has already largely dissolved itself into the Farmer-Labor Progressive Federation. Wisconsin "socialists" run for office not on the Socialist but on the Federation ticket. Raskin frankly declared at the Philadelphia N. E. C. meeting that if forced to choose between the Federation and the Party, the bulk of the Wisconsin members would choose the Federation. Hoan's eyes placed greedily on the U.S. Senate see the seat not with a Socialist but with a Federation label. The Party as an organization becomes more and more of a burden to Wisconsin: and in fact is still retained only as a means for maneuvering within the Federation.

Right wing for La Guardia

Months ago, spokesmen of the Left declared that the Altman group in New York was headed straight for support of La Guardia through the American Labor Party. At that time, the charge was dismissed, in usual manner, as "slander". All sections of the Party will take it more seriously today. On July 12th the City Central Committee held a meeting to consider the question of the autumn elections. The Altman majority motion (the "good" or "bad" thing for the Central Committee), proposes a workers. The revolutionary Left full slate of Socialist candidates;

"2. Our candidate for Mayor against La Guardia may be withdrawn if in the course of discussions with the A. L. P., and in the development of the campaign it becomes apparent that such action will strengthen the labor movement and our hope of usefulness in building a national labor party".

Does anyone doubt the meaning of this paragraph? If so, those doubts would have been removed by attendance at the July 12th meeting. It was made entirely clear that the majority tive task of the regroupment of ditions of the crisis in the So- hentic American type of "Farm- of the Sales Tax; breaker of a the fight.

hundred strikes; favorite of the Citizens' Budget Commissionthat organization of the big property owners in the interests of 'efficient government"; whose police have developed the most accomplished technique in this country for "the preservation of law and order"; whose own pet, the Independent Subway, is the last of the big traction systems to hold out against unionization; whose agreement with the bankers on New York City finances differs from Tammany's only in being more acceptable to the bankers.

The direct relation of this issue to the Party crisis is apparent on the surface. The autumn elections are fast approaching. Wisconsin wants to rid itself of even nominal attachment to a party which includes revolutionists in its ranks. Altman and Thomas know that they could never put across their capitulation to La Guardia without the suppression and expulsion of the Left wing. This is an additional and compelling reason for the haste and the brutality of their campaign. And, in general: the Right wing stands for the expulsion of the Left as an indispensable step in its liquidation of the Party as an independent political force.

The attitude of Clarity on this question is equally revealing. Clarity stands, in theory, for a 'genuine" Labor Party-that is, for the dream of a Labor Party in their own heads. Consequently, they are always left in confusion by the disparity between a real, flesh-and-blood Labor or Farmer-Labor Party (such as the Farmer-Labor Political Federation or the American Labor Party) and their dream. They recognize and state there **is** something rotten in such movements as these. But what to do about it? To do anything would mean to undertake a sharp political offensive against the Right wing; and this the whole nature of Clarity's politics for-

The silence on Wisconsin

Thus they endure in pained silence Wisconsin's "unsocialist" behavior, and Raskin's and Biemiller's taunts and contempt. In New York they introduce as a counter-motion to Altman's motion of their own which is full of radical phrases, but yet does not specifically renounce support of La Guardia. In their speeches, of course, they condemn Altman and La Guardia roundly. But their mode of procedure permits them to capitulate "gracefully" in the end, with their tracks covered by the radical phrases.

The issue is well worth pondering. Is this what the membership is building a Party for? To support La Follette and La Guardia (or, as it might read, Azana and Companys and Chautemps)? Let this much be clear: this is not the kind of Party which the Left wing proposes to build. Our aim is to achieve the workers revolution, not to put salve on death sores of capitalism.

These four, then, are the central political questions which lie back of the present crisis in the Party. It is they that control and determine the course of the organizational struggle. This must never for a moment be forgotten. The fight of the Left wing is not basically a fight for posts or "constitutional rights" or new committees. It is in general, a fight for revolutionary Marxism; and, in particular, a fight for solidarity with the Spanish Revolution, for the heritage of the October Revolution against the resolution meant, concretely, Kremlin hangmen, for a revosupport of La Guardia. La Guar- lutionary International, and for mere negative act, but is inse- It is given in the circumstances backing them are not dreams, dia!—candidate and member of independent working class poliparably bound up with the posi- of world politics, and in the con- but the genuine, homespun aut- the Republican Party; institutor tics. This is the real meaning of

3. - Stalinism and the Party Crisis

The relations between Stalin- able factionalists". By all of such as the recent articles in the ism and the crisis in the Socialist these epithets, the Stalinists Call, signed jointly by Sam Ba-Party are numerous and profound. A proper understanding are unalterably opposed to the Liston Oak. Taking these arof the Party crisis is impossible ideas and program of Stalinism. without taking into account the influence of Stalinism upon what these characterizations on paper, is happening in the Socialist They act in accordance with Party. The relations are of se-them. They refuse to enter a veral kinds: both external, in united front in which "Trotskywhat the Communist Party has ists" participate. They use their done and is doing with reference to the Socialist Party; and internal, through the activities of Stalinist agents within the Party, but far more important through the effect of Stalinist ideology on different individuals and ist Party representatives on join groups within the Party.

Throughout the world, the Communist International is now the greatest obstacle within the working class to the achievement of the proletarian revolution. The entire force of the Comintern, backed by the mighty Soviet Bureaucracy, has undertaken the task of blocking the revolution. This means that it must, at all costs, prevents the growth of new revolutionary parties and the new revolutionary International. To do so must at all times be the first item on the Stalinist agenda.

Consequently, when the leftward development in the Socialist Party of the United States began to make headway, the Communist Party could not stand idly by. It had to exert every possible effort to keep the leftward development from maturing, to find means to seduce, drown or strangle it. These efforts we have witnessed during the past several years. They increased in intensity at the time of the great forward step marked by the split with the Old Guard and the entrance of the former Workers Party members. They continued unabated throughout the entire election campaign of 1936. In Browder's report to the Central Committee following the Elections, the drive to smash the Socialist Party was put forward as the key problem. The Party was flooded with literature, leaflets, articles, pamphlets in the preconvention period. Since the Convention, the campaign of the Stalinists has continued with even greater bitterness, with speeches and editorials and even more with the campaign in the organizations — in the North American Committee to Aid Spanish Democracy, the unions, and the Workers Alliance.

The Stalinists' Aim

The aim of the Stalinists is not obscure. It is to drive all non-Stalinists ideas and all individuals who persist in holding such ideas out of the labor movement. This aim is imposed upon of the Stalinist program. It is them by the nature of Stalinist world policy, and they cannot be content with anything short of of Left wingers as disrupters its complete accomplishment. In and factionalists, with whom "no this country, that means first to one can get along" (they could make sure that the Socialist Party does not become a revolutionary Party. And this in turn means to smash the Left wing in the Socialist Party, upon in the mass movement). The which the future of the Party as a revolutionary organizationindeed, its future as any kind herents of the Left from responof independent political organization-wholly depends.

Revolutionary Marxists, all Left wing socialists—called all tions of the Party where the of them "Trotskyists" by the Right has control. In the Workare professional disrupters and saboteurs. They are against the ists" among his fellow party unity of the working class. They members. Even more: the ruthare treacherous sectarians. They less and bureaucratic manner in power, they take steps to drive of the Comintern. them out. "They are members of | We should not allow ourselves

mean, of course, that Marxists ron and the non-Party member.

The Stalinists do not leave control of the North American Committee to bar Left wing Socialists as speakers. They frame Left wingers in the unions and the Workers Alliance. They demand the right to veto Socialcommittees, in order to eliminate Left wingers. They beat up Left wingers distributing leaflets and literature. And day in and day out they hammer home their drive to smash the Socialist Party by expelling its Left wing.

Political Source of Right Wing

In considering even so briefly these facts we discover the final source of the campaign of the Right wing against the revolutionary Left. The source is: Stalinism. This is not at all to say that all of the adherents of the Right wing are Stalinists, which is not the case; indeed, there are some in the Right wing who consider themselves bitter opponents of Stalinism. But all the strength and vigor of the campaign of the Right wing derives at one or another stage removed from Stalinism. It is Stalinism which sustains and nourishes it.

This is shown, most obviously, by the fact that the Right wing contains as an integral and consistent part of itself many outright Stalinists (whether or not these are members of the Comlogy): the members of the Connecticut Committee of Correspondence; Paul Porter; the signers of the Wisconsin letter on the Trials; numerous single individuals scattered throughout the Party. Much more important, however, is the fact that the arguments and aims and method of the Right wing are all borrowed (sometimes with minor alterations in transit) from the arsenal of Stalinism. The central aim: the suppression and expulsion of the Left, is identical with the aim of the Stalinists. The political basis of the Right wing wing ideology—support of the Spanish assassins, attacks against the American Committee for the Defense of Trotsky, unbelievable bitterness against the perspective of the Fourth International, class collaborationist Farmer-Laborism—all of these, in the hands of the Right wing, are only watered down versions from Stalinism that the Right wing learns its characterization not have learned it from experience, for during the past year it is the Left alone which has built the Party and advanced it Stalinist methods are likewise reflected in the exclusion of adsible posts, from the N. E. C. the press, from all important functions and committees in sec-Stalinists-are "counter-revolu- ers Alliance, Lasser spends the tionary fascist agents". "They major part of his energies in struggling against the "Trotskyshould be driven entirely out of which the Right wing conducts labor movement". And wher- its campaign is only a faint copy

get along with. They are incur- from the camp of the Right wing, were never infringed. Nor, in we will promise that you will not against the Left.

ticles as a concrete example, we may observe:

Spurious Flare-Ups Against Stalinism

(1) The conclusions of the articles are directly at variance with the speech of Baron to the New York membership, in which he repeated the slander that the Barcelona events were caused by Franco's Fifth Column within the POUM and the FAI. In large part, these articles represent an attempt on Baron's part to cover his tracks and to shunt aside the thoroughly justifiable reaction to that speech. And, in general, it is true in politics that a Right wing tries to put a strong Left foot forward just at the point when it culminates an attack against the Left.

(2) Baron has a strong personal grievance against the Stalinists from some time back, reinforced strongly last year by his run-in with them in his former union (the B. S. & A. U.)

any other member of the Right

policies.

wing-we discover that it is altogether without basis in prinobject to the Stalinists because the Stalinists are the more successful bureaucrats. His objection This is brought out strikingly in much of the gravy. his articles on Spain by the fact that nowhere in them does he atthe Stalinists; by the fact he does not even mention other organizations (for example, the Socialist Party) which have the same

which he endured in Spain.

The same lesson can be drawn from Wisconsin. Even so short a vention, the Wisconsin representatives were (apparently) the most bitter anti-Stalinists in the Party, threatening to withdraw if the united front resolution was passed. In their case, too, the opposition is now merely bureauwhen it was something more. At volutionary tendency will emerge Chicago such full-fledged Stalin- not weaker but far stronger and kept alive by inconveniences ists as Porter and Berger were from the struggle.

honored leaders of the Wisconsin (3) Lastly and most important, delegation. Within their home if we examine Baron's "anti- State, they pursue a line ident-Stalinism"—or that of virtually ical with the Stalinists in their major activity, the Farmer-Labor Progressive Federation; altered only by their need to conciple. It is a purely bureaucratic tinue for a while a non-Stalinist opposition: Baron and the others line with respect to the A. F. of L. (because of the local votergetting power of the A. F. of L. machine, closely tied up with the is a form of envy. It has no roots, Hoan forces) and their wish not and can disappear overnight. to let the Stalinists have too

To fight successfully it is always necessary to distinguish tack the policies which govern with absolute clarity the real enemy. In the present crisis in the Party, the enemy, or at the very least, the main enemy, the bulwark and driving power of the enemy's forces, is: Stalinism. It is Stalinism which above all will rejoice (and this a revealing while ago as the Cleveland Con- test) if the Right wing succeeds: will rejoice even more freely than many members of the Right wing itself, for these have certain qualms as they look forward to the smash-up of the Party which their course promises. The Stalinists and the Right wing, cratic, with memories of a past however, will rejoice for only a (the "Third Period" of Stalinism) short while, and in vain: the re-

4. - Altman-Wisconsin-Lewis

went to make up the main body of the Right wing was formed by a coalition of the Wisconsin organization, the Altman group in New York, and the Lewis group in Massachusetts, under the leadership of Altman. At first glance this combination is rather incongruous: municipal socialists. left over by a kind of historical munist Party is irrelevant: they accident from the Old Guard; one are complete Stalinists in ideo- of the most prominent sections of the old anti-Old Guard Militant grouping; and an old-fashioned, passive, L. I. D. group from New England. However, during the past year, the paths of these three have gradually come together. On the four key political questions they have discovered a remarkable unanimity: on Spain, on the Fourth International, and perhaps especially on the necessity for liquidating the Party into a Farmer-Labor movement—an end largely accomplished already in Wisconsin. in motion form in New York, and wished for eagerly by Lewis in his pre-convention documents in the Massachusetts State Bulletin. Above all, the three are unbreakably at one in their unrelenting opposition to revolutionary Marxism, and the revolutionary tendency in the Party. It is this last powerful motive which really keeps them together; when it is removed, they will no doubt again go their different ways.

> The three groups are well length. A few observations are. however, worth repeating.

> The "mass base" of the Right wing lies in Wisconsin. Every month a check paying for 2,000 dues stamps arrives at the National Office (the role which dues stamps play in such matters as Party Conventions should be kept in mind). The maximum estimate of actual Party members in Wisconsin runs to about 400. The former members, and indeed most of the remaining 400, have transferred their allegiance to the Farmer-Labor Political Federation.

Wisconsin's "States' Right"

Wisconsin has always stood

The initial combination which this respect, has there been any be troubled by any discipline" or own problems, joined the Federation, fought the C.I.O., conducted its own propaganda, supported its own paper (the Call has only 75 subscribers in Wisconsin), without ever bothering the national organization. But Wisconsin none the less has been feeling more and more restive. Rumors came across the state borders that the national Party had taken steps to the Left. that there were even determined revolutionists in the Party, that some of the literature and speeches of the Party were very close to Marxism. Such a state of affairs was irksome, and might prove grossly inconvenient.

Wisconsin came to the Chicago There, though it succeeded in tionary tendency off of the N. "factional" organs, yet it saw some of its worst fears almost realized. Quite inacceptable resolutions were passed on a number of subjects, and there was a good deal of talk about discipline. When the delegates returned, the clamor grew demanding that not necessary to discuss them at raised, calling for a walk-out. The difficulty was: v here cratic Federation could not be of much use to Wisconsin; there was not yet a national Farmer-Labor setup, and the Farmer-Labor Political Federation had not yet sufficiently matured. Still, something had to be done. Broad-mindedly, a representative (nominally of the Milwaukee Leader, but with expenses paid by the Milwaukee Local of the Party) was sent to the Pittsburgh Convention of the Social Democratic Federation. The report was not in all respects satisfactory. Membership meetings were held. And at them, and at have made their perspective conferences, the emissaries of clear: Liquidation of the Party Altman-for example, Lasser and into a Farmer-Labor Party, any labor movement". And wher- its campaign is only a faint copy firmly on the traditional Ameri- Siegel—arrived. Siegel explain- kind of Farmer-Labor Party, ever Stalinists have sufficient of the time-dishonored methods can doctrine of States' Rights. ed: Let us get together; we must with the "socialists" functioning "Live and let live," they have join to drive out the Left wing; within such a party as an "educatolerantly felt. It goes without that is the first step toward any tional group". One last Party Franco's Fifth Column. They are to be deceived by the occasional saying that while the Old Guard suitable solution; stay with us duty remains: to join his feeble impossible for honest workers to flare-ups of "anti-Stalinism" was in the Party their rights at least long enough for that; force to the lynch campaign

change since the time when the any demands to live up to Party Old Guard left. Wisconsin paid Resolutions and the Party Consits dues, and that was the end titution. There was some arguof it. It had its members on the ment about just who should be National Action Committee, but driven out-only Left wing leadthey naturally never attended ers, or all ex-WP members, or meetings. The State Committee all these plus the Left Claritywent its own way, worked out its ites; but the general plan seemed plausible and the deal was put through.

N.E.C. Capitulates to Wisconsin

The combination functioned smoothly at the Philadelphia N. E. C. meeting, and continued firm at the Special Session. Raskin and Minkley, in their own way, made clear where Wisconsin stood. They announced that Wisconsin would stay in the Party as long as the Party did not interfere with Wisconsin, and provided the purge of the Left and of Left wing ideas was carried through. They explained that Wisconsin objected to the War Resolution, the People's Front Resolution, and the Trade Union Convention in a truculent mood. Resolution, and would of course therefore be unable to act in ackeeping adherents of the revolu- cordance with them in Wisconsin. The N. E. G. listened, cowered, E.C., and though it commended and accepted. On the touchiest the vigorous measures against point of all—the Trade Union Resolution—administration was turned over to the Wisconsin State Executive. Wisconsin's terms were granted. Minkley graciously concluded that the Wisconsin members of the National Action Committee would would begin attending meetings known to the membership. It is something be done. Voices were as soon as the Action Committee stopped talking about Spain and there to go? The Social Demo- really trying to convince the man in the street about the superiority of socialist mayors.

Such, then, is Altman's main ally in his struggle against the Left: the Wisconsin organization, reactionary, entangled with old-line trade union bureaucrats, contemptuous of the Party, insolent and brazen in its attitude, social-patriotic virtually to a man, anti-revolutionary to the core.

Of Lewis not much need be said. His following has dropped to a pitiful, worn-out handful. He himself and his associates cement that keeps this crew together, and Altman who maps out its strategy. Around himself he has assembled all the dregs of Local New York: left-over Old Guardists; Stalinists open and disguised; pacifists of fiftyseven varieties; the stalwarts of the L. I. D.; People's Fronters; petty trade union bureaucrats; all the "grave-yard" socialists, who scarcely know the address of party headquarters. He has whipped them into a group under his slegan: Drive out the Left

Altman's Wrecking of N. Y.

Altman has had time and experience to prepare himself for his campaign. For a year he has systematically run Local New York into the ground. Not a single independent Party campaign; three or four mass meetings in all that time under Local New York auspices. Exclusion of all revolutionary Left wingers from all responsible posts and committees. Strangling of Party activities in Stalinist "united Now, as his campaign nears its finds its fitting leader.

Sabotage of indepen-League. Leagues. Sabotage of the work Constitution. Constant pressure against the Call to make it reeditorship of the Altmanite, Levenstein. Systematic, day by day, provocation of Left wingers. whenever they open their mouths, whether in public or inside of the Party. Flooding of the Labor Committee, when some of its decisions displeased him. Driving full-speed toward support of La Guardia.

Committee to the American es, and the bureaucratic blows repeating the time-worn statefall fast. By a combination of dent socialist work in the trade provocateur and policeman tactunions, with pro-Stalinist Alt- ics, he breaks up the membership manites and pro-Stalinist policies meeting of June 4th, in order to shoved down the throats of the prevent discussion on Spain. He passes a ruling that branches of the American Committee for cannot instruct delegates to the the Defense of Trotsky; no Local City Central Committee without New York mass meeting on the a week's previous notification. Trials; no membership meeting He rules that it is a breach of on the Trials. No vote ever taken discipline for branches to recall at a membership meeting. Eli- City Central delegates except mination of the City Convention, under charges. He gerrymandin defiance of the sentiment of ers the membership allocations. the active membership and the He rules that a branch protestexplicit provisions of the City ing any ruling of the City Executive is subject to the lifting of its charter. He turns down vert to its character under the applications for Party membership from all those suspected of sympathy to revolutionary ideas. He prefers charges against left wingers on every conceivable ground. And, on July 6th, his Central Committee began the expulsion and suspension of Left wingers from the Party.

In Altman, the Right wing

5. - The Role of Norman

years, been the outstanding fi- mocracy and "civil liberties". gure of the Socialist Party. As has often been the case with outstanding figures Thomas has for a long time believed that his position could enable him to rise tion of the suppression of the inabove the Party and its conflict- ternal organs—that outrageous ing tendencies, much as the State sometimes aspires to rise above cracy, on the exclusion of memthe warring classes. Unfortunately, this is not possible, no from the N. E. C., Thomas threw matter how outstanding the fig- down his gauntlet. When resisure may be. This Thomas should have learned from his experiences tate to deliver his cheap ulitmain the struggle with the Old tum: do it my way, or I refuse Guard. Then, too, he tried to the leadership of the Party. rise above the conflict, tried up to the last moment, at the Chicago Convention, and even there- months. During this time, howafter tried to minimize and delay the consummation of the con- takeably clear to the Party memflict in the split. In the end, with sorrow and with indignation, he nevertheless had to choose.

In the past few months, also, Thomas had to choose. It is a painful thing to have to choose, when either alternative of the choice really costs something, particularly when you are one who considers himself above the petty squabbles of ordinary mortals. It is disturbing to one's disposition; and somehow seems to break through the shell of the doubts were set at rest. grand generalities—"socialism in our time", "defense of civil liberties", "unity against war and fascism", "elementary decency", and the rest-which are so pleasant and often so convincing to utter, and which commit oneself to so conveniently little.

Thomas with Right wing

We must give Thomas this credit, however: he has chosen. After some delay, some hesitation, some effort to eliminate the necessity for making a choice, after days when—as immediately following the November Elections -he talked at length about quitting the Party and the moveand made it up firmly. He decided to join forces with the Right wing; and he made this decision just preceeding the Chicago Convention. The decision was not accidental, but it is not necessary here to trace its political genesis and development.

Thomas is a determined man. and once having decided, he acted in a determined fashion. At every crucial point, he domina- politics. It is important to obted the Chicago Convention. Un- serve, however, that since his der his whip, the alleged Clarity solid incorporation into the Right majority went down like wheat wing, Thomas' politics have acbefore the storm. The real and tually suffered a retrogression telling blows against the Left a natural and usual result of his fighting against the Left, Tho- Thomas, in his column, gave the such politics is nothing but un-

Norman Thomas has, for many his pacifism and his love of de-Anything goes in a fight against the Left. Thomas is no legalist when it comes to opposing revolutionary Marxism. On the quesviolation of genuine party demobers of the Appeal tendency tance developed, he did not hesi-

> Following the Convention, Thomas traveled in Europe for two ever, he kept his stand unmisbership by appointing Lewis as his alternate at the Philadelphia N. E. C. meeting. There was some speculation in certain sections of the Party about where he would stand upon his return. Such speculation was wasted. Immediately upon his arrival back in this country, he held a series of conferences with representatives of the Right wing. He gave them his guarantee that he would go down the line in the drive against the Left wing. All

The Thomas Line

As in other cases, Thomas' attitude toward the internal situation in the Party could just as easily be deduced from his point of view on the key political questions. His column-which like all his public utterances and writings he conducts without the slightest regard for Party discipline or legality-during the weeks since his return has made an interesting study. ned responsibility for the Barcelona events to the POUM and the Anarchists. His criticism of the Soviet persecutions has been consistently from the right, using ment, Thomas made up his mind, them as a text from which to attack the whole conception of a workers' state (and, in passing, suggesting at least the partial guilt of Stalin's victims). Openly in his column he attacks not merely the Fourth International, but the members of the Party who believe in the Fourth International.

These things are not out of keeping with Thomas' traditional

be applied by the U.S. Governdemand is, in political fundasanctions" (and is of course linists); and is diametrically op-

ments about labor militancy provoking fascist reaction; demanding, with the capitalist press. greater union "responsibility" (i. e., less of a class struggle policy); and (July 1.7th) attacking the conception of the closed shop. Thomas for La Guardia Every day, the implications of

Thomas's course become clearer. At the July 12th meeting of the New York City Central Committee on the New York City Election Campaign, it was Thomas who was chief spokesman for the Altman resolution. Thomas, who has so often written and spoken about "genuine" and "bona-fide" Labor Parties, who has, in words, been so critical about Stalinists and liberals for their "opportunists" approaches to the Labor Party question, showed in his remarks that the resolution would the voice of a "tired radical", he incident: A short while ago, as mand that the neutrality embargo part of the general drive in His colleagues explained to him ment to Germany and Italy. This eral Americans were arrested by the Government in Barcelona. mentals, identical with the re- These included three of our own formist - Stalinist position on party comarades-Harry Milton, Charles and Lois Orr (the latter supported by reformists and Sta- two subsequently released), and Hugo Ochler, secretary of the independence. He is not an ally posed to the Marvist position on Revolutionary Workers League, war and the War Resolution of and for many years a well known the Party. In the issues of July militant in the labor movement 10th and 17th, the column has of this country. Thomas — who contained a series of downright for so many years has capitaliz- his decision, he has signed his reactionary comments on the C. led on his "defense of civil liber- own political death warrant.

It is Altman who provides the | fronts", from the North American | climax, Altman's tempo increas- | I.O. and the strike movement, | ties"—has made no public utterance on the arrest of our three comrades; has declined to participate in a public committee or speak at a public meeting in their defense: and was influential in the decision of the Workers' Defense League not to intervene. His one specific statement appeared in his column, where he used the arrests to make a vicious thrust at Oehler. Civil liberties, it seems, are all very well in normal times; but these comrades were on the wrong side of the barricades—the side of the revolutionary workers — during the Barcelona events.

Thomas still retains a few illusions. He has hoped, and still seems to hope, that it is possible to expel a number of the "bad", "factional-minded" adherents of the revolutionary tendency, and to retain the good" "constructive" mass workers. Thomas can be pardoned his mistake: he has had no opportunity in his experimean support of La Guardia. In ence of learning the meaning of revolutionary solidarity. complained that he never again to the Special Session of the N. wished to go through another E. C., he inclined to believe that campaign like that of 1936, where the Party crisis could be solved everyone was against us", and by instituting a "loyalty oath" the Socialist Party isolated. Still demanding fealty to the Second more revealing is another recent International, and thus weeding out the "die-hard" revolutionists. Spain against revolutionists, sev-the awkwardness of such a proposal coming at that time.

> Let no mistakes be made about Thomas. Thomas no longer occupies the "independent" position which he so long tried jealously to guard. He has given up his of the Right wing; he is now an integral part of the Right wing, and he must act accordingly. He has made his choice; and, through

6. - The Clarity Group

The so-called Clarity group al- In practise, it results in an un- | set for the "Clarity N. E. C." to legedly constituted a majority at ending series of miserable capithe Chicago Convention. It took tulations to the Right and treaformal responsibility for the majority on the new N. E. C. In a certain sense this is true; but what that truth means can be understood only by realizing that Clarity is not in actuality a genuine political tendency. Clarity has no program, either in generaly or with reference to concrete conditions as they arise in the life of the Party; and having no program, it cannot consolidate a genuine political grouping. Clarity is a half-way house; a temporary resting spot for those whose political ideas are still confused, who have not yet made up their minds where they stand and in what direction they propose to go (which, in passing, is why Thomas appeared more or less an an ally of Clarity until he definitely chose the Right wing). Clarity is based on confusion, on words out of joint with actions, on brave motions and feeble deeds, on endless "maneuvers", on temporizing, "maneuvers", on temporizing, faced politics of the centrist lead-hesitation, delay. Clarity spends ers), and the passive and reac-have been cut to eight pages; its time building houses of cards, tionary sections of the centrist and the decision to move the Call to watch them blown over by a ranks go over to their natural to Chicago has of course never puff from Left or Right. Clarity holds endless caucus meetings which can never decide anything; writes documents and make speeches that have no relation to ful clique. reality, and complains bitterly at reality's rudness in contradicting its documents and speeches; makes elaborate plans which can never be lifted from the paper Even then, however, underneath on which they are written. In all of these features, Clarity is a

As a centrist tendency, Clarity tries to mediate between the "extremes" in the Party, to hold the balance between Right and Left. For this reason, Clarity is al-ways for "peace" and "unity" and "truce" and "putting off demas, like all others of his kind, lead for what is by now, appar-scrupulous and hypocriticial place in condensed form. | went ahead at once with their finds no difficulty in forgetting ently, "Party policy"—the de-double-dealing and horse-trading. It was impossible from the out-plans to smash the Party and

classic example of a centrist ten-

dency.

cherous blows to the Left.

Evolution of Clarity Group

The evolution of every such centrist grouping is the same. While political conflicts are devgroupings show signs of strength pear for a while as the "majority". Then, as the conflict matures, the ground is cut away of N. E. C. from the middle, and only two roads are left. The centrist grouping is pressed to the wall. It has to jump. Normally, the trist grouging enters a bloc with the Right (not openly or honestly, of course, but with suitable "formulas"); while the active and

maximum surface development at the Chicago Convention, where it claimed an outright majority. that surface the inner decay was well advanced, and showed itself in a dozen instances. The chief symptom was the fact that when-Nevertheless, Clarity took over because of its political nature, its "majority" on the N. E. C. could not. From then on, the disintegration of Clarity proceeded with cumulwere struck by Thomas. And in present lineup. For example: cisions". In actuality, of course, ative speed. The general process outlined above has been taking

function. The only N. E. C. which could have functioned would have been one which was really determined to develop the Party firmly into a revolutionary organization. This would have meant prominently an N. E. C. which would have laid down the eloping, and before lines are law to the disloyal and anti-redrawn with complete clarity, such volutionary Right wing, whose sole aim is to prevent the revoand growth, and even may ap- lutionary development. But a Clarity N. E. C., by the nature of centrist politics, is not that kind

Impotence of N. E. C.

The character of the N. E. C. became at once obvious in the bulk of the leadership of the cen- fact that it could not run the Party. It took the N. E. C. more than three months even to publish the Convention proceeding! Only one issue of the American militant ranks of the centrist | Socialist Monthly has appeared grouping take their proper stand to this day, and not a single iswith the Left (while they were sue of the discussion organ voted formerly prevented from doing by the Convention-and subseonly by the ambiguous and two- quently scuttled by the N. E. C. home in the Right. The centrist been carried out. The Party "retendency, as an independent registration", scheduled for imgrouping, is blown to pieces; and mediately after the Convention, remains, if at all, only as a piti- is only now getting haltingly under way. No pamphlets have Clarity reached its point of been published. Not a single organized campaign has been conducted. Where the Party has been active, this has been due solely to local initiative. All of these symptoms are due, not to 'sabotage" on the part of either Right or Left (as Clarity would like to pretend in order to excuse ever Thomas cracked his whip, its own responsibility), but to the Clarity "majority" crumbled the fact that the N. E. C. has without a shadow of resistance. provided no firm leadership; and.

The Right wing, however, had no intention of waiting around for Clarity and the Clarity N. E. C to make up their minds They

The Right-Center Bloc

the membership knows, Clarity the N. E. C. might say. What capitulated miserably, shamefully, the Resolution aims to do is to to the Right wing. The infamous silence the voice of the Left wing and cowardly resolution of soli- on Spain, on the Soviet persecudarity with the assassins of the tions, on the International ques-Catalonian workers was passed tion, on La Follette and La Guarunanimously-with the exception dia. The N. E. C. has already of the abstentions of Trager and stated that even the alleged "dis-Tyler (the latter of whom, at cussion meetings" to be provided least, has subsequently become a under the Resolution (which will, defender of the revolution). No besides, probably never take counter-resolution was even of place) are not to discuss differfered.

solution, the Clarity leadership to put into effect the decisions said the political basis for its of the N. E. C." The literature bloc with the Right wing against and speeches which the Resoluthe revolutionary Left.

The Right wing went ahead faster than ever. Altman broke up the New York membership meeting. Thomas returned, and lined up with the Right wing. tickes of New York Right wing-The question of split was put on the order of the day. The Special Session of the N. E. C. was called.

Meanwhile Clarity, terrified as its house of cards tumbled about its ears, called tragically for-a "truce", a "peace". The Right wing sneered contemptuouslyit would accept no "truce". The revolutionary Left asked what Clarity meant by a truce. Clarity explained: it meant the giving up of all right to discussion and criticism within the Party for the Left wing, the complete political disarmament of the Left blanche to the Right wing. wing, and not a single measure against the Right wing already fully armed and in battle array: it meant that the Left should lie passively and in silence while the Right wing tore it to pieces. The Left was compelled to decline Clarity's generous proposal.

At the Special Session, the Right wing put forward various Loyalty Oath," for expelling the Left at once and en bloc. None of these seemed workable. The Clarity "truce" proposal was accepted as a basis; and its re-worked form emerged as the "Emergency Policy" Resolution. This Resolution was adopted unanimously by the Special Session.

The Gag-Law Against the Left

What, exactly, is this Resolution? We must be entirely clear about it. We should observe that even apart from the specific circumstances of the Party crisis, this Resolution is a direct violation of the spirit and letter of the Chicago Convention, and of e Party Constitution. In ata règime of martial law, prohibiting internal political discussion

test came at the Philadelphia tion, and practise of internal because they are in alliance with centrist grouping fall away. The witnessed what took place, for meeting of the N. E. C. There party democracy. It is quite li- the Right wing, against the Left passive and reactionary sections example, at the Pennsylvania the Right wing permitted, with terally true to say that for a wing. Is this not obvious? Is it out too much worry, a long list comparable piece of bureacratic not obvious that of the Clarity entirely gone completely into the the Clarity leaders (Felix and of temporary Clarity "victories" usurpation of the rights of party leadership and the N. E. C. were on organizational questions — members we would have to turn going to act with and not against posts and committees, trager for to the history of the Comintern the Left, it had a perfect chance Labor Secretary, Tyler for the under Stalin. But martial law at the Special Session? There Call, Zam for the Monthly. The does not function in the abstract: it acted with the Right and tive and militant Party members, formerly following Clarity united Right wing knew-and Altman it is a repressive device made against the Left. And the Right use of by one group or class against another; and to undertories meant nothing, and that if stand the full meaning of the Resolution we must take it in relation to the actual present conditions in the Party.

Not one word or phrase of the Right wing, which is solely responsible for the precipitation and continuance of the Party crisis. What does this lack means? It means that the Right wing, by the terms of the Resolution, is given a free hand to proceed unhampered in the carrying out of their campaign against the Left. Every provision of the Resolu-

tion, understood in the concrete,

is directed squarely against the Left. The N. E. C. never for a moment suggested that Wisconsin or Altman or Lewis or Siegel should stop saying and writing and doing just what they pleased-and they have shown that they would not stop, either within On the Spanish question, as the Party or in public-whatever ences of opinion, but, in true By this vote on the Spanish re- Stalinist manner, to discuss "how tion aims to remove from the eyes and ears of Party members are not the pamphlet of Paul Porter -still on sale both within and outside of the Party-or the arers attacking the Party rosition in the Jewish Day, the Stampa Libera or the public and private speeches sponsored by the L. I. D., but the pamphlets and documents and addresses of revolutionary socialists.

The answer of the Special Session of the N. E. C. to the mighty international and national events and to the profound crisis of the Party itself was: the attempt to suppress by administrative decree the voice of the revolutionary left wing, and the simultaneous grant of a carte

Clarity Final Stage

In the unanimous vote for this Resolution, the Clarity leadership consumated the bloc with the Right wing, which was prepared for politically by the Spanish Resolution of the Philadelphia meeting. Thus the Clarity leadership enters the final stage of the centrist evolution. Let no one make the slightest mistake about this, least of all the Party militants who still follow or believe they are following the Clarity leadership. That leadership is now in a bloc with the Right wing, which is not altered by any amount of radical words and phrases. In actuality, there is now taking place a "division of labor" in the campaign the Left. While the Right wing proper proceeds directly and brutally to the immediate task of expulsions and suspensions and charter-liftings, the Clarity leadership puts the blunts the counter-offensive of

and the expression of differences Neither the Clarity leadership made: to fight. of opinion. Still more does it nor "its" N. E. C. can be relied | Our fight is the fight for the which can build the Party and have announced openly that they

going to act with and not against wing-Clarity alliance, far from weakening from now on, will necessarily grow closer with the further development of the struggle.

leadership enters its definite bloc Conventions, the process was centrism.

drive out the Left. The first big violate the entire theory, tradi- on a single iota. They cannot with the Right, the ranks of the speeded. In State after State, we of their following have almost Convention: the united forces of camp of the Right wing, where friends) together with the Right they belonged in the first place, wingers on one side, the adherand where they find a more firm ents of the Appeal together with and decisive leadership. The acseeing the gulf between their own view and the actions of their on, greatly stimulated again by supposed leadership ever widen- the direct treachery of the Claring, fuse with the adherents of the revolutionary tendency. This process was well under way at Meanwhile, the other phase of the first State Conventions held nants in New York, Chicago, and the final centrist development following the Chicago National Philadelphia, Clarity, as an "in-Resolution is directed against the has also been rapidly taking Convention. Following the Philaplace in the months since the delphia N. E. C. session, with the Convention, and still more rapid- Spanish resolution taking first ly at present. As the centrist place at the subsequent State

the militant and active members on the other. The process goes ity leadership at the Special Session. Even today, except for remdependent tendency", remains as a mere sterile hulk.

Such is the universal fate of

7.-Perspective of the Left Wing

its plans and proposals upon reality. There is nothing either demagogic or utopian in revolutionary politics. It does not build on hopes or wishes or fears. Coldly, soberly, it observes and analyzes, and draws conclusions. Utilizing this fight on the only possible apart into its constituent elethe methods of Marxism, the perspective of the Appeal Association, the organized revolutionary Left wing of the Party, follows, the analysis of the actual situa-

tion in the Party. Let us summarize briefly the situation in the Party, which has been herein stated in some detail: The Party is in the grip of an intense crisis. This crisis is a reflection of crucial national and international political events, as well as of the specific circumstances of the Party's internal development. In this crisis, the aim of the Right wing is the suppression and expulsion of the revolutionary Left wing. The Center (Clarity), nominally in control of the national apparatus of the Party has not merely proved itself incapable of leading the Party and unable to resist the campaign of the Right wing, but has, in the persons of its leadership, entered into a de facto bloc with the Right wing against the Left. A majority of the ac- the Party and YPSL, drawing tive and militant membership of thus on the splendid and consisthe Party adheres to the Left tent revolutionary tradition of wing, an overwhelming majority (if we include those not yet definitively and consistently with the Left) is opposed to the plans at the Right wing. Nevertheless, in direct violation of the decisions of the Chicago Convention, the Party statutes, the tradition of party democracy and the will of the membership, the Right The internal discussion organ has wing and the Right wing-Centrist never appeared; and has, in fact, bloc (functioning as the N. E. been voted out of existence by C.), usurping the rights of the the N. E. C. at its Philadelphia membership, carry on their cam- meeting. The official press is a paign against the Left by brutal purely factional press from which administrative and bureaucratic we are systematically excluded measures. present crisis is the culmination muzzled and now, with mass exof the oppostion in the Party between the revolutionary Marxist current and the anti-revolution- We refuse to be muzzled! The ary currents; specifically, this Appeal is our answer to the gagopposition is now focussed on the laws and the split drive. four key political questions which we have discussed. The Right wing has gone too far to be able pearance as the militant and unto draw back from its split compromising spokesman and course.

Capitulate or Fight!

Even this summary shows that there is only a single alternative before the Left wing: put bluntcapitulate or fight. It must either sit back passively and silently while the Right wing rides roughshod over it, cuts it to pieparty members off guard and ces, leaves it in the end a political corpse; either this, or it the Left by "softening the strug- must undertake a mighty coungle", by assurances that "you ter-offensive, all along the line, tempts to establish in the Party can rely on us", by promises that relentless, sharp, uncompromising. the N. E. C. will protect you". It will need no argument to de-This must be made plain: fend the choice which we have

these cannot be separated from program-are dictated by the volution, solidarity with the rerevocable opposition to the trai-Front and their defenders throughout the world; defense of volution, and unshakeable opposition to the Stalinist hangmen; the forging of the new revolutionary International, the summation of the Marxist answer to the problems of our epoch; the defense and advance of independent working class politics, altogether clear of every class collaborationist tangle.

As the necessary organizational instrument for our fight, we are re-constituting the Socialist Appeal Association throughout the Appeal tendency.

As a further indispensable intrument in our fight, we are undertaking the immediate publication of the Socialist Appeal. The solemn decision under which the Appeal suspended publication at the time of the Chicago Convention has been brazenly violated Fundamentally, the The Left wing is expected to stay pulsions, to remain silent about the crimes committed against it.

Every revolutionary consideration demands its immediate apbanner -bearer of the Left wing.

Perspective of Fight

What will be the result of the struggle? It is neither necessary nor useful to speculate on the ly, the Left wing must either precise form of the outcome. The the end of the long process which started four years ago. For it there are only two possibilities: On the one hand, il the Right wing succeeds in its aim, the Party will be not merely doomed as a potentially revolutionary force, but will collapse as any kind of independent political organization. The entire strength and promise of the Party, the sole force

Revolutionary Marxism bases defense and advance of revolu- lead it, lie in the revolutionary s plans and proposals upon real- tionary Marxism and its adher- Left wing. With the Left wing ents toward the great goal of a out, there will be nothing left genuine revolutionary party in but a skeleton. The unholy althis country as an integral part liance which is held together of the international revolutionary only by its common opposition to movement. We shall conduct revolutionary Marxism will fall basis: the full program of revo- ments. Wisconsin will find that lutionary internationalism. The La Follette provides plenty of dominant political issues—though politics without the bother of an "independent Party". Lewis and thus, naturally and easily from the full and rounded Marxist others will be satisfied with the L. I. D. Still others will need no terms of the crisis itself: defense more than the American Labor of the Spanish proletarian re- Party. Many, by one or another route, will jump or drift into volutionary workers of Spain, ir- their proper Stalinist home. Some will leave the movement tors and assassins of the Popular altogether. A small clique (perharps form the Clarity group, some of whom are already the heritage of the October Re-thinking and even negotiating in this direction) may well engineer a deal with the Lovestoneites. The Socialist Party will simply drop apart, like the one horse shay that had outlived its

> On the other hand, if the Left wing succeeds in defeating the Right wing, in re-conquering for the Party a normal life and the possibility of normal development, the future of the Party is assured. There would still be a split, it is true: not because the Left wing "desires" a split, but because from the nature of the case the hardened core of the Right wing would quit the Party. But such a split would strengthen and invigorate the Party. With a Left wing victory, in the face of the mighty political events now unfolding around us and the unprecedent opportunities which they hold out, the Party will go mightily forward, expanding and deepening its roots in the mass movement, assuming leadership in the class struggle, and preparig as the revolutoinary vanguard of the working class for the final conflict.

Can the Left wing succeed in the Party struggle? The majority of the membership, above all of the active membership, is with us. Many more will join us in the days just ahead. Party opponents who have shattered every requirement of Convention decisions and Party Constitution and democratic tradition. History is with us for our ideas and aims represent the interest of the progressive class in society, and of the social revolution. But in the Party struggle we should be blind not to recognize the reality and state it openly. Our opponents control the greater Socialist Party is now reaching part of the apparatus of the Party, and they have already shown that they will utilize their administrative position in the most ruthless and bureaucratic fashion. They have at their disposal a heavy weight of deadwood book-members. They have made clear that they will not regard in the slightest the will of the active membership. They

will stop at nothing in their struggle against the Left.

Forward with Left wing

We shall not quietly give up our position in the Party upon their request or demand or provocation. It is we who have been building the Party in struggle, comrades, and then refused to Fourth International—a belief who have been recruiting its members, launching it into mass action. We shall fight every inch of the way. We shall demand our usurped rights in the Party, and the aid of witnesses like Norman assert that demand through every means at our disposal. But Valenti, Max Del son and almost we shall not be turned aside by a dozen others whom the defense mealy-mouthed legalisms, which our opponents have been the first prove the existence of a factional to spurn and throw aside. There is too much at stake to be settled railroad the revolutionists out defense to which its spokesman, by lawyers' phraseology. We announce in advance our solidarity with the revolutionists who are La Guardia-A.L.P. machine in that the Altman-Thomas bureauor may be expelled or suspended the coming elections. by the bureaucratic moves of the Right wing or the N. E. C.: they are our comrades, expelled or not and we stand with them. We will fight along with them for the not prove any of its charges, but reinstatement that is their simple right; but in any case, and whatever happens, we and they will stand together and fight together. We announce in advance that our voice will be heard, and the left wingers held certain be- er-Labor Party. Shachtman also that there is no device known to Raskin or Altman or Thomas or Clarity legalists which can silence it. We will not be silent before the betrayal of the Spanish Revolution and the destruction of the October Revolution and the emasculation of the rising American Labor Movement; nor will we keep silent about those in this country and in the Socialist Party who defend the assassins of the Spanish workers, the Kremlin hangmen, and who themselves work toward these same crimes in this country.

Whatever the result of the present internal struggle, the revolutionary tendency has nothing to fear. The future lies with us. It is not the revolutionary tendency which today stands at the final crossroads; it is the Party. If the Party measures up to the choice confronting it, the road ahead is certain; if not, the Party is forever doomed. There is only one road: our road, the road of revolutionary internationalism, the road toward the proletarian organized the Rifle Division of conquest of power, toward the world triumph of socialism. It is along that road that we summon the membership. Forward with the revolutionary Left wing!

National Action Committe Appeal Association of the Socialist Party.

Where Is Kupinsky?

Where is Wolf Kupinsky, the prisoner of the G. P. U. and the People's Front in Spain?

Member of the Socialist Party ago with the Debs Column. He fought for months on the Aragon front and was twice wounded. In June 1937, he was arrested in the Stalinist raids against revolutionists and has been in the G.P.U.-People's Front prison ever since, without a single formal charge placed against him! With other political prisoners, he was forced to go on a hunger strike for days, protesting the prison regime, the wretched food, and the failure to charge or try him.

That the Stalinist turnkeys of the bourgeoisie should act the way they do, is understandable. But how explain the dead silence of the Socialist Call, official organ of the party to which Kupinsky belongs? Why hasn't a single word appeared in the Call all these weeks about comrade Kupinsky? What reason is there for this criminal sabotage of the defense of a class war fighter whose very life lies in the unscrupulous hands of the G.P.U.?

Socialist Party Split in N. Y.

(Continued from page 1) continue its hearings two days later, when the principal defendants and their counsel could be present to make their case with Thomas, Harry Laidler, Girolamo a single one of the defendants. proposed to call for testimony to conspiracy of the right wing to of the party in order to deliver it, bound hand and foot, to the the Central Cammittee meeting,

No Charges Proved

At the hearing, the Altman-Siegel prosection not only did so, any more than it did at the meeting of the Central Committee. All the accusations boiled liefs and advocated certain ideas, such as that the Socialist Party

membership of more than 120 world revolutionary forces into a which the left wing is entirely within its rights to advocate inside the party. Not a single serious action involving a violation of discipline was proved against

In spite of the handicap of curtailed time under which the left wing labored it nevertheless succeed in making amply clear, in the one-hour speech for the Max Shachtman, was confined at cracy had decided long before the charges were actually brought to expel the left wing wholesale, on no matter what formal grounds or pretext, in order to guarantee their continued domination of made no serious attempt to do the movement, their sell-out to than that of the Old Guard and throughout the land. Let the La La Guardia, and the liquidation of the Socialist Party into a futile, impotent "educational" sect down to the undenied charge that operating inside a "mass" Farmannounced the intention of the defendants, who refused to ackshould disaffiliate from the nowledge the legality of the extreacherous Second International pulsions, to appeal against the socialist movement in this coun-

and take the sead in rallying the whole procedure to the National try and throughout the world. Executive Committee, a request The warning of the Left wing, from whose National Secretary, now more than ever, has proved Burt, for a one-week's delay of to have been justified. The right the expulsion until an N. E. C. wing is splitting the party! It is sub-committee could investigate bureaucratically expelling every cynically ignored by Altman's of revolutionary ideas. It is carwell-oiled machine, composed in rying out the persistent com-larger part of "deadwood", mand of the Stalinist Communist rigible right wingers, Stalinists, pacifists and petty trade union officials.

Denounce Meeting as Illegal

The Central Committe meeting was conducted in so bureaucratically high-handed a manner as ions. We appeal to every socialist to cause even the representatives of the so-called "Clarity" group ing complete solidarity with the (Zam-Tyler & Co.) to denounce the Altman machine as worse Party and the YPSL be heard t to refuse to recognize the legality of the entire procedure.

The expelled revolutionists left the meeting singing the In- against their shady deals to beternational, undeterred by the tray the socialist movement and the expulsions from an even more vigorous continuation of their work to advance the revolutionary

the New York situation, was left wing militant for the crime 'graveyard members" and incor- Party, the Social Democratic Federation and the trade union bureaucrats and politicians at the head of the American Labor Party.

> We call upon every branch of the Party and every circle of the YPSL to refuse to recognize the illegal and reactionary expulsto vote for a resolution expressexpelled. Let the voice of the Guardia-socialists know that the revolutionists throughout the party will stand like one man their bureaucratic machinations against the left wing.

Unite all forces around the left wing!

.aGuardia Sell-out in S.

(Continued from page 1) York City is one such scheme. The American Labor Party is being used by the bureaucrats and the Popular Frontists as a device for lining up labor votes for the capitalist representatives, La Guardia, and his capitalist City Administration.

La Guardia Record

This is the same La Guardia who concluded the notorious agreement with the bankers at the dictate of Morgan & Co. and the Chase National Bank. Who the Police Department. Who has blocked unionization on the Cityowned Independent Subway System. Who has broken a hundred strikes, from the taxicab strike in 1934 through the building strikes and the whole series of department stores strikes right up to the present moment when hundreds of his police are smashing the shipyards strike.

This is the same La Guardia not one but two capitalist parby the strong Simpson the Republican Party.

ists, and the Lovestoneites, whose have already gone over to La Guardia.

The Socialist Party alone is in a position to defend and advance independent working-class politics, by running its own candioffices and conducting its own independent campaign.

Altman-Thomas Sell-out

But at just this critical juncture—so vital for the whole perspective of the American labor movement in the coming period—a sell-out is being cooked up by the Altman-Thomas faction in Local New York. In brazen

this faction, getting into line The present utilization of the with their political bed-fellows of American Labor Party in New the Old Guard and the Stalinists, have completed their plans to turn the Socialist Party in New York over to La Guardia. For weeks they have been conducting unauthorized and disloyal negotiations with the A. L. P. and with La Guardia himself. They Thomas as mayoralty candidate in order to permit maneuvering and withdrawal at the moment dictated by La Guardia and the A. L. P. leaders. Meanwhile, in his column, week by week, in open violation of party discipline, of the editorial policy of the Call and the national policy of the Party, Thomas puts forward his factional "justification" for the sell-out.

Article X, Section 8, of the Party Constitution provides:

"No state or local organization shall fuse, combine or compromise with the Democratic, Republican or any other political party whose policies and platform are inconsistent with those of who came in as a candidate of the Socialist Party, nor endorse candidates nominated by such ties: the Republican Party and parties except as provided in the City Fusion Party; who has Section 9." Section 9 lays down tional Ladies Garment Workers Union, he went to Spain months

these—the City Fusion Party, even the possibility of any form les himself and turned over to and if he is not by the other will of cooperation: "....the nomination Ortega, director of the Secret already been re-named by one of as a minimum condition for at the very least be supported and election of candidates by a Service (the real minister). Or denunciations of these murderous ers, organized in express oppo-The La Guardia movement is a sition to the political parties movement for the liquidation of supporting capitalism". Even independent working class poli- more flagrantly does support of sympathetic ink on the back of tics. That is why the Social-De- La Guardia and the A. L. P. in it, dealing with a certain "N...") mocratic Federation, the Stalin- this autumn's elections contradict every paragraph of the rewhole aim is to liquidate inde-solutions on the Farmer-Labor pendent working class politics, Party and the Labor Party, both solemnly adopted by the Chicago

Convention. The Altman-Thomas faction, however, has no more regard for party discipline and decisions on this than on any other matter. dates for Mayor and all other It has set its course for the splitting of the Party and the liquidation of the Party as an independent political force. It has no intention of stopping out of any consideration for discipline, Party loyalty, Party decisions, or the will of the Party to destroy the POUM". membership.

Issue is National and Basic

The La Guardia question is not

concrete form the choice now American labor movement: toward class collaboration and Popular Frontism; or toward class struggle and the independent politics of revolutionary so-

The issue is now squarely up before the whole awakening to the entire Party membership. A flood of protest must drown the plans of the liquidators.

Against the La Guardia sellout!

For independent socialist po-

GPU Lynches Andres Nin

(Continued from page 1) peared".

arrested for espionage, an acbelieve, he was summoned by Companys (head of the Barcelona People's Front regime) and they both decided that the latter would write immediately a letter to the Valencia goverment pointing out the deplorable impression produced by this arrest, that "Catalonian public opinion could not believe that Nin was a Fascist spy and that Companys himself really required a very great effort to admit it".

"Evidence" Laughed At

brought to Valencia by Miravit-Ortega, director of the Secret ists. Let the country ring with declared that there tega then were crushing proofs against Nin and he showed Miravitles a paper (a Madrid mileage ticket, with which was such an obvious forgery, Miravitles told us, that I burst out laughing and nobody will any longer dare to make use of this paper".

"Caballero told us that he knew Nin, Gorkin and others personally for some time and that, although he was their political opponent, he knew perfectly well that they were not and could not be Fascist spies. "If Nin and the other members of the POUM are now being persecuted for espionage, it is only for political reasons, only because the Communist party wants

Stalin the Butcher

The revolting murder of Andefiance of every Party decision, a local affair. It puts in the most dres Nin, like the G. P. U. murder

of the Italian anarchist leader have made a fake nomination of hundred of its members arrested, in Barcelona a couple of months as well as sixty who have "disap- ago, Camillo Berneri, and the reported murder of Andrade and "Miravitles (leader of the Gorkin, darkens the blood with Catalan Left" and the Barcelona which the hands of the Stalinist government) told us sponta- assassins are drenched. Just as neously that as soon as Nin was Noske, Wels and Scheidemann were written down in history as cusation which nobody could the murderers of Rosa Luxemburg and Karl Liebknecht, so Stalin is now written down as the murder of the Russian and Spanish revolutionists. For his unspeakable crimes, Stalin will not fail to render account before a tribunal of proletarian justice.

> For the workers of this country to remain silent in face of the G!P.U.'s crimes in Spain, would be to aid and abet the physical extermination of every revolutionist in that country. Every labor organization must raise its voice in indignant protest against This letter was immediately the imprisonment and murder of our comrades of the POUM, the anarchists and Left wing social-

SOCIALIST APPEAL

Organ of the Socialist Party of New York, Left Wing Branches

Published at Room 1609; 100 Fifth Avenue, New York.

> TELEPHONE: ALgonquin 4-4950

> > Vol. I, No. 1

Saturday, August 14, 1937

Subscriptions: \$1.00 per year; 50 cents for 6 months. Bundle orders: 3 cents per cop. Single copies: 5 cents.

Address all communications and make out all checks and money orders to the Socialist Appeal.