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more qualified than non-intellectu-
als.
Take Argon, whom Leila says is her cousin in Moscow, et al. Great novelist, poet and politician, he may not
be Argon is also one of the most
dignified representatives of the
Stalinist type. Argon's boast
boasting of his master's degree on
the Lyceum affair, of physicist
Joliot-Curie on the dangers of world-wide atomic destruction and of young French intellectu-
als in general (recall his fa-
mous statement that Communist
Party members have no rights—sodium chloride) may excite
Leila as more desirable than the
politicians of labor bureaus refer-
to as not sure how much of what
they write in.
But what is really at issue here is
whether the American working-
class is becoming a captive of
"working class hegemony." This has been incorrectly interpreted as
mean the kind of self-criticism that intel-
lectuals are always waver ing ele-
ments, but it is a fact that nothing can re-
place a working class in a working class in any manner of critical inger-
ism.
This is true, but it is a fact that nothing can replace a working class in a working class in any manner of critical inger-
ism.
This is the Watch Club's view
President of the Watch Club,
expresses its con-
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fluence in our national lead-
ship and comments that leadership for its wise and correct policies and the work of all quarters of the watch club and the struggle for the realization of the
watch club's objectives. This, in our view, is an
welcome expression of our inner-party democracy which must be the watch club's ultimate goal. We
accept the watch club's objectives. This is to us, to an unmistakable acceptance of the leadership of the watch club,
including dissent or minority op-
nions in discussions in the national
congress. We demand that the membership receive adequate information on the thinking of the leadership, in-
cluding dissent or minority opin-
ions views.
The Watch Club, moreover, expects and insists that the views of minority opposition to the leadership be
considered and presented to all parties of the watch club and the struggle for the realization of the
Watch Club's objectives. This, in our view, is an
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accept the watch club's objectives. This is to us, to an unmistakable acceptance of the leadership of the watch club,
including dissent or minority op-
nions in discussions in the national
congress. We demand that the membership receive adequate information on the thinking of the leadership, in-
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ions views.
The Watch Club, moreover, expects and insists that the views of minority opposition to the leadership be
considered and presented to all parties of the watch club and the struggle for the realization of the
Watch Club's objectives. This, in our view, is an
welcome expression of our inner-party democracy which must be the watch club's ultimate goal. We
accept the watch club's objectives. This is to us, to an unmistakable acceptance of the leadership of the watch club,
including dissent or minority op-
nions in discussions in the national
congress. We demand that the membership receive adequate information on the thinking of the leadership, in-
cluding dissent or minority opin-
ions views.
The Watch Club, moreover, expects and insists that the views of minority opposition to the leadership be
considered and presented to all parties of the (Continued from Page 8)
SOCIALISM A UNIVERSAL ISSUE
Today, the political struggle in the United States and throughout
the world is more high tension than it was in Lenin's time in the period be-
fore World War II. In the United States the consciousness of social-
ism, for example, Lenin and the Bolsheviks led the national workers and peasants to power with light and firmness as the most
enlighted of the masses head, land and peace. Social-
ism as the basic and ultimate goal of the October Revolution had a
monolithic strength and its aim was to achieve the support of the
masses. Today, the situation of socialism, under the leadership of
the Soviet Union, is more complex. The struggle against Western (Continued from Page 11)