Senate Action **Shows Cynical** Lynch Support

Workers' Answer Must Be Renewed Herndon, Scottsboro Fight By Cyril Briggs

Thirteen years after the defeat of the Dyer anti-lynching bill by a filibuster in the Senate, another ostensibly directed also against lynching, has been practically killed by that representative Last Wednesday's action by the Senate holds many valuable lessons body of the white ruling class.

cere fighters, white and Negro against lynching and the bestial oppression of the Negro people. First, however, let us briefly consider the tactics by which the Costigan-Wagner anti-lynching bill was side-tracked in the Senate. This was accomplished by the tricky maneuver of the Senate "adjourning" and instantly reconvening. Could hypocrisy be more glaring? Could support of lynching be more cynical and brazen? This fake adjournment, which was engineered by Democratic leader Robinson within a few hours of a conference with President Roosevelt at the White House, was preceded by a series of other tricky maneuvers. These tricky stunts provide a graphic example of how the ruling class plays with the lives and interests of the toilers, Negro and white. for the Negro people and all sin-

C

y

ŝ

a lo b

tı

o:

fi tl a

p

1 1

wi ab on oth en lai be er re is th

Negro and white.

The open, cynical support of lynching reflected in the Senate's action is still more glaring when one analyzes the weak Costigan-Wagner anti-lynching bill. The bill was certainly not intended as an effective curb on lynching. It not only fails to provide for the death penalty for lynchers, but by reason of its deliberately vague definition of a "mob" could easily be used against any assembly of workers held to protest the very crime against which it is supposedly directed. By the same token, it could be used against strikes and other struggles of Negro and white workers. workers. What, then, provoked the rage of we Southern lynch-lords against its innocuous so-called anti-lynch-ig bill? That rage was evoked by

the Southern lynca-this innocuous so-called anti-lynca-ing bill? That rage was evoked by the fear that discussion of lynch-the bring out on the floor ing bill? That discussion of lynunthe fear that discussion of lynuning might bring out on the floor
of the Senate and in the press some
of the hideous details of the persection and oppression of the Negro people, and by the dread that
such an exposure would further intensify the already powerful resentment of millions of toilers, Negro
and white, against the lynch terror,
against jim-crow oppression of the and white, against the lynch terror, against jim-crow oppression of the Negro people. Indeed on this latter point, the sponsors of the bill were in practical agreement with the lynch lords, but merely pursuing different methods. The bill, which was sponsored by the reformist leaders of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People was brought forward with the aim of quieting the mass resentment against lynching which had reached a high point around the time the bill was first broached. All the rabid hatred of the white against jim-c Negro people. point, the spo

All the rabid hatred of the white ruling class for the Negro people it oppresses, all the putrid slander by which it seeks to justify its plundering and persecution of the Negro people found expression on the Senate floor, but even a discussion of lynching was tabooed by Democratic and Republican Senate leaders.

leaders.

The Southern Democratic Senators threw aside all the pretense of "fairness" and "impartiality" of the lynch courts, so assiduously peddled by the Negro reformist leaders in their sabotage of the mass fight for the Scottsboro boys. They came forward in open defense of lynch law and Negro oppression. Senator Smith, in whose State of South Carolina thousands of white working class women are driven boys. They
of lynch law
Senator Smith, in was
South Carolina thousands of war
working class women are driv
into prostituting their bodies
keep alive, justified the refusal
couthern officials to indict a
the lynchers of Negro into prostitui keep alive, ju Southern offi prosecute the with typical Southern officials to indict and prosecute the lynchers of Negroes, with typical Bourbon demagogy:

"Certain acts committed are beyond the reach of any court or jury is the opinion of any right-minded man or woman. The virtue of a time woman is a thing that should not be displayed in the courts."

is a thing that is a thing the courts. The courts of the costill to Negro rights the sponsors of the Costill to Negro rights the state's rights. The court is the court is the court is a thing the courts.

woman is a thing that should not be displayed in the courts."

With other leaders of Roosevelt's party, he opposed even the lip service of the sponsors of the Costigan-Wagner bill to Negro rights that as a "violation of State's rights"—the right of Southern boases to lynch Negro workers for protesting robbery and oppression, for demanding their wages.

The Negro reformists gave aid and comfort to the lynch rulers and comfort to the lynch rulers and comfort to the lynch rulers are they release annually. These figures, issued by Tuskegee Institute and othe N. A. A. C. P., were quoted by the Southern Democrats to minimize the lynch evil, to hide the growth of the lynch terror.