

Handwritten: 1100 131-34

MOSCOW & THE CP of INDIA by John H. Kausky, Technology Press of MIT & John Wiley & Son, NY, 1956. (Center for Int. Studies at MIT)

Shift from Right Strategy (1947) (p.25) ouster of Fr & Ital. CPs from cabinets of their countries & rejection of Marshall Plan by Rus. & forming of Cominform

Neo-Maoist strategy accepted in Moscow 1949 - June. Pravda on June 7, 8, & 9 published foremost Ch. CP theoretician, Liu Shao-chi's "Int.-ism & Nat.-ism."

Meetings of USSR Academy of Sciences, on June '47 heralded abandonment both of right and left strategies, June 1949 indicated complete adoption of Maoist strategy & on Nov. 12-23, 1951 had conf. "On the Character & Attributes of People's Democracy in Countries of the Orient" at Institute for Oriental Studies; as usual, E.M. Zhukov delivered that chief report. (SEE CURRENT DIRECT IV, No. 20, 6/28/52. Translation of: Izvestia Akademi Nauk, SSSR, His. & Phil. Series, IX, No. 1 Jan-Feb 1962, published in May.)

p. 194: Until Stalin's death there appeared differences bet. Peking & Moscow on degree of originality attributed to Maoist strategy. While Stalin wanted united front from above with such bourgeois movements as Kuomintang or Indian Congress, Chinese advocated united front with bourgeois from below, incl. parts of the bourgeoisie against such movements.

Handwritten: HERE

(SEE "On the Present Situation & Our Tasks" in Otto B. Van Der Mac's speech on Dec. 25, 1947" (Spensel, ed. NEW CHINA: 3 Views, London, 1950)

"We must by no means allow a recurrence of such ultra-left, erroneous policies as were adopted toward the petty & middle bourgeoisie by our party in the per. fr. '31 to '34 (the advocacy of uneconomically high standards in wkg. conditions; excessively high income-tax rates;... the shortsighted, one-sided view of the so-called welfare of the toilers' instead of making OUR OBJECTIVE THE DEV. OF PROD. the prosperity of our economy, the taking into acct. of both public & pvt. interests & benefits of both labor and capital)."

"Our policy is to rely on the poor peasants & maintain a stable alliance with the middle peasants in order to destroy the system of feudal & semi-feudal exploitation by the landlord class & the old type of rich peasants. The land and properties which the landlords & rich peasants receive must not exceed those which the mass of peasants get. But neither should be a repetition of the ultra-left, erroneous policy carried out bet. '31 & '34, the so-called policy of 'distribute no land to the landlords & poor land to the rich peasants.' It is necessary to heed the opinion of the middle peasants... if they do not agree, concessions should be made to them."