Donr Andys

The peculiar dating is due both to the fact that the REB will have penty to take up without the enclosed letter to Ali (which I'll give it after REB for next week's agenda) and because, whether you will or will not be back from W.Va. you have experienced a tragedy that is best to allow time to put more into the past. Moreover, I also want Olga to find me the original neview of TG's Vol.? of Lenin where I refer to Voli rather than the same as appended to MARX's CAPITAL MYODAY'S GLOBAL CRISIS since by the time I figured out that TC had never read Lenin's MOTRECOKS ON IMPERIALISM but merely referred to a 3rd rate summation of it with undercommumptionist eyes I might have left out the particular point I'm referring.

The point I have in mind is the fact that I streamed the fact that TC is so venguardist that even when he comes to the next volume which is on 1905 and it is clear that Lenin is himself not following his vanguardist conception of Party but is stressing how much more advanced the r & f is to leadership, and non-party masses as even more advanced to both in The Party, he would finally let go of vanguardism, but no, etc.etc.

As you know, I'm so anxious to continue a discussion, perhaps for a whole year, on the form of the party, and I'm looking formand to what you will produce that will kick that discussion off next month that, when, spontaneously, I received from A on that seems subject from so different a vangage point as Iran and 1844 MS which surely no one had ever connect with organization, that I practically mented to rush it into print. But on second thought I neither wished to jump the gum on your initiating discussion and asking for nore contributions, nor did I wish necessarily to have it all in print. Therefore, on second thought, as you can see from the letter I sent him, I'm suggesting that this be as an internal discussion bill, and asking him (and others, of course) to come up with other things for next Spring. As of now I think that if we have (besides the new ch. on RL book which, though not on that subject, has penh also on organization) discussion going Mar., April., May, then by June when we issue the convention call, and July when we actually have draft of thesis, we should be able to be both creative and historic, both new and old so that we might venture to have something for the Perspective—or we might decide to still wait. But even then it won't be just on a blank, but on quite some new ground. What do you think?

Let me take you into confidence on one other matter. In working on Chapter 2, (the original chapter, much edited, on Ethnological Notebooks will probably be part of Ch.3) which takes readers from Mass Strike pamphlet through break with Kautsky (1910) and includes the dragging in from nowhere by Kautsky the so-called "strategy of attrition", a total illumination has been shed on my favorite hatred" so-called scholars and their endless footnotes. In 1977 the NEW LEFT REVIEW devoted no less than 73 pp. by their founder-editor, Permy Anderson, the "Antinomies of Antonio Gramsci" who, again out of nowhere, suddenly draw in laxemburg. (Everyone loves to draw her in because them they can pretend they are for "spontaneity" rather than "centralism") I just could not understand the excuse for it since it was supposedly all on "strategy of attrition" that was supposed to apply to Gramsci, to Luxemburg, to Kautsky who, "without schnowledgment," based himself on a great military schelar who, in turn, had drawn on Napoleon's battles &them "applied" it in EWI. But the truth was the Luxemburg debate was 1910 &while Gramsci's was regarding WII and RR, it was based on Lenin. Anderson had diverted for some 3 pp., citing that writer, and Hebring, and over & over again on how he is bring out something new that Kautsky hadn't truthfully acknowledged.

Well, now I'm in 1910, and Kautsky's military pronounciamento

and Luxemburg, great laxemburg does go to source, so, after exposing Kautain's real deviation from topic at issue—Hass Strike—she shows that to have gone tack mighibat, (Kauniyah, bangkapokeenjukadne tonkyahoenlukunnad abburktoom hipare nearly to min 80 ME WAS HIMOVED FROM OFFICE Now the May this gets us back

15211

the "Party" is that, of course, Luxemburg gets in some dige into leadership.

So moun for "scholarship", footnotes, and from TC to Anderson being syste on the Farty and leadership. Let's start something new, seasthing real, and some proletarians passion for dialection, Marrian dialection.

Yours,

FLRASE ALL'S FIRSE WE HAVE NO COPTES OF SO FLEASE AND RETURN TO MIKE NO LATER THAN MINES THE

PURASE ALI'M PIROE WE HAVE NO COPIES OF SO PLEASE AND REIVING MEXT RES. HEXT RES.

Constant of the first own of speed, and the second of the

ing the state of the state of

The second secon