## ON THE QUESTION OF PAR-TIAL DEMANDS

## By WM. Z. FOSTER.

"HE principal achievement of the recent C. C. <sup>5</sup> Plenum was the clarification made on the uestion of the role of partial damands. The discussion, based upon the excellent resolution of the Comintern, was greatly needed by our "arty, there having previously existed much conasion on this vital matter."

The Plenum discussion demonstrated beyond coubt that there has been a widespread tendency b underestimate the importance of partial denands. There has been too much reliance on road political slogans and too little concentraon upon questions of the most immediate inrest to the workers. The Party has failed b clearly understand that the politicalization of he workers' struggle must be based upon and lart from the fight over the most immediate read and butter questions of wages, hours, orking conditions, unemployment relief, etc. here has been consequently a tendency for our mass work to remain rather in the sphere of emeral agitation than of actual struggle.

This tendency has been especially harmful to he development of the revolutionary unions of he TUUL. Their practice is packed full of inidents where they have ignored the questions of he smaller more urgent demands and have tried to develop the workers' struggles singly around iajor demands.

The general effect of this has been to reduce our unions largely to skeleton revolutionary proaganda organizations and to hinder their rowth into broad mass trade unions fighting the very day battles of the working class, as well as preparing the workers for their greater political tasks in the revolutionary struggle to overthrow capitalism.

But the underestimation of the importance of the partial demands has had even more farreaching effects than this. It has led to a serious underestimation of trade union work altogether. For it is clear that if one does not clearly see the basic role of trade unions as organizations fighting for the every day demands of the workers, he does not see any real reason for their existence in general. If their tasks are only those of agitation, why build them at all, for the Party can do that work itself, and **a** skeleton union organization is unnecessary and **a** Jurden.

Ever the cur Party was organized, it has been afflicted with a deep going underestimation of trade unionism, both in the A. F. of L. and T. U. U. L. Even yet, only about 35 per cent of our members belong to labor unions and only a third of these are active We have repeatedly attacked this passivity, but without real success. It is only with the recent question of the partial demands, that we are at last beginning to effectively solve the problem. Passivity towards trade union struggle also has roots in a right wing underestimation of the radicalization of the workers, but most of it comes from an underestimation of the partial demands.

The recent Plenum is one that will stand out in the history of our Party. With its clarification of the question of partial demands and elaboration of the new methods of work generally, it is a milestone on the way to transferring our Party from an agitational organization into a mass Party leading the working class.