Nation-Wide Probe
Of Housing Trusts
Launched by Arnold

WASHINGTON, D. C., July 7—Thurman Arnold, at-
sistant Attorney General, today revealed the results of a na-
tion-wide frontal attack by the Department of Justice
against the trusts in the housing industry.

Arnold, who is in charge of the anti-trust division of
the Department of Justice, disclosed that the
organization, which covers every state, has
been working on this project for several months.

The result of the investigation is that the
Department of Justice has taken action against
50 trusts in 28 states. The trusts involved are
those which control the rental of housing units.

The trusts were formed by various
companies to control the rental of housing units.

Arnold said that the investigation has
revealed that the trusts have been guilty of
violating the anti-trust laws of the United States.
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