SHOOTING LINE GETS OKAY BY HOUSE BUT ALARMS BRITAIN

LONDON, Jan. 25.—The U.S. seems to be proceeding on its plan, without regard for the opinion of people in other countries, it was declared today by Emanuel Shinwell, tentered back home can make itself heard, the GOP and Democratic leadership today by a former Laborite defense minister, in a debate in the House, stampeded the House into approving President Eisenhower's free hand in China resolution by 449 to 0.

The British press, closely reflecting the good of unmentionables today over the Formosa issue, seized on every line and underlined all the fear that Britain might lose face by not going out without being paralyzed by a vote.

The liberal Manchester Guardian declared Eisenhower's appeal as a gross blunder. It said, "as skillfully contrived it appears to constitute a veiled threat of American attack on the Chinese mainland, it represents an apparent readiness by Communist propaganda claiming that the U.S. is planning an attack on China."

"This American initiative, intended to promote a settlement, is proving to be a trap to entangle Britain. The damage caused by yesterday's resolutions will be hard to undo.

The conservative London Times said the President's attitude is 'political blunder" and noted that his plans to help China evacuate offshore islands create "some danger of a clash."

A BEGINNING IN SUB DRIVE

The club hadn't met in several weeks. When it got together last week, one member proposed that the Worker circulate among the young people regular subscriptions. A check of the six people present revealed: four had Worker mail coming out; one in March, one had no subscription but said he bought the paper regularly at a newsstand.

They talked about this a bit, and suggested that every subscriber renewing his or her subscription to give them a start. The non-subscriber decided to get a subscription and to continue buying the paper on the stand in order to be a part of it.

"We also decided to get a new sub because it was quite a bargain and assured regular delivery of the daily paper.

Enriched by this simple process, the club—of the Communist Press Committee group, decided to go full-blast into recruitment of circulation campaign. With the stimulus of six, they hit upon a goal of 50 Worker sales, and as the chairman of the club, they decided to get along the way. Right now, they are working hard at getting the 50.

The Workers' Organizing Committee of the "World of Labor" column on

WHAT TO DO...

The House railroaded through the China resolution after a "deplorable" of 128 minutes. Today the war-like resolution comes before the Senate. Every Senator should be bombarded with sound and fury from his constituents urging that the resolution be referred back to committees so that public hearings can be held on as crucial a matter...

People helping build a base in Korea. They blocked the Knowland-McCarthv plan to send our boys to Indochina. They say "stay out there is still time to help guarantee peace in the Pacific."

They held out all other supporters of peace should seek out every avenue for reaching the President, the Congressmen and Senators, their local newspaper and other forums, with the cry: No more Korea! No intervention! Peaceful negotiations with China!

KNOWLAND

Background of the Formosa Crisis

See editorial, Page 5

Jury Gets Lightfoot Case

By CARL HIRSCH

CHICAGO, Jan. 25.—The Claude Lightfoot case went to the jury at noon here today after hearing this warning from defense attorney John A. Abt: "If the voice of the voiceless—of the voice of anti-Communist prejudice—prevails over the evidence in this case, then none of us is any safer in our homes in democracy."

Both the government and the defense delivered their final pleas, and Judge Philip A. Sullivan gave his instructions to the jury in the 23rd day of this case involving the membership clause of the Smith Act.

Abt underscored the deepening civil liberties issues, in this case, to the jury: "This is one of those landmark cases," he told the jurors. "Your decision will determine whether the American principle of justice for all will be preserved or whether we will take a long step toward a police state where all will live in fear."

Attorney George W. Cockrell Jr., presenting the first portion of the defense closing address, summed up the testimony of the four key government witnesses: John Lampier, the FBI expert on the ChineseCommunist propaganda machine, theassistant professor who said he worked with the defendant for (Continued on Page 9)

By WILLIAM Z. FOSTER

IN THE IMPERIALIST tradition of old, the U.S. Government has seized Formosa, a definite part of the territory of the People's Republic of China, and it proposes to hang onto it even at the cost of a third world war. This is not only the meaning of the President's declaration to "defend" Formosa with the armed forces of the U.S. It threatens to paralysis the international situation in advance that policy.

The arrogance of the U.S. Government in seeking this Chinese island goes to the extreme limit. It is precisely as though some European power were to grab hold of Long Island and then proceed to blockade its shores and machinery. It is the notion that the Americans will march over the other statesmen of the world, swelling them with the threat of atomic and hydrogen bombs. Let us not forget that the Pentagon policy papers have stated quite definitely that they intended to use these weapons on the President event of a war with People's

The Formosa War Threat

TO ATTEMPT TO defuse the aggressive sentiments of Formosa, in the U.S. Government may have a slogan that it is necessary for Americans to feel that they are not the aggressor. The simple answer to Americans will mar

(Continued on Page 5)
German Socialists Pledge All-Out Fight on Paris Pact

Bonn, Germany, Jan. 25. — The Socialist Party warned today that it would do everything possible to block the Paris pact on German rearmament. The executive leader, Erich Offenbacher, is a radio broadcast that was part of a nationwide campaign by his party and the 6,000-member German Trade Union Federation.

The campaign will reach its climax in a February rally at which Offenbacher and trade union chief Walther Fehling will be speaking.

The object of the drive is to whip up anti-rearmament sentiment in preparation for impending war talks and to foster reading debates on the Paris accord in the German Parliament. The lower house is scheduled to hold a second reading debate Feb. 24.

Offenbacher concluded in his radio address:

"We will use all democratically permissible means to whip up the boiling rage of the people and to open diplomatic relations with Russia if the Paris treaties were abandoned."

"Never in its 10 years of postwar life has it been under so much fire as today," he said. A letter Offenbacher wrote to Chancellor Konrad Adenauer last week indicated that he was preparing to negotiate with the Soviet Union on restoration of German unity.

2. Express the wish that the people will show their consciences and the absurdity of the Paris accord.

LIGHTFOOT

(Continued from Page 1)

The position was that the main thrust would be to identify a single word that the defendant would say.

Meyer was the only government witness who supposedly knew the defendant's voice. Yet he had never been asked to testify as to the defendant's knowledge or intent with respect to force and murder.

The government was not willing to ask Meyer to testify that he knew the defendant understood Martz's acts, but his testimony was irrelevant to the issue of consciousness of guilt.

MARTIN's testimony was full of contradictions as to name, place, date, occurrence. "But he was never asked to testify as to the defendant's knowledge or intent with respect to force and murder."

As Corbin pointed out, it was not in keeping with the defendant's statement to identify a single word that the defendant would say.

Bennett, speaking for the defense, made a strong objection to the admission of the testimony of Meyer, and to the admission of the testimony of the government's expert, who had written a book about voice identification.

The defense counsel, speaking for the defense, made a strong objection to the admission of the testimony of Meyer, and to the admission of the testimony of the government's expert, who had written a book about voice identification.

The testimony of Meyer was objected to by the defense on the ground that it was inadmissible as a matter of law. The defense counsel, speaking for the defense, made a strong objection to the admission of the testimony of Meyer, and to the admission of the testimony of the government's expert, who had written a book about voice identification.

The testimony of Meyer was objected to by the defense on the ground that it was inadmissible as a matter of law. The defense counsel, speaking for the defense, made a strong objection to the admission of the testimony of Meyer, and to the admission of the testimony of the government's expert, who had written a book about voice identification.

The testimony of Meyer was objected to by the defense on the ground that it was inadmissible as a matter of law. The defense counsel, speaking for the defense, made a strong objection to the admission of the testimony of Meyer, and to the admission of the testimony of the government's expert, who had written a book about voice identification.

The testimony of Meyer was objected to by the defense on the ground that it was inadmissible as a matter of law. The defense counsel, speaking for the defense, made a strong objection to the admission of the testimony of Meyer, and to the admission of the testimony of the government's expert, who had written a book about voice identification.