Crisis in the British Empire

By George Padmore

British Imperialism, to save the Empire from the Japanese, must grant freedom to subject peoples; but to do that would be to commit hari-kari. What to do?

London come true. The alien conqueror came into this rich land and dispossessed the natives of their homes. He did not even give the Malayans the Bible in return for their lands, for they remained Mohammedans. The nabobs, having taken possession of the best areas of the country, turned to India and China, whence they brought indentured labor into Malaya to develop their lands and mines. This they did because foreign coolie labor was even cheaper than the indigenous kind, so that the Malayans not only lost their lands, but at the same time were deprived even of a means of livelihood. Those people who believe that imperialism is a philanthropic institution creating jobs for the backward races must be able to perceive the denial of their faith in the story of Malaya.

The pukka sahibs were in Malaya to line their pockets. These "birds of passage" were feathering their nests as fast as they possibly could. Even now they hope to return and develop resources at the point where they were forced to leave the Japanese in charge. That is the reason why, while they dully admired at a great distance the scorched earth policy operating in the Soviet Union, they left things in order for Japanese interim control. They look forward to a speedy return and a renewal of the "good old times."

Wages in Malaya averaged twenty-five cents to thirty-seven cents a day for men and sixteen to eighteen cents for women. These were the ruling rates in factories, mines and plantations, and the legal maximum working day was fixed at nine hours. During December, 1940, workers on an estate of the Dunlop rubber company demanded higher wages, and went on strike when they were refused. Three strikers were shot dead and others wounded when military forces were called in to quell the workers. At Selangor, during May, 1941, seven thousand workers went on strike, and five of them were killed in the clashes which followed with the police.

These labor disturbances were ascribed to "adversive propaganda." Questions in Parliament drawing the reply that there were no economic justification for them. Perhaps Members of Parliament would like to work for twenty-five cents to thirty-seven cents a day. At any rate, these were the wages which caused the strikes, and the fact that the trade union law forbade peaceful picketing gave an excuse for the official attacks upon the workers. At the same time extremely handsome profits were being pocketed by the rubber companies.

Not even the semblance of political democracy was enjoyed by the indigenous people. "The Societies Ordinance makes political organizations illegal, and prior to 1940 also made trade unions illegal. Now, as the result of tremendous labor unrest, trade unions are legalized under the Trade Union Enactment in the Straits Settlements, but this enactment seeks merely to bring the unions under the control of the Governor, and it makes all trade union activities—strikes, political activities, etc.—illegal. The Official Secrets Enactment and the Sedition Ordinance give the Governor tremendously wide and vague powers. Under these ordinances persons can be convicted not only for actions but for 'seditious tendencies' and 'purposes detrimental to the interests of the British Empire.'

"The freedom of the press, of publication, of the importation of books, of the showing of films, of meetings, etc., are all severely restricted. In all the Malay States freedom of conscience is infringed by the power of the sultans to compel attendance at the mosques and to declare 'false doctrines' illegal. Everywhere police services are highly developed, particularly political police. Judges are usually colonial service officials. Deportation without trial is very frequent."

One of the moral justifications of imperialism, used particularly by the British, is that European occupation of backward territories will abolish slavery and other kindred practices incompatible with modern standards of conduct. Child slavery, however, flourished in Hongkong and the Malay States. Known as Mui Tsai, it fed on the grinding poverty of the people, who were only too glad to receive a few dollars in exchange for the children they could not afford to feed and clothe. The girls, from the very
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1 This sentence was suppressed by The Times, but was printed in the Manchester Guardian of February 18, 1942. It appears that The Times correspondent also writes for the Guardian. Incidentally, this shows this kind of censorship which goes on in editorial offices.

1 Hansard, May 28, 1941.

1 Lady Simon: Slavery—see pp. 96-114.
youngest age (even under 3 years), were as a rule sold into domestic slavery, and very often passed over into brothels. Boys were bought to work in factories and for other kinds of labor.

When he was Secretary of State for Colonies in 1922, Mr. Winston Churchill told Parliament that he desired "to make it clear that both the Governor (of Hongkong, the distributing centre) and I are determined to effect the abolition of the system at the earliest practicable date, and I have indicated to the Governor that I expect the change to be carried out within a year."

This expectation was too optimistic, for Lady Simon, writing in 1929, told that the system still flourished in Hongkong, and on May 29, 1941, Mr. Creech Jones asked the Under-Secretary of State for Colonies "whether steps are being taken by legislative action in the Straits Settlements to prohibit the traffic in boys, who are sold for employment in factories and industries outside the territories, for domestic service and for training in circuses and theatres?" Replying to this question, Labour's representative, Mr. George Hall, Colonial Under-Secretary, completely evaded it and talked some drivel about there being a traffic of some extent in boys from China ports to Malaya since the extension of hostilities in the Sino-Japanese conflict.

The Secretary of the Anti-Slavery and Aborigines Protection Society, which for some time had concerned itself with this question of Mui T'ai, addressed a communication to the Colonial Office on June 17, 1941, in which attention was invited to the statement in the report of the Governor of the Straits Settlements on the Mui T'ai System for the second half of 1939 (Ref. S.C.A. 1003 1939), that there is no legislation in the Straits Settlements to prevent the traffic in boys. The fact is recorded without comment, without any suggestion of its being a hitherto undiscovered omission in the law of the colony, and without any indication of any intention to remedy the law."

According to Mr. Hall's reply to Mr. Creech Jones of the 29th May, 1941, the Governor of the Straits Settlements had decided to take steps to prevent the traffic, but unfortunately for the victims, history did not wait upon the leisurely reforms of pro-consuls. Those people who failed to support Abyssinia against Italian aggression on the ground that slavery still reigned in that country, would doubtless have done better to have looked for the evils within the British Empire. Over a hundred years Britain had been in Malaya, and it had failed to eradicate the evil which114
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is too much to hope that these perpetrators of horrible outrages in Hongkong will play the role of social reformers in Malaya.

**Hongkong**

The world was shocked at the revelation of Japanese brutality in Hongkong, but we must not be under the delusion that this former bastion of British imperialism on the China coast was something of which the British people might be proud.

"On the executive side the Hongkong government is a bureaucratic departmentalism," wrote a correspondent from the colony in May, 1939. "On the legislative side it is an autocracy. In theory the presence of elected and representative members on the Legislative and Executive Councils upholds the principles of democracy. In practice the Chinese, however, do not know what are the interests of the Chinese community whom they are supposed to represent. They have one aim—to get honors and prestige for themselves—so they vote with the Governor. The European members, when they do oppose, can be outvoted by the heads of departments and the Governor. Not long ago the Chinese Seamen’s Union was, quite illegally, closed down, but there was no protest from the Chinese members on the council.

The statistics for 1936 show in whose interest the colony is ruled. Of annual revenue of approximately $28,000,000, $4,281,000 was presented to the Imperial Government for defense, $2,287,000 went in pensions to retired civil servants, $12,218,000 was paid in Government salaries, and only $182,000 could be found for charitable services."

We hope that when the Japanese have been driven out, this territory will revert to its rightful owners, the Chinese, and become an integral part of the Chinese Republic.

**Singapore**

At the Singapore headquarters of the British administration, the Governor, the representative of the imperial power, combined in his person the office of High Commissioner, with control over the sultans of the Federated and Unfederated States, who governed the native subjects in these territories. The system of indirect rule was the only contact between the imperial trustees and their native wards. Unfortunately the wards did not recognize any benefits from their trustees.

The Legislative Council of Singapore, the crown colony area, was composed of 13 officials, heads of the various civil departments and 11 members handpicked by the Governor. Only two members were elected, and they represented the European Chambers of Commerce of Singapore and Penang. The Singapore Chinese, the main ones on the scene, had no voice in their own affairs. Surely it is not really surprising that when the crisis came the Governor, Sir Shenton Thomas, was unable to mobilize the common people—Malaysians, Chinese, Indians—to withstand the Japanese onslaught. How could a people whose existence had been entirely ignored, presumably because they were considered unfit to participate in the government of the country, suddenly resuscitate themselves, as it were, and assume responsibility in defense of the system which had until then failed to recognize their existence?

These colonial people, exploited, oppressed, victims of color bar practices and theocracy, subjected to the racial arrogance of the British Herrenvolk, recognized only the enemy already entrenched in their land. For while colonial intellectuals and socialists have no illusions regarding Japanese imperialism which, despite its demagogic appeal of "Asia for the Asians," is most predatory and brutal, it cannot be expected that illiterate native masses should be able to make this differentiation. They have a feeling of *omni ignatum pro magnifico*: the untried master is the best. The word "Britain" to the native colonial peoples, like the word "Russian" to the former subject peoples of Czarism, is synonymous with "oppressor."

"The British had no roots in the people," asserted *The Times* correspondent. But why, we pose, did they have no roots in the people after a hundred years? Because an imperialist power can have no roots in a subject people, except the roots of bayonets. The very nature of imperialism is a negation of fraternal relations between peoples. Its only relationship with the subject people can be that of the rider to the horse: the one on the back of the other. And he who carries the White Man’s Burden has to have a strong back.

Tired of carrying this burden, the colonial population of Singapore displayed no enthusiasm when the Japanese attacked the island fortress. With the exception of certain sections of the Chinese community—some inspired by Free China’s struggle for survival, others by Soviet precept and example—the bulk of the Asiatic population remained spectators from start to finish. Their inclination was to acquiesce difficulties in the fields of labor...

There was no native labor at the docks. Soldiers had to be taken from military duties to load and unload ships."

"Being civilized, the people of Britain may argue and debate the political character of the war: Whether it is an inter-imperialist conflict; whether it is a struggle between Fascism and Democracy, or just Good against Evil. To the backward savages of Malaya it was simply a fight between two bands of marauders who were overrunning their country; a fight in which they were not concerned except as the prize. Their attitude was a "plague on both camps."

And when all is said and done, what example did the Europeans set for them? While the fate of an empire was being decided on the Malaya peninsula, the pukka sabhias in Singapore were having a good time, declared Lady Brooke-Popham, wife of the Commander-In-Chief, on her return to England. "The majority of civilians," she asserted, "were immersed in a long round of tennis and dancing. I tried to wake them up, but it was hopeless... They were too busy with their social engagements."

In a semi-official book, *The British Colonial Empire*, published all about the time of the fall of Singapore, the author assured us that "The wealth of Malaya...is laid on secure foundations, and its future, under British administration and protection is equally secure... Malaya is today a contented and peaceful country."

The book, one of the many, also eloquently suggested that the fighting in the streets to the north of Singapore was as far as the "white man’s blood" was concerned, "that there is nothing more to be expected in that direction."

"The British have no roots in the people," opined *The Times*. We ask why? Do they keep within the region of the colonies, or do they go elsewhere? The latter is British policy, national self-interests, economic development; and in the end, British interests are not paid attention to. When we get the embattled Indian and the embattled Chinese, what will happen?

If the British are ready to fight as a nation, as a whole, to save their colonies, let them show it, rather than try to strangle the Chinese and the Indians. Like the Chinese, the Indians have been fighting to the last man. How can they be held back now? The British are not fighting to save their colonies, but to save their interests. They are not fighting for the people, but for the power. And the power is more important than the people.

This is why the Chinese and the Indians are fighting for their lives, not for the British colonies, but for the British power. They are fighting for their independence, and they will not be held back now. They are determined to fight, and they will fight to the last man. The British are not fighting to save their colonies, but to save their interests. They are not fighting for the people, but for the power. And the power is more important than the people.
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tax for the first time was passed in February, 1941. Incomes under £576 were exempt. Two per cent was payable on incomes up to £720, increasing to a maximum of 8 per cent on incomes over £13,400. The export tax on rubber was 2½ per cent. The introduction of this income tax caused a revolution among the “patriots.” Assuredly, Sir Shenton Thomas could have had no easy time with these “whisky drinking rubber planters and tin miners.”

It was the natives of Malaya who paid for the upkeep of Singapore. Out of the taxes squeezed from the planters the sultans contributed over £20,000,000 towards imperial defense between the last and present wars. And when the enemy struck the natives were left defenseless.

Burma

Even before the war in the Pacific broke out, the Burmese people made their attitude plainly known through U Saw, at the time Prime Minister of the colony. His claim for Dominion status for the 17 million natives of Burma was categorically rejected by Mr. Churchill and his government. Mr. Amery, Secretary for India and Burma. “Burma,” declared U Saw, “was brought into the war by an edict of the Governor. The elected representatives of the people were not consulted. . . . If Burmese people were convinced that they were fighting for their own freedom as well as for that of the rest of the world, then there can be no question about it—Burma’s war effort would be increased fourfold.” He made it quite obvious that “there is a small section of Burmese opinion which believes that to aid Britain win the war means to aid Britain to keep us in subjection. . . . There is another section, which, while it cherishes no love for the Japanese, feels that if it is Burma’s destiny to remain a subject nation, then it might prefer to be governed by a nation that is of the same blood and of the same religion.” U Saw is now held somewhere as a potential enemy of Britain’s imperial interests.

U Saw himself did not enjoy any mass backing in Burma. He was a reactionary nationalist, the son of a feudal landowner whom the British officials made use of to combat the growing labor and Socialism movement headed by Dr. Ba Maw, the former Prime Minister. U Saw was then made Prime Minister. Now his masters have accused him of treachery to them and of making contact with the Japanese. As in Malaya, so in Burma, the British authorities are completely isolated from the native masses. With the progressive leaders in jail and U Saw under arrest, their last link has been severed.

As a result of this isolation, when the Japanese invaded Burma, instead of meeting a hostile population, they were joined by “Free Burmans.” Leland Stowe, an American correspondent, describing the activities of the “Free Burmans” declared that their fifth column operations were worse than any that occurred in Norway. “Treachery was discovered among officials of all classes. Japanese guides led the Burmese through the jungle.” The correspondent observed “that the most sinister feature of the campaign was the appearance of a Burmese corps comprising fully equipped troops wearing blue uniforms and officered by Japanese.” “The complete failure to mobilize native man power to assist in the defense of Malaya, Burma and India is a great loss to Britain,” observes a Chungking newspaper. “We hope the British will arm the Burmese masses,” it adds.

What a pious hope! Whitehall has refused to free the anti-fascist Burmese leaders, among them U Ba Pe, the Elder Statesman, who represented the Moderate at the Round Table Conference in London in 1929.

When questioned about this recently in the House of Commons, Mr. Amery admitted that the progressive leaders were detained “in the interests of order and security.” What mockery! “Order and security” against whom? The Japanese are already in occupation of Rangoon. And where the Mikado’s legions pass there is neither “order” nor “security.”

It seems that no disaster, however

catastrophic, can arouse the Rip Van Winkles of Whitehall. Truly, those whom the gods seek to destroy they first make mad.

India

As we write, the Japanese, aided by “Free Burmans,” are advancing towards India. There is little need to dilate upon the problem of this “jewel in the crown of the British Raj.” The causes of the present deadlock are too well known. India has for some time been the running sore in the imperial body politic. Only a major operation can now save the situation. Sir Stafford Cripps has been given the task of performing it. It is to be hoped that he will be as successful at “political surgery” as he has proved himself to be in other fields.

Jawaharlal Nehru, who voices India’s aspirations, has made the issue quite clear. Addressing a meeting at Delhi on February 10, 1942, he said: "My talks with General Chiang Ka-Shek have given rise to much speculation. Some people think there might be changes in Congress policy towards the war. It is absurd to suggest that he has come to India to interfere in Indian affairs. The old world is crumbling and cannot revive. Our lot may possibly become worse in the new world, as the path of revolution is a path of turmoil, as shown in China. China has had to pay a heavy cost and India will have to pay the same price. Slogans will not create revolution for us."
Turning from Anglo-Indian relations to the wider international scene, Nehru declared: "I have long dreamt that India and China should hold together in the present and in the future. Japan and Germany present the very worst types of imperialism. We have always said that we would neither have British Imperialism nor any other type of Imperialism, nor Fascism, nor Nazism. Do not fall into that terrible error of thinking in order to get rid of one we shall ask aid of another and seek aid from outside.

**Threat to Madagascar**

With the fall of Singapore and Java the barriers to the Indian ocean have been broken down. Ceylon, Britain's premier colony, stands threatened. This island is the only territory in the empire populated by colonial races where universal suffrage is enjoyed, and where there is a fairly democratic constitution — labor's gift. The Governor, however, can veto any measure adopted by the State Council of which he disapproves.

Soon after the outbreak of war in Europe, the Governor of Ceylon ordered the arrest of all Socialist leaders and left-wing M.P.'s, and placed them in internment camps. These men and women (yes, women like Mrs. Selina Pereira, a graduate of London university, are among them), who enjoy the confidence of the masses and can mobilize them to resist invasion, are imprisoned.

A statement issued by the Ceylon Socialist Party "tells how the plantation workers tried to form trade unions to improve their conditions. On the Rangbodde Estate a strike took place and concessions were won. As soon as the laborers were back at work, however, it is alleged that a reign of terror commenced. Fifty to sixty of the leaders were arrested, and a special squad of 40 armed police introduced. It is alleged that, in combination with 'thugs' employed by the planter, they indulged in a systematic beating up of 300 to 400 men and women for three days. Dwellings were looted and it is asserted that atrocities were committed. On the fourth day the entire working population on the plantation fled to N'Elia, a distance of 14 miles. After semi-starvation for 20 days they returned.

"It is alleged that similar occurrences happened on the Wewelihina and Wewessa Estates. It is reported that the

workers' lines (rows of huts) were surrounded by police carrying bayoneted rifles and that here, too, a 'terror' ensued. The men fled from the estate and the women were whipped into resuming work.

"'Today, British Imperialism stands in Ceylon on naked violence and barbarism,' the statement proceeds. 'This rule of naked violence aims at wresting from the people of Ceylon the most precious and freedom which it proclaims.'"

Ceylon has now become Britain's citadel in the Far East, in the light of the sordid picture just described, a forlorn hope in the event of attack. And if Ceylon should fall into the hands of the Japanese, not only will India be threatened from the sea, but Madagascar will be placed right in their path. The occupation of this island, with or without Vichy's consent, would place the Japanese astride the Allies' supply route which runs around the Cape via the Indian ocean to the Near East. The Japanese would also be in a position to strike at South Africa by way of the Portuguese colony of Mozambique.

At this moment of writing Ceylon holds the key to Eastern and South Africa. Should this bastion fall, Japan may well be encouraged by her Axis partner to invade the Dark Continent. Imperialistic appetites have no limit. Cecil Rhodes once declared: "I would annex the planets if I could." If, then, Japan should attack the African colonies, in view of the existing Colonial Problem which we know to exist there, a post mortem on British imperialism in Africa may become necessary.

**Africa**

With the war clouds hanging over the Indian ocean and the shores of Africa, Senator J. D. Rheinhardt Jones has drawn the attention of the Union Government to the present mood of the people, including the chiefs, the only potential allies of the whites in the case of an invasion. Writing in *Race Relations News*, Senator Jones observes that "There can be no real progress unless the goodwill and entire co-operation of chiefs and people are secured. How this is to be done is not yet clear. Meanwhile, the problem is in a difficult stage and is full of dangerous elements. Unfortunately, the natural conservatism of a pastoral people has been stiffened by the anger caused through being pressed into the straight jacket of the Union's territorial segregation policy."

Not only in the Union, but all over Black Africa, the situation is tense. In the Transvaal, the Nationalist Party is the only political force in the province, and has not been able to secure a majority in the recent provincial elections. The Nationalist government has been called into question, and a general election is expected soon.

**Business and insurance**

The world-wide business depression is affecting the insurance industry in various ways. The depression has brought about a decline in premiums and an increase in claims. The insurance companies have had to cut down their rates in order to keep their business. The government has taken steps to stabilize the insurance industry, and has imposed controls on the investment of premiums.

The government has also taken steps to encourage savings by making it easier for people to save money. The government has introduced a number of schemes to encourage savings, and has given tax breaks to savers. The government has also taken steps to raise the standard of living of the people, and has introduced a number of schemes to improve the conditions of the working class. The government has also taken steps to improve the education of the people, and has introduced a number of schemes to improve the education system.
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...stony silence by the Government. The Kenya Government has arrested and imprisoned all of the leaders of the Kikuyu people, and it has quite recently taken measures to conscript Africans to work on the plantations for the white overlords. Those who have been arrested are not extremists, nor have they been accused of subversive or anti-British activity. Today even the most moderate sections of African opinion are finding expression in outspoken frankness. Professor Z. K. Matthews, almost conservatively in his outlook, writes: “Africans are not impressed when they are told that ‘if the Germans win this war,’ for this requires them, by implication, to continue accepting evils to which they are at present subjected. It may well be that a German victory will lead to worsening of the position of the African, but, then he is not a subject and has no special claim upon the solicitude of the German Government. What he wants to know is, rather, whether a South African victory will lead to the betterment of his position. In all the schemes for post-war reconstruction, what sort of place will the African have in the South African, not the German, ‘New Order?’ It has not escaped his attention that there is a deadly silence on this point which can hardly inspire him with confidence regarding the future...

...as a subject people, they are, frankly, not enthusiastic about participation in this war, because for them neither the native policy of the Union, nor British colonial policy, nor indeed the colonial policy of any other Power, represent a cause worth fighting for. As the present writer has said elsewhere, ‘We hope that one of the results of this war will be that everywhere, and not least in South Africa, men will learn that the best way of defending freedom and democracy is to extend them during peace time to all sections of the community in the fullest measure and to remove every hindrance to the spread of these achievements of the human spirit.’

The west coast of Africa is traditionally the most loyal part of the continent, because of the less repressive native policy maintained there. Yet because of the circumstances of its development and the creation of an educated native section, it is politically the most conscious and has sharply voiced its national aspirations, which are becoming more and more aggressive, stimulated as they have been by events in the Far East, especially Congress agitation to bring about the war. Even before the events in the Far East, West Africans were concerned with the question of their status in the post-war “democratic” New World Order. The publication of the Atlantic Charter aroused their hopes, which were particularly inflated when Mr. Attlee told a gathering of West African Students’ Union in London that there was to be not to be found “in the declarations which have been made on behalf of the Government in this country on the war any suggestion that the freedom and social security for which we are fighting should be denied to any of the races of mankind... We are fighting this war not just for ourselves but for all peoples.”

Atlantic Charter for Whites

It seemed that at last Britain had accepted the equality of races as a fundamental principle of her New Order. There was tremendous enthusiasm among the 500 million colored peoples in the empire, an enthusiasm which was very soon damped by the statement of the Prime Minister to the House on September 9, 1941, quite shortly after violent from the Atlantic meeting. He let it be known that point 3 of the Atlantic Charter, providing for “the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live,” applied only to the white peoples living under Nazi rule.

“At the Atlantic meeting,” Mr. Winston Churchill said, “we had in mind, primarily, the restoration of the sovereignty, self-government and national life of the states and nations of Europe now under the Nazi yoke... so that it is quite a separate problem from the freest government of the institutions in the regions and peoples which owe allegiance to the British Crown.”

Stripped of its verbiage, this statement makes it obvious that the Tories envisaged a perpetuation of imperialism and a continuation of over-colonial peoples after they had got rid of their German imperialist rival. Thus the hopes of the colonial peoples were very quickly dashed and their resentment and sense of political frustration rose once more to the fore, now in proportion to the hopes which had been so falsely raised in them. The West African Pilot, leading West African nationalist organ, commenting upon the above statement of Mr. Churchill, found it difficult to conceive: “That a British Prime Minister could utter such a statement during an unparalleled destructive war was as thoughtless as it was criminal.”

...terial resources and man power... (It) is, indeed a revelation. What, now, must be the expectations of the war? Must we believe in platitudes? Indeed, the ‘Four Freedoms’ may be said to be good enough for the States and nations of Europe, but when the interpretations are applied to us, that is quite a separate problem.

The African is equally as important as East Africa, for it flanks Britain’s trade route and is in close proximity to Dakar, which, in the hands of the Germans, would constitute a major threat not only to these British colonies but also to the sea communications between Africa and the New World. The loyalty of the West African natives is a vital factor in such a situation, as vital as it was to Malaya, which was lost because loyalty was not there. Loyalty of the colonial peoples can only be held by political concessions. Is Britain going to grant these, or is she going to repeat the mistakes of the Far East? Or will she finally send in a Staff Officer to West Africa? And even then can she, at the eleventh hour, pay the price which can save her?

Imperialism is incapable of saving itself from the trap which it creates of its own volition. And it is incapable of offering freedom to its subject peoples, the only means by which it can secure their loyalty and support. To do so would be to commit hari-kari.

In an endeavour to gauge the truth of the position, The West African Pilot, acting for the people of Nigeria, on reviewing a transmission of the Prime Minister’s statement to the House of Commons that the Atlantic Charter was to be restricted to the nations of Europe, addressed him the following cable:

“We understand you stated in the House of Commons that the Atlantic Charter is not applicable to the colored races in the colonial empire but to the restoration of sovereignty, self-government, and national life of States and nations of Europe.”

“Must we assume that the Deputy Premier’s statement to the West African Students’ Union, London, that the Atlantic Charter would benefit colored races as well as the white race is misleading and unauthorized?”

“Are we fighting for the security of Europe to enjoy the Four Freedoms, whilst West Africans continue to live under pre-war status?”

“On behalf of the Protectorate and Colony of Nigeria, we respectfully request your clarification of the applicability of the Atlantic Charter regarding Nigeria. This will enable us to appreciate the correct bearing of 21 million Negroes in the sea of international politics.”

1 News Letter, organ of the League of Coloured Peoples, October, 1941. Professor Matthews is a graduate of Yale University, and an LL.B. of Capetown. He is on the staff of the South African College at Fort Hare, and one of the moderate Bantu intellectuals.

2 Daily Herald, August 16, 1941.
3 Hansard, September 9, 1941.
4 West African Pilot, November 5, 1941.
5 West African Pilot, November 13, 1941.
Churchill Evades

Mr. Churchill's reply to this cablegram was given through the Acting Chief Secretary of the Nigerian Government, in the form of a letter reading as follows:

"As explained by the Prime Minister in the House of Commons, on September 9, 1941, President Roosevelt and the Prime Minister had primarily in mind the restoration of the sovereignty, self-government and national life to the States and nations of Europe now under Nazi rule.

"The declared policy of His Majesty's Government with regard to the people of the British Empire is already entirely in harmony with the high conception of freedom and justice which inspired the Joint Declaration, and the Prime Minister does not consider that any fresh statement of policy is called for in relation to Nigeria or West African colonies.

"To suppose, however, that the statement which the Prime Minister made is incompatible with progressive evolution of self-governing institutions in Nigeria or elsewhere shows an evident misunderstanding of words and any suggestion that this empire's fight against Nazi tyranny is a fight for the freedom and security of the European race alone is a suggestion which he feels confident all His Majesty's loyal subjects in Nigeria and elsewhere would unite in condemning."

This is no reply to the question which Mr. Churchill's letter to the West Africans, indeed Africans throughout the whole of the Dark Continent, are asking: What will be their status in the "democratic" New Order? No. Mr. Churchill has not answered the question, he has merely postponed it. Imperialism has always tried to stave off an answer to this question, but history is demanding and will continue to demand its answer.

*West African Pilot, November 29, 1941.*

---

**LEGAL DIRECTORY**

The following directory of some of the many colored lawyers in this country is carried in response to numerous inquiries from readers desiring to contact attorneys to help them in the public service.

**ARKANSAS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scipio A. Jones</td>
<td>201 Century Bldg., Little Rock</td>
<td>211-3607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waters McIntosh</td>
<td>1900 Howard St., Little Rock</td>
<td>423-0121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CALIFORNIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H. L. Richardson</td>
<td>1907 7th Street, Oakland</td>
<td>215-5999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONNETICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earley Emmett Cable</td>
<td>70 Dixwell Ave., New Haven</td>
<td>832-0302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DELAWARE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Louis L. Bedding</td>
<td>1002 French St., Wilmington</td>
<td>234-0124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas P. Boram</td>
<td>1944 9th St., N. W., Washington</td>
<td>431-3123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Arthur Parker</td>
<td>1922 13th St., N. W., Washington</td>
<td>984-0309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KANSAS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Q. Sayers</td>
<td>P. O. Box 186, Hill City</td>
<td>227-0137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MARYLAND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William T. Buckner</td>
<td>2429 McCulloch St., Baltimore</td>
<td>242-0313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISSISSIPPI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. D. Redmond</td>
<td>1155 N. Pearl St., Jackson</td>
<td>474-0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISSOURI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harrison W. Hollie</td>
<td>11 N. Jefferson, St. Louis</td>
<td>620-5025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NORTH CAROLINA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. W. Williams</td>
<td>Suite 3, Lincoln Bldg., Winston-Salem</td>
<td>7955 and 2-3275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OHIO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harry E. Davis</td>
<td>202-4 Engineer Bldg., Cleveland</td>
<td>MA-1302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester K. Gillespie</td>
<td>416 Hickox Bldg., Cleveland</td>
<td>3-9934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PENNSYLVANIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Pace Alexander</td>
<td>1900 Chestnut St., Philadelphia</td>
<td>3-9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theron B. Hamilton</td>
<td>527 Fifth Ave., Pittsburgh</td>
<td>3137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VIRGINIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martin A. Martin</td>
<td>Southern Aid Building, Danville</td>
<td>247-0137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Every Day in the Year—300,000 American Negroes are SICK and 500 of them DIE**

How Can We Halt This Tragic Toll? What Is To Be Done About This Problem? What Part Does Color Discrimination Play? Whither Negro Medical Education?

These Questions and their Answers Are of Particular Interest to:

- Physicians
- Surgeons
- Dentists
- Internes
- Nurses
- Medical Students
- Social Workers

The Answers Are Found in:

**"OPPORTUNITIES for the MEDICAL EDUCATION of NEGROES"**

By E. H. L. CORWIN and GERTRUDE STURGES

Beautifully Bound Comptely Indexed Limited Number $1.50 a Copy

**THE CRISIS BOOK SHOP**

69 5th Avenue New York, N. Y.

**PREPARE FOR BLACKOUTS!**

Get This Fine FOCUSING SPOTLIGHT With Batteries and Bulb FREE With Two $1.50 Subscriptions to THE CRISIS

69 5th Avenue NEW YORK, N.Y.