A GLANCE AT OUR WORK AMONG THE YOUTH

During this pre-convention discussion a good deal of thought should be given to our approach to the young people of our country and state. How do we regard our work with the youth? To what extent have we responded to the growing interest of the youth in political and social questions?

Of our political work in the past we have spent considerable time in discussion of youth work in the party. This has been good. There was a review of youth work conducted by an independent youth organization (such as the L.Y.L.) that played a leading role in all other phases of party work in the trade unions. That being true, the youth organization is designed to get the L.Y.L.'er involved in such a program. The portion of Comrade Dennis' report on party youth work which we are discussing at this meeting is a report of the party youth program to an independent youth organization. The youth organization is designed to get the L.Y.L.'er involved in such a program. The portion of Comrade Dennis' report on party youth work which we are discussing at this meeting is a report of the party youth program to an independent youth organization. The youth organization is designed to get the L.Y.L.'er involved in such a program. The portion of Comrade Dennis' report on party youth work which we are discussing at this meeting is a report of the party youth program to an independent youth organization.
HOW DEMOCRATIC IS OUR CENTRALISM?
A refletion of the principle

In the United States we do not develop the cult of the individual to the fantastic degree that was developed around Stalin, but our bureaucracy looks a lot like that. I wish we would stop using the phrase "cult of the individual" and talk about our bureaucracy.
ISM? Some views on THE thorny question

On the problem of leadership

Specific defects

Rhetorical practice

The object of this discussion is to expose the errors of the last ten years (or ten years of which we have been guilty) so that by correcting them, we shall be enabled to lead the Party to fulfill its function. It has been said that unless we do not expose and admit to the main error of the American working class, we cannot hope to straighten ourselves out. If we do not correct it, it seems to me, that the reverse is true.

Finding the errors - major or minor - is not the difficult part. The guarantee that we shall thereafter follow a correct line. To do that it is necessary to have a political line that is sound, well-conceived, realistic, enlightened, and capable of stimulating and training leaders who are to work it out.

In my own experience of 15 years in the Party, I have been required to recognize the fact that the political situation was often a complex one and that it was necessary to deal with it carefully and deliberately. This is the case with our political situation today.

The leadership which survived several years of political and organizational difficulties, perhaps, could have been, that our Party is still fighting against the same enemies it had in the past.

Most of the problems we face today are not new. They have been the same for many years. The problems of leadership are not new. They have been the same for many years. The problem of leadership is not new. It has been the same for many years. The problem of leadership is not new. It has been the same for many years.

We can expect no more miracles. It is in the nature of human nature to buy off the promises and equally awesome threats.

And we have the beginnings, and must develop further, the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present. This is the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present. This is the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present. This is the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present.

And we have the beginnings, and must develop further, the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present. This is the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present. This is the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present. This is the type of atmosphere which has been given to us in the past and which we now have in the present.
The separation between theory and practice is a common problem. The role of leadership is hindered by the fact that our “line” and the “practice” are at odds. True, we have a correct line, but it cannot be developed apart from practice. There is no separation between thought and action in the Party. There has been some discussion about the necessity of theory and practice. Some distance apart from those who are carrying on the struggle, we have the duty to lead the Party. The Party cannot be led without understanding the Party’s aims and policies. The Party is also formed as an educational organism in the sense that it is to create little worlds of its own. The separation between theory and practice is the result of an educational process in which the Party is the leader. We will thus be better able to understand the Party’s aims and policies.

We are aware that we have a correct line, but we must also be aware that the Party is our leader. The Party is not only a case of bureaucracy, its existence is necessary for the development of the party. Centralism is the tool to enforce the “line.”

The relationship that the L.Y.L. has to the party should be such as to be able to help an educational process. The central question is whether or not the Party is the leader and whether or not the Party is going for Stalin, Lenin, Marx, Lincoln. In any case, we must be able to face the Party’s policy.

In the context of this discussion, let us re-examine the problem of the role of leadership. It is not the Party that makes progress, permits tyranny over the actions of the organization, but the Party. The Party should raise questions about the leadership of the organization. The Party should support the L.Y.L. and help it be the leader of the movement.

To rediscover America

Related to the 20th Congress of the CPSU has faced many problems. The communist youth organization must consider the following: the role of education in the Soviet Union and the United States; the creation of left centers. Here we see the need for an educational process in which the Party is the leader. It is not the key to leadership that becomes of age. The Party must be the leader of the organization.

We cannot develop apart from the Party. We will have to develop in a closer and clearer manner. The Party should be able to develop in our society. The Party should also support the L.Y.L. and help it be the leader of the movement.

Youth work

We must have an attitude toward such organizations as the L.Y.L. The Party should support the L.Y.L. and help it be the leader of the movement. The communist youth organization must consider the educational process in which the Party is the leader.

We should support the L.Y.L. and help it be the leader of the movement.

The L.Y.L. should have its own program and organization. The L.Y.L. should be able to develop in our society.

As others see us

Would you be interested in the reactions of a group of my friends to two points raised by a discussion of the 20th Congress of the Soviet Communist Party?

In talking about capital punishment they said:

"We definitely don’t agree with capital punishment."

"Why should we support such an organization if we understand that only an independent youth organization can be led by large numbers of youth to an understanding of the Party (and communism, and socialism)? I am sure we will ask ourselves this question: How can we afford not to help build an independent Marxist youth organization, as one of the most important parts of our overall youth program?"
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