Civil Liberties Victory Won
In Oregon Case

The case of an Oregon resident denied admission to his state bar association because of "untruthfulness" in answering questions had the Communist Party was successfully challenged in the United States Supreme Court.

The high Court reversed the decision of Oregon's Supreme Court and returned the case for further consideration after its recent decision in the celebrated and Rubckes cases holding that past or present membership in the American Communist Union.

Patrick Patterson, a prominent Oregon Party member, said in testimony before the bar group that he does not believe the Party seeks to overthrow the government. He joined the Party in 1946, he added.

The examiners' decision denied admission to the bar association to Patterson on the ground that he is not an "American" as that is defined in the statute. He insists in his opinions on the nature and aims of the Communist movement in this country.

Patterson criticizes this ruling, said basic Communist literature asserts that revolution is the lever to the overthrow of the government by force, his opposition to this line of thought.

But that no evidence was introduced into the case in favor of this point, the court said, Mr. Party advocate violent overthrow of the government, and he does not bear any resemblance to that Congress that had recognized this point.
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John Gates, Former "Daily Worker" Editor, on Perspectives for the Ex-Communists

John Gates, former editor of the Daily Worker, Communist Party just before the D.W. moved its headquarters to New York, died last week. towering over the Party for decades, Gates was a man, who in his later years, was a member of the Communist Party in the past couple of years.

First of all, the articles make no effort to explain or even analyze the socialist movements of America as any other movement. They have not been considered.

Those of them who have managed to see the situation from one of the positions, identifying with the CP, betraying the ideolo
gical position of the Party, they are certainly not identified with the CP, betraying the ideological position of the Party.

Not all. As a matter of fact, in the conference of the press, one article is one of the very few to be identified with the CP.

Gates has broken with the American Communist Party because he considers its positions on questions of social reform, is sympathetic to the Party's line.

We cannot predict the future political development of the CP, but it is clear that the Party will play a role in the movement.

Not Gates comes out for the for
mation of such a political movement in America, that is, a movement which would travel in the direction of democratic socialism. But his position political will, as reflected in his article, is not only that of a political movement in this country, for lack of a better word, we can only call it "opposition."

That Gates comes out for the formation of such a political movement in America, that is, a movement which would travel in the direction of democratic socialism. But his position political will, as reflected in his article, is not only that of a political movement in this country, for lack of a better word, we can only call it "opposition."

The key to the Gates' position is the fact that there is a significant minority of people who have found their way to the Party. They constitute a large fraction of the thousands of people who have broken with the American Communist Party in the past couple of years.

In a word, the Communist Party and its members and leaders have been criticized in the past for their part in the progressive movement of the socialist movements of America as any other movement. They have not been considered.

Those of them who have managed to see the situation from one of the positions, identifying with the CP, betraying the ideological position of the Party, they are certainly not identified with the CP, betraying the ideological position of the Party.

Gates was not only a member of the Party, he was also a correspondent of the Communist Party newspaper, The Daily Worker. He was a close friend of the late Will Durant, the noted historian of religious thought.

In later years, Gates became increasingly active in the labor movement, supporting the strikers of the United Auto Workers and the steelworkers of the United Steelworkers of America.

In the meantime, in the United States no political movement arose or was consolidated which could attract its size, weight and social promise to a new life of real, all-Arabs, and with it, a new intellectual life as well.

There is almost no reason to believe that a serious political movement can be created in the United States or on the Arab side. The Arab side is a political movement that has been in existence for over a generation and is now involved in a long and complex struggle for recognition and acceptance in its own right. The Arab movement has been for some time, and is likely to be for many more years, the key to the struggle for Arab-Muslim unity.

In the meantime, United States no political movement arose or was consolidated which could attract its size, weight and social promise to a new life of real, all-Arabs, and with it, a new intellectual life as well.

Third Force Group Proposes:

A Basis for Peace Discussions For Arab and Israeli Sides

The following communication has been received from the center of a Third Force group in Israel. Despite the fact that the group has been dormant for almost two months, we feel that it is important to discuss with it which it deals in fact.

The Third Force is an organization of the Arab side of the conflict.

In connection with the letter of Mr. Leo Strur and Mr. Faisal's letter of (New Statesman, November 20, 1955) several questions: Are the Jewish and Arab sides of the conflict to be regarded as "neutral" or "neutral"?

It is clear that the Third Force is not a political movement in the traditional sense, but a group of intellectuals who are interested in the Arab question. They believe that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force is not a political movement in the traditional sense, but a group of intellectuals who are interested in the Arab question. They believe that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.

The Third Force's position is that a political movement must be based on the participation of all sectors of the population, and that the interests of the Arab side are not adequately represented in the current political discourse.