We are glad to be able to publish the text of the resolution on the Algerian issue, which represents the stand of the Socialist Party of the U.S. It forthrightly states the position of the Majority of those who are engaged in supporting the Algerian people against French aggression and for Algerian independence.

The Socialist Party's national convention last June passed the resolution which was drawn up at the last NEC meeting.

The Socialist Party is grieved to note the current situation in Algeria where relations between a government headed by Socialists and a colonial people have deteriorated to an extraordinary extent. On the inauguration of the Mohammed al-Dahme administration we took occasion to praise the enlightened social program for Algeria supported by the Socialists in the French cabinet.

The emphasis has now shifted to pressing the French government to withdraw its marines from the editorial board. This has put the Socialist Party on the front line of the political struggle against French aggression.

The Socialist Party supports the demands of the Algerian people, and in particular of Algerian Socialists, for independence. It is our belief that the people of Algeria have the right to self-determination and the right to determine their own future.

In our opinion, the CP is not only in the minority in the United States but also in Russia as a "socialist" community; but it has become critical of and to that extent hostile to the French government and to the French people.

In conclusion, the CP must recognize the facts of life in Algeria and take a consistent policy of opposition to French aggression.

But in our view it matters very much who wins. Not only in our view, but in the view of the CP. The CP is important to every trade-unionist, because the CP is important to every trade-unionist.
Poland and Polescentism

(Continued from page 61)
It is the branded Polish revolution that is the real revolution, not the attempts of some politicians to maneuver and concessions in order to hang on.

In this connection we would like to recall an episode that we made in LA last April, in connection with the then newly-emerging expectations aroused by the last of the underground revolutions, and the "rebalization" that followed:

"The limits of the Kruschev reforms are the limits of the Stalinist system as a system," we wrote in "Stalinism Without Stalin" (April 2), but "these limits and the "rebels" that push against them are not the same under capitalism as under socialism."

We followed some examples of how capitalist regimes bend and make apparent vital concessions under the impulsion of radicalization or discontent below. For the rest of the analogy, change Russia to Poland:

"We have here a jury-rigged meter to register the "rebels". But that measure, far from being an instrument that will allow us to look into the "works" but can only keep them inside the "needles", we say, is used to measure the power of the "needles", as it registers..."

"Let us put it as sharply as possible: if in the regime of "rebels" rehabilitated Trotskyites raise a monument to the victims of the Moscow Trials, then permit or write an article on the Moscow Trials, even if the entire"...

In Poland the needle is in the red zone.

We do not know of course which way it will lead from there, but that the specter that haunts the Polish economic system is that of new forms of political mobilization or uprisings or a corresponding socialist revolution.

This is the beginning of all analyses of Poland today.

Shachtman Tilts with D.W. Editor --

(Continued from page 31)
...it is the terrain on which we thought about Poland, and he would answer: "How do you determine the will of the people? It is not just a question of more or less. You must have a majority if you want to evolve in a direction where the majority will not change."

Gates followed two tasks for his reply:

(1) Do elections really reflect will of the people, as the "rebels" say? It isn't so simple," he echoed.

(2) What do the opinions of the people shown in Russia? They expressed their will through the revolution, ...through defeating the interventionist armies ...through industrializing the country ...through developing its military strength ...and so on in this vein. "Can the Russian people express themselves fully? No, they cannot." But whatever their form, "the next great advance" will be "to build democratic institutions of a real parliamentary base."

In the course of giving the above series of examples the "will of the people," Gates included: "when the CPSU through full discussion over a period of several years disagreed with Trotsky's policies, defeated him, and he was removed from power."

At this whopper, Shachtman interjected: "not only removed from power, but removed from the power to breathe!" Gates paused and replied: "That is a conjecture. Shachtman knows as much about that as I do, but I think that."

Gates agreed that Gates's reference to leave alone any attack on Trotsky or Trotskyites.

Chairman Muster then turned the floor back to Shachtman with the vague point about democracy and Stalinism: You have an answer for Spain (referring to the "revolution") and you have an answer for Egypt, for every other country under dictatorship, where the opposition is a part of the revolutionary movement."

(Continued from page 61)

Notes: What They Say About Hungary

MESSALL'S STAND

Among the political movements that have vigorously and forthrightly denounced both the imperialist attack on Egypt and the Hungarian people is, we are glad to note, the "counter-revolutionary" movement led by Messall, the MNA.

Its resolution, whose first four points concede first the one and then the other, continues with a fervent salute to the defense of Hungarian national sovereignty against foreign assault and a call for a withdrawal of the foreign garrison from both countries.

"We hereby declare that our movement deliberately treats the two together, instead of trying to have a one which is now popular among Neo-Nazi stage-neutrals.

FIVE-POINT PROGRAM

In a declaration on the Hungarian fight, the Social-Democratic Party of Hungary in exile raised the five demands for Hungary:

(a) An amnesty for all those who have taken part in the revolutionary rising.
(b) Every effort to effect the withdrawal of Soviet troops from Hungary.
(c) An assurance to the Hungarian masses that the deposition of the joint commission as laid down in the Peace Treaty.
(d) Restitution to the Hungarian people of land and freedom laid down in the Charter.
(e) Restoration of the rights of the Hungarian masses to function and, subsequently, free and unfettered elections.

CLASS STRUGGLE

A news item from Los Angeles:

The Los Angeles Local of an Auto Workers, picketed installations of North American Aviation today, charged the labor-management dispute.

This came as follow: "In Moscow alone there is no Tito, no Gomulka, no Nkou, to symbolize a real break with the tradition. In the three Stalinist dictatorships in power, what Trotsky had already been called and sentenced, what if Bukharin had never been liquidated? What a different picture there might be of the more horrible and hair-raising pro-"in the faces of the imperialist factory legislation, etc.—and it will meet only that they are shaking before the government's power. That is easy. But, say: "In the long term, the workers are to oppose dictators and are to occupy the management."

It is this that the Poland is the needle in the red zone.

We do not know of course which way it will lead from there, but that the specter that haunts the Polish economic system is that of new forms of political mobilization or uprisings or a corresponding socialist revolution. This is the beginning of all analyses of Poland today.

STONE'S CONCLUSIONS

We call our readers' attention to J.F. Stone's notable reaction (in his J.F. Stone's Weekly) to the Hungarian Revolution:

"...for those of us who have all our lives regarded socialism as our ideal, it is humiliating to see that the leading role in this massive sweatshop rebellion and black-hole war is being taken by the working class, and by the factory workers in particular. Those to whom socialism in the feminine was most appealing, the self-made men, the intellectuals, the students, the humanitarians, the hardy workers, the revolutionaries are all at the head of the risings in Poland and Hungary today, as they may be in the great countries of Europe and the Soviet Union...."

STONE'S CONCLUSIONS

A meeting on Nov. 24 was sponsored by the "New York Times" and "The New Republic" to Hungary, supported by (1) "the American Friends of the Anti-Bolshevik Women's Unity" and (2) the magazine Contemporary Jewish.

The ABN is notoriously pro-fascist. The magazine is something else again.
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Labor and CP Dissension

prashok "We watch our Workers," Wellman functioned under the handicap of his own hand." Wellman functioned under the handicap of his own hand."

He thinks that the answer to his problem lies in some minor tactical shift in strategy, and that the mere creation of a bloc, instead of an alliance, would be all the better for the CP. In practice, however, this one guiding line dominated: the Zinoviev Report of the Stalinist faction as applied to the P.C.F.

This fundamental fact has yet to penetrate the walls of Wellman's mind even slightly.

As he puts it, the recent line of "lefts" was "a step back," the real enemy was Reuther and Reutherism, and the real cause for alarm was the "professional Communists," the "fascist strategy," the "professional Communists." Objectively, this line obscured who was and how to meet the main enemy of the people and peace forces—namely, auto monopoly, Ford, GM, Chrysler."

At any rate, he is cured: "The last remnants of our left-sectarian approach have been eliminated. The party is now united in the direction of a more consistent middle-road progressive course." And now, the "objective of the party's work" is "to place in the context of a unity struggle" the so-called "rightist" line "in order to solve these questions in the 'light of the class struggle.'"

Wellman lets out what everyone realizes at the time:

"We vigorously supported peace work, while ignoring war-profiteering; we supported peace as examples of old-line Stalinist bread-and-but- mechanisms, as examples of 'leftist' or "We vigorously supported peace work, while ignoring war-profiteering; we supported peace as examples of old-line Stalinist bread-and-butterism, as examples of 'leftist' or 'pro-fascist' latent appeasers." When CP is plunged into a crisis of fundamental "revolutionary leadership and the Kremlin dictatorship, politically," Wellman is busy with new tactical de- visions. It will be no different.

It is not a matter of "left-sectarianism"; it is not a question of "leftdogmatism"; what is at stake in the underlying motive of any and every policy, CP auto workers must make sure that they are supporting Kremlin dictatorship, that will be the start of a genuinely new period.

In Moscow today! How differently Russia could deal with its rebellious satellites and its own workers, if they saw in Moscow new faces they could trust!"