1. The Red Women's Detachment is the mass organization of proletarian women under the leadership of the MARXIST-LENINIST PARTY guided by Mao Tse Tung Thought.

2. Its ranks are open to all working class women who accept its politics and organizational principles. Revolutionary women of petty-bourgeoisie class origin will be admitted provided they break their class ties and do not exploit the labor of others.

3. The Red Women's Detachment practices democratic-centralism and combines political struggle with armed struggle, with politics in command. The highest body of the Red Women's Detachment is the general membership, which, when not assembled (in Congress), is led by the Central Committee elected by it.

The Central Committee directs the Women's Armed Defense Groups. The Women's Armed Defense Groups are the contingent established by the Central Committee. The Central Committee of the Red Women's Detachment is directly responsible to the Central Committee of the Marxist-Leninist Party.

4. There are basically three levels of organizations:

(1) MAO TSE TUNG THOUGHT STUDY GROUPS (legal)
(2) MARTIAL ARTS (Self-Defense) COLLECTIVES (legal-semi-legal)
(3) WOMEN'S ARMED DEFENSE GROUPS (clandestine)

The MAO TSE TUNG THOUGHT STUDY GROUPS are organs of struggle-criticism-transformation, an ideological, political school for the living application of Mao Tse Tung Thought. It is a testing-ground for prospective cadre.

The MARTIAL ARTS COLLECTIVES train in un-armed self-defense and study Marxism-Leninism applied to military science to prepare for the more advanced level of armed struggle.

WOMEN'S ARMED DEFENSE GROUPS comprise the advanced proletarian military cadre of the Red Women's Detachment. These are securely and clandestinely organized. They are directly responsible to the Marxist-Leninist Party.

The Red Women's Detachment wholly supports and participates in the formation of People's Armed Defense Groups and Women's Armed Defense Groups. The cadre of the Red Women's Detachment constitutes the basis for equal military participation of women in the revolutionary ARMY.

5. The Central Organ (editorial staff) issues RED STAR as the collective organer of the Red Women's Detachment.

The Central Organ is directly responsible to the Central Committee of the Red Women's Detachment. Its functions are to ensure the political clarity of its contents, stabilize publication, and develop the widest possible circulation. Systematic distribution of RED STAR and other mass agitational-propaganda materials is conducted through the various organizations.

rendered by the oppressed to the oppressor." The Red Women's Detachment concludes that since all these relationships are homosexual (social relations between males) in the sense of the dominant/submissive and since they are all based on one partner exploiting the other, these homosexual relationships are based on the capitalistic society and the homosexuality serves either one class or another.

One last point that the Red Women's Detachment makes about Gay Liberation Front is that it is "based on the reactionary line that passivity, submission and masochism, in short, the exploitation of women by men, simply are on the class relation of work. Any domination by one member of the couple over the other as in the army officer/orderly for example, is not based simply on class. Or to put it another way, the dominant/submissive roles they play aren't based on their relation to the means of production. The dynamics of all those relationships come from a number of different sources in the structure of society. Those should be explored—not just pointed out and dismissed as class. Unpaid labor is not rendered by one partner to the dominant one in each of the classes. Thus it can't be said that those homosexual relations or presently Gay Liberation Front are based on the class contradictions in society and serve one class or another. It is true as the Red Women's Detachment points out that the bourgeois media views gay liberation and women's liberation as part of the sexual revolution and thereby uses them to exploit women into thinking that in order to be free they must put out. But just because the media uses gay liberation and women's liberation to a counter-revolutionary way doesn't mean that they are in fact counter-revolutionary.
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