

# Combat Imperialism and Revisionism

## Joint Statement of New Zealand C.P. and Australian C.P. (M-L)

- The two main tasks for the two Parties are jointly to carry out with full vigour the fight against imperialism, headed by the United States, and the fight against revisionism.
- The Soviet revisionists are not only betraying the socialist achievements of the great Soviet people and opening the way for the restoration of capitalism in the Soviet Union, but are trying to impose their betrayal on the whole international communist movement.

THE Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist) and the Communist Party of New Zealand in a joint statement expressed the determination to carry through to the end the struggle against U.S. imperialism and modern revisionism, an ally of imperialism in its dying era.

Representatives of the two Parties held talks in Auckland, New Zealand in August. The joint statement was signed on behalf of the Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist) by its Chairman E.F. Hill and on behalf of the Communist Party of New Zealand by its General Secretary V.G. Wilcox.

The statement says: "The delegations of the two Parties were in complete agreement on all matters. They particularly concerned themselves with the struggle against imperialism and modern revisionism and with the present situation in the international communist movement."

They point out that the main enemy of mankind is U.S. imperialism. The struggle against U.S. imperialism must be carried through to the end.

"The two Parties recognize that the main enemy in the world communist movement remains modern revisionism. Everywhere revisionism is attempting to stultify the all-out fight against imperialism and is thereby an ally of imperialism in this, its dying era," the statement says.

The two main tasks for the Parties are jointly to carry out with full vigour the fight against imperialism, headed by U.S. imperialism, and the fight against revisionism. At the same time the two Parties do not overlook the need to combat tendencies to dogmatism, it says.

The statement stresses: "Each Party repudiates the decisions of the so-called Moscow Conference of March 1965, and the whole of the divisive activities of the Soviet revisionists.

"The present Soviet Party leaders attempt to carry out Khrushchov revisionism without Khrushchov.

"The Soviet revisionists are not only betraying the socialist achievements of the great Soviet people and opening the way for the restoration of capitalism in the Soviet Union, but are trying to impose their betrayal on the whole international communist movement.

"The two Parties affirm their opposition to the holding of any world meeting of Communist Parties until there have been full discussions, bilaterally and multilaterally, amongst Parties which have ideological differences."

It says: "Certainly the opinion of the two Parties, is that no meeting should be held without the prior agreement of the Parties of all socialist countries.

"Consideration must be given to the attendance of any future world meeting of representatives of new Marxist-Leninist Parties and groups in a somewhat similar way to that adopted by Lenin in the early days of the Communist International."

It points out: "An admission of errors committed by the Communist Party of the Soviet Union leadership at their 22nd Congress in relation to the Albanian Party of Labour is an essential preliminary condition of the resolution of the differences."

The statement exposes the fact that "under Soviet revisionist influence the Australian revisionist leadership is attempting to create a revisionist 'Communist' Party in New Zealand.

"This reveals the bankruptcy of these people. Such attempts are doomed to failure."

Referring to the situation in Southeast Asia, the statement says: "The two Parties note the increasing urgency of the situation in Southeast Asia with both

U.S. imperialism and British imperialism engaged in an attempt by armed aggression to suppress the national-liberation movements of that area.

"The struggle of the peoples of Southeast Asia is also the struggle of the people of Australia and New Zealand. Australian and New Zealand people will stand shoulder to shoulder with the people of Viet Nam, the peoples of the so-called Malaysia, the people of Laos, Cambodia, and so forth, in the struggle for freedom.

"At these storm-centres, and in Africa and in Latin America, the greatest possible chance of further revolutionary advance immediately presents itself. Therefore, it is the duty of all Marxist-Leninists to give full assistance to those struggles."

The two Parties affirm their adherence to the revolutionary principles of the 1957 Declaration and the 1960 Statement of 81 Parties, the statement says.

*Peruvian C.P. Organ :*

## Unity Impossible With Revisionists

**T**HERE can be and there should be no unity between Marxist-Leninists and modern revisionists, declared an article in the September 20 issue of *Bandera Roja*, organ of the Communist Party of Peru. It emphasized that the unity and solidarity of the international communist movement could be built only on the basis of Marxism-Leninism.

The article, signed "Observer," is entitled "Always Be Firm in the Struggle Against Modern Revisionism." It said: "Modern revisionism, unable to answer Marxism-Leninism with principled arguments, strives to cover up its treachery and defection with empty talk about 'revolution' which can only fool the ignorant. . . . Khrushchov's successors, badly beaten in the historic polemics with the Marxist-Leninists, try to disguise themselves as Marxist-Leninists and cunningly repeat certain theses advanced by those Communist Parties which adhere to the scientific theory of Marx and Lenin."

"But in practice, they remain faithful to their policy of U.S.S.R.-U.S. co-operation. Marxist-Leninists, therefore, must not be deceived by nonsense about 'ending the public polemics' and 'solidarity of the international communist movement.'"

Stressing that, in the ideological controversy in the present-day international communist movement, one should not shun debate by using the need for "unity" as a pretext, the article adds: "There can be no third stand or a stand in between those of Marxist-Leninists and modern revisionists."

It said: "Khrushchov and his followers are the only splitters and secessionists in the international com-

It says: "The modern revisionist concepts of peaceful coexistence, the great possibilities of peaceful transition to socialism, world disarmament while imperialism exists, of tolerance to developments of a neo-colonialist nature, of building full Communism while imperialism remains, are incompatible with Marxism-Leninism and with full action in the struggle against imperialism on a world scale."

The two Parties declare in the statement their joint opposition to the policies of the Menzies and Holyoake governments. The policies of these two governments demonstrate the puppet role assigned to the two countries by U.S. imperialism, it says. The two Parties call on the working class and working people and all opposed to monopoly and all patriotic people in Australia and New Zealand to join in the struggle for national independence, against U.S. and British imperialism.

munist movement. One of the reasons for Khrushchov's downfall is precisely that he pursued an infamous and crudely divisive policy which was violently anti-China and anti-Albania."

The article emphasized: "There cannot be and there should not be unity between Marxist-Leninists and modern revisionists, simply because the unity and solidarity of the international communist movement can only be built on the basis of Marxism-Leninism."

"What is being debated within the international communist movement today is precisely the general line of struggle of all Communists of the world. Therefore, the correctness or erroneousness of all political, military and organizational lines depends on whether they are based on Marxism-Leninism and proceed from concrete and objective reality.

"We stand for a vigorous and profound ideological struggle not only within the international communist movement, but also at all levels of our Party. Only those who have been bogged in the quagmire of bourgeois liberalism would call for an unprincipled peace in the name of 'unity.' Unprincipled peace means degeneration and decay, and has nothing in common with solidarity and unity.

"We Marxist-Leninists demand that Khrushchovites of all brands make open self-criticisms and admit the errors of their general line and the damage their sectarian and divisive activities have brought to the world socialist revolution. Apart from this there is no other way to achieve unity in the international communist movement."