C.P.S.U. Leaders Persist in Khrushchov’s Line

THE Central Committee of the Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist) is of the unanimous opinion that by persisting in their meeting of March 1, 1965 the leaders of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union have clearly branded themselves as opponents of Marxism-Leninism and are determined to split the international communist movement in the interests of U.S. imperialism.”

This statement on the schismatic March meeting in Moscow was issued by E.F. Hill, Chairman of the Communist Party of Australia (M-L). It was published in the April 23 issue of the Australian Vanguard (Vol. 2, No. 23).

The statement went on to say that their predecessor Khrushchov had thrown overboard the revolutionary principles of the 1957 Moscow Declaration and
the 1950 81 Parties’ Statement and that Khrushchov had trampled upon the principles set forth in those two documents to govern the relations between Communist Parties.

“The leaders of the C.P.S.U. have directly persisted in Khrushchov’s line. Despite the opposition of Marxist-Leninists to participation in Khrushchov’s meeting the leaders of the C.P.S.U. insisted upon holding the meeting. . . .

“Brave words cannot conceal the divisions that have arisen nor the honest bewilderment of many of their followers.”

Referring to the Australian revisionist group’s toeing of the line of the leaders of the C.P.S.U., the statement said: “More and more Australian people see these twists and turns as real service to U.S. imperialism. Under the slogan of unity with the Right-wing A.L.P. leaders, the leaders of the Australian revisionist group serve U.S. imperialism. . . .

“The real interests and aspirations of more than 90 per cent of Australian people are utterly opposed to this line. Thus the world isolation of U.S. imperialism is shared by all its supporters, including the modern revisionists, whatever words these supporters may use.

“All this is thrown into clear relief by the situation in Viet Nam. The U.S. imperialists are desperately striving to find a basis for so-called negotiations. This cry is taken up by the leaders of the Australian Labour Party and by the leaders of the revisionist group. Thus in the testing ground, in the practice of the struggle of the Vietnamese people, the unity of U.S. imperialism, world revisionism, Right-wing social democracy and the Australian revisionist group is clear for all to see.

“Marxist-Leninists, therefore, draw attention to the deeds and practice of revisionism headed by the present leaders of the C.P.S.U. and followed slavishly by the Australian revisionist group.

“Their deeds speak far louder than their words. The results of the ‘deliberations’ of the motley collection who gathered in Moscow on March 1 are quite clear now; some words against U.S. imperialism but real support for it.

“Australian Marxist-Leninists call upon the Australian working class, working people and all Australian patriots to carry the struggle against imperialism to the very end.

“Therefore, no one should be deceived by the words of the leaders of the C.P.S.U. nor their local followers, nor the words of the Moscow Communiqué.

“The Central Committee of the Communist Party of Australia (M-L) is completely confident that in condemning the splitting activities of the revisionists it is acting in the real interests of the overwhelming majority of Australian people.”

(Boldface emphases are ours.—Ed.)