Mr. Tondeur

About the "Independent Party"

Published *Contribution to the national conference of La Louvière* **November 17, 1967** republished https://centremlm.be/A-propos-du-Parti-independant-%E2%88%92-1967

Transcription, Editing and Markup: Paul Saba and Sam Richards.

Copyright: This work is in the Public Domain under the Creative Commons Common Deed. You can freely copy, distribute and display this work; as well as make derivative and commercial works. Please credit the Encyclopedia of Anti-Revisionism On-Line as your source, include the url to this work, and note any of the transcribers, editors & proof readers

In an attempt to camouflage its opposition to the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution, Grippa puts forward the concept of "an independent party that thinks with its own brain."

We need to analyse what Grippa means by "independent party" and how he distorts this just principle and uses it to undermine the unity of the international communist movement.

It was at the 5th Congress of the Party of Labour of Albania that J. Grippa insisted for the first time on this orientation:

"The revisionist collaborators of American imperialism will also again repeat to us that we are" followers "of the" agents of Tirana and Beijing "the political line of our party is developed by him in Belgium and nowhere else. .. We did not give in before the Khrushchevite wand. A still small Marxist-Leninist party from a small country, we have been and are still particularly attentive to the respect of the principle according to which Marxist-Leninist parties and organizations are equal and independent "

At the Fifth Congress of the Party of Labour of Albania, Grippa accompanied this declaration of just principles by the reaffirmation of "unity of view on all questions of ideological principles, on all questions of global strategy and tactics with the PCC and the PTA "

He also said:

"The People's Republic of China is moving forward at a brisk pace in all areas, raising the flag of Marxism-Leninism, of Mao Tse-tung's thinking, high. It is an immense force in the united world front of the peoples against the imperialists, led by American imperialism. The People's Republic of China is the mighty bastion of the world proletarian revolution. The victories already won by the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution in China strike as many new blows to imperialism and modern revisionism "

He added:

"To say these merits, and to show solidarity with the Chinese Communist Party, with the People's Republic of China, that currently makes it a great significance of principle."

Today Grippa has shown what he means by an "independent party" and that in fact he is denying his statements to the 5th Congress of the Labour Party of Albania, or rather that his statements were hypocritical.

For some time, he has slandered within the Party and in the international communist movement, in addition to the great and glorious Chinese Communist Party, brother parties and sister organizations, such as the Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist), the Movement French Communist (Marxist-Leninist), the Union of Communist Youths (Marxist-Leninist) of France and many others as well as the proud Party of Labour of Albania.

He presented some of these parties as "puppet parties" walking the baton of the Chinese Communist Party.

He tried to oppose certain parties to the Chinese Communist Party. This is how he "gave advice" to the comrades of the Union of Communist Youths (ml) so that they oppose the Chinese Comrades.

He wanted to set up the Party of Labour of Albania against the Chinese Communist Party.

And when these parties did not accept and criticized him, he immediately called them "Chinese agents" of the "international black line" !!

At the conference of the executives of the Party of the Brussels Federation, on August 12, he made serious declarations against the Chinese Communist Party proving how hypocritical his declaration at the Congress of the Party of Labour of Albania was:

For the first time, he criticized the struggle waged by the Chinese Comrades against revisionism and their attitude in the International Communist movement since 1956.

He notably criticized the attitude of the Chinese Comrades towards the 20th Congress of the CPSU towards the Moscow Conference of 1957, during the Conference of the 81 in 1960.

He criticized Comrade Chou En lai for his attitude at the 22nd Congress towards Khrushchev's attacks on the Party of Labour of Albania.

He then said:

"The Chinese Communist Party as a Party has done little self-criticism since 1935."

He then went on at length on the differences with the international section of the Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party since 1964, clearly saying that this section had an attitude of "father party" of "chauvinism of great power".

In fact, if Grippa has "discovered" all "these criticisms" it is obviously to try to pit Party officials against the Chinese Communist Party.

He presented himself as a "Marxist-Leninist" who had seen clearly for a long time, but he did not say that in 1956, he had approved the 20th congress including the "fight against the cult of the personality".

He criticized Comrade Chou En laï but did not say that in his article published in the "*Red Flag* " in February 1962 there is not the shadow of support from the PTA, except a purely formal criticism of Khrushchev.

He criticized the Chinese Communist Party, which did not self-criticize, but did not say that it had never self-criticized for its active participation in right-wing opportunist politics in 1945 (he was chief

of staff) for his participation in the leadership of Lalmand (he was a member of the CC until 1951), for his defense of revisionist theses until 1962, both on Stalin in 1956 and on "structural reforms".

He criticized an alleged "brother" party attitude of the Chinese Comrades, but did not say that he wanted to lay down the law among the Marxist-Leninists of Western Europe and wanted to impose his views on brother parties and sister organizations.

In fact, it appears that for Grippa the "independence" of the Party means the right for him to criticize and slander the fraternal parties and the prohibition for these parties to address him the least criticism immediately qualified as "attacks against our party" of "interference" of "wands to the Khrushchev".

Today, Grippa has gone on public attacks against the Chinese PC. In the "*Voice of the People*" of November 3, 67, Grippa in the name of an alleged "Central Committee" spreads a title on two pages "The political line of our Party is developed by him in Belgium and nowhere else".

In this article he attacks the so-called "Graindorge-Vanderlinden fraction". He thus wants to make believe that these comrades would be "foreign agents", "Chinese agents".

In the "*Voice of the People*" of November 10, 67, 4 pages are devoted to an attack on Rittenberg's document on the Cultural Revolution described as "anti-Marxist-Leninist, anti-communist and counter-revolutionary.

Grippa tries to show that the party (the majority of the CC and of the members of the Party) would be "agents of the network of the counter-revolutionary clique of Rittenberg" would be, "puppets".

He then said clearly, targeting Comrade Kang Cheng and in fact the whole proletarian headquarters of the Revolution. Cultural led by Comrade Mao Tse-tung, all the leadership of the Chinese Communist Party:

"It appears that the Belgian" experts "had made themselves the" agents of a clique of traitors with international ramifications, who, although claiming to be from the Chinese Communist Party, engaged in a series of provocations intended to discredit the Chinese CP, the People's Republic of China, and the great proletarian cultural revolution, provocations intended also to attack in the world people, organizations and parties that are truly Marxist-Leninist, provocations that play the game of the imperialists, with imperialism as leader American, and modern revisionists centred on the clique that usurped power in the Soviet Union.

Rittenberg appears as one of the "masters to think" of this clique that "we unmask and we will unmask until the end".

So the mask is thrown away! Grippa, a big supporter of Liou Chao chi and his reactionary-bourgeois line, attacks the Chinese Communist Party and invents for this one knows not what Machiavellian "international plot"

And for that, he is ready to use any slander, including the old reactionary slander of "foreign agents" of "puppet parties".

This is how, in a resolution of the "fifteenth congress" phony secessionist of Grippa, we read:

"The XV National Congress affirms and with it the whole Party (!!!), the total condemnation of the anti-communist activities of the Graindorge-Vanderlinden fraction as well as those of the group of adventurous adventurers Trifaux-Hauwaert and denounces their collusion with elements and anti-Marxist-Leninist organizations from Belgium and abroad ".

Later, we speak of "attacks carried out from the outside or from the inside openly, from the right or apparently from the left." "

In fact for Grippa, there is a "clique of traitors" in the leadership of the Chinese Communist Party and the international communist movement, the brother Marxist-Leninist parties, are "puppet parties".

This is very good! Thus it is easier to show the true nature of the Grippa group, its true nature of neorevisionism group, of supporter of Liu Chao chi.

He is certainly not the first in the International Communist Movement. First of all, to all lord, all honour, Khrushchev, Souslov and today Brezhnev and Kosygin speak the same language:

It was Souslov, in February 1964, who spoke of "split-up groups created by Beijing. "

It was the CC of the CPSU which, in July 1963, spoke of "Chinese comrades who seek to subject the other sister parties to their influence and control".

It was Brezhnev who, in January 1967, spoke of "the splitting activity of the group of Mao Tse-tung" and affirmed, like Grippa his "international solidarity with the Chinese Communist Party and the People's Republic of China", and who attacked the "So-called cultural revolution".

It is Pravda who, like Raindorf, speaks of the "provocateurs" in Beijing against the Soviet embassy.

It is the revisionist "*Humanity* " which, like Grippa and Raindorf, clearly suggests that the Chinese Communist Party is Liu Chao chi.

It is the *Pravda* of February 16, 67, which greets the 8th congress of the CCP, congress dominated by Liu Chao chi and Deng Xiaoping.

It is the Khrushchevite revisionists who claim that China does not support heroic Vietnam enough, just as Grippa said on August 12 in Brussels, about Vietnam: "It is unfortunate that China is" a little out of touch "in some international events. "

It is the revisionists who speak of the "cult of the personality of Mao Tse-tung", like Grippa, on August 12, 67:

"We believe that it is not fair that there is a cult of personality: a great guide, a great educator, a great helmsman, a great captain are not necessary."

It is the revisionists who speak of "subversion of the group of Mao Tse-tung against the CCP", just as Grippa said at this same conference of executives in August 67: "Appeal to the masses in China to subvert the great and glorious CCP, it is not fair. "

This is the meaning of "independence" a la J. Grippa!

Before Grippa, there were also the anti-Chinese attacks of the Japanese PC ruling group: the Miyamoto clique, this clique used the same methods as Grippa: attacks against the Japan-China association: attacks and slanders against the Japanese living in Beijing, qualified as "terrorist elements", to practice "counter-revolutionary violence", as "exclusions from the Marxist-Leninists."

In an article of the *Akahata* of October 14, 1966, we find exactly the same slanders as in the "*Voice of the People*" of November 10, 1967:

Thus a member of the CCP of the CCP who dared to criticize the revisionist line of Miyamoto is accused of "submission to a foreign bet". He is accused of having asked that "not Marxism-Leninism,

but the ideology of the leader of a certain foreign party (that is to say, the thought of Mao Tse-tung) be the theory of Japanese revolution ".

And Nosaka, president of the CCP, glorifies the "independent" position of his party. Let us quote here a resolution of the organization of Osaka (left) of the Japanese PC:

"The Miyamoto clique, under the pretext of" independence "takes a hostile attitude towards the thought of Mao Tse-tung summit of Marxism-Leninism, slanders the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution, resorts to violence against students Chinese and thus abandoned the flag of proletarian internationalism. "

The leadership of the Labour Party of Korea follows the same direction. In the name of "independence", she opposes the CCP, which is described as "dogmatic" as a "father-party", to "infringe the norms of relations between brother parties. "

Suddenly Grippa wants to resume relations with the PT in Korea and is ready to accept his "help". He thus effectively ranks alongside the partisans of "unity of action" with the revisionists against the International Communist Movement.

The revisionists of the Indian Communist Party can also serve as a model for Grippa: here is what they declared in July 1964:

"Our party, as a sovereign and independent unit of the international communist movement will take its decisions independently, after an entirely democratic discussion within the party."

In September 1965:

"We are a contingent of the international communist movement. We have never been the agents of any foreign power."

Definitely Grippa did not invent anything! This is another "independent" party! It is the "independent" leaders of this party which sends the police to suppress the peasant guerrillas of Naxalbari and the revolutionaries of the Indian CP who, them, are undoubtedly "puppets" who took as their guide the thought of Mao Tse-tung.

Grippa quotes and recites point 24 of the CCP CC's "Proposals for the General Line of the International Communist Movement"

But very often he truncates this point.

"We need a revolutionary party.

We need a revolutionary party based on the revolutionary theories of Marxism-Leninism and having a revolutionary Marxist-Leninist style.

We need a revolutionary party that knows how to associate the universal truth of Marxism-Leninism with the concrete practice of the country's revolution.

We need a revolutionary party that knows how to link its leadership closely with the broad masses of the people.

We need a revolutionary party that sticks to the truth, which is able to correct its errors and to carry out criticism and self-criticism.

Only a revolutionary party of this type is capable of leading the proletariat and the broad popular masses to victory in their struggle against imperialism and its lackeys, to achieve a complete victory in the national and democratic revolution, and to make the revolution triumph socialist.

If it is a bourgeois reformist party instead of a revolutionary party of the proletariat; if it is a revisionist party instead of a Marxist-Leninist party; if it is a party in tow of the bourgeoisie instead of an avant-garde party of the proletariat;

if it is a party which represents the interests of the working class aristocracy instead of a party which represents the interests of the proletariat and the broad popular masses; if it is a nationalist party instead of an internationalist party;

if it is a party which invariably repeats the words of others, which takes up, without prior analysis, the experiences of a foreign country, and which turns under the wand of certain people of a foreign country, instead of a party which knows how to think for itself, to run its brain, which knows thoroughly, thanks to serious studies and inquiries, the precise tendencies which have appeared in the different classes of its country, which knows how to apply the universal truth of Marxism -Leninism and link it to the concrete practice of his country, if this is a party that is variegated with both revisionism and dogmatism, like a kind of Macedonia, where there is a bit of everything except the principles of Marxism-Leninism;

such a party is then absolutely incapable of leading the proletariat and the broad popular masses in the revolutionary struggle, of winning victory in the revolution and of accomplishing the great historic mission of the proletariat. "

Grippa's group does not have a revolutionary Marxist-Leninist style. He does not know how to link his leadership with the broad masses of the people.

He does not stick to the truth, is not able to correct his mistakes, does not criticize and self-criticize.

Grippa's group, by attacking China today and opposing the struggle in Belgium to support for the Cultural Revolution betrays internationalism and sinks into nationalism.

And in the end, isn't it Grippa who "invariably repeats the words of others", that is to say, the anti-Chinese slanders of the revisionists and reactionaries?

Is it not Grippa who "turns under the wand of certain people from a foreign country", under the wand of the Chinese Khrushchev?

Wasn't Grippa ever "through serious studies and surveys", analysing the trends that appeared in the different classes of his country?

And doesn't this sentence from point 22 apply perfectly to Grippa? :

"If we agreed with the need to settle disputes between sister parties by means of internal consultations, then we should not attack by name and physically other sister parties"

, he who begins his public attacks against the CCP today

And this :

"If one recognized the principles of independence and equality in relations between the fraternal parties, it would not be permitted to place oneself above the other fraternal parties, to intervene in their internal affairs, to practice the patriarchy in relations between sister parties. "

Is it not precisely interference to oppose the members of the leadership of the CCP?

And how can we qualify the fact that Grippa dictated to the CCP who were the leading comrades that he accepted for our party's delegation to meet last May?

He had the same attitude towards the comrades leading the PTA And is it not precisely this practice of patriarchy that the attitude of Grippa towards the parties and organizations Marxists-Leninists of Western Europe, wanting to impose his views on the "good" and "bad" groups of fraternal parties, in the name of the "first reconstituted party in Western Europe"?

Grippa and his line, the reactionary line of Liu Chao chi, is increasingly isolated in the international communist movement.

All the brother parties and sister organizations support the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution and its victorious unfolding.

These include: The Party of Labour of Albania; in Western Europe: the Communist Party of Italy (ml) the Union of Communist Youth. (ML) from France; movement. French Communist (ml)

The PC of Australia (ML) the PC of New Zealand, the Indonesian PC, the PC of Burma, the PC of Thailand, the revolutionaries of the Indian PC, the left organizations of the Japanese PC, the PC of Ceylon, the PC from Malaysia.

The Brazilian Communist Party, the Peruvian Communist Party, the Mexican Marxist-Leninist Movement, and many others.

Let us also quote the words of Le Thanh Nghi, member of the PB of the Vietnam Workers' Party:

"He congratulated the Chinese people for their brilliant successes in socialist resolution and building and in the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution under the leadership of the CCP, in the light of Marxism-Leninism and the thought of Mao Tse-tung. The Chinese people, he added, are carrying out the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution in depth and in breadth across its vast territory in order to prevent capitalist restoration and to ensure that China will never change its political nature. . "

Our Party is an independent party.

Its line is determined in Belgium by itself.

But our party is internationalist and based on Marxism-Leninism, the thought of Mao Tse-tung.

He refuses to follow the reactionary line of Liu Chao chi, to walk with the wand of this Chinese Khrushchev.

He refuses "independence" to the Grippa which means a renunciation of Marxism-Leninism, a neorevisionism, and ultimately anti-communism.

Our party thinks by its own brain, by the brain of each of its members and not by the brain of J. Grippa.

We must proclaim our agreement with the declaration of Comrade Enver Hoxha at the 5th congress of the PTA:

"The PTA believes that all Marxist-Leninist parties and forces, as equal and independent parties, must unite closely with the CCP and the People's Republic of China and form a steel block with them all our enemies will come and break. We do not care at all about the slanders of the revisionists and their imperialist masters who want to make believe that by uniting with China we have become "satellites" and that we have lost our "independence". These slanders did not and cannot prevent the Marxist-Leninists from strengthening their unity with People's China