## On Barry Weisberg's MLOC/"CPUSA(ML)"

## Against Social-Democratic Infiltration of the Marxist-Leninist Movement

## Part 3

In this issue of The Workers' Advocate we are reprinting a document which has come into our possession. This document is entitled "Statement of the Central Committee, Communist Party U. S. A. / Marxist-Leninist on the state-inspired actions of the Central Organization of U.S. Marx-ist-Leninists - July 1, 1979". This is the response to our scientific study entitled "Against Social-Democratic Infiltration of the MarxistLeninist Movement, A study of the origin, history and present role of the social-democrat Barry Weisberg and his MLOC/"CPUSA(M-L)".
We published our study in the pages of The Workers' Advocate last March and reprinted it in pamphlet form in May. This study showed with irrefutable facts and arguments how Barry Weisberg's MLOC/"CPUSA (M-L)' represents an agency of social-democracy attempting to smuggle itself into the Marxist-Leninist movement from the outside. The MLOC fell completely silent in the face of our systematic exposure of their true nature, at least silent in public. Then we became aware of the fact that MLOC was secretly distributing this document of contemptible slanderous and vile abuse against the COUSML. Thus, in the August 15 issue of The Workers' Advocate we issued a public challenge to the Central Committee of the MLOC/"CPUSA(M-L)"
Our challenge reads as follows:
"Since Part One of this article was published, and as of the August 1 issue of Unite!, there has been no public reply from the 'CPUSA (M-L)'. None of our facts have been contested. This is not surprising. Our article was based on pain-
staking study, including examination of the writing of Mr. Weisberg and the public documents and publications of the MLOC / 'CPUSA (M-L)' and the Institute for Policy Studies. Our study has value not only as a polemic against the 'CPUSA (M-L)', but as an open call for struggle against socialdemocracy and as a reference work for the study of the history of the revolutionary movement in the U.S. We showed with convincing proof that Mr Weisberg was trained in social proor that Mr. Weisberg was trained inocial-democracy and anti-communism at the institute for Policy Studies (IPS). The IPS is a social-democratic 'think tank', funded by the big bourgeoisie and staffed by intellectuals who float in and out of appointed posts in the federal government. Weisberg's mentor at IPS was Marcus Raskin, a former member of the National Security Council under President Kennedy. Weisberg himself went on to be a co-founder of a regional offshoot of the ons, then IPS, the (San Francisco) Bay Area Institute of Policy Studies. The Bay Area Institute specialized in Asia in general and in China in particular and included early advocates of the U.S.-China alliance. From that time to the present, he has preserved his social-democratic politics while adapting his phraseology to the growing prestige of Marxism-Leninism among the advanced section of the revolutionary activists. Today the polities of the MLOC/'CPUSA(M-L)' are still in essence those of the IPS, but covered over with 'Marxist'sounding phrasemongering.
"We were proud to issue our article openly, before the whole world, so that everyone could see and, if they wished, attempt to challenge it. When we published it, with the very first mailing we sent coples to the 'CPUSA (M-L)' itself, as
we also do with all polemical material directed against them.
"On the other hand, the 'CPUSA(M-L)' has been reduced to public silence. Silent in the press, it has run into a frenzy, spreading rumors and slanders against the COUSML and against our article. A few days ago, we learned that the Central Committee of the 'CPUSA(M-L)' had apparently issued a private statement on July 1 attacking our article. This statement contained slanderous, contemptible and utterly unsupported allegations. Thus it is quite natural that the 'CPUSA(M-L)' sees fit to circulate such things only in the dark of night, behind the back of informed public opinion
"We issue an open challenge to the Centra Committee of the 'CPUSA(M-L)'. If you have any reply to our exposure of your social-democratic nature, publish it openly before the whole world. If you don't dare to do so, then this is yet further If you that you ane nothing proof that you are nothing but a bunch of anti-co munist social-democrats, slanderers and contemptible adventure.s, insects who come out onl in the dark and who flee from the light of day."

Now it is December, four months later, and the MLOC continues to refuse to make any public reply in the face of our challenge. Despite MLOC's lying claim in their document that it had, among other things, the purpose of "alarm(ing) the working class and progressive forces of the U. S. as to the counter-revolutionary nature of the COUSML", this document has not been made available to the public. We were not even sent a copy to allow us to reply to their contemptible charges. Thus, while the MLOC accuses ourselves of using the "means and methods of opera-
tion of police agents and provocateurs" it is obvious to any objective observer that it is the COUSML which has stated its case openly and has given the MLOC every opportunity to contest our position. On the other hand, it is clear that it is the MLOC which is using the methods and schemes of double-dealers. It is they who are operating in the dark sewers of filthy intrigue. It is the "pure lillies" of the MLOC who, in the course of a sharp political conflet, have resorted to the criminal practice of circulating the filthiest lies hither and thither, in back rooms and behind the backs of their political opponents. Therefore the backs of their political opponents. Ther we are reproducing the complete text of the MLOC's July 1 Statement for the scrutiny of public opinion. We urge our readers to seriously examine the statement and to carefully read our study "Against Social-Democratic Infiltration of the Marxist-Leninist Movement". It is quite obvious that the only reason why the MLOC has had to resort to such backhanded methods is because their reply cannot stand the light of day and is it self a powerful confirmation of the precise and well-documented analysis we have presented concerning the history, development and the present role of Barry Weisberg's MLOC.

The Statement of the MLOC/"CPUSA (M-L)" Central Committee levels the most serious and grave charges against the COUSML. The declared purpose of this statement is to inform the world that "the COUSML is not merely another opportunist organization" in that "The COUSML has passed in recent months from opportunism over into the direct activity of police agents and provocateurs." And according to the MLOC Continued on page 10; see SOCIAL-DEMOCRACY
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statement, the COUSML "acts in the direct ser
vice of state monopoly capitalism". Further
morratic liars don't even attempt to back up these vicious
slanders with a single shred of evidence. It is
clear that they have simply resorted to mad ravings to save themselves from ruin. But the
MLOC is not the first opportunist group to gras at these disgusting methods of the most vicious
and unsubstantiated slanders. For many years f Chinese revisionism, the lackeys of the U.S. China imperialist alliance, resort to the charge that "the COUSML is CIA". And when asked for
facts, for confirming evidence, these revision-
ist jackals would throw their hands in the air and st jackals would throw their hands in the air an
declare "we heard it from the Chinese"! And it must-founder of the Studies which was an agency of this same U.S.ratic sect is still parroting the lies and slander circulated by the Chinese revisionists.
Nevertheless, the totally raving nature of this
statement tells a great deal. It is, in fact, a ull and complete declaration of confirmation on the part of the Central Committee of the MLOC
"CPUSA(M-L)" of everything we have said about the history, development and present role of hat organization. The Statement does not contest, or even attempt to contest, a single charg
of our study. To the contrary, it only confirms
our study to the letter. The MLOC/"CPUSA(Mdemocratic agency for the infiltration of the Marxist-Leninist movement. And it has taken to esperate means to save its skin.
In our study and exposure of Barry Weisberg MLOC we painstakingly demonstrated with facts er of this organization was trained and nurtured as a social-democratic, anti-communist publicist
at the Institute for Policy Studies in Washington, D. C. We demonstrated how his mentors, fel-
lows and associates at IPS go in and out of top levels of the executive branch of the governmen
and many come directly out of the intelligence was a State Department and a Defense Depart nent advisor under Kennedy. Barry Weisberg's was on Kennedy's National Security Council. osition at IPS in 1974 to become the Special Counsel to the Director of the Central Intelligence
Ageney in 1975.) We elaborated how the big boureoisie funds and directs the IPS as a social-democratic agency for the infiltration and liquidation
of the revolutionary movements. We researched he thoroughly anti-Marxist-Leninist, counterrevolutionary writings of Mr. Weisberg to find
that, in fact, he had been well trained in his work We documented the work of the Bay Area Institut for Policy Studies - a social-democratic and was the co-founder. And we outlined the course urer followed to smuggle himself into the Marx st-Leninist movement.
ent does not contain a sin acts. They dare not and cannot - of these are undeniable, because they are the truth which tears the mask off this miserable social-demoin our study. And we repeat our open challenge
to the Central Committee of the MLOC/"CPUSA ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}$ )". If you have any reply to our exposure
your efforts at social-democratic infiltration of he Marxist-Leninist movement, then publish it penly before public opinion. The fact that you
ack the courage to do so is yet further proof that you are nothing but a bunch of anti-communist
social-democrats. Cireulating criminal abuse social-democrats. Cireulating criminal abuse against others cannot save you either but only fur masters of intrigue.
THE MLOC'S STATEMENT DOES NOT CONTES SINGLE WORD OF OUR CHARGES AGAINST
THEM, BUT CONFIRMS OUR CHARGES TO THE ETTER

The MLOC's statement tries to duck our charges with the pathetic plea that COUSML "concocts ife". But just how have we "concocted and dis orted"? Where? And in what way? The State-
nent says nothing. There is not a word of extitute for Policy Studies. The MLOC avoids the of his crime. The Statement continues with an even more obvious dodge, that our account "is
selected to omit any mention of more than a dec Weisberg, beginning in the late 1950's, fought ctively against discrimination in housing," etc
With this oh so clever ruse the MLOC has let th whole cat out of the bag. Instead of refuting ou
charges of where Weisberg comes from, they have confessed to them by trying to claim tha ve have distorted the truth by omission - that
o say "granted Weisberg was a trained anticommunist publicist at IPS, etc., etc., but you
must not select only these things and omit his must not select only these things and omit his
revolutionary activity"! Of course, these claim of so-called "revolutionary activity" are not sub
stantiated either and are at best dubious. For example, the Statement claims that Weisberg
"actively mobilized opposition to zionism" while we can read in black and white in Weisberg's
model of socialism! But this is not the point and c have never claimed that Weisberg did not par-
icipate in political activity. We have only claim ed that he is a trained, professional social-demcrat who has fought with all his energy against crat who has fought with all his energy against iquidation of the revolutionary movement. As for Barry Weisberg's alleged earlier political acivity, that is neither here nor there, particularly as the Statement carefully omits any characteriivity. For example, the IPS itself took part in all the political movements that the Statement ists. Obviously, this hardly proves the revolu-
tionary credentials of the IPS. To the contrary, ig the mass movement and attempting to liquidate ing the mass movement and
The Statement also asserts that Weisberg pubicly refuted the anti-communist book Beyond Repair, which he authored. That too is neithe till upheld the formulations from this book. On he contrary, we stressed that he maintained the ame basic social-democratic politics but added n a glossy cover of "Marxist-Leninist" phrasemongering. But since the Statement makes the assertion that Barry Weisberg publicly refuted he book, it should have said when and where and iven the content of this refutation. And if the book has been refuted then why does the Statemen
 i. Beyond Repair, and that this book was merely have we "completely dis rted and misrepresented" in this anti-commuist book? This book openly attacks Marxism Leninism and communism. It openly opposes the class struggle and the proletariat. It openly opposes the proletarian revolution. It openly denounces the idea of the dictatorship of the prole
tariat. And it openly condemns the idea of the arty of the proletariat. The book gives a com letely consistent line on these questions with and anti-communist ideas. What is really eclec ic is Barry Weisberg's and the MLOC's present osition in which they try to reconcile Marxisteninist phraseology with the social olitics of the book Beyond Repair.
The fact that the MLOC Statement is defending roof of what our study originally pointed out aout this book: "the social-democracy of Weisberg's Beyond Repair and the social-democracy f Weisberg's MLOC are at base the same. Both re dedicated to the infiltration and subversion o the revolutionary movement with the most rightis undying hatred against revolutionary Marxismeninism." (see "Against Social-Democratic Infiltration of the Marxist-Leninist Movement"

THE COUSML REMAINS IRRECONCILABL UPBVERSION OF THE MARXIST-LENINIST SUBVERSION
MOVEMENT
pointed out earlier, the anti-co ravings in MLOC's Statement against COUSML nd when you cut through the frantic te leas nosturing in the Statement, it is clear tha he MLOC has based all its extremely grave and ens ang: that the COUSML opposes Barry Weisber
his MLOC/"CPUSA(M-L)". The Statement leges that "the recent slanders and fabricatio of the COUSML are related today to a sharp e calation of attacks against the CPUSA/ML by state monopoly capitalism and fascist reaction."
This, in fact, is a most interesting claim. Firs This, in fact, is a most interesting claim. Fi gainst the CPUSA/ML by state monopoly capitalism" is concerned, neither ourselves nor anyone else has any idea of what "attacks" are possibly being referred to. We too read Unite! and it has reported on any "escalation of attacks" what oever, unless, of course, you include the rain-
torm that soaked their offices last spring! The athological liars of the MLOC have cooked up his "escalation of attacks" in their own brains their And, secondly, it is clear that Barry Weisberg social-democratic sect has suffered heavy blows rom the work of the revolutionary Marxist-Leninists of the COUSML. We are irreconcilably opposed to the efforts of the social-democrats, revisionists and opportunists of whatever type to subvert the Marxist-Leninist movement. It is or this reason, and this reason alone, that the t merely another opportunist organization" but not merely another opportunist organization" but them all. This only shows that it has been the COUSMI Tlone which has correctly shouldered he Marxist-Leninist responsibility of maintain g vige against the infiltration of the ranks f the revolutionary Marxist-Leninists by social egard as a crime, but this is what we regard as our honor.

Another indication of the totally raving nature f MLOC's Statement is their charge of "agent allegedly trying to "destabilize basic units of the PUSA/ML". The MLOC is particularly upset try comrades "have made repe. elfort that our comrades have tried to meet with and have political discussion with the MLOC pople have political discussion with the MLOC people
for the purpose of explaining our vicws. Serious political discussion is what Barry Weisberg and
co. regard as a crime, but we regard it as our responsibility. However, the very idea that the MLOC considers our comrades' efforts to talk to their people as attempts to "destabilize the crous, bility, decay and fear of collapse haunting this social-chauvinist sect of vagabonds. This is fur ther evidence of the power of the Marxist-Lenini truth.

In the final third of the Statement of Barry Weisberg and co., it finally gives up the ghost. The statement makes a feeble and incoherent at tempt to add a poltical colo and to the hyster ported allegations. This political coloration combi $f$ ser pitio and tition of worm-out old slanders. A typical example of the hysteria that the Central Committee of the "CPUSA (M-L)" has been reduced to is its emphatic charge that the COUSML has "merel 'national committee' and no Central Committ What principled political issue can reside in the difference between the names "central commit and national comnitteo. Here Barry Weisberg and co. are following the practice of dropping enigmatic idiotic remaks in the hope that they will be taken for gems of profundity. Straining hard to find some pretext for their Shameless anti-communism, Barry Weisberg
and co. only manage to echo the tired-out arguments of the "three worlders". This social-dem ocrat sect finds its mission in opposing the revoflown terms by imitating the "three worlders" in their pontification about the lack of the revolutionary situation. The Statement attacks the COUSML's correct view of the objective and s jective conditions as expressed in the Call of the National Committee. The Call says that "the basic contradiction between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie is at the bursting point" and talks of the "great class batlies to come in the 1980 Weisberg and co. regard this as "out-and-out degenerate". But this is only to be expected fro a group which can cower before GM and tell the auto workers that they better not strike such a powerful auto monopoily, and which manifests workers that they should not the for fear that it will collapse, While the subjeotiv condition given by the call is "To organize the condition given by the call is "Io organize the and building of the Marxist-Leninist Party in the midst of the revolutionary mass movements This is absolutely correct.
Further imitation of the "RCP, USA" "three Worlders" is contained in the position of Barry They ridicule "self-moving basic or the party. Thus the "RCP, USA" denounces the party on the grounds that the party allegedly prevents the re lease of the initiative of the revolutionary move ment, while the "CPUSA(M-L)" agrees with the ROP, USA that he party should mpon and and so they attack self moving basic orgatza and so the tence of the party is a force enhancing the selfmotion, initiative and correct orientation of the revolutionary movement. The Marxist-Leninist is only truly powerful when he works under the discipline of the party. But the social-democra agree with the "three worlders" in their antiMarxist idea of the party
Continuing down the line in imitation of the "three worlders", Barry Weisberg and compa raise a lot of cultural nationalist and "three worlder" hysteria on the national question. Barry Weisberg and company are following slavishly be hind the socialist-segregationism of the Konsk strugale arainst racial discrimination a

## RESOLUTION

and progressive people that is the life of the ant struggle against imperialism is the only true forward for the anti-nuclear movement.

The Chicago Conference against U.S. imperia ism's criminal nuclear energy program holds hat it is necessary to STEP UP THE FIGHI GAINST THE NUCLEAR PROGRAM OF U.S IMPERLALISM! by:

1) increasing propaganda and agitation against aspects of U.S. imperialism's nuclear ene and in the schools,
increasing opposition to all the war preparaons of U.S. imperialism, including opposition ice, against the U. S.-China alliance, against phony disarmament schemes such as SA LT II, tc., because the development of U.S. imperial ill-round war prepgy progrations
encouraging and developing all mass struggle gainst the U.S. nuclear program and supporting he increasing militancy of the movement, ) developing and increasing opposition and exposure of the enemics of the anti-nuclear mox ment, especially the "left wing" hacks of Democratic Party, and their program,
2) working to unify the movement in Chicago on his basis, for a genuine people's movement a gainst U.S. imperialism's nuclear power program nd war preparations
S IMPERIALISM'S NUCLEAR ENERGY PROGRAM! PROGRAM OF U S TMPFRIALISM!
supremacist position" and a denial of the existenc of the Afro-American nationality. And Weisberg respect to the people of Mexican nationality. (It is also typical of the methods of Weisbrg and co. that he replaces the word "nationality" with "ancestry" and then shouts about liquidating the na tional question.)
The "CPUSA(M-L)" goes further and raves a gainst the People's Socialist Republic of Albania in the exact manner of the "three worlders", by its reference to the "parroting their 'bright red bastion of the moment. This is the most vul the Soviet Union of I nin and Stalin the social democrats attacked "the arents of Moscow" and now the social-democrats of today are attacking the "parroting (of) their 'bright red bastion' of the moment". The land of the dietatorship of the poletariat is indeed the bastion of world revolu-

This is the only proletarian internationalist conception. As well, all this talk about "paroting is also an expression of the furious impotence of the "CPUSA(M-L)" in front of the fact that the Marxist-Leninists have a definite welldefined denunciation of Mao Zedong Thought, while "CPUSA(M-L)" is twisting and turning this way and that.
The Barry Weisberg group does further servic to the "three worlders" in its opposition to the struggle against modern revis of in in this this struggle Besides the fact that it calls thi struggle the "parroting" of Albania, it raises the bizarre objection that there is some contra diction between upholding the Marxist-Leninist trend in fierce struggle against the opportunist trends, which it calls finding one's "mission" in "identification with or against a particular trend" and in "the defense and elaboration of Marxism Leninism". This is just fancy sophistry to say: don't fight the social-chauvinists, dont strike out against the "three worlders", and don't, don't fight the social-democrats. This has gone to the extent that the "CPUSA(M-L)" had to abjectly conthat it had "not carr(ied) out the struggle $\frac{\text { against }}{}$ Chinese revisionism in the fullest possible way This is a euphemism for opposing the struggle against Chinese revisionism tooth-and-nail. Fur ther Unite! had to admit that it was in a complete disarray on the issue of the "overall assessment of Mao Tsetung" and of Mao Zedong Thought. It the question sed, admited that it iews" rest sionist seeds and the present revisionist weeds After all, for the "CPUSA(M-L)" the question of Mao is unimportant anyhow, for according to the Statement of the "CPUSA(M-L)": "nothing more program, tratery and tactics, than . exal attention to individuals " In reatity the "CPUSA lecting its total incomp questions of program, strategy and tactics with its complete fiasco on the question of Mao Zedong Thought.
The Statement also makes all sorts of fantastic istence in the world. These claims do not even deserve to be replied to. Everyone knows that it is the "CPUSA (M-L)" which is a mere paper ganization. Under the blows of the revolutionary arki-Leninists, utter catastrophe in the face. That is why it has been reduced to an incoherent state of hysterical blabberings. The wild ravings of Barry Weisberg and con. are proor of the efliveness of Marxism Lenism ind struggle against social-democrapledge to cary the strule We in tole sledge to hues through to the end
"MAO ZEDONG
ist-Leninist Party for the entire British proletar iat and, with the changing of the Party's name to the Revolutionary Communist Party of Britain (Marxist-Leninist), the crucial process of re building the Marxist-Leninist Party for the entire British working class and people had been gloriously achieved.
Where does
Where does Mr. Birch stand today? He stands in open support of an out-and-out revisionist. He stands with the most reactionary chauvinist ideas and conth the British bourgeoisie. He stands against the PLA and socialist Albania and against the developing unity of the international Marxist-Leninist communist movement.

DEEPEN THE STRUGGLE AGAINST ALL FORMS OF REVISIONISM, DEEPEN THE STRUGGLE AGAINST "MAO ZEDONG THOUGHT" AND ITS FOLLOWERS AND PROPAGATORS IN BRITAIN
Messrs. Birch and "pre-party" collectives have been caught red-handed. Their activities
over the past twelve years or so have been finally over the past twelve years or so have been finally mask ba "suddenly" disinterrated. They have mask has suadenly standing on the side of the proletariat, on the side of Marxism-Leninism, the side of revolution, but on the side of opportunism, the bourgeoisie and the capitalist system. m

