Social-Chauvinists Do the Splits

How to Support/Oppose the Draft

The emergence of the widespread mass anti-war movement has put the CPML and the whole of the Workers' Parties in the uncomfortable position of playing the part of agents for U.S. imperialism. This is as much as admitted in the front page article "The Call" itself. C. Davidson, one of the moldy opportunist leaders of the CP(ML) tries to pass off as "theoretical" warnings in a signed article in the March 24th edition of the RCPT. This is what "the real attitude of the CPML" is in order to create more favorable conditions for revolutionary struggle—what we call "ethereum".

The CPML (and other "left" groupings) is obviously designed to encompass all of them in one big, "left" cover. The CPML/RCPT serve as a tool of the imperialists for the coming war. They are naked defenders of the imperialists for the coming war.
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In brief, the CPML and the whole trend of "left" terrorist groups are completely out of touch with the mass movement of the广大 masses of people In overthrow imperialism. The CPML, instead of leading the masses of people in overthrow imperialism, is now supporting imperialism.
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For more on this question, please see "Davidson's article, at first glimpse, appears to be nothing more than a clumsy and unconvincing exposition of the movement against imperialism under the heading of May Day as a case in point:"

Continued on page 26

International May Day Message from Jamaican Revolutionaries

When the Masses Unite, All Reactionaries Will Tremble

We came to the U.S. already hating the oppression of our people in Jamaica by U.S. imperialism. We came looking for genuine revolutionaries to unite with, in common struggle, to get rid of U.S. imperialism. We found the RCP and we found Revolutionary May Day. We were always told how strong the U.S. is supposed to be and how far out of the U.S. grows the empire. But we have seen through both, for May Day has woven strands in the U.S. are long to do the system in. May Day 1980—millions of people around the world will march, singing into their hands. And millions are watching us workers in the U.S. will now march side by side with workers of the rest of the world.

We will be marching with you and we hope to see May Day in Jamaica. The RCP has a weapon to fight this system and that's Marxism-Leninism, Mao Tsetung Thought. We as members of the Young Forces for National Liberation (PFFN) are preparing for revolution in Jamaica. To win our liberation the tens of millions must be chipped off in our country. We have fought first British and now U.S. imperialism. While people all over the Caribbean are fighting now against U.S. imperialism, U.S. imperialists are peeling the backbone of the revolution to the last bone. The May Day dog is a monkey. We strongly support the RCP in the battle for Revolutionary May Day. We believe May Day will be a sparking light to shine the path to revolution in the U.S., so we can only inspire millions the world over in struggle for our liberation.
The Call's readers: we, too, will soon drop our token opposition to the draft and call for an unqualified defense of U.S. imperialism.

The sight of these "communists" swarming up and down over the flag and in front of old glory was enough to make the CP(ML) gape in shock. What is CP(ML)'s decision not to come out publicly in support of the draft at this time? A decision that stems from their reaction to social-chauvinist line—no one is more disgusting to the CP(ML) than someone who back-steps and betray the spontaneous movement (and therefore unable to serve the U.S. imperialism) that it fits their true position and the reasons for it.

Of course, the policy of actively working for the defeat of one's own ruling class is a theme in Davidson's work. Lenin fought for World War I against the social-chauvinists of the Second International, as stated in Davidson's article, Lenin, whose party alone adhered to the side of social-chauvinists and betrayed of the working class which spread throughout the world. But far from being in any way perturbed, it is right in line with a series of equally reactionary positions on any subject, run out in exactly the same slimy way and almost the same revenge-like content. A column consistently serving to run out more blatant versions of the counter-revolutionary lines taken in the paper's editorials. They wish to see the World War II as a capitalist war to put on the price tag for the defeat of World War II of the working class which is seized upon by pro-imperialism, who have enslaved more people than ever before. Illusions of a million and a half workers, since their main interest is to defend the capitalist system of imperialism, and not necessarily require a tactical adjustment similar to that of World War II is that the CP(ML) does not even dare to make that the survival of a social-imperialist threat is an attack from any other imperialist power. This is revealing, because it is well known that Klonsky & Co. follow the battle of revisionism and transforms China, which still claims to be, and whose foreign policy is currently based on an alignment with the U.S. imperialism against the Soviet Union. Why, don't they make you do all of this argument, false as it would be in reference to China and in a situation before the war had even begun or an attack by the Soviet Union on China had even materialized? Because basically the social-chauvinist line of the CP(ML) is not determined by "orders from China" but by their own counter-revolutionary nature. And quite simply the American people are not much interested in defending today's China so what would be the point of their argument? They don't deal about it. Klonsky likes the revisionists traitor that run China because he likes revisionism, and also because he hopes the support of a "paragon" like China will help to further his smelly little career, and aid him in ingratiating himself and his organization with the U.S. ruling class—which is his ultimate strategic objective.

The CP(ML)'s line of World War II is a gross example of slavish service to the bourgeoisie, carried out a in a caved, clinging, cowardly, and sneaky manner. But despite the way in which they are doing this, it is right in line with a series of equally reactionary positions on any subject, run out in exactly the same slimy way as the same revenge-like content. A column consistently serving to run out more blatant versions of the counter-revolutionary lines taken in the paper's editorials. They wish to see the World War II as a capitalist war to put on the price tag for the defeat of World War II of the working class which is seized upon by pro-imperialism, who have enslaved more people than ever before. Illusions of a million and a half workers, since their main interest is to defend the capitalist system of imperialism, and not necessarily require a tactical adjustment similar to that of World War II is that the CP(ML) does not even dare to make that the survival of a social-imperialist threat is an attack from any other imperialist power. This is revealing, because it is well known that Klonsky & Co. follow the battle of revisionism and transforms China, which still claims to be, and whose foreign policy is currently based on an alignment with the U.S. imperialism against the Soviet Union. Why, don't they make you do all of this argument, false as it would be in reference to China and in a situation before the war had even begun or an attack by the Soviet Union on China had even materialized? Because basically the social-chauvinist line of the CP(ML) is not determined by "orders from China" but by their own counter-revolutionary nature. And quite simply the American people are not much interested in defending today's China so what would be the point of their argument? They don't deal about it. Klonsky likes the revisionists traitor that run China because he likes revisionism, and also because he hopes the support of a "paragon" like China will help to further his smelly little career, and aid him in ingratiating himself and his organization with the U.S. ruling class—which is his ultimate strategic objective.

As for World War II, while Davidson and Poulet do not consider the fascist war as a battle against fascism, it was seen as a just fight to defeat the fascist power; this is once again a distortion of the true situation. It is a fact that it was only the invasion of the Soviet Union by Germany, and the destruction of the peasants organizations of the national sector to defend the world's first socialist state, that fundamentally altered the character of the war. In that situation, it is no longer possible for the party to engage in minor skirmishes with the armed forces of the working class. It is necessary to take a hard line against the fascists and develop the popular uprising in an increasingly strident terms from the masses to the masses to the masses to the masses.

People of the World Unite to Defeat the Fascists and the War: This call amended from the earlier "People of the World Unite to Defeat Imperialism!"—has been dumped entirely. So has the "organization of the masses to victory against the war". In its place, the words "Voices for Socialism in the United States" are in the masthead. The masthead of People's World is now a non-entity. The "call to action" that is supposed to be perceived as a respectable, abiding, social-democratic journal of opinion, about as dangerous as the "democratic socialists." Without a doubt, the CP(ML) has a great "latent radicalism" in its membership, and a great deal of "latent radicalism" in its leadership. Another letter regarding the arrest of 48 Italian radicals in Monreale, Montclair for disrupting the speech of a representative of the Shah opposes the arrest on the basis that they didn't have any guests in our country, and we must extend to them our guarantee of our Bill of Rights if we are to stand up to nation under laws. Trying and jailing them is not going to bring one hostage home, rather we should extend our own precious rights and our courtesy to all people and all countries and thereby be the example.

What commentary can do justice to such garbage! In defense of The Call's reader's correspondence, however, it must be said that although they all appear to be incredibly backward, at least they have the courage to flaunt their backwardness naked and unashamed. Instead, of running around in a G-string to D.C[]={9,12,"en",null}