Sycophant Gets Syndicated

"Has World War III already begun?" This line appeared in the beginning of a star-spangled article on the ever-escalating war-adventure in The Call, newspaper of the CPML. Does it sound familiar? Indeed, we wondered in the January 1 issue of the "What's happening in the Middle East?" column whether Kline's contribution could be overlooked in the Associated Press wire service. The result: a string of pressure points ... "Clearly, in the incredibly swift span of less than five years, the Soviets have gained some considerable recognition that America's foreign influence" becomes "Moscow is competing with Washington for control of markets, resources and spheres of influence". Interestingly, "Moscow is competing with Washington for control of markets ... " etc. This little bit of press boost-balking was absolutely necessary, no doubt. The U.S. imperialists are understandably hesitant to print articles, however favorable to them, in which they are labeled as, of all things, imperialists! There are also other barely discernable, but nevertheless slick, changes made by Kline designed to subtly enhance our perception of the "Soviet menace." For example, the phrase "In only the last five years, the Soviets have garnered a string of pressure points ... " has been amended to read "In only the last five years, the Soviets have gained a string of pressure points ... " Clearly, in the incredibly swift span of the blink of an eye, Kline has sunk from CPML social-chauvinist back to straight-out yellow journalist for the bourgeoisie.

In Kline's syndicated version of the Call article, the CPML's catchy criticism of the U.S. rulers for being limpeted with appeasement of Soviet aggression has been toned down to a bit. Why needlessly bite the hand that feeds? However, Kline still cannot bring himself to mildly chide the U.S. for not being belligerent enough; "Washington's few countermeasures are not likely to provide an effective response." This doesn't mean, of course, that Washington should take no action whatsoever. The CPML's only opposition is to the allegedly slowing response of the U.S. imperialist's military machine.

But the caper to the CPML's kick-ass article is a rather thinly disguised call for increased U.S. military intervention in the Middle East and Asia. We are set up for this with strange statements like, "To be sure, Asian and other third world nations are certainly not in favor of the U.S. re-embarking on its own expansionism in Asia. Few would welcome the dispatch of U.S. troops to the region, observers have pointed out."

Then, as we are scratching our heads trying to ferret out just which few nations would, in fact, welcome the dispatch of U.S. troops, we turn to The Call for enlightenment. The article is written by an anonymous contributor, signifying that the "Call" paper is usually the most reliable "source." From this source, we receive a bit of wisdom to ponder: "There is widespread support among test-tube babies and the like that the Call (Aug. 1978) along with the Pope and other noted humanitarians, have wisely warned that one can just imagine the horrific consequences that the Soviets have in mind. These experiments are a dangerous provocation that demand an immediate response in kind from the U.S., and not just any little "wrist slap," either. The deployment of wooly mammoths on a large scale in border areas of the Soviet Union could greatly alter the strategic balance of military forces in the world and provide the Soviet expansionists with the needed edge for their war plans, and smash the plans of the U.S. and Western European countries for lasting peace and friendship in the world. The almost miniscule allied elephant force would be no match for these 12-foot behemoths. It is also quite possible that some of these creatures could literally crush the already inferior NATO tank divisions stationed in Europe.

In light of this alarming development, we believe it to be of the utmost urgency that the CPML take up this matter either directly with their friends in the U.S. government or through their connections in Beijing. Perhaps selective air strikes aimed at all Soviet elephant herds (including zoos) would be the appropriate response; since without elephants to ant the mammoth embryos in the experiments, cannot proceed. Of course, this would have to be accompanied by the strongest possible denunciation against Soviet allies, in particular, with its large elephant reserves.

We are sure you realize that it will be an uphill fight to convince the U.S. imperialists of the immediate necessity for such action due to the dangerous influence of the appeasers in the U.S. ruling circles—especially in view of the fact that the absolute minimum action that must be taken is to deport the Soviets and existing grain shipments, but lets see what happens when his poison is injected. The CPML has so far been refused to send U.S. troops into Afghanistan to confront the Soviets. It would be an interesting new twist to see how patriotic he is when it comes to the real test—cutting off all peanut shipments to their countries.
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Tusk, tusk! Soviet have mammoth idea for a baby

Chicago Sun Times, Tuesday, January 11, 1980

By DAVID KLINE

Worried that his reputation will be damaged by a new book, the author of "Tusk, tusk! Soviet have mammoth idea for a baby" has decided to pull the plug on his publication. Kline, who is well known for his controversial views on Eastern European politics, had been planning to release the tome as a way to boost his profile and increase his readership. However, he has since decided that the book is too controversial and has decided to withdraw it from circulation.

The book, which was due to be released last week, is a collection of essays and articles that Kline has written in recent years. In it, he explores the relationship between the Soviet Union and the rest of the world, and argues that the Kremlin is plotting to take over the world. Kline's views have been controversial in the past, and he has been known to make inflammatory statements in his writing. However, he has always denied that he is a fanatical ideologue, and has insisted that his views are based on evidence and facts.

In a statement released today, Kline said: "I have always tried to write about the world in a balanced and objective way, and I believe that my views are based on solid evidence. However, I have decided that the book is too controversial, and I have decided to withdraw it from circulation."

Kline's decision is likely to be met with a mix of reactions. Some readers are likely to be disappointed, while others are likely to welcome the decision. However, the book's withdrawal is unlikely to have a major impact on Kline's career. He has already established himself as a respected author and commentator, and his views are widely followed by a large audience.

The book's withdrawal is likely to be a blow to the publishing industry, which has been hoping for a strong sales performance. However, the decision is unlikely to have a major impact on the industry, as Kline's work is already available in other formats, such as online and on radio and TV.

Kline's decision is also likely to be a blow to his reputation as a controversial figure. He has been known to make inflammatory statements in the past, and his withdrawal of the book is likely to be seen as a sign of weakness. However, he has always denied that he is a fanatical ideologue, and has insisted that his views are based on evidence and facts. It remains to be seen whether this latest decision will damage his reputation.

In conclusion, the withdrawal of the book is likely to be a blow to Kline, but it is unlikely to have a major impact on the publishing industry or his reputation as a controversial figure. He has always denied that he is a fanatical ideologue, and has insisted that his views are based on evidence and facts. It remains to be seen whether this latest decision will damage his reputation.

Signed,
A loyal American and CPML supporter