MORE CONSPIRACIES
MORE INTRIGUE,
GETTING TOGETHER?

I. We believe the recent joint statement of the ATM-"M-L" and INK on the formation of a "Marxist-Leninist" Party ("CPSM-L") and the initiation of joint efforts to form a committee to unite "Marxist-Leninist" forces in consultation with other "Marxist-Leninist" forces as an important step in forging communist unity.

II. Why haven't INK and ATM-"M-L" joined the "CPSM-L"? There's so much more unity needed, isn't it? ATM-"M-L" says its first issue of its "Revolutionary Cause" was an attempt to form a "Marxist-Leninist" force. "The left line" and the right line have agreed to work together. But in the June issue of "Revolutionary Cause", the "left line" is still suffering from its own "unified" problems.

"CPSM-L" tells us in its June "Happy Birthday" issue of The Call: "This week marks the first anniversary of the CPSM-L", and the "right line" today. The "left line" says ATM-"M-L" doesn't respect the "Marxist-Leninist" line all along and only made right errors. The June issue of "Revolutionary Cause" shows the "left line" is still suffering from "unified" Party still needs to be forged.

"CPSM-L" tell us its June "Happy Birthday" issue of The Call: "This week marks the first anniversary of the CPSM-L", and the "right line" today. The "left line" says ATM-"M-L" doesn't respect the "Marxist-Leninist" line all along and only made right errors. The June issue of "Revolutionary Cause" shows the "left line" is still suffering from its own "unified" problems.

III. Of course, for ATM-"M-L" and INK it amounts to keeping their old forms as long as possible, maybe they hope that their leadership will not reconsider its recognition of "CPSM-L" and then they can rise as sole representative of the "third world" peoples in the U.S.

If INK and ATM-"M-L" join "CPSM-L" temporarily then they stand a chance of losing the "individual" "collectives", "forces" or other groups of petit-bourgeois nationalists and social chauvinists, who continue to stand and are consolidated on the traschereous theory of the "three worlds", but who at the same time refuses to be swallowed up by the "CPSM-L". Some might even shift positions under the pretext of polemics against the theory of the "three worlds" while remaining social chauvinists.

The weakening by factional infighting in Revolutionary "Communist" Party (RCP) who tried to oustlick "CPSM-L" by founding its Party, has temporarily, maybe permanently, put these out the dog-eat-dog race. Meanwhile ATM-"M-L" and INK have made a rallying call to the other "third worldist" purged elements from the Revolutionary wing, "new" collectives, etc., to join the "unity trend". One such new coalition has been composed of purged elements who are still figuring out how to continue fraternal relations with ATM-"M-L" and INK, who assisted them so well in altering the Stalin Code while not making their line unity with "CPSM-L" too obvious.

IV. ATM-"M-L" and INK therefore presently are playing the middlemen role, which they have historically played, while openly joining in "efforts" with the "CPSM-L", at the same time they must remain outside to join in efforts with the forces still on the loose, who have their own hegemonic agendas but are still too weak to make open challenges on "CPSM-L" or negotiate with them from outside.

So ATM-"M-L" - INK join in efforts with "CPSM-L", but still challenge "CPSM-L"'s proclamation of being the "guardian Party", "CPSM-L" feeling no great challenge, yet, allows this undermining of itself to go on - to meet more long range goals. "CPSM-L" may get impatient and push its "third worldist" cohorts harder, in which case we may get some "political line" polemics out in the open, actually we may be bombarded by some "internal views" of behind closed-door maneuvers, and ATM-"M-L" - INK may get off the pot and reverse its unprincipled alliances into unprincipled realiances. Or ATM-"M-L" - INK might get off the pot and be satisfied with some positions on "CPSM-L" leadership and editorial board of The Call, correspondents abroad to impress further some elements and bring along with them whatever loose social chauvinists they can muster in the next months or within this year.

The proletariat can rest assured that in either the social chauvinists will continue to demand their "own" bourgeois for crimes which the U.S. imperialists throw them from the superprofits which they rip off from the plunder of the colonies and neo-colonies.

The three forces here scheming jointly in their "efforts" of "unity" gave great praise to the French and Belgian troops for another fine exhibition of colonialists military maneuvering in Zaire. The three forces here scheming "advised" the U.S. working class to support the bourgeoisie in the signing of the Panama Canal treaties, which is designed to tighten U.S. control over the Canal.

The three spokesmen for "Marxist-Leninist unity" are openly criticalising the U.S. "appaseance policy" towards the Soviet Union, reprinting their "own" bourgeois for its lack of backbone and "helplessness" for allowing itself to be "used" by Soviet social-imperialism. "They will out this "appaseance", they say to the bourgeoisie, and in so doing help up to MADT, the strengthening of MADT, the strengthening of U.S. imperialism, a hated enemy of the world peoples, no less but equally dangerous as Soviet social-imperialism, assisted and defended by the social chauvinists.

The outcries of "unity" are accompanied by the instigation of imperialist war preparations.

"In April President Carter announced a decision to dearm-production of the neutron bomb, a weapon designed to counter Soviet tank assault on Western Europe" (Getting Together, ibid.)

Two very calculated lies are propagated here, 1- The U.S. imperialist have not"deferred" production of the neutron bomb or other nuclear war equipment. 2- The neutron bomb is designed as a way to blackmail and threaten the peoples of the world into submission to the capitalist revisionist world order. Only social chauvinists can speak of the neutron bomb as "a matter of fact", while covering up that it is the most vicious weapon to date designed by the imperialists to exterminate masses of people while whole buildings can remain standing.

The U.S. and Canadian bourgeoisie have promised the Western European capitalists "a steady supply" of uranium with the condition that restrictions (cont. on pg. 16)
EDITOR'S NOTE

To All Comrades and Friends, Readers and Subscribers of Bolshevik:

The "Statement by the U.S. Leninist Core On China's Withdrawal of Aid for Albania" will be published in Spanish in the next issue of Bolshevik. We were not able to translate it for this issue of our central organ, as this would have further delayed publication by two weeks. The withdraw of aid from Albania is a hostile act on the part of the leadership and government of the People's Republic of China, who have violated the principles of proletarian internationalism, acting in a big-power chauvinist manner, and is of deep concern to all class conscious proletarians.

We must fight for the one Leninist-Leninist line of the international communist movement, defending the principles and norms of proletarian internationalism which guide relations between fraternal parties, in struggle against modern revisionism and all forms of opportunism, combating unprincipled attacks, conspiracies and intrigues. It is for this reason that we have published the Statement Concerning China's withdrawal of aid from Albania, and will translate it into Spanish for the next issue of Bolshevik.

GETTING TOGETHER?  Con't from pg. 4

As observed in the production of bombs, Western Europe agreed. (See Times Magazine July 31, 1978 issue)

The social chauvinist "third worldist" provides covers, while the U.S. NATO bloc make use of the demagogy of their new mask, the theory of the "three worlds", to go full-swing ahead in their vicious plunder and rape of the colonies and neo-colonies. While preparing for World War with the Soviet Social-imperialists to subdivide the world amongst themselves: It is the masses who suffer, who have to shed their blood, while the capitalists no matter how small their country, join the imperialist to carve up their piece of the pie. But the "third worldists" can be "Brezhnev's" and once again that the people of Western Europe must be prepared to defend their national independence from Soviet aggression. (Revolutionary Cause, June 1)

The open call to the proletariat of the Western European countries to give up Proletarian Revolution, the only way out of the horrors of war, and to instead align itself with the revanchist bourgeoisie of Western Germany, the French proletariat with the French bourgeoisie, the Italian proletariat with the Italian bourgeoisie, the Canadian proletariat with the Canadian bourgeoisie, the Japanese proletariat with the Japanese bourgeoisie, the proletarian with the capitalist world. Such is the treachery of the revisionist theory of the "three worlds". Under the guise of "unity", under the guise of exploiting the inter-imperialist contradictions, the "third worldists" internationally have struck an open alliance with the imperialists big and small. Under the guise of national independence for the so-called "second world", the revisionist "third worldists" have eroded class struggle instead of the contradiction between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie in the capitalist countries, which is resolved through proletarian revolution. Now we are told that its a contradiction between the "people" of Western Europe and Soviet social-imperialism.

For ATM-"M'-1" and INK this is not a change in line but their consistent social chauvinist position. Both have historically held that the principle contradiction in the U.S. has been (is) between the nationally oppressed and the bourgeoisie, between the "third world" people and the bourgeoisie, between the "minorities" and the bourgeoisie, and now there is no contradiction, according to the "third worldists", with the U.S. bourgeoisie at all. Its become one of the contradictions that we are to resolve.

Now well the social chauvinists form their yellow alliance. How openly they defend the right of their "own" bourgeoisie to plunder and rape the colonies and neo-colonies. How professionally they apologize for the blunders of Mr. Carter, to the rest of the bourgeoisie. Foaming at the mouths, they stretch out their hands to receive the crumbs, self-righteously they protect their privileges which they so "rigorously" defend. Its no wonder they attacked the central task, party building, propaganda the chief form of activity, the advanced workers who will cut the grass from right under their feet. All this they do under the hypocritical outcries of "unity of the majority of Marxist-Leninists". Doomed to failure, they shall come out more desperately begging their own bourgeoisie to recognize them as the sole representatives of the "people". In the dog-eat-dog race between "C" "M'-1", INK, and ATM-"M'-1", they have all earned their yellow tag, of social chauvinists.

"WE'VE HAD ENOUGH OF EMPTY TALK, AND OF PROSTITUTED 'MARXISM' A LA KAUSKY. AFTER TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL, AFTER THE BASE MANIFESTO, THE WORKERS WILL NO LONGER BELIEVE FIVE WORDS: OPPORTU

NISM IS NOTIM-APPE: IT HAS BEEN TRANFORMED INTO SOCIAL-CHAUVINISM AND HAS DEFINITELY DESERTED TO THE BOURGEOISIE CAMP. IT HAS REVEaled ITS SPIRITUAL AND POLITICAL TIES WITH THE ECUMENICAL MOVEMENT. IT WILL ALSO BREAK OFF ITS ORGANISATIONAL TIES. THE WORKERS ARE ALREADY DEMANDING 'ILLEGAL' PAMPHLETS AND 'BANNED' MEETINGS, ETC. UNDERGROUND ORGANISATIONS TO SUPPORT THE REVOLUTIONARY MASS MOVEMENT. THE VICTORY OF THE REVOLUTIONARY MASSES DOES NOT CEASE TO BE IMPORTANT WORK, LC). IN SPITE OF ALL DIFFICULTIES, SET-BACKS, MISTAKES, DELUSIONS AND INTERRUPTIONS, THIS WORK WILL LEAD TO HUMANITY TO THE VICTORIOUS PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION."