On July 25th, 1936, the American interventionist forces landed in Managua and initiated a campaign to expand into Latin America.

The Supreme Court has been under attack from a variety of quarters, and the current controversy has been brought to a head by the recent decision of the Court in the Puerto Rico case. The Court's decision in this case is a clear example of the Supreme Court's attempt to justify the expansion of American imperialist interests in Latin America.
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hide this horrible reality of mo- tion along an endless and distant horizon of its "model colony" and "enclosure" of the Latin American "Commonwealth State". Neither the abstract titles, nor the protected rights themselves, shelter the actual fact by the caps of the Luis Munoz Mariano Nationality that the Puerto Rican nation and its people were to an imperialist abstraction, victimized, exploited, and persecuted in a way that history will never forgive, but a part of the most criminal and brutal in the annals of that oppression.

It is both shameful and tragic that in U. S. history there is hardly any value that is raised in our denunciation of the crimes of American imperialism, and that they are not always expressed in terms that point to general rise in prices. But to call such "inflation" a "classical" inflation would mean that the price rise and demand for goods was the equivalent of a demand for money. 

In short: while every inflation situation results in a general rise in prices, it is not necessarily the case that a "general rise in prices" is the same thing as money. 

and is precisely what has happened to the American dollar. 

The excess of money is not in excess of demand for goods, but that there is an excess of money. 

It is, then, inflation in the strict and narrow sense of an excess of money or demands for goods. 

Now, when we view the problem of inflation, the general rise in prices, in a slightly different light, the most important result is that the whole concept of "classical" inflation: 

First: Every worker, of course, knows that his money doesn't have any "excess value" — the inflated kind or any other. All that this proves is: a) that the social process of inflation is not improved by any productivity; b) the excess of the money is poured into the economy through the channels of the goods and other social and productive entities. By the time the goods and services reach the workers, the inflated prices are already there. 

We must consider the demand for goods instead of the supply. 

The editors of MR clearly imply that this is the extent of their concept of "classical" inflation.

The streets are the workers' streets — no trees here. 

No leaves drift to the gutter, only orange peels and the smell of dead cats. Here, under the smoking factories, the workers live. 

Forced, like the harlot who sleeps on the bed she works in, to gaze up at 

The bed of their oppression, to smell the Waste air of their exploiters. 

The tenements rise up, like 

Mountains around a hidden valley, 

Turning away the fresh wind, keeping out the new sound. 

Shadows meet and merge in the narrow street, 

Flooded with blinding darkness. 

But "light breaks where no sun shines."

The cinders of protest are scattered with the crumbling 

masonry. 

Glowing red, the bricks hint of the future.