Chairman Mao's Revolutionary Line Has Smashed The Bourgeois Reactionary Line

- Article by E. F. Hill, Chairman of the Australian Communist Party (Marxist-Leninist)

E.F. HILL, Chairman of the Australian Communist Party (Marxist-Leninist), in an article published recently in *Vanguard*, roundly denounced China's Khrushchov and warmly lauded Chairman Mao for his splendid contributions to the development of Marxism-Leninism.

The article says: "The role of China's Khrushchov at every stage of the struggle of the Chinese people is shown to be one of treachery, one of adapting the revolutionary movement to capitalism. On the other hand, Chairman Mao, in opposition to this role, upheld and profoundly and creatively developed Marxism-Leninism."

The article points out that Mao Tse-tung's thought has won tremendous victory in the great proletarian cultural revolution which is "carrying to a new climax the proletarian revolutionary line's defeat of the bourgeois reformist line."

It stresses: "What is of special importance to us in Australia (and all revolutionaries throughout the world) is that just this struggle has been going on in the international communist movement since the foundation of Communist Parties.

"Which road to take — the road of revolutionary socialism or the road of bourgeois reformism?

"Like Thorez and Togliatti, Sharkey, Dixon, Aarons (revisionists in the Australian Communist Party) took the road of bourgeois reformism. It was an international process. They surrendered, capitulated to capitalism. Today, like China's Khrushchov, whose fate they lament so much, they have become obsequious, and ingratiating, oily, sanctimonious servants of capitalism."

It goes on: "Genuine revolutionaries upheld Marxism-Leninism. Today they uphold the highest development of Marxism-Leninism, the thought of Mao Tse-tung. That thought never once compromised with the enemy. When the communist 'authorities' Thorez, Togliatti, after World War II, handed over to the imperialists the arms of the workers (and China's Khrushchov was for the same line), Mao Tse-tung upheld the correct Marxist-Leninist line that guns were critical to the revolutionary cause."

The article stresses that Marx resisted opportunism in the international working-class movement. After his death Engels carried on the struggle. Then Lenin stood out against the leaders of the Second International. Later, in the struggle against modern revisionism, Mao Tse-tung upheld and developed greatly the thought of the great Marxists who were his predecessors.

In conclusion, the article says: Today revolutionary Marxism-Leninism can be seen in all its splendour. New champions are emerging. A whole range of Marxist-Leninist revolutionary organizations are taking shape. This is thanks, above all, to the genius of Mao Tse-tung.

Soviet Revisionists' Slanders Cannot Harm The Great China

— Statement by V. G. Wilcox, General Secretary of the Communist Party of New Zealand

V.G. WILCOX, General Secretary of the Communist Party of New Zealand, in a recent statement published in *People's Voice*, organ of the C.P.N.Z., strongly denounced the Soviet revisionist ruling clique for despicably and vainly trying, through its mouthpiece *Izvestia*, to shift the U.S. imperialists' responsibility for aggression in Southeast Asia on to the Chinese people. The statement exposed the treacherous nature of the Soviet revisionist ruling clique which, serving as an

accomplice of U.S. imperialism and betraying the revolution of the people of the world, has a deep hatred for the invincible thought of Mao Tse-tung.

The statement said: "A new depth has been reached by the revisionist Soviet leadership in vile betrayal of the world's peoples and in covering up for U.S. imperialism's drive to world domination. This depth is plumbed by the Soviet newspaper, *Izvestia*, which puts the blame

for all United States aggression and fostering of brutal regimes in Southeast Asia — on China! *Izvestia* makes the specific and fantastic assertion that increased U.S. domination of India, Indonesia, Thailand, 'Malaysia,' the Philippines and Japan is China's fault. Even the more than 400,000 U.S. troops in south Vietnam and the pirate bombing of north Vietnam are said by *Izvestia* to be China's fault. The paper especially cites China's development of nuclear weapons, a defensive move, as provoking U.S. escalation."

It pointed out, "All this deliberately deceptive rubbish means that socialist China should not support antifeudal, anti-imperialist revolutions in Southeast Asia. For this allegedly makes the Central Intelligence Agency and the Pentagon, those twin arms of the United States imperialist aggression, more active and ferocious."

Stressing that the imperialist system is the root cause of wars in the contemporary world, the statement pointed out that imperialism made socialist revolutions as inevitable as the imperialist wars.

The statement exposed that U.S. imperialism, in order to achieve its ambition for world domination, has pushed its nuclear blackmail policy to the point of brinkmanship.

"This strategy could work only if it scared its intended victims out of their wits. Unfortunately, it suc-

ceeded in doing just that to an umprincipled gang of careerists who seized the leadership of the Soviet Union. To cover their craven desertion from the anti-imperialist battle, they dressed up old theories of 'peaceful coexistence' and 'peaceful competition' and called them creative Marxism-Leninism. Marxist-Leninists called these 'theories' revisionism."

The really special role played by the Soviet revisionists in their collusion with U.S. imperialism for world domination "is to try to tear down the genuine creative Marxism-Leninism of the present day — the thought of Mao Tse-tung," it emphasized.

However, it continued, "That thought is not terrified by U.S. imperialism's nuclear brinkmanship. It says that imperialism and all reactionaries are paper tigers and so are nuclear weapons. It says that real strength lies with the people. It says that the people will destroy imperialism and 'the bomb' — not the other way round."

"This is the background to *Izvestia's* lying attack on China. It is not China's red light that has provoked escalated U.S. imperialist aggression. It is the Soviet revisionist leaders' green light."

Izvestia's mud-slinging at the great Chinese people "is just another case of a thief crying 'stop thief!' to shift the real blame from himself," the statement **concluded**.

Only Mao Tse-tung's Thought Can Guide the Indian Revolution to Victory

 Article by N. Sanmugathasan, Member of the Political Bureau and Secretariat of the Ceylon Communist Party

N SANMUGATHASAN, Member of the Political • Bureau and Secretariat of the Ceylon Communist Party, in an article carried on September 3 in the English language weekly Red Flag, organ of the Party, strongly rebuked the shameless betrayal of the revolutionary cause of the Indian people by E.M.S. Namboodiripad and other revisionists in the Indian Communist Party, and stressed that only Mao Tse-tung's thought can direct the Indian revolution to success.

The article said that despite their label of "Marxists," what the revisionists in the Indian Communist Party did was no different from the policies carried out by the Dange renegade clique. Just as the present Soviet revisionist ruling clique is carrying out Khrushchovism without Khrushchov, so the new revisionists in the Indian Communist Party are carrying out Dangeism without Dange. That is why in certain states of India these revisionists reached agreement with the Dange renegade clique on electoral united fronts. That is also why they were able to form governments in

Kerala and West Bengal with the aid of the Dange clique. "This fact alone should have clearly revealed the real nature of the neo-revisionists."

The article said: "These neo-revisionists are now wallowing in the mire of parliamentarism. Worshipping at the shrine of constitutionalism and parliamentarism, all their energies are spent on operating the bourgeois and landlord state machinery and in working within the four corners of the imperialist bourgeois constitution."

Their worst crime of betrayal, it pointed out, is their attitude to the revolutionary struggles of the workers and peasants, particularly to the armed uprising of the Naxalbari peasants who courageously rose up against feudal oppression. These revisionists described the struggles of the long-suffering Naxalbari peasants as "economic struggles" and allowed the police force of their own state government to suppress the peasants, to kill and imprison them.