YER 320.5323 RIC

## RabbleRouser

NO: 35.

AUGUST 2000

Committee for a Revolutionary Communist Party in Australia

The Defence Amendment Bill. This Bill passed through the House of Representatives in a day and is expected to pass the Senate late August. 2000. The Bill has received very little publicity in the mass media, therefore the average citizen could believe the Bill is to provide security during the Olympic Games. The Bill allows a call out of the military to deal with 'domestic violence', that is..demonstrations and riots. The armed forces

will be able to seize property and search people and under the legislation, are provided with legal protection if the troops wound or kill. They can be called out on the say-so of the Prime Minister and two other ministers. The Bill has no use-by date, so it is permanent and not for the Games.only. The

Labor Party did not oppose the Bill. The ALP, Chifley government used the troops in 1949 to break a strike involving the waterfront and the Hawke ALP government used the airforce to break the Pilots strike. So let us cast aside all illusions in the ALP. The armed forces are part of the State machine., and the police. the Courts, the Security, the Jails The state is the bourgeois state. The state belongs to the owners of the means of production. The state is the product of the irreconcilability of class antagonisms. The armed forces in Australia , as elsewhere, protect

the private property of the runny class, the bourgeoisie. New legislation or not, the armed forces will and can be called out against the people if the bourgeois class believe their property is threatened.. On September 11-12-13 the World Economic Forum will meet at the Melbourne Casino. No doubt there will be demonstrations as there were in the USA when the millionaires meet to talk about their profits and plunder around the world. Times are changing. Masses of people turn out to disrupt these talk-fests. Each time demonstrations took place against these organs of Capital, the troops came out. Some people believe the armed forces are subservient to and answerable to, the civil authorities. This

legislation will over ride State authorities and all that apparatus called Parliament. Parliament does not rule; Parliament obeys the commands of the owners of big business: the true rulers of Australia. So when the national interests are threatened, it means the interests of the ruling class. The mass media elevated the Australian troops who served in East Timor to saint hood. According to press reports a vicious form of bastardization has been taking place in the army with allegations of torture and beatings. Not a new story. Similar stories surface from time to time\_The troops were videod having a concert. Th 'concert' was targeted at homosexuals and lesbians. It was so vicious that some politicans called for counselling and re-education for the Australian armed forces. All imperial troops display these traits and Australia is not an exception.....

## The Stolen Children case

fails. A Federal Court Judge brought down a 700 page decision dismissing the claims of two Aborigines who had been taken from their parents. The Judge told a Darwin Court that the Aborigines had failed to show the Commonwealth had not acted in their best interests by taking them from their families up to sis decades ago .. He believed the female was 'viciously assaulted' in the Home and the male was sexually abused at the Catholic institution to which he was sent.. The case could not be proved because of few records, missing files etc, The finding was 700 pages and cost \$12,000,000. The Aboriginal community is outraged and they are calling for a Tribunal The oppressed never get justice from the bourgeois courts. The Stolen Generation in Australia is a national disgrace.

The Prime Minister will not apologize for the nation. His henchmen say 10 to 20 % of children is not a generation. It is more like genocide. Stealing children, then called "half-cast' went on all over the British Empire. The children were removed to Homes run mostly by Churches who were to turn the children into servants and labourers. With the Bible in one hand and the whip in the other, many abused these children . The authorities changed their names even. There are adults searching everywhere for their mothers, their families and their land. The working class must be on the side and act with the indigenous people to see justice is done and compensation paid by the guilty parties, whether they be government or Churches. While we fight for justice for the indigenous people , we must be

people , we must be sure it never happens again.

NEWSFLASH:- No matter who you vote for the (bourgeois) government will get in.

Parliamentary elections in Australia are a sham. Eligible voters in the forthcoming Federal election are supposed to make their non-choice between Tweedledum or one of the Tweedledumbers posing as an 'alternative'.

Election '98 is controlled by the

Election '98 is controlled by the bourgeoisle. Its real purpose is to legitimise the system and the policies and actions of the ruling class, giving them the mantle of a "popular mandate", and of limiting and controlling the political activity of the masses of people.

No really fundamental change ever happened in this social system because of voting or elections. The whole Australian parliamentary set-up is a cover for the domination over the exploited and oppressed by the Australian monopoly capitalist ruling class (bourgeoisie).

How does real change happen? It comes through struggle — through uniting people to do what needs to be done to expose and oppose those who oppress the people.

Intense struggle by the people is what forces the Australian ruling class to grant concessions. Political and social change in Australia was forced through by struggle, not by voting. Those old enough to remember can recall that Labor's great god Whitlam rode into power on the back of the people's struggle of the sixties and early seventies.

Australia and its political leaders are not

Australia and its political leaders are not tundamentally controlled or even particularly influenced by the desires of voters. It is the other way around: the election time is when the broad population is trained to accept and support those policies that the ruling class intends to carry out.

Sometimes the Australian ruling class instal Labor, at other times its the Liberal Coalition. Some people voted for Labor during the Hawke-Keating years thinking that Labor would bring progressive changes. Did those votes for Labor bring any positive changes? One needs only to ask the question to answer

And now some self-described socialists are calling to "throw the Liberals out". That's just another way of saying "vote Labor." The minor "differences" between Tweedledee and Tweedledum ought to fool no one. Think back to the Liberal years of Fraser and the subsequent Labor years of Hawke and Keating—the basic conditions of life for those on the bottom of society were no different!

Lenin pointed out that by virtue of its fundamental economic traits, capitalism in its monopoly stage (imperialism) is distinguished "by a minimum attachment for peace and freedom, and by a maximum and universal development of militarism". "To 'fail to notice'

this" in the discussion of the question of peaceful or violent change is "to stoop to the position of a common or garden variety lackey of the bourgeoiste."

The idea of the "parliamentary road" which is still publicized by various phoney communists and fake socialists was thoroughly refuted by

Lenin and discredited long ago.

Events since World War II have demonstrated yet again that the chief component of the bourgeois state machine is armed force and not parliament. Parliament is only an ornament and a screen for bourgeois rule. To adopt or discard the parliamentary system, to grant parliament greater or less power, to adopt one kind of electoral law or another — the choice between these alternatives is always dictated by the needs and interests of bourgeois rule. So long as the bourgeoisie controls the military-bureaucratic apparatus, either the acquisition of a "stable majority in parliament" by the proletariat through elections is impossible, or this "stable majority" is undependable. To realize socialism through the "parliamentary road" is utterly impossible and is mere deceptive talk.

Even If In certain circumstances a Communist Party should win a majority of the seats in partiament or participate in the government as a result of an electoral victory, it would not change the bourgeols nature of partiament or government, still less would it mean the smashing of the old and the establishment of a new state machine. It is absolutely impossible to bring about a fundamental social change by relying on bourgeols parliaments or governments. With the state machine under its control the reactionary bourgeoisie can nullify elections, dissolve parliament, expel Communists from the government, outlaw the Communist Party and resort to brute force to

suppress the masses and the progressive forces.

When a workers' party degenerates and becomes a hireling of the bourgeoisie, the latter may permit it to have a majority in parliament and to form a government. This sort of thing only serves to safeguard and consolidate the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie.

Events since World War II have also shown that if Communist leaders believe in the parliamentary road and fall victim to the incurable disease of "parliamentary cretinism", they will not only get nowhere but will inevitably sink into the quagmire of revisionism and ruin the revolutionary cause of the proletariat.

The concluding words of the Communist Manifesto say it all:
The Cammunists disdain to conceal their views and aims. They openly declare that their ends can be attained only by the forcible overthrow of all existing social conditions. Let the ruling classes tremble at a Communist revolution. The proletarians have nothing to lose but their chains. They have a world to win. PROLETARIANS OF ALL COUNTRIES, UNITE!

## VIOLENT REVOLUTION IS A UNIVERSAL LAW OF PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION

The key question in every revolution is that of state power. And the key question in the proletarian revolution is that of the seizure of state power and the smashing of the bourgeols state machine by violence, the establishment of the dictatorship of the proletariat and the replacement of the bourgeois state by the proletarian state.

Marxism has always proclaimed the inevitability of violent revolution. It points out that violent revolution is the midwife to socialist society, the only road to the replacement of the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie by the dictatorship of the proletariat, and a universal law of proletarian revolution.

Marxism teaches us that the state itself is a form of violence. The main components of the state machine are the army and the police. History shows that all ruling classes depend upon violence to maintain their rule.

The proletariat would, of course, prefer to gain power by peaceful means. But abundant historical evidence indicates that the reactionary classes never give up power voluntarily and that they are always the first to use violence to repress the revolutionary mass movement and to provoke civil war, thus placing armed struggle on the agenda.

Hence Comrade Mao Tse-tung's celebrated observation that "political power grows out of the barrel of a gun".

## There Is

No Parliamentary

Road to Socialism