Behind Attack on China

SOVIET LEADERS STAB VIETNAMESE IN BACK

Left: Wilson campaigns for June 18th elections. Above: Auto workers marching in London are part of class upsurge. Right: Racist Enoch Powell (top) calls the tune for the Tories, headed by Heath (bottom)

England: Rightist Threat Behind Tory Campaign

NY Hospital Workers Face Showdown
SWP Turns To Labor Bureaucracy in Cleveland

BY DAN FRIED
From the May 22 issue to the June 5 issue, the Militant, weekly organ of the Socialist Workers Party, reflected a sharp shift in perspective on the labor movement. In the May 22 issue, the headline blared forth: "Antiar War University keep spreading across the country; focus on May 30 action." The paper was dominated with articles on the ongoing fight for the "anti-war university," calls for extension of the student strike by strike councils and a perspective of extending the student strike to "build to May 30." In short, the Militant reflected the SWP's student power orientation with a vengeance.

The SWP has fought resolutely against the student movement that is the center of the antiwar struggle. But as "practical" people who glorify power, they could not or would not notice that a sizeable sector of the labor movement—among the rank and file of the unions that entered the student movement in its own right. So in the last issue of the student strike, the SWP gave its "Frontline" headline to "Antiwar " breakthrough in union movement. "

This referred to the New York May 21 rally in which the SWP was able to spread the antiwar movement and to the antiwar action ad signed by 450 San Francisco trade unions. They also gave front-page treatment to the forthcoming May 21 "Emergency National Conference" under the head, "Unions join in opposing antiwar parade." At least on the surface, this issue of the Militant along with the SWP's campaign through the EC and its connections with certain student officials, reflected a marked turn toward the labor movement.

PRAGMATIC
As in all the recent issues of the SWP, since the capitulation to the insidio-

istic method of Fabiansm, the Militant simply reflects a pragmatic adaption to "this action." Over the years the SWP has been converted from a vanguard strategy to the leadership of the working class, to an opportunist rear-

guard. The Militant, therefore, is the most enthusiastic campaign, tailing the "May 6" campaign. But the working class is very clear from Elijah Muhammad and Malcolm X to the National Women's Bloc, Bella, women's liberation, Chicago power, student power and now to a section of the union movement.

The current switch does not mean that the SWP has changed its class orientation but simply that with the clos-

ing of the student movement, which was in dissolution or repression of many of the "antiwar universities" the SWP has, at least temporarily, found more "practi-

cal" areas in the labor movement. The current pivot of the movement was seen as only one element in a struggle within which the antiwar university would be able to reach this concept was expressed in the May 15 Militant. The SWP said that the student, "supposedly after capturing and hold-

ing the universities and converting them into antiwar bastions, would reach out "to all communities into the neighbor-

hood, the labor unions, the Afro-American and other Third World Organiza-

tions, the churches and synagogues, the women's groups, the political organiza-

tions, the military installations—and organize the student anti-war movement that will have the power to actually combat an end to the killing as well as to home." The "labor union" on May 15 are among the churches, synagogues, and the political organiza-

tions, which we must assume include the Democratic and Republican "political associations."

RELUCTANT
Not only not seeing the antiwar "union" sub-

merged under the weight of the popular antiwar activity on May 19, but the SWP consistently and resolutely opposed the demand of the Workers League put for-

ward at major MLC gatherings since last February's Cleveland conference for major labor action against the war on a class program and for the construction of a revolutionary workers unity front. When the Militant stated that the mass action of last Oct-

ober was a "victory" for the movement, the leadership of the movement was into opposition to the war through the trade union and the creation of a revolutionary leadership of these unions, the Militant commented.

In the November 17 issue of the Inter-

continental Press, SWP writer, Leo Rousso, launched an extensive attack on our co-

nicians in the Workers League, Labor League of Britain, for their "fascism" of trade union participation in the anti-war move-

ment. This was on the very eve of the

November 15 march on Washington in which thousands of workers participated.

ANTI-WAR UNIVERSITY
At the height of the student strike movement, after the student-based march to Washington on May 9, the Militant still maintained its perspective of the develop-

ment and spreading of the "anti-war university" as the way forward for the movement. Realizing that students who "united" could not "unite" together with the faculty must do at least something outside the campus, the Militant of May 15 editorialized:

"Preparing and building for May 30 demonstrations can be seen as a common endeavor for the forces of the new universities. Our purpose is to reach out to the noncampus communi-

ties to bring them into the May 30 demonstra-

tions. A campaign to win endorse-

ments from unions can prove especially

fruitful. At this point the Militant had moved, however, sidestepped, in calling for the "en-

forcement" of unions, but as an adequate to the student led demonstrations. It should be noted that the criticism of these "endorsements" along the line of Evans' "intercontinental press" article is missing. Not leading, but reacting to events—this is the method of the SWP. Only after the May 21 City Hall rally did the SWP ethnographically register the already accomplished fact that a key sec-

tion of the labor bureaucracy and rank and file had moved into action against the war.

In contrast, at the time of the invasion, the Militant was still pushed by the "unions" from calling for major labor action against the war and raised the "demands" of the MR 700 line. The SWP posted petitions were solicited among trade unions, the militaristic May Day plan, etc., to New York labor leaders demanding major action by labor. Fifteen thousand leaflets were distributed by Workers League members and supporters calling for a massive labor rally in the garment center. When the plans for the labor rally at City Hall on May 30 were announced by a number of labor bureau-

ocrats, the Workers League together with many students and young workers distrib-
uted over 5,000 leaflets in their own to build this rally on a class basis. At the rally itself, the Workers League distributed more than 100 "Build A Labor Party" signs and took the lead in railing the labor party demand in the SIU-911 con-

tingent of 5,000 workers.

The May 21 City Hall rally in New York represented far more than an en-

dorsement by the trade union bureaucrats. The student protest movement was the important section of the rank and file of the trade union movement, and so the SWP turned their movement and on which they are building the Cleveland conference. This is the Militant's statement of solidarity, but the power party in the SWP sees this collaboration, both with the SWP and the CP as a means of keeping the rank and file revolt in the unions under control. The most important potential of the student movement that SWP must give leadership to the rank and file on a class basis.

ALLIANCE
The statements above of those bureaucrats reflect the rising anger and the labor movement's resistance against the war. However, very few of these bureaucrats have fought against mass rank and file participation. This is a different issue, however.

May 21 rally was called at City Hall and not in New York's garment center where hundreds of thousands of workers might have participated. They seek to channel the movement into their organizations and into support of capitalist politicians like LBJ, who are leading the attack on the working class.

This is also true of the SWP and the CP is a way of keeping the rank and file workers into safe channels of a "militant people's front" collaboration with the Labor Party of the Soviet Union. In the May Day Conference, the SWP makes clear that if it will not support the antiwar struggle of the labor bureaucracy on the latter's polit-

ical line, then the rank and file workers will move to an independent political line for strike against the war, for example, unless the bureaucrat raises it. This is not a question confronting the working class, as it is a question confronting the alliance of the unions, infiltration and unemployment and the independent political action of the working class is either rejected by the workers, the SWP fights AGAINST bringing politics into the conference, thereby leaves unchanged the continued political alliance of the labor bureaucracy and the labor bureaucracy and the liberal capitalists through the popular front. It is a question which is not a question confronting the industrial state powers support for working class policies. Evidently the Militant's method of which the Militant so enthusiastically supports the "emergency national conference" is to raise "emergen-

cial questions of socialism, and that is done by the working class."

This conference is not intended to solve all the problems of our crisis-ridden society. It is not a conference to put an end to the "gathering storm" or to put an end to the "gathering storm."

The turn of the SWP toward "labor" is in reality a further shift by the SWP to a new type of alliance with the liberal section of the labor movement through the labor bureaucracy. The workers' class moves into action industrially and politically, the Communist movement to the sites. Labor bureaucracy to the left of the SWP, coming forward with more and more the trend to this movement of the working class, to keep it open to the right of the "progressive" ca-

channelling, to this Stalinist offensive and moves to reinforce the "labor movement" as a weapon of the war. These are the questions that the Workers League, with the SWP and the TSA movement confront.

The question is a question of the Labor League will carry forward the fight for a new politics based on the SWP's analysis against the rise of the "militant people's front" autonomy of the working class. All out to build a labor party!
AJS Rally Banned in France

As Assembly Passes Law

BY A BULLETIN REPORTER

Last week the infamous "anti-wrecker" law was passed by the National Assembly of France. This law gives the government the right to ban all "illicit" demonstrations, to impose a "moratorium" on all those who participate, and to hold any person present at these demonstrations responsible for all crimes committed against the law was in fact given up before it had even began. Where the law even passed the government decided to exercise its pow-
erful little weapon against the bourgeois partie. In the town of Valbruille a battle with the police took place after the party had looked up the director of their factory. He had a right to be there with them and had locked them out. The CNT, after informing the workers that they could treat with tear gas, engaged the 150 workers in a bloody battle in which 20 workers were injured.

On May 27th the government banned a Manifest group called the "Proletarian". The same day the government banned a demonstration by the CNT and police in the Latin Quarter of Paris in which 47 left wing students were arrested.

AJS

The most significant development was the government's refusal to allow the AJS (French Trotskyist youth organization) to hold a march and rally on May 24th to commemorate the 99th anni-
versary of the founding of the Com-
"The AJS has decided not to contest the government's decision which at this point would only provide another provocation for the government. All at the same time the AJS has taken upon itself the task of writing to students and workers in joint de-
manding that they continue to oppose the law. Purely student demonstrations are not only not sufficient, but also unfair to the students and the Stalinist leadership of the Com-
Urge all CNT members to participate in the left wing students of students.

COMMUNE

The banning of the Paris Commune demonstration called the "Proletarian" by the ruling class of the Trotskyists and the history of the Commune which was the first workers' government set up in the world, is followed by classes and demonstrations in the Latin Quarter and police in Paris in which 47 left wing students were arrested.
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1199 Must Prepare to Strike for New Contract

BY AN 1199 MEMBER

NEW YORK, June 8 - The negotiations between this city's major voluntary hospitals and Local 1199 are heading down to the wire, with the contract expiring on June 30th.

The hospitals are now openly pre-
paring for a strike. The workers, for their part, are also preparing for a show of force on their basic wage and hour demands.

It would be the biggest mistake for workers to conclude that there is little to be done between now and July last 
that will be settled on top or in quiet negotiations. Now is the time for the rank and file to make its aims unmistakably clear.

PROGRAM

The mass membership meeting called for June 16th must take this fight for ward. Every worker must be mobilized behind a program for victory in July.

1. The demands for a $40 or 30% increase, a coat of living clause, a classe-
ification system and the 25 hour week must be translated to an overall program of the hospital.

2. The bosses must be put on notice that they are facing a fight for their very existence.

3. The union must announce that it is prepared for a citywide strike action on July 1st, and prepare for this by work actions and demonstrations in the next 2 weeks.

4. The ranks must demand that a mass meeting be called to rally any settlement that is negotiated.

5. The bosses must be turned over to the job of mobilizing mass labor sup-
sport behind the plan for July.

Without an independent fight by the workers all the demands are gravely

undanger. This is the lesson of every major strike action in this city.

The contract on offer for the 1199 leaders to make the wage demands non-negotiable is a warning to the ranks. Their refusal in the 1964 contract struggle to call citywide strike action or a mass member-
ship ratification meeting weakened the ranks.

This policy must be changed now.

PROTEST

The hospital bosses are stepping up their propaganda campaign. Appre-
tensively timed reports in the press ar-

gainst hospital workers' wages have

erased faster than those of factory workers. More and more the complaint is heard that the hospital workers do not "de-
serve" even the $250 weekly wage they won in 1968 which has now been almost completely wiped out by inflation.

Not only are the bosses preparing for strike, they are also preparing for any settlement the workers win as a further excuse for job and wage cuts.

Mr. St. Hospital is setting up a vicious attack on both the hospital workers and the demographic population in the area in which it is located. Clinic and ward service cuts are threatened, with the blame to be put on increased wages.

Jed's treatment of the poor persons who have already overworked and decayed hos-
estics is likely to be the latest stop in the propaganda campaign.

Mr. Sinal can concentrate on private enterprise, the depressed demographic population, but it's the collective of hospital workers is reaching the explosive point.

The union must answer this without further delay. The attacks on the hospital
an answer to hyman lumer and others
by FRED MUELLER

V. STALINISM & REVISIONISM TODAY

THE STALINISTS CARRY forward the popular front in 1970 and the revisionists aid them at every point. That is the real line up of class forces within the working class movement today. The battle between Trotskyism and Stalinism is the fundamental question which will decide the future of the working class and of all humanity. In this battle the role of the Socialist Workers Party has become one of culation to Stalinism. As the class struggle deepens more and more workers and youth search for revolutionary solutions. The Communist Party comes forward with its daily newspaper and its new youth organization to trap serious militants in its reformist grip. The Stalinists are preparing right now for the call from the bourgeoisie. They hope to perform the same services they performed in the 1930s and during World War II. They are preparing for an open alliance with the capitalist class and the trade union bureaucracy.

The Communist Party requires an orthodoxy of cover for its revisionist policies. This is the meaning of the article in Political Affairs by the late Betty Gannett on Browderism. By formal assertions of orthodoxy, textbook criticisms of Browder's views, she aims to defend the CP's claim to be the continuators of Marx and Lenin.

BROWDER

Gannett limits herself to the following kind of rhetoric in her analysis of Browderism:

"Earl Browder, who headed the Communist Party for fifteen years, had enormous prestige within and outside the Party's ranks. Yet, it took but a few short months of intense and unshaken discussion of the policies he projected for the overwhelming majority of the leadership and membership to free itself from the quagmire of Right opportunism in which those policies had landed the party. The clearest repudiation of Browder revisionism was possible, first of all, because of the inner health of the party. What was decisive, however, was the fact that the ineradicable realities of world developments had already begun to expose the illusory conclusions Browder had drawn from the Teheran conferences."

(1)

As Gannett herself points out, it was really a question of illusions on Browder's part. Browder faithfully carried out Stalinist policy, it was the Stalinist illusions, not merely Browder's, which were shattered by imperialism in the closing days of World War II and immediately afterwards.

As we have shown, the moves of the imperialists forced upon Stalin certain defensive measures in the interests of the self-preservation of the bureaucracy itself. Browder did not go along with the new turn empirically forced upon Stalin and he became the scapegoat for old policies which could not be explained politically by the Stalinists.

Neither Gannett nor any of the other CPS leaders can explain Browderism because they accepted it completely and because the break with Browder had absolutely nothing to do with a principled break with class collaborationist policies. It is not only the connection between all of the present CPS leaders with Browder's policies in the past period which is involved. The Stalinists follow precisely the same policies today.

They come forward with the very same phrases and slogans which they used to browder for. They continue to call for permanent coexistence with imperialism. They expect their supporters to file away the criticisms of Browder and proceed today with the same line.

LENNIN

Lenin's greatest legacy to humanity was his axiom that peaceful coexistence was possible and necessary in this troubled world. The first act of the Soviet government, 48 hours after liberation, was Lenin's call for peace in the midst of World War I. That call continues ever more insistently to this day, and strikes deep into the hearts of countless millions, Communists and non-Communists alike.

And Joseph Stalin extends this theme in the April 25 Daily World:

"It began the day socialism triumphed over capitalism in the USSR. Twenty four hours after November 7, 1917, Lenin called upon all of humanity to end the war and live to live together in the common Earth. Peaceful coexistence was a theme he turned to again and again, teaching its possibility and its necessity. He believed different social systems could coexist and must. We live in a day when hydrogen weapons are available to both sides. Polite? Let the atom-dipped rocket fly and the good old Earth can be changed into a glassy ball on which not even a single humble bee could live. We would then know where all the flowers have gone.

This is truly monstrous. Of course what Lenin and the Soviet government said 24 hours after the succession of power had nothing to do with this pacific gibberish. Why don't the Party and the Daily World editors explain that the Soviet government abolished all secret diplomacy and published the secret treaties of the Czar? Why don't they explain that in order to save the power it had been necessary to turn the imperialist war into a civil war, to overturn the bourgeoisie policy that was the Leninist road to peace.

Hyman Lumer addresses meeting of Communist Party on Lenin Centennial Year.

Kremlin Attacks Viet Revolution

BY DENNIS O'CASEY

The Soviet bureaucracy has barage of counterrevolutionary role in Indo-China to date. This strategy is intended to沮m irresistible force in Indo-China.

In an article appearing last week in the Soviet foreign weekly, New Times, Peking is openly held responsible for the right-wing CIA group that ousted Prince Sihanouk and brought to power the Lon Nol regime.

The inability to haltCambodian in Cambodian affairs," the article states, "is one of the factors that pushed the rightist forces of Cambodia to a governmental overthrow and closer relations with the American imperialists."

Peking believes that Moscow has assumed too much responsibility for the defeat of Prince Sihanouk in Cambodia and has not been as involved as it should be in supporting the resistance forces of Cambodia.

The Communist newspaper claims that Peking's lack of involvement is due to its desire to avoid becoming directly involved in the conflict. The newspaper states that Peking is more interested in maintaining its influence in the region and that it does not want to be seen as directly supporting the resistance forces.

The article also states that the defeat of Prince Sihanouk was due to the lack of support from the Chinese government. The newspaper claims that Peking's inaction allowed the Lon Nol regime to gain control of Cambodia.

The newspaper calls for closer cooperation between Peking and the resistance forces in Cambodia to ensure their success.

The article concludes by stating that Peking's lack of involvement in the conflict is due to its desire to maintain its influence in the region and that it does not want to be seen as directly supporting the resistance forces.

LOCAL 2175 RED BUDS

The Local 2175 Workers' Union is in the process of developing a new bargaining agreement. The union is currently working with the Steelworkers Retailers to reach an agreement that will benefit workers in the industry.

The union is seeking improvements in wages, benefits, and working conditions. The company, on the other hand, is looking to reduce costs and increase profits.

Settlement

What was necessary was to tie the wage hikes at 2175 to their current very high standards and offer a program to the BTC to continue the fight against Westinghouse. Nixon and the BTC were in the Steelworkers Retailers' dilemma in Detroit and Pittsburgh.

One of the major issues in the negotiations is the union's demand for a new agreement that would provide for wage increases, benefits, and job security. The company, on the other hand, is seeking to reduce costs and increase profits.

The union has proposed a new agreement that would provide for wage increases, benefits, and job security. The company, on the other hand, is seeking to reduce costs and increase profits.

The union has proposed a new agreement that would provide for wage increases, benefits, and job security. The company, on the other hand, is seeking to reduce costs and increase profits.
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Cost

The cost, in dollars, is not just the invasion of China by U.S. imperialism but the destruction of all the historic gains of the world's working class in the 20th century.

There can be no talk of solidarity with the workers of China in their struggle against U.S. imperialism. China saw the world's most reckless example of the role of the imperialist agents in the Kremlins and the Communist Party.

At a recent forum held in New York City by the Workers' League, the Young Workers Liberation League, and the Young People's Liberation League, expressed encouragement at the growing movement in China and the U.S. and the Young Workers Liberation League, the CP, and the Daily World now stand in light of the Kremlin's lamentable action on China?
absolutely nothing to do with the perceptions of permanent peace with imperialism, or "laboring together with" the bosses. In order to assure the survival of the Soviet republics, the Bolsheviks had to sign their Brest-Litovsk agreement. Lenin openly proclaimed that this was a peace imposed upon the revolutionary government by the military power of Austria and Germany.

The Bolshevist policy is to press for a combination of all the states of Nations a thieves' kitchen. The Bolsheviks, who are in power in Russia, are under the domination of some of the foreign governments that are conspiring against the revolution in Russia. This policy is to further the interests of the wealthy classes, who hold the economic power and make decisions for the working class.
PARTY U.S.A.

The SWP accepts the popular front as its instrument. The "American people"—not the international working class—can defeat imperialism in Vietnam. Only by mobilizing the masses into the struggle against the war can a real popular front be established.

The SWP accepts the popular front in its insistence that the "American people"—not the international working class—can defeat imperialism in Vietnam. Only by mobilizing the masses into the struggle against the war can a real popular front be established.

IDENTICAL

Both the CP and the SWP have attacked in almost identical language the ultra-left groups which disrupted the April 15 union rally in New York at which several liberal speakers were scheduled. They denounce confrontational tactics as playing into the hands of the enemy. But what is their alternative? By fighting against a class alternative they are facing search and terrorist tendencies which express the tremendous frustration of sections of youth who see no way forward in the war against the war and war against war. This is summed up in the little of a recent debate between SWP leader Peter Camejo and a leading Michigan "peace" Democrat: "Which Way for the Student Movement—Into the Democratic Party or Into the Street?"

This is the familiar horror of the revolutionaries' own opposition to capitalism. It really amounts to capitulation to capitalism. What is their alternative? The "socialist" SWP represents a different class perspective from that of the anarchists and ultra-left groups. Yet any of these groups, including the SWP, can produce a class perspective which is different from the class perspective of the Democratic Party.

All these groups, from the dregs of the way to the anarchists, stand together for middle-class protest. The SWP claims to fight against class collaboration by fighting for a policy of keeping politics out of the anticommunist movement. Over and over again they stress this point. At the Cleveland Conference of the Student Mobilization Committee in February 1970, a USA speaker said it quite clearly: "When you come into this conference, you should leave your politics outside." But that is also politics! The political vacuum which the SWP and USA claim to favor is immediately filled by the dominant philosophy of the popular-lie-left.

Here too this front of "no politics" can be shown to flow directly out of the popular front. How many times have we heard that in the fight for democracy, in the fight against fascism, politics had no place, that it would destroy the democratic process? What is the lesson of history, of Trotsky's struggle against Stalinism, which the SWP is now clearly desiring to repeat?

Thus, in spite of all the indisputable evidence, the CP and the SWP present an identical populist front perspective. The Stalinist no longer uses the labor movement in order to boisterously fight the war, because it shows little interest in the working-class movement as it moves more and more into big struggles. The end result is the same. The SWP at the same time has produced two quite similar crimes against the popular movement, within both the student and labor movement.

LUMER

The attacks by the Stalinists upon the SWP arerowing, so far, over the top of the desertion of Trotskyism. It is significant that Lomer in his article "The Flight from Trotskyism," which has now been published as a separate pamphlet, expresses a complete and total repudiation of the tendency of the SWP toward the Stalinist perspective. He even goes so far as to say that the SWP has "abandoned" Trotskyism. The attacks by Lomer fall into two general areas. First he attacks Trosly upism, its history of struggle and its principles of revolutionary politics. He goes on to say that all of these principles are still alive today in an orthodox fashion.

Lomer's attacks, from the SWP's election platform of 1954 which attacks the Stalinist policy of peaceful coexistence. Lomer also attacks the SWP for positions which it no longer holds. Thus the logic of this position was the unan- ticipated support extended by the SWP and its revolutionary forces of the working class on the Soviet Union. The SWP has consistently supported the Soviet Union as a class power in the struggle for peace and against the war.

The SWP actually supported Dulles in the presidential election of 1952. It supported Dulles in the presidential election of 1956.
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The SWP accepts the popular front in its insistence that the "American people" are not the international working class can defeat imperialism in Vietnam. Try as they do to twist this perspective into something else, it is the essence of popular front politics.

Both the CP and the SWP have attacked in almost identical language the ultra left groups which disrupted the April 15 antiwar rally in New York at which several liberal speakers were scheduled. They denounced confrontation tactics as playing into the hands of the enemy. But what is their alternative? By fighting against a class alternative they are feeding anti-black and terrorist tendencies which ex- press the tremendous frustration of sections of youth who see no way forward in the fight against war and repression.

IDENTICAL

Both the CP and the SWP have attacked in almost identical language the ultra left groups which disrupted the April 15 antiwar rally in New York at which several liberal speakers were scheduled. They denounced confrontation tactics as playing into the hands of the enemy. But what is their alternative? By fighting against a class alternative they are feeding anti-black and terrorist tendencies which express the tremendous frustration of sections of youth who see no way forward in the fight against war and repression. This is summed up in the title of a recent debate between SWP leader Peter Camejo and a leading Michigan "peace" Democrat: "Which Way for the Antiwar Movement—Into the Democratic Party or into the Streets?"

This is the fig leaf of orthodoxy for the revisionists— their capitulation to capitalist politics. It really amounts to capitulation in capitalist politics. What is their alternative? The "struggle" in no way represents a different class perspective from that of the anarcho-leftist and ultra left groups. Nor are all of these groups, including the SWP, part of a class perspective which is different from the class perspective of the democratic forces. What is the lesson of Trotsky's struggle against Stalinism, which the SWP is now clearly desiring? That, in spite of all the disputes, the CP and SWP present an identical populist left front perspective. The Stalinists seek to bolster the liberal front in order to bolster the liberals directly. The SWP presents its struggle in order to bolster the democrats indirectly. They are in the same struggle, the strengthening of liberal imperialism, of bourgeois ideology, within both the student and labor movement.

LUMER

The attack by the Stalinists upon the black movement in order to bolster the liberal front is significant. In Lumer's "Counterattack Against Trotskyism," which has now been published as a separate pamphlet, he concentrates almost entirely upon the SWP, and his attacks against them as a way to bolster the liberals directly. The SWP accepts the claim to represent Trotskyism. The attacks by Lumer fall into two general areas. First he attacks Trotskyism, its history of struggle and its political positions, some of which he adheres to an orthodox fashion. Lumer is, for instance, a supporter of the election platform of 1968 which attacked the "Clique of Paredes" and its betrayal of the democratic forces.

Lumer also attacks the SWP for positions which it no longer holds. Thus he attacks the resolution adopted in 1944, which said that the labor movement was "the vanguard of the world revolution."
over to these policies. Its differences with the Stalinites therefore are not historically, not theoretically, but oppositely and tactically. To the extent it still defends the history of Trotskyism, it separates this history from the struggles today.

BANNERS

When the British and French Trotskyists carried banners commemorating the 10th anniversary of the Hungarian Revolution at the Liège demonstration against the Vietnam War in October 1966, the Pbolites tried to have these banners removed in the interests of their base with the Stalinite youth organization. This assertionism with Stalinites has been their policy ever since. Neither on the anti-war demonstrations nor in their newspaper are the revisionists capable of fighting Stalinites.

To the revisionists Stalinism has become “irrelevant.” And this is precisely what the Stalinists themselves would like us to believe. “Forget the past! Let us go forward today, not bickering with all these past problems!” They want to carry forward the policies of Stalinism without having to take any responsibility for the historical lessons and crimes of Stalinism. And the SWP has become its accomplices, even as it continues to masquerade as Trotskyists. This is the real relationship between Stalinism, revisionism and Trotskyism today. In order to destroy Stalinism politically it is necessary to defeat revisionism.

Stalinism originated as a revisionist tendency within the Soviet party and internationally. It reflected the interests of the dominant bureaucratic caste which had usurped political power from the masses of workers and peasants. This bureaucracy defied its newly won privileges against the working class and it used orthodoxy as the screen for its betrayals. On the ideological front this could only be accomplished by the complete negation of Marxism and the embracing of bourgeois politics. This is the significance of the theory of socialism in one country. Nationalism and pragmatism became the new tools of the Stalinists.

While devotion to the Soviet bureaucracy and its foreign policy line was used by the Stalinists to pose as internationalists, their policies were anti-internationa-

lionalist to the core. The development of Stalinism necessarily led to adaptation by each Stalinist party to its own national bourgeoisie. On the one hand the rule of the bureaucracy meant the continuing undermining of the conquests of the revolution in the Soviet Union. On the other hand, as part of the same process, it had to mean centralist tendencies within the international. Stalinist movement itself as each CP proceeded more and more from its own national considerations.

BROWDERISM

This was the meaning of Browderism. After Stalin’s death this process was accelerated, and this is the significance of the differences on certain questions by the British, French, Italian and other Communist Parties in relation to the Kremlin. In every case the leaders of these parties do not begin from the standpoint of an internationalist criticism of the Kremlin. Quite the opposite. Even when the criticisms expose the Kremlin as in the invasion of Czechoslovakia, it is more than suspicious that one bourgeois and as a part of a turn to sections of the middle class and later bureaucracy.

We have discussed how Foster and Cannon represented the blighted working class tendency within the early Communist Party in the U.S. and how they parted ways and went on to become the leaders of American Stalinism and Trotskyism respectively. In the early years of the Communist International the leadership of these bodies, in particular, struggled for the theoretical development of the American Communists, and against American pragmatism and nationalism which all the Americans brought with them into the movement. With the rise of the bureaucracy and Stalinist tendency, theoretical considerations were pushed aside, while Stalin maneuvered over national considerations between the factions in the American party.

PRAGMATISM

The struggle against American pragmatism was completely dropped with the going over of the Stalinist leadership of the Com-

munists to this same essential method. Stalin leaned upon the theoretical backwardness of his American followers to engineer the coup of Trotsky, who now continued Lenin’s struggle for theory and the revolutionary party. It was Trotsky who, as we have seen, took up this fight with his American supporters.

PHILOSOPHY

In connection with an intervention within the Stalinist ranks, on the trade union question, and on the question of the philosophical education of the workers, the Trotskyist movement has largely raised the question of Marxist theory and practice.

After Trotsky’s death this fight was not taken up by the Socialists. When Trotsky was assassinated the last harvest of American pragmatism has once more been reaped in the United States. The canon and the rest of the SWP leadership has been guided in the past by false and opportunistic conceptions which they fought, in 1938 and afterwards.

This is the meaning of the SWP line on the anti-war movement, its complete inability to fight Stalinism or for the Transitional Program within the working class. The Stalinists and revisionists begin with the same ideological method and end up with fundamental political agreement on most questions concerning the working class today.

The working class is on the offensive internationally and it is this offensive which gives renewed impetus to the Trotskyist movement. The tremendous strides forward which have been made by the sections and supporters of the International Committee of the Fourth International in the past period have only been possible on the basis of the fight against Stalinism and revisionism.

MARKETS

The British Socialist Labour League and the Workers’ Penn, the first Trotskyist daily newspaper, are milestones in this fight. A cadre of youth and adult trade unionists is being trained as Marxists and this will be the key to the coming general political revolution. In France the Trotskyist movement has made great gains among young workers and students. It was so vividly shown by the historic March 22 demonstration in Paris where 10,000 youth at Paris airport under Trotskyist leadership, has re-established its weekly press through the publication of the Bulletin on a weekly basis since November of 1969. All over the world the SWP and the Fourth International have made significant gains because they have unified themselves around a definite program of action before the world.

These tasks include the fight against Stalinism and revisionism. Leninism demand that it be the necessity of carrying forward the class struggle and drawing the working class into the economic, the political and the theoretical. These three fronts of the class struggle must be new taken forward.

It is the new upsurge of the working class together with the struggles of the revolutionary vanguard which have called forth the workers and Trotskyists in a new anti-Trotskyist campaign on an international scale. The latest expression of this has been the speech of Bredhoff to the Soviet Central Committee on the occasion of the Lenin Centenary. In that speech Bredhoff felt obliged to openly take up the mantle of Trotskyism.

The lesson is clear. Imperialism and Stalinism know the enemy they face in the advanced working class preparing for battle. It is in our job to deepen the struggle, to provide the working class with the necessary theoretical and political and organisa-
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Behind the French C.F.'s Expulsion of Garaudy

BY MELODY FARRAR

Roger Garaudy, one of the leading theoreticians of the French Communist Party, was expelled by his party cell on April 20, opening a split in the French Communist Party and revealing the plans of the Czech Communist Party to open up a series of trials against Dubcek and others.

The expulsion, as reported by the Central Committee, was by demand of the party cell itself. The vote in the cell on the expulsion is significant: eight voted in favor, five were against and one abstained. The close vote reveals, in part, just how the French Stalinites are afraid of the widespread desire among the party’s rank and file to open up a discussion of the planned changes, which Garaudy raised.

The official reason for his expulsion is his view that the working class no longer can play a revolutionary role in society, that the scientific and technical revolution has changed the “relationships of social forces.” In this respect Garaudy is to the right of the CP leadership. However, he is only carrying to its logical theoretical conclusion the present practice of the Stalinites: to claim the possibility of peaceful coexistence and subordinate the working class to the liberal bourgeoisie. Garaudy accused the leadership of “believing blindly what I am saying out loud.”

REAL REASON?

But this is not the real reason for his expulsion. At the 16th Congress of the French CP, where the groundwork for his expulsion was laid, Garaudy not only gave his views on the working class but attacked the party leadership for favoring a return to Stalin, for not speaking out against the increasing repression in Czechoslovakia and for remaining silent on the situation of Polish coal to Spain during the American mine crisis. This he was told at the Congress.

In a letter to the secretary of his cell following his expulsion, Garaudy wrote: “...one of the problems that has pored on for them (the leading group in the Party) led by George Marchand is the unresolvable crisis. I designate the crisis to the French people that the socialism that we are to install in France is not the same sort of socialism which Brno today imposes behind the Iron Curtain in Czechoslovakia. This group cannot account for all the questions. (one of those who with Alois Indra was the most active collaborator in carrying out the orders of the Soviet occupiers) In my letter which was refused to me to discuss Dubcek, Bela has not given me a response (In Russian “French leaders” for their invaluable collaboration in the policy of normalization).

RETURN

This attack on the leadership in no way means that Garaudy has posed an alternative to Stalinism. In other respects he made it clear that he too is against “counter-revolution” and, in this he is correct. It is true that Garaudy pointed out that the working class has achieved a certain level of liberation, because they are preparing a force for the suppression of Stalinist repression. Garaudy attempts to shift the “group” of the party headed by Georges Marchand by appealing to the Theses section. But it was these Theses which completely destroyed the Moscow Trial and defended Moscow’s policies to the hilt. The Theses, Marchand, rather than burying these issues unleashed an uproar in the party, driving the real role of the French Communist Party in the Czechoslovak crisis into the open, which they officially opposed. The document mentioned by Garaudy is a report of the plenum meeting which Waldorf-Rochet had with Dubcek in April. This meeting Waldorf-Rochet urged Dubcek to put the wishes of the Soviet leaders to use violence to suppress the demonstrations of the Czech workers and youth in order to avoid the invasion. It is in this context in which Dubcek refused to carry out this policy and declared that he was not “right wing” and that he was handed over to the present regime and was a weapon in a framework trial of Dubcek.

DOCUMENT

At first the French CP leadership tried to deny that Dubcek had handed over this document. However, on May 13, 1970 (the French Le Moniteur published the transcript of a radio speech from Prague by Dubcek on May 1, 1968 in a document on Jan. 14, 1968), he said: “...we are surprised to hear what has been brought by the French comrades which cannot be denied. We act on May 26, 1968 led by Comrade Fajon, member of the Party.” To our great surprise these comrades gave us the statement of what they heard on the meetings between Waldorf-Rochet and Dubcek on July 14-15, 1968. When we heard of this it was for us a great surprise, and a shock. A shock in the course of our search for a reply in the question “Who is Alexander Dubcek? An incompetent man or something else?”

The French CP was then forced to publish the documents in their paper. L’Humanite, but claimed that nothing like them could be used against Dubcek. However, they did not publish an introduction to these notes which was included in their translation. This translation was in the Internal Bulletin of the Czech Communist Party. This introduction reveals the real intentions of this Czech leadership. The introduction reads: “Up to now many members of the Party have not realized unambiguously that the first-secretary, Comrade Dubcek at bottom, a good comrade, lacking in courage and ability, but having behind him people wanted to see the victory of the Party and party unity with the Soviet Union.

However, his discussion with Comrade Waldorf-Rochet shows that this is one of the reasons why the Party has not completely mastered the Prague manipulations. What sort of man was he, and in fact, he?”

EMPTY

These Stalinist remarks expose the empty rearmament of the French CP leadership. How could Garaudy be a victim in this case?Dubcek is planned. The French leadership was afraid that these remarks, including Indra’s speech.


If anyone still has any doubts about the intentions of the Hoxa regime, they were dispelled by a recent speech over Radio Prague by Josef Shuboticky in which he confirms that the demonstrators will be used against Dubcek. This was followed by an attack on Dubcek in the May 30 issue of a Czech journal which says: “This subsistence of Garaudy’s present efforts is the same as that of Trotsky’s in his non-agitation and non-defence of the working class against Stalin. This is the policy of the new Stalinism which the youth and the working class is clearly expressed in the activities of youth members. This is the policy of the crumbling of the party membership cards. It is the policy of the CP which the working class in Europe will reject. It is the October Revolution in Tblisi which means that the working class in Europe will reject new vengeance and all their methods and purges. They are now going to use the offensive against Tblisi. The opposition of the French Communist Party to this policy is the only one. However, we reoppose them a response not only to the pressure of their methods, but also to the reaction of the youth, workers and peasants. It is new vengeance that not only the right wing but also the left is prepared to accept its consequences.

The members of the French CP must not let this question be dropped but must demand a discussion of these issues. The defense of Dubcek and of all those who face trials in Czechoslovakia and elsewhere must be taken up with a new urgency. Working-class and of all those countries must see that these framelines do not occur, ratifying the second P.C.P. International resolution in the Stalinist countries that will put an end to the bureaucracy for good.

SOVIET BIOLOGIST ARRESTED FOR CRITICISM OF LYSENKO

BY MARTY JOWSE

The eminent Soviet biochemist and academician, Zhores Medvedev, was arrested in Moscow on May 26th. Medvedev is being detained for “psychiatric examination.” He was declared “mentally incompetent” and cannot be tried. The medical board reserved for political dissidents in this case, Medvedev is one of the world’s leading biochemists and one of the Soviet Union’s greatest scientists. He was arrested, the party newspaper wrote in the June 1 edition of Pravda, last year in the United States. He was and Fall of T.D. Lysenko, which criticized the degeneration of the Soviet biological sciences, under Stalin and Khroustchev. Lysenko’s pseudoscientific genetics dominated the sciences decades under the patronage of the Stalinist regime and he was exiled. Medvedev has also come out publicly against Soviet censorship and restrictions on communications about scientific research.

His book on Lysenko must be seen not as just a scientific work, but as a political one. As a result of Medvedev’s discussion of Lysenko, there can be no scientific progress, but political progress. This is the case, Medvedev’s father perished in Stalin’s purges.

STALIN

The bureaucracy has responded with the same repression that has come down on Griegosky, Daniel, Shushkaya, and other political dissidents. At the same time Stalinism is being reestablished by the bureaucracy so that the country is being terrorized, whether he be of his “science” or of his party.

As with the persecutions of Griegosky, Daniel, and Shushkaya, the exception of loneliness of Stalin has created a storm among Soviet intellectuals. A storm created by Stalinism in Moscow provoking the arrest, signed by the party machinery and as an ominous post. All three physicists of the Academy of Sciences, one is a Nobel Prize winner. One of the physicists, Salaburuk has been promised a promise in the process against the repression of Griegosky. The poet who signed, A. Babushkin, was detained for psychiatric examination in 1949, after proscription of the C.P. Soviet writers.

Other noted scientists and historians have joined the protest against the bureaucracy’s latest repression. This represents the growing dissatisfaction among intellectuals and workers against the repressions that Stalinist bureaucracy continues to impose under the guise of the party election, and its purges. The bureaucracy is determined to destroy Stalinist heritage—its purges, its class collaboration, its “scientia”—through the most brutalist of Stalinist methods.

JOIN THE WORKERS LEAGUE!


MICHIGAN: Traverse: G.P.O. Box 5773 Canada, Montreal: Phone: 903-5737
Tories Launch "Law and Order"

On June 18 the British working class will go to the polls for the General Election of Parliament. The outcome of this election will affect not only the British working class but the international working class in its struggle to defeat the plans of the capitalist class to drive back its living standards.

British workers face the threat of defeat of the Labour Party and a right-wing victory by the Tories who are openly pledged to immediate and vicious measures against the unions to stop the wage offensive of the workers and throw them back to the conditions of the 1930s.

Technically the election does not have to be called until October but the deepening economic crisis facing world capitalism has forced an early election. The British ruling class hopes to establish a political equilibrium in Britain by defusing the offensive of the working class and the political uncertainty of the pre-election period.

Crisis

Understanding the political and economic situation in Britain is the worldwide crisis of capitalism whose source lies in America itself. The falling stock market, the deepening recessionary trend in the U.S. combined with soaring inflation has sent traders throughout the world economy staggering, a collapse on the order of 1929. This has found a sharp expression inside England deepened by the consistency of the working class and the inability of the employers and the government to stop the advance of the working class.

Since his election in 1945 Wilson and the Labour government have acted in the interests of the workers and the unemployed. The present prices and incomes Bill, the freeze on wage increases, the new unemployment benefits, the Unemployment Fund, the Free Education Act, wages were frozen. Unemployment has been reduced, and the economy is growing. But the government has been defeated in the courts. Wilson's victory in 1945 represented a victory against imperialism, Wilson's pre-election pledge was to stop the growing of the Tories and the war in Korea.

Failed

However, despite Wilson's attempts with the aid of the left of the Labour Party, the trade union bureaucracy and the Communist Party to control the working class, his policies have failed. The workers have continued their fight on wages and have essentially broken the incomes policy.

The purpose of the red scare is to drive the trade union movement and the students and workers encouraging the workers to work harder and the middle class to back the Tories.

Dangers

The danger rests in the conservatives' preparations to smash the resistance of the working class through "Law and Order".

The Tories are particularly dangerous in a country fighting a war.

The centre of the Tory "law and order" campaign is the trade union movement. Edward Heath, the Tory leader, has outlined his programme for new anti-union legislation which would allow the government to impose a 30-day "strike-breaking" period in strikes and legally binding contracts. If workers take the strike the workers would be able to seek redress from the courts and fines could be imposed on the trade unions.

The government is also demanding the use of the secret ballot if a strike follows the resolution of a court of a strike-breaking order. In the Tories' plans is the use of racism to divide the working class through bringing political attacks on immigrants, prohibiting an immigrant from becoming a citizen after five years residence and repatriation.

It was also revealed that new plans for denationalisation of nationalised industry in England and its replacement by private enterprise.

To push their "law and order" campaign the Tories have wasted no time in creating an atmosphere of "red scare" reminiscent of the Joe McCarthy period of 1950s through the U.S. The Tory press has opened up a war of words including the use of the word "terrorist" at the Glumchatt plant who was laid off from her job and on striking glass workers at Flintshire. This is combined with an attack on the students.

Edward Heath, head of the Tory Party

JOSEPH HANSEN

The TUC, as the largest trade union organisation in all of Europe, organized the Socialist Labour League, are calling for a massive Labour vote in the June 18 election. The SLL is fighting for a conscious anti-Tory vote for socialists, to answer the crisis and against the anti-working class policies of the Wilson leadership.

The revolutionists of the International Marxist Group (IMG), affiliated to the so-called United Revolutionary Front of the Fourth International and in the U.S. to the Socialist Workers Party, are calling for abstention in the forthcoming vote.

The call by the IMG for opposition to both the Tory and Labour Parties amounts to the most open rejection by this group of all the illusions and history of the Marxist movement, particularly as summed up by Lenin in Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder, as well as in all the perspectives of the early Congresses of the Communist International.

The call for abstention means that the ablest revolutionists of the IMG openly proclaiming their isolation from and contempt for the working class, only those upon whom the revolutionary party and the struggle for socialism can be built.

IDEALITY

The job of Marxists is to take the working class through a struggle in which the Labour trailors can be exposed. To the revolutionists of the IMG this is politically and ideologically the most important task.

The job of Marxists is not to offer support to either party, support to Harold Wilson or the Party he leads. I will stick to the Marxists' belief in passively abstaining from politics, only when the political consciousness of the masses is stimulated. I will therefore support the "trigger principle" for revolutionary socialists during the election as well as to move on to discredit both of Britain's large capitalist parties (i.e., the Labour Party).

In the campaign we must campaign for each other's candidates, we must campaign for each other's candidates, we must campaign for each other's candidates. In 1944 the revolutionists refused to characterise the Wilson government as capitalist.

Edward Heath, head of the Tory Party

The IMG is proceeding from its immediate impressions, in typical idealist and pragmatic fashions, which are distinguishable from disillusioned libera-

In 1944, Wilson was "Dear Harold" to Tariq Ali who addressed the Prime Minister in these familiar terms in a note which was left at 10 Downing Street after an anti-war protest. But it seems that Tariq Ali had better not lose his hope for them. Now to seek to jolt Wilson by equating the Labour and Conservative Parties, and "disrupting" the Labour campaign and meetings.

"Better Tomorrow"

BY FRED MUELLER

The British Trotskyists, organized behind the Socialist Labour League, are calling for a mass Labour vote in the June 18 election. The SLL is fighting for a conscious anti-Tory vote, for socialists, to answer the crisis and against the anti-working class policies of the Wilson leadership.

The revolutionists of the International Marxist Group (IMG), Tariq Ali, an editor of IMG's Red Mole
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Wilson (left) with Victor Feather after T&GFWU pledged its support to Labour Party
parties meet and through dividing the working class with racism only point out one weakness. Constructing a revolutionary leadership of the working class, isolating the petty bourgeoisie and the class struggle, the strategy of the capitalists and workers is to its knees whatever the cost raises the central question of this era—the question of socialism or fascism.

It is the Socialist Labour League and the Young Socialists' role to fight for a common program with the working class, preparing the path for the struggle and organizing a consistent battle to expose Wil- son's frauds. In the new period, Wilson has taken the leadership in the trade unions. The SLL and YSL have prepared the way not only for the real revolution, but also lay the basis for the construc- tion of an alternative to the bankruptcy policies of Wilson.

Together we have the problem of leadership as a central issue in the transitional Pro- gram. AI can agree, however, that the politics of the bourgeois and its international relation are completely blotted by a social crisis, characteristic of a pre- revolutionary state of society. The chief obstacle in the path of transforming the pre-revolutionary into a revolutionary state is the opportunism of revisionist leadership; its petty-bourgeois character before the big bourgeoisie and its perfidious connection with it even in its death."  

BREACH

Wilson's whole campaign has in fact been about winning a battle against the Tories. Wilson throughout the campaign has refused to commit him- self to Wilson's leadership, has refused to fight for socialist policies. In this way Wilson is demoralizing millions of trade unionists and creating the danger of a Tory victory.

Wilson is confused. Wilson has not even discussed the crisis and its roots in the trade unions. The Labour Party is filled with empty re- flections about a "people who do - a strong, just and compassionate soci- ety, where the handling of complex pro- blems may be left to the experts..."

In the face of the Tories' threat of various laws against the unions, Wilson promises his anti-union laws in- cluding agreements "endurable if both sides arrive at an agreement and achieve indications against the parties who broke this agreement." He is the impossible role for con- tempt and damages.

In other words Wilson answers the Tories' preparation for the destruction of the unions, including threats of "crane" and "hanging" if necessary with the promise to bring the unions in line with a softer voice.

The capitalist class through the Tories is now making a last attempt to save British capitalism by smashing the re- publication of the working class with racism and Bonapartist measures. Wil- son's answer of reformism and capitula- tion only strengthens the growing freedom tendencies inside and outside the Tory Party. Wilson's cynicism that Wilson's counterpart did in Germany in the 1920s and 1930s, which led to the bankruptcy of the Communist Party, delivered the people to Franco and Hitler. The real danger of Wilson's leadership the masses in the by election victory of Pialayinon in Trinidad and the overthrow of Powellism in the Tory Party. Wilson's leadership is being de- stroyed in order to weaken the employers, striking a blow against their anti-working class prepara- 

The socialist alternative is the construction of a socialist leader- 

Today the working class see the betrayal of Wilson, but do not see

The SWP devoted so much space to this incident because, for all its aptitudes and disclaimers, it is a part of the revisionism which the SLL and the International Commis- sion are fighting and destroying politically.

The differences between the SWP and the IMG are a reflection of the dispute within the international movement of the revisionists which came to the surface last year. The IMG is part of the domin- argly, but not Wilson and Martin. This group expresses more clearly the anti- revisionist logic of revisionism within the United Secretariat.

Last year the SWP found itself in a small minority within this movement, in its opposition to complete liquidation into the Castroite guerrilla movements in Latin America. The current IMG line is nothing but a doctrine and development of revision- 

As the Workers Press reported: "PUBLIC MEETING AND DEBATE AT THE THE REAL ULTRA- RUFFS IN BRITAIN
**Student-Labor Antirwar Rally Held at San Jose**

**SPECIAL TO THE BULLETIN**

SAN JOSE, CALIF.—The Santa Clara County Central Labor Council joined with the Associated Students of San Jose State College to sponsor an anti-war rally here on Memorial Day. Several labor leaders spoke including James McLaughlin, Sing-Treas. of the Labor Council and Paul Schmide, Western Regional Director of the IWW. While the labor leaders came, they clearly did little or nothing to bring out their own membership. This point was underlined when Schmide urged students not to leaflet workers at plants but rather “to come to the union local offices and talk with them where they feel more at home.” In other words the students are in no way related to these local bodies. The labor bureaucracy and not the rank and file is the real problem.

Politically the rally was very much dominated by reform Democratic Party politics. Democratic State Assembly candidate Ernie Abeya spoke from the platform while George Browne, Jr., Foothill deove candidate, was scheduled to speak. These tactics and the attempt to silence the speakers in the very hot weather were literally used by Democratic Party propaganda.

This made the role of the representatives:

**Unemployment Rate Hits 5%**

BY ED SMITH

“I don’t think that there is any doubt that this country is in a recession,” the AFL-CIO’s chief economist, Nat Goldhaber, told a meeting of the California State and County Labor Councils in San Diego last week. It was clear from the mood prevailing at the meeting that unemployment and the threat of layoffs was the major problem facing the unions. Just as the burden of inflation is being taken by the workers, so the burden of unemployment is being taken by the workers, which in turn is hindering the growth of the economy.

As the unemployment situation is reaching crisis proportions, the government is getting ready to implement new measures to prevent any further decline. The government is using the United States unemployment rate to control the economy. The rate of unemployment has been rising sharply since the beginning of the year and is now approaching 6%. It is feared that the rate of unemployment may rise to 7% or 8% by the end of the year.

The government is planning to take several steps to prevent a further rise in unemployment. One step is to increase the minimum wage and unemployment benefits. Another step is to increase the supply of low-cost housing. The government is also planning to increase the amount of public works projects.

The government is also planning to increase the amount of social security benefits. The government is also planning to increase the amount of social security benefits. The government is also planning to increase the amount of social security benefits. The government is also planning to increase the amount of social security benefits.

**WORKERS LEAGUE**

Tim Wobloth, National Secretary of the Workers League, speaking at a rally in front of the university town carnival, said that the Workers League is an organization of workers and students who are fighting against the war.

He said that the Workers League is an organization of workers and students who are fighting against the war. The Workers League is an organization of workers and students who are fighting against the war. The Workers League is an organization of workers and students who are fighting against the war.

The Workers League is an organization of workers and students who are fighting against the war. The Workers League is an organization of workers and students who are fighting against the war. The Workers League is an organization of workers and students who are fighting against the war.

**Nixon’s Southern Strategy Spurs Wallace Victory in Alabama Primary**

BY PAT CONNOLLY

George Wallace’s victory last week in the primary for governor in Alabama was the most significant political development in the South since the war.

Nixon’s Southern strategy, which was developed to win support in the South, has been a major factor in the success of the Democratic Party in the South.

The strategy is based on the idea that the Democratic Party can win the South by appealing to the Southern Democratic Party’s traditional base of support, which includes rural whites, blacks, and Southern Democrats.

Wallace’s victory is significant because it shows that the Southern strategy can work in other parts of the country as well. The Southern strategy could be used in other parts of the country as well. The Southern strategy could be used in other parts of the country as well.