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VIETNAM: SPRING OFFENSIVE SHATTERS U.S. STRATEGY

It is still too early at the time of writing to know the real tactical and strategic importance of the offensive that the Indochinese revolutionary forces have launched.

What is already clear, however, is that attacks have been carried out with powerful forces not only south of the 17th Parallel, in the Quang-Tri area, but also in the Central Highlands, in the provinces of Kontum and Pleiku, as well as nearer Saigon, in the province of Tay Ninh, and also in the Mekong delta.

Once more the joint American-puppet High Command—though expecting such an attack—seems surprised by the size of the forces involved, and even more so by the extent of their deployment. But this time the surprise is the reverse of what it was at the time of the Tet offensive in 1968.

At that time, the joint American and South Vietnamese deployment was based on concentration, in large units and in powerfully protected bases. In that way, the NLF forces were able to control the countryside, get an implantation in it without large-scale resistance, and from it were able to prepare—besides a few spectacular central actions—an offensive consisting of hundreds of different guerrilla operations. For many reasons (including the lessons of ‘68), the imperialist war machine has been seriously modified since then. Four fifth of the U.S. active service units have left Vietnam. The logistic support to the puppet forces lies exclusively—since the ground troops cannot or do not want to fight—in the air. The puppet army must itself patrol the countryside, carrying its own rations, and forcing the population to regroup in concentration camps or in the towns. In this way, they become vulnerable to the pressure of concentrated actions, supported by heavy and semi-heavy artillery of a classic but seemingly widely used type.

This is the price that must be paid for ‘Vietnamisation’. All the more so in the operations in Laos a year ago exposed the weak operational value of the big puppet units—even the so-called elite ones—when they are facing equivalent revolutionary forces.

One can also foresee without much trouble that these operations in Vietnam will be followed very soon by important operations in Laos and Cambodia. It is difficult to see—especially in Cambodia—how the local puppet forces, already severely tried, are going to be able to put up any effective resistance to the forces of the FUNK without the support of the Saigon troops, who are now involved elsewhere.

If one wants to try to evaluate the general situation, a number of factors have to be taken into account:

1. THE POSSIBILITY OF RESISTANCE BY THE PUPPET FORCES

On a purely tactical level, the behaviour of the Saigon forces is of course important to determine; both south of the 17th Parallel and in the Central Highlands there are no more U.S. ground forces and the puppets are alone. The extent of the successes of the revolutionary forces will depend on their capacity to resist.

In the long term, it is the whole policy of ‘Vietnamisation’ which is at stake. If the puppets, especially their best units, collapse and allow big gaps to appear on the fronts where the revolutionary forces are engaged, then the entire Nixon plan could rapidly collapse. The gamble on the (now effective) withdrawal of the ground forces—already relative and dependent on the air support in the development of the combative capacities, of the morale, and of the police—on the other hand, is totally inadvisable. But a total collapse is not very likely. To think that the revolutionary forces are going to converge towards Saigon and liberate it at a go the whole of the Indochinese peninsula is totally impracticable. And the Vietnamese comrades have often warned against such illusions. The recent declarations of Colonel Ho Van-Luu to the New York Times show this very clearly. But even a limited tactical success for the Indochinese revolutionaries would assume at the present time a considerable significance.

2. THE PLACE OF THE SPRING OFFENSIVE IN THE OVERALL STRATEGY OF THE REVOLUTIONARY FORCES

It seems very clear. The game played by Nixon is to ‘erase’ the Indochine war by insisting only on its ‘consequences’ (campaign on the prisoners, etc.). The war is finished since the ground troops are coming back home, since the American losses have diminished almost to the point of disappear, since ‘Vietnamisation’ has been a ‘success’, since the leaders of the workers’ states, even those who were denouncing Nixon most violently, receive him, negotiate with him, and talk hardly or not at all about the war. The war is ‘de facto’ finished, and it is no longer necessary even to maintain the fiction of the Paris ‘peace talks’ with a holding and regular sessions of which were intended to give the deliberative impression that American imperialism had something to negotiate. Of course, this support of the plutocratic Front is attempting to demonstrate the contrary and to give this the weight of ‘a pacific’ image to return him for another four years. Already in the States it seems that the euphoria of the silent majority is giving way to much anxiety. There is therefore one and only one possibility: the use of air power and eventually the resumption of massive bombing raids against the North. Obviously the U.S. military command has been preparing for this for some months. The arrival of new B-52 bombers, and the recent arrival of two new aircraft-carriers show clearly that this eventuality has been planned for and that the imperialists have given themselves the means to carry it out. It seems that the puppets are evacuating the population of Quang-Tri, south of the 17th Parallel. Maybe one should see in this the moment of realisation of an old plan which dates back to 1968 and the days of MacNamara: the creation, by every means possible, of a dead zone on both sides of the 17th Parallel.

SOLIDARITY

Revolutionary Marxists, however, are not taken aback by these developments in the situation in Indochina. For many months now the Fourth International has been stressing the need for international mobilisations this spring, in response to a situation which was known to be reaching a boiling point. In particular, in the U.S. through the National Peace Action Coalition and in France through the Indo-Chinese Solidarity Front, our comrades have taken the lead in mobilising on a large scale for the International Day of Solidarity on 27 April, realising that the spring of 1972 would be an important period in the developing Indochinese revolutionary movement. In Belgium what we can do is relatively small, but because of the lack of internationalism of most left groups and above all because for us the major task must be the building of a solidarity campaign in support of the struggle of the Irish people against our own ruling class. However, it remains as necessary as ever to take every united action, as is happening in both Glasgow and London on 22 April, in support of the struggle of the Indochinese people: it will remain necessary for as long as this struggle retains its central importance in the process of world revolution today.
As is well known, the engineering industry has— with the exception of the car industry— been seriously depressed for the past fifteen months or so. This is because there is little demand for capital goods either in the domestic or in most foreign markets. Consequently, there has been relatively little overtime, many plants have been working short time, and as everyone knows there have been big redundancies. By and large, these developments have put the engineering workers in a weaker bargaining position: if the employers can't sell the goods they produce, a strike is hardly likely to be as welcome as an earlier one.

Developments outside the engineering industry as such have also had an important effect. Obviously, both the general crisis of British capitalism and the specific policies adopted by the government to solve it have tended to depress the demand for engineering workers, and to the very differing extent all the engineering employers. But they have also contributed to the development within the working class of a willingness to haemorrhage the negotia-
tione, and among sections such as the miners long-standing resentment have been brought to a head.

Thus the miners' victory prompted the government's concessions to the UCs work-in. This came at a crucial time for the Manchester claim. Originally, after the claim was referred to plant level by the union leadership, counter offers were made by the employers. But they were swallowed up in the retail negotiations, which included the泱ese sections of the employers. The reason for this was that there was an agreement to avoid the problem of deciding collective bargaining issues such as overtime, which was a major problem. The miners' victory thus had a major impact on the negotiations and a strike hit any member of the group. In short, there was a very tough response from man-
gagement.

The reasons for this are threefold. Firstly, the employers have never been more determined to cut costs. The unions have, on the contrary, been very precariously balanced in recent years. The employers, in particular, have been more determined to cut costs. Secondly, the employers have been more determined to cut costs. The unions, in particular, have been more determined to cut costs. Thirdly, the employers have been more determined to cut costs. The unions, in particular, have been more determined to cut costs. Finally, the employers have been more determined to cut costs. The unions, in particular, have been more determined to cut costs.

The workers' strike was the first of several that have taken place at various factories. Davies and Metafor (in Romilly), E. Paarl and Co. (Hyde), Mirillas Blackstone (in Hazel Grove), Barker (in Stockport), and the Society of Shipbuilders and Engineers, Hardstone (in Chadderton), and Lawrence and Scott (in Stockport) all threatened with strike action. But all these firms except LSE are in the Stock-
port area. Stockport has long been the most militant and best organized part of the engi-
neering industry in the Manchester area and is a solid base for the CP.

Roughly speaking, after the first round of operations in the Stockport period on 26 March before the ban on piecework and work to rule were instituted generally, there have been three distinct phases in the struggle. During the first two days of the action, the levels of activity continued from very high. Stockport, Oldham and other plants were occupied on 28 March. On 29 March, however, a set-back occurred at Sharston. This was the only one affected that did not have a CP. Unlike many of the other firms, Sharston discussed the occupied workers and took legal action to have them evicted as trespassers. Meanwhile, the CP in the EE regarded this as kite-flying, or as a backwoods eccentric messing up their own plans, not to say ideas. What is certain is that on the 30th the Court at Preston did grant the writs. Unfortunately, the occupation at Sharston was the next day with the men re-instated but with no substantial progress on the claim.

From the granting of the writ at Sharston's until almost a week later, there was some-
ting of a temporary downturn in the struggle. On Wednesday 4 April there was a toughening of management's attitudes and a further sit-ins took place. These included Harkworth Siddeley a aviation at Woodford, near Stockport, and both plants belonging to the Fairey Show plastics machine shop in Oldham and Balfour. Two days after a further three plants, including the Metal Box Co., in Atrichfield, Stafford, were occupied in the same period. Currently it looks as if in almost all cases the fight will be a long one. Besides the sit-ins there was another at J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC- AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park, the J.S.A. A. Plastics at HSA Chedderton (near Oldham) and GEC-AEI Trafford Park. Despite the replacement of the manager at GEC-AEI Trafford Park.
SCOTTISH TENANTS FIGHT BACK

All over Scotland tenants and trade unions are organising themselves to fight the latest attack on the working class community by the capitalist class under the guise of the Tory government: the Housing (Financial Provisions) Scotland Bill, now going through the committee stage in the House of Commons. The Tory government launched this attack on local authority tenants back in the summer under the predictable title of A Fair Deal for Housing. It was a curious name for a document designed to introduce mandatory increases which will mean for any tenant: lack of security, permanent insecurity, remoteness, and indeed, with any concern for "gallipool inflation".

The minimum of the rents bill shows very clearly 29 of the 40 clauses of the bill compiles all local authorities and developers to raise the rents in the minimum of £24 per annum in the year '72-'73 and £50 per annum the following year. Thus, mean rents will be raised by a statutory minimum of £1 per week for two years. But this bill has been deregulated by the law which specifically forbids the housing authority to take into account "the personal circumstances of the tenant". In Scotland an end to the graduated rent scheme which some local authorities were operating based on the financial situation of the tenant will almost double in the next two years, and in Scotland, where most working-class families are housed in council houses, this will mean a devastating cut in real wages for the wage earners of these families.

The main protests coming from council house tenants are aimed against the increases and the new 10-year renewal of therent scheme which is just another means to get as much as possible. These schemes have been in operation in a number of towns and cities since the Labour government introduced the 10-year scheme, and they have formed part of the general move towards socialisation of the social services. Clearly the reasoning behind this move is that by fixing the rent the tenants are not free to move. But the housing crisis has done nothing for them by the struggle of the tenants and the local residents for their houses. The employers have been left with the charity, and if you further obstruct them with a maze of red tape before payment is made, then the whole aim of the RENT PAYMENT is nullified. The Family Income Supplement.

The Labour Party have been very vocal in their defence of the tenants with the "fair rents bill" as they call it. What hypocrisy! What downright lies! It was the Labour government that introduced the 10-year scheme, which was shown to be the worst burden for the local authorities. And now they are the ones fighting the tenants. The rent strike is a justifiable protest, and all the more so, if this is interpreted in the correct manner, could defeat the rents bill and the Industrial Relations Act. Committees of action to fight the rents bill must be set up all over the country, committees of workers, unemployed and tenants who can plan and co-ordinate. Street or area defence committees must also be set up to ensure that no one is evicted or victimised. These must have links in the local factories to ensure instant strike action if any evictions are carried out. The unemployed have a part to play here, they will form the bulk of these defence committees while the rent is paid.

Housewives too can play an important part by organising themselves to protect their property and the homes of their neighbours. The fight must not be left to the working class alone, the Labour councillors and trade union bureaucrats, this is a fight for the rent and file for only the rent and file can win it, and only if they are organised in committees of this nature will they be able to do so.

The working class of Cumbernauld have not been slow in the past to take action to protect their interests. Only last year the workers of the local Burroughs factory conducted a victorious in week strike, the local youth employment office was occupied by unemployed teenagers in protest against unemployment and solidarity with the U.S. workers, an unemployed action committee was formed to fight unemployment which managed to organise various demonstrations and other actions. When one considers that Cumbernauld only has a population of just over 40,000 then this is not at all a bad record. We of the rent strike action committees are confident that once again we can show a good example to the working class of Britain as a whole by being the first to initiate a massive rent strike against the fair rents bill.

FOR A 100 PER CENT EFFECTIVE RENT STRIKE - INDUSTRIAL STRIKE ACTION IN CASE OF EVICTION - COMMITTEES OF WORKERS, TENANTS AND UNEMPLOYED TO FIGHT THE RENTS BILL.

AREA AND STREET COMMITTEES TO DEFEND THE STRIKE.

- F. McKenna
Cumbernauld LMC, and Rent Strike Action Committee.

PRESENT TENANTS ORGANISE

In Preston, like many other towns, council house rents are going up this month, before the Housing Finance Bill becomes law. Tenants are being asked to pay an average of 50 pence per week before next April, when there will be another rise. Other towns will begin to pay £1 in October.

The Housing Finance Bill is but one of a number of recent cuts in the social services aimed at bringing about a decline in the standard of living in the working class - but it is the one which will hit the working class hardest. Its aim is to reduce subsidies to council tenants, making a profit from council tenants. At the present time people buying their own homes get £72.7 million in subsidy when council tenants get only £302 million (figures from The Times, 27 February). In three years house councils should have reached a "fair rent" which will be the market value and about double the present rate. Accompanying this fair rent is a means test which at first will decrease the rent of some tenants and let others pays a reduced increase. However, even those who do not get a rebate will eventually be paying more rent since in three years the basic rent will have doubled. Despite all the machinery introduced to deal with the rebate system, money given in rebates will not be large enough to affect the profits made from council housing. And the large majority of council tenants who will not be affected by the rebate system will be even worse hit.

In fact, council house tenants will be in a position where they will be subsidising the owner-occupier while receiving no subsidy themselves. This is bound to mean a great reduction in council housing, and goes hand in hand with attempts to encourage council tenants to buy their houses. Property dealers, of course, recognise that one effect of the bill will be to drive tenants from the council houses. Property prices can therefore be expected to rise steeply with this new market.

What can tenants do to fight this bill? The Labour Party has promised to repeal this bill but in Preston as elsewhere where their only answer in the meantime is a petition, sending deputations to local Tory councillors, and advising the electorate to vote Labour. The Labour Party's record on housing shows that tenants cannot rely on the Labour Party for radical change. The tenants must organise themselves to fight the bill by a refusal to pay the increase.

In January the Socialist Woman Group in Preston began a series of open air meetings in Council Estates in Preston. After the response to the first few meetings other groups joined Socialist Woman to form the Tenants Action Group proposing the same course of action. From these public meetings tenants estate committees were set up to spread information about the rent increases, discuss what sort of opposition would be most effective, and organise the estates against the bill. Most tenants considered that the only way to fight the bill was to refuse to pay the increase and have nothing to do with the test.

On Saturday March 25 there was a march of about 150 tenants through Preston. This was followed by a public meeting addressed by Mary Hobbs, of the London hackneyites and a militant tenant, and a local tenant also spoke. After discussion a resolution was passed that tenants should withhold the rent increase and support a picket of the rent office. The Tories have already threatened eviction, but tenants have begun a rent strike this week, the first week of the rise. A rent strike is a difficult fight to maintain but the refusal to pay the increase by tenants all over the country, allied to industrial action if necessary, is the only way to defeat this bill and to defeat any further attempts at increasing council house rents.

-Cash Ryde
Preston J.M.F. and Socialist Woman Group.
CRUNCH TIME FOR THE INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS ACT?

as T & G. defies Industrial Relations Act

With the present mood of the working class any attempt to impose the fine by the seizure of the T&G's property would set the scene for 'general strike' tactics. However not only the Government which is afraid of this outcome - the trade union bureaucracy is made of dread of mass struggles which they cannot control. Thus a real challenge faces trade union leaders. Revolutionaries must argue that everything must be done to ensure that there is no climb down by the T&G leadership, and in the event of the stakes escalating the whole movement must be used to force a defeat on the Government and its Industrial Relations Act. It is especially important to ensure that there is no accommodation policy of non-recognition of the court. The one day strike called by the Liaison Committee for the Defence of Trade Unionism for May 1st will give a focus for activity for this policy.

However, much more than a defensive response is called for. Wherever a class conflict in this struggle is the right of workers to exert a veto. Far from retracting on this issue we must only ever be in a position to take whatever steps they consider necessary to stop any further deterioration of the working class and increase wages. The rights which the Liverpool dockers are defending should be extended to the working class as a whole and developed to take in all aspects of the working class..

Pat Jordan

LANCASTER MAKING THE UNIVERSITIES SAFE FOR BUSINESS

Authorities attempt final solution to radiation 'Lancasterers'

Charles Carter, Quaker Vice-Chancellor of Lancaster University, has explained that the University is to be protected through a series of private business studies in Britain. The University specialises in departments serving the commercial and industrial sectors of the economy. "Operations Research", "Behaviour in Organisations"—several of which have set up private companies and found themselves in danger of being nationalised by the University to capitalise on their "skills". Through Carter the University is intimately linked into the international business community as a new Lancaster Institute is established. (The UK Institute of Higher Education - A Case Study, available Red Books, 5 p.

However there is a clash of arms over who gets a control of the screen of liberal arts and science departments to provide an academic image with them so its own programme. The decision taken is for the University to make up the shortfall in the Treasury, the government and a large majority of students have become aware in the trend in the University, as well as generally sympathetic to working class struggles; and the University, following its statutes, has attributed this unence to a small nucleus of left wing staff and revolutionary students who have been left to defend the strings which bring the passive mass to site.

By eliminating the hard core, and intimidating the others, the administration is in a position to be able to eliminate the pink taint, which enterprisers refuse to eliminate. The problem of a close integration into the business community. The appropriate bridgehead for this task has been the Engineering Department, a burly ex-colonial administrator in the Malayan war, well suited to dealing with the︱

The campaign began in the early summer with the denunciation of a left wing English lecturer. David Craig, who had designed a campaign to protest at the T&G conference completely play into the hands of the right wing, and we completely support the move of members of the UCS, the Communist Party, the T&G. The Mineworkers, and other groups of the NUS which is praised above.

huge set-back. If the T&G pay the fine (even if some of their affiliated unions) the trade union
to the Government that direct confrontation before the militancy of the workers had been tamed or eroded is very risky.

If it did want to make an example of a section of the working class, it could do so for its humiliation at the hands of the miners. It is unlikely that it would have chosen the giant T&G for the operation. However, such things do not always go according to plan. Even in the most optimistic of situations the Industrial Relations Act has some small relative autonomy. The Government, regardless of its general tactic of this stage, if it ever set into motion processes it cannot control.

If the bluff of the National Industrial Relations Court is called, the IRLA will be virtually unvanquished. The Government will have to abandon both its campaign, a gigantic loss of face and tremendous loss of prestige in the eyes of the working class. The Economist of April 1, put it like this: "If the Government itself does not take up the challenge of the T&G, the new Industrial Relations Act, it is likely that union militants will be encouraged to flood the streets with their demands, and the Government may be intimidated that it would like such cases to "to be settled out of court".

As far as the trade unionists for the Government not wanting to have the court's bluff called the effect of the court's bluff is to call the leaders to the stand, and they can be called to the stand, and they can be seen to be call, to the stand, and they can be seen to be leaders if it can be demonstrated that it is possible for the court to be flouted then the last argument of the Government is gone. The IRLA's negation and cooperation with the Industrial Relations Act machinery falls. The stakes on both sides are very high. As the hammer comes down, the Government's strategy suffers in a
The new act is designed to cut out a large tax dodge. It has been estimated that out of a total labour force of about 1.3 million only 500,000 are on union books. Because the lump is a tax dodge it is difficult to find out exactly how many workers are involved, but the figures for unionisation give some clue. The worker in the union is more likely to be on an organised site and not on the lump. The terms of the act call for all subcontractors to register and pay a registration fee to an account where the workers will have one third of their total earnings taken back for tax in the absence of any tax rating and social security contributions or as a lump sum. The act as a sub-contractor it is necessary to be up to date on previous tax payments. It is unlikely that most lump works will be up to date on tax payments by 5 April; therefore to avoid getting taxed of a third of their income most have taken the registration. In many cases the larger firms are telling workers to get union cards. But small firms will still rely on lump labour to make a profit. The requirement for workers to have registered individually as subcontractors and perhaps paying their registration fee. All this boils down to a sharpening of the tendency towards national levelling of conditions of labour, decreasing the uneven state of the industry.

The CHANGING BUREAUCRACY

In the building industry—like other industries—rationalisation is a driving force. Besides labour-saving new building techniques (e.g. prefabrication and pre-cast units), a push for equality of conditions was on the agenda because of the much greater dominance of the larger firms (L. & H. Mackay, Wimpey, etc.). Workers in Stoke could compare conditions, with the same boss, as those in Manchester. The regional differences were being reduced; nevertheless the gulf between skilled and unskilled is encouraged by wage differentials and the old system of unions based on craft divisions. Faced with these new conditions, it appeared that limited local struggles were less important as the main sort of dispute in the industry. The importance of Birmingham (see The Red Mole, 30) lies in its city-wide fight, and joint site actions. This growing need for greater national representation was recognised in the trade unions at many levels. In a sense the bureaucracy had never been established in the A.S.W. in the same way as in other large unions, because the struggle in the building industry went along on the basis of local initiatives. National needs brought the chance for the A.S.W. leadership to establish its own set of national policies for the building trades union. Centralisation and the growing union membership has given the bureaucracy a base but is also changing its politics. National leadership, particularly the A.S.W., were now involved in some sort of continual confrontation with the employers, because of the general political climate. Because they had to have (for the first time) a real set of national goals—broadly against the employers’ interests.

The bureaucracy is shifting left. This is uneven, and some sections (i.e. local officials and the General Council) tend to go faster than others because they have a more immediate contact with the rising militancy and the growing membership. All these changes were represented in the old A.S.W. by two things: firstly the change to becoming the U.C.A.T.T., and secondly the wage demands now being negotiated. (The previous national agreement was in 1965, and was recognised as virtually a complete sell-out.) The U.C.A.T.T. has the same internal structure as the old A.S.W. The top heavy officereod mostly remains, but its use is as the means by which the bureaucratic policies of the leadership are ‘given’ to the enlarged membership, is leniency. It is cut off from the rank and file needs and wishes. With the new wave of militancy this layer is regarded un sympathetically by the workers. Taking its places are new men, organised around slogans for a more democratic union, who are speeding up the national shift to the left. Some of the regional office officials in Birmingham are an example of this group. They are represented in the General Council of the U.C.A.T.T.—who are engaged in a fight with the Executive Committee bureaucrats over the new rules reducing the status of the Council. As yet this group’s future is not completely clear, but it must be said that it is in the best position to make gains both from the new needs for national direction and its strong links with the rank and file.

The CLAIM

As stated, the claim for £30 a week for a 35 hour week is part of the changing national scene. The employers’ latest offer was rejected by the National Joint Council for the Building Industry on 8 February. This offer is for the basic craft rate for a 40 hour week to be increased by £1.40 from June 1972, and the labourers’ rate by £1.20 (again an attempt to increase the differential). Holiday stamps to be increased in August 1972, so that holiday pay would increase. Sick pay to be increased in June 1972 from 50p to 75p for each day lost. This adds 3½ per cent to craft rates and 3 per cent to labourers’ rates, while the rest of the package represents an offer of about 7½ per cent. This offer is therefore in line with the Government’s (pre-miners) wage norm. The employers have made it clear that they want to force a new wage structure onto the industry, embodying grading and job evaluation to replace the present agreement that ends this June. The claim is important because through the efforts of the union there is a good chance that the employers on unorganised as well as organised sites will receive a substantial increase in basic rates. Basic rates are low in the industry—and the worker only manages to exist on his bonus. This gives the employers tremendous power over him, as anyone who has tried to organise an overtime ban on a site will explain.

Employers are aware that pushing the basic rates by a large amount will increase the comitability of large numbers of workers. We can expect a bitter struggle because of this. The claim is also a vehicle for the more militant officials and representatives: there is a key task. They must organise the new round to swell the industry membership around the claim, and use it to seek maximum effect. They have the power to sell the package down. Life will not be easy for lump labour to scab any longer, but the Industrial Relations Act protects smashing anyway. As long as the lump exists, trade unionists are not secure. The problem of the new “ rash” of sub-contractors, denounced in the interview, is clear. The new Act gives official status to the old lump for these people. This group will probably increase the difficulties of organising on a national scale—acting as a legalised buffer for the employers. In the long term this issue could be the central ones in any campaign to

The LUMP

The lump remains an important issue for U.C.A.T.T. and building worker militants. Despite the cut back of numbers on the lump, while any lump labour exists it can only serve to bring negotiated conditions down. It will not be easy for lump labour to scab any longer; but the Industrial Relations Act protects smashing anyway. As long as the lump exists, trade unionists are not secure. The problem of the new “ rash” of sub-contractors, denounced in the interview, is clear. The new Act gives official status to the old lump for these people. This group will probably increase the difficulties of organising on a national scale—acting as a legalised buffer for the employers. In the long term this issue could be the central ones in any campaign to
The following interview with two IMG militants in the building trade in Preston underlines the points brought out in the article, i.e., the growth in union membership and militancy, and the difficulties that the 'lump' poses to that growth.

What is the present situation in the building trade here?
S. - Well, there were no organised sites till two years ago. The men are now organising. But there is no tradition here - no tradition of militancy like Merseyside. Preston is still largely unorganised. I'd say about half the sites are organised. By organised, I mean they have recognised shop stewards.

What about 'lump' labour?
S. - There is 'lump' labour in the town. The site opposite us was 'lump'. We put pressure on, and the organiser called a round. Now it's social security card in. But they are still receiving their wages with no wage slips - the organiser is going to sort that out. 'Lump' is mainly with private developments and in the suburbs.

J. - Some of these sites are fairly large, about 200 to 300 houses.
S. - With the 'finishing' of lump, I can see two things. First, everybody will now be subcontractors. They will register as that.
J. - You can get cards to exempt you until 1979. I know blokes who have already done this by paying a fee to register.

S. - But the 'lump only' merchants will carry on. They have no office or business, and don't supply materials - all they supply is labour. They will still get their cut. This is nothing but 'glorified' lump. The men will cash in, plus a tiered system of profits, so the labour only merchants will take their margin of profits as their 'wages'. Second, many of the men on lump will join the union. Many are behind in their tax and cannot register. J. - I can see an increase in sub-contracting, like in bricklaying and steel fixing. Then you are working side by side, but are paid by different employers. With the Industrial Relations Act outlawing sympathy strikes, this could mean trouble.

What are the unions like in the town?
S. - They are in no way militant in this area. But there are signs that this is coming to an end - at least on the larger sites. And especially as many have worked in Merseyside - they come back with some militancy in them. The two main labourers' unions are U.C.A.T.T. and T.G.W.U. The T.G.W.U. have over 110 building workers but they are hedging on setting up a separate branch. They are putting off the militancy all the time. The U.C.A.T.T. are no better. My branch - it's a labourers' branch - shows what the area is like. If you get ten men that pay their subs regularly, you're lucky.

How strong is Charter in the area?
S. - Preston Charter was set up in February. Nationally, Charter is usually organised on the bigger sites. Here we have two large sites, and men from both sites are in Charter. There is a good potential for Charter as there are new city developments coming up.
J. - So far, we've invited speakers up from Merseyside and Manchester. We sell 200 Charters an issue, and have got a local bulletin going. The two sites S. was talking about participated in the recent Manchester march and token strike. Both sites stopped for half a day and about 60 men went down to the march.

S. - The potential for Charter is good but it will be difficult. For example, most blokes are not even in the union when they come on the job. They are not even at the stage where they can see the failing of the union and be able to support it. They don't know what it's supposed to be doing.

What do you think of U.C.A.T.T.'s pay demand?
S. - The official demand is £30 for 35 hours. The Charter's demand is £35 for 35 hours. It seems to me the union has picked on what the Charter is selling. The union is now showing some signs of militancy. For example, one regional councillor is now supporting Charter.

Martin Doyle
Paul Smith
Steve Donoghue
John Riley

Part of the march against the 'lump' in Birmingham last year

BUILDING WORKERS' CHARTER
THIRD ANNUAL CONFERENCE
Saturday 29 April, from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m., in Mayfair Suite, Smallbrook Ringway, Birmingham.

Delegates to be welcomed by Ken Barlow, Midlands regional secretary of U.C.A.T.T. All building workers welcome.
ARGENTINA

Increasing support for armed struggle working class

Sallustro, enemy of the Argentine people, is also denied by the Argentine police, the military press, and the armed forces. The suppression of the political prisoners and the repression of the Afro-Argentine workers is the most important reason for the support of the 50 ERP comrades, and for $1 million from the ERP. The ERP is a political party that has been trying to establish an autonomous organization for Argentine schoolchildren, bearing revolutionary messages from the ERP. In addition, the ERP demands the release of the imprisoned ERP comrades, and the surrender of the government of the 500 men sacked in recent months. In their communiques, widely published in the press, they denounce the repression of the ERP in the military, the regime of the emergency courts, and the intolerance of the governments of the 50 men.

The new support is a result of the political situation. The ERP has become more active in recent weeks. The repression of the ERP has increased, and the government has become more repressive. The ERP has become more active in recent weeks. The repression of the ERP has increased, and the government has become more repressive.
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The Scarman report on ‘Violence and Civil Disturbance in Northern Ireland in 1969’ has just been published. Its consensus-type approach ties in very well with the present ‘initiatives’.

SCARMAN TRIBUNAL REPORTS

However, none of these things make the report politically significant, and it has rightly been given little notice in the bourgeois press. It merely confirms accepted government and middle class opinion rather than suggests any initiative. However, one lesson that emerges from the report is worth repeating, mainly because it is a lesson for some of the left, who during those days in the middle of August 1969 abandoned fundamental anti-imperialist positions and joined in the chorus of approval for the intervention of the imperialist army. It is clear from the report that troops were deliberately kept out of the streets until after the British army had been let off the leash for a time. The troops were not to appear as the execution of the Catholic Church from a massive pogrom. This was the deliberate policy of the Wilson government, in order to make the troops more closely related to a ‘peace keeping’ force. Thus, far from being responsible for stopping the threatening build-up of armed attacks on the Catholic Church it was the government itself and Wilson in particular that must largely bear the responsibility for unleashing these forces.

-Ben Joseph

APPEAL FROM LONG KESH

Comrades, blue chairing,

We, the Martin O'Leary Republican Club,
Cap 3, Long Kesh Concentration Camp,
with the apparatus of fellow-socialists
through the column of your paper,

Our appeal is for socialist literature of any shape or sort, books, pamphlets or periodicals or even records of working-class songs

We are determined to educate ourselves in Socialism, despite the efforts of the Camp干部 to prevent us, indeed, to prevent us, to use any literature from learning anything other than to loathe this gray monotony.

Despite Mr. Heath's neatly packed 'initiatives' (?) we feel that anyone with even a lukewarm attitude to socialism need not be in any great hurry to pack their bags.

You will realize that at this time many people, especially in the ghetto, will be inclined to drop their guard. Stormont has disappeared, but, of course, all the evils will remain.

The establishment is depending on this euphoria to put the lower orders in their places again, and socialist counter-propaganda is urgently necessary, to expose the realities of this situation.

Aonachthiub,

Sean Mag Shanrainless Lk. 250,
House 22,
Long Kesh Concentration Camp.

P.S. Please ask your readers to send their gifts to us through the Central Citizens Defence Committee at 39, Falls Road, Belfast 12.

IRISH SOLIDARITY CAMPAIGN

Public Meeting
Speakers: Bob Pardey on ‘The Aims of I.S.C.’
Gerry Lawless on ‘The Current Situation’

Thursday 20 April, 7.30 p.m. at the Irish Centre, Manor Street, off Camden Road (nearest tube Camden Town.)

We have received a letter from Gerry Doherty of Clann na hEireann, about the article ‘IS Hypocrisy in Glasgow’ in The Red Mole No. 38. Due to lack of space we have had to hold over this letter to our next issue, where it will be published together with a reply by Bob Pardey.

—Editor

THE PLOUGH
Published by the Revolutionary Marxist Group, Irish supporters of the Fourth International.

News, discussion and analysis of the current situation in Ireland with historical articles on the Irish working class and national liberation movement. Also includes features and reports on the international struggle against capitalism. For a subscription to THE PLOUGH fill in the form below:

Please send me THE PLOUGH for the next 3/6/12 months, I enclose —

E. [Signature]

Address

THE PLOUGH, 58 North Great Charles Street, Dublin, Ireland.

Sub.: Ireland

Ireland/Britain 3 months 31p.
6 months 42p.
1 year 84p.

Aust/Africa/Australia/N. & S. America

Annual: £2.00 (per year)
Ordinary: £1.60 (per year)

Western Europe: £1.00 (per year)
THE PROJECTS OF THE IMMIGRATION

Our central responsibility in the coming period is the development of a mass campaign in defence of the Irish nation. Given the objective openings and the size of our forces, many efforts must be made to win as many people as possible to the active and passive support of our abortion-contestation campaign as the central focus of our work in the current period.

We have few forces in the labour movement but the movement, due to the opportunity and necessity to attract a small but important number of new forces in the campaign, is ripe for the development of a struggle. The tendency became more apparent following the publication of the current situation in Britain and the generalisation of the method used in the analysis and the formulation of the tasks have had to be omitted from the article. We hope readers will discuss this article and comment on it. All those in general agreement with it are invited to write to us for the conference. Visitors to the conference will be able to obtain the complete documentation.

THE LABOUR MOVEMENT

The permanent threat of large scale unemployment is an immediate reality. The movement's response to the workers includes new levels of unemployment and hardship. The ruling class has decided that a confrontation with labour must be faced. The struggle of the workers, however, is only the basis for the struggle for the workers' own party independence of the capitalist state. The basis for the growth of the workers' party is the growth of the workers, their strength and their fight for the workers' own party.

The Labour Party, in its statements and policies, is a fundamental pillar of the capitalist state, the workers must be trained to fight this state, to fight for the workers' own party.

In the next general election, when the electoral class exists, the workers are required to fight for the workers' own party.

The Labour Party must be the fighting point for the workers' own party.

The Labour Party is the lifeblood of the workers.
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REVIEWs

Marx and Keynes: The Limits of the Mixed Economy by Paul Mattick (Mellin Press £2.50)

A serious Marxist study of the limitations of Keynesianism and its potential as a basis for fighting the class struggle, in which he analyses the role of the state in the capitalist economy. This book is a contribution to the debate on the nature of the state and its role in capitalist society. It is a valuable addition to the literature on the history of economic thought and policy.

ANGELA DAVIS PORTRAIT OF A REVOLUTIONARY

An article in a recent issue of Time Out states that, "The Americanising of Angela Davis...". This article definitely sets out the framework of the story. The original text is too long to quote in detail, but it can be summarized as follows:

1. Angela Davis is a radical feminist and civil rights activist who became a target of the FBI's "Black

2. Her activism has been rooted in the struggle against racial oppression and the promotion of social justice.

3. Davis has written and lectured extensively on the importance of international solidarity in the face of the global capitalist system.

4. She has been a vocal supporter of the Palestinian struggle for self-determination.

5. Davis's work continues to inspire and support those fighting for social and economic justice.

VIETNAM SOLIDARITY CAMPAIGN

Public meeting on eve of international Day of Solidarity

Friday, 21 April 3.40 p.m. Camden Studios

Speakers on the latest developments in the war plus the slides of the electronic battlefield

IRISH SOLIDARITY CAMPAIGN

The second conference of the I.S.C. will be held over the weekend 29/30 April in the Bow Rooms, 58 Wardour St., London W1. It is open to all those who support the struggle of the Irish people and their armed forces. The I.S.C. is a new organisation formed at the last conference.

Details of the conference will be announced in the N.I.R. News. Those interested should contact the Organizing Committee, c/o The Irish News, 44 Lower Eccles St., Dublin 2.

MILLINGFORD STRIKE

Ashton near Wigan

This small Engineering Factory has been on strike for several weeks. The strikers are demanding a 5% increase in wages.

FOOTNOTE

Economists may find the book somewhat vague and woolly on some topics. There is a complete absence of empirical data. Students, on the other hand, may find it useful to know that Lawrence Klein (1964) and Simon Kuznets (1966) are two of the best-known economists.

INTERNATIONAL MARXIST GROUP

(4th Section of the Fourth International)

142 Portland Road, London Lat 0.5

Please put me in touch with IMG militants in my area.

Name: 

Address: 

Occupation: 

Place of Work: 

GLASGOW RED CIRCLE

Weekly Discussion Group for Revolutionary Socialists - Thursdays at 7:30 p.m.

Iona Community Centre, 214 Clyde St.

NOTHING RED CIRCLE

Meets every Monday night at 7.45 p.m. in the Red Lion Meeting Hall, 27 Bankhead Street (near Ladbrooke Grove tube). Buses 52, 71, 75.

All Welcome.

INTERNATIONAL PRESS

Specialises in political analysis and news of interest to all those involved in the labour, socialist, colonial independence, Black and Women's Liberation Movement and so on.

Pierre Frank's History of the IV International is an exciting and much-needed book. The text is well-organized and the analysis is clear and thorough.

Editorial team consists of Pierre Frank, George Novack, Ernest Mandel, Joseph Hansen, and Lew Isaacs.

On the one hand, we have Steinberg's excellent piece about the need for a new socialist movement. On the other, we have the excellent piece by Monique Paris about the need for a new socialist movement.

News and analysis fest! Facts at your fingertips!

Newly opened from New York, mailed first class from London. Cost: £1, 12 issues £6, 21 issues £6, 32 issues £8.

From Padfield Press, 28 Roland Street, London W1 N 1 (Cheques and postal orders made payable to Padfield Press).
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ENGINEERS IN MASSIVE MERGER!

While all eyes in Britain’s key engineering industry are fixed on the fierce, localized pay dispute over redundancies in the dockyard, the merger of the Organisation of the Americas and the American Federation of Labor - Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO) was announced in April, 1972. This is a significant event in the history of the industrial union movement and will have far-reaching implications for the workers involved. The merger of these two powerful organizations is seen as a major step in the consolidation of the labor movement in the United States. The merger will allow for a more unified approach to bargaining and will enable the merged union to negotiate with employers on a broader scale.

INDUSTRIAL UNIONISM

The 1972 merger of the American Federation of Labor (AFL) and the Congress of Industrial Organizations (CIO) is seen as a significant milestone in the history of industrial unionism. The merger was seen as a way to unite the two major labor organizations into a single, more powerful voice for workers. The merger was also seen as a way to address the challenges of globalization and the changing nature of work in the post-industrial economy.

ACCOUNTABILITY

The merger of the AFL-CIO is significant for its implications for accountability. The merged union is expected to be more accountable to its members and to the broader labor movement. This is because it will be more aligned with the interests of its members, and it will have a stronger voice in setting policies and making decisions. The merger will also allow for more effective representation of workers in their workplaces, and it will enable the merged union to negotiate with employers on a broader scale.

WAVE OF REPRESSION IN PERU

The Peruvian regime is clinging to power after an attempted coup last August, but it cannot tolerate the sight of new signs of independence activity by the revolutionary left. A recent massacre of a populace's strike also shows that the military is still strong. The military, which had been occupying important positions in the government since the 1960s, is determined to prevent any challenge to its authority. The recent massacre of a populace's strike also shows that the military is still strong.

The Peruvian army, which has been ruling the country for the past three decades, has been accused of human rights abuses, including extrajudicial killings, torture, and disappearances. The army has also been accused of interference in the political process, including efforts to prevent opposition candidates from winning elections.

The military regime in Peru has been criticized for its heavy-handed approach to opposition groups. The army has been accused of using violence to suppress political opposition, and there have been reports of human rights abuses committed by the military.

CEYLON SOLIDARITY CAMPAIGN

The Ceylon Solidarity Campaign is a group that was formed in the aftermath of the Sri Lankan Civil War. The group was established to support the Sri Lankan people and to oppose the activities of the Sri Lankan government. The Ceylon Solidarity Campaign is a non-governmental organization that is based in the United States.

The group has been involved in a number of different activities, including organizing rallies and protests, and helping to raise awareness about the situation in Sri Lanka. The group has also worked to provide support and aid to those who are affected by the conflict.

The Ceylon Solidarity Campaign has been active in a number of different countries, including the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. The group has been involved in organizing rallies and protests, and helping to raise awareness about the situation in Sri Lanka.

The group has also worked to provide support and aid to those who are affected by the conflict, and it has been involved in organizing events to raise funds for those who are in need.

CONTROL

As an advocate of multi-national ("general") unions, Jack Jones of the T&GUV recently quoted in "Britain's Historic "Malagana- tion Call" of 1921 which initiated the mod- ern, unified Transport Workers' union: "The more employers the employers' side stand together! Labour must do likewise." In fact, that document continued: the new machine..."is the more "exercise greater power and control." Fifty years after the T&GUV, the use of wages, reminding trade unions that amalgamation alone is insufficient. As mergers of amalgamations, new employers face the challenge of engineering workers should bear that lesson in mind.

J.S.C. JOINS EASTER MARCH IN BELFAST

Every year at Easter Republican North and South of Ireland commemorate the Easter Uprising of 1916, thereby paying homage to the heroes of the nationalist revolution and affirming their determination to continue the revolution against British Imperialism began in 1916. This year's Easter march took place at a critical point in Ireland's struggle for self-determination. The mass resistance over the past two years of the nationalist population of the six counties, underpinning Irish nationalism and its forces, and the resistance of both wings of the Irish Republican Army, had made it impossible for Brit- ish Imperialism to impose any solution. In other words, they have failed in their tactic of militarily defeating the IRA as a first step towards ending the resistance and bringing in a new period of stability. A new approach was adopted which was provided by the long delayed initiatives.

By suspending Stormont (though only tem- porary), and announcing its intention to reintroduce British Imperialism had drawn a significant number of the na- tionalist population from their support for the military actions of the IRA. Isolation of the IRA in the first place had drawn a mass population would be a significant victory for imperial- ism. The Easter marches provided the first step in this process after the British Government for the minority to demonstrate openly their response to the initiative on the basis of Britain’s terms or support for the IRA and the continuation of the struggle. In Belfast alone 60000 marchers, and in Dublin, held the ranks of the Official and Provisional IRA.

Part of J.S.C. contingent forms up off the Falls Road, Belfast.

CONTINUED ACTION AGAINST MANDEL BAN

Student action against the West German ban on theteil of the Comintern, which led to the 2,000-strong protest at the West Ber- lin Free University February 28, the largest student demonstration in West Berlin in recent years. There, some 2000 students, workers, and others gathered to protest against the ban on Mandel, as well as all other repression rea- sons taken in the last months against the Comintern.

The high point of the evening was a speech by Mandel himself, played from a tape re- corder. Mandel analyzed the objective roots of the current intensification of repression throughout Western Europe: He stressed the need for a united front of all tendencies in the workers' movement, aimed at rolling back the slightest restriction on civil liberties. The principal defence of the Comintern. Mandel said, was not to weaken the far-left group's main demand, but to limit his support. The Comintern is at risk, in the battle of the workers, in the battle of the working class, in the battle of the workers' movement. It is at risk. The Comintern is at risk, in the battle of the workers, in the battle of the workers' movement. It is at risk.
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