

The July Plenum and the Right Danger

A short few weeks ago, the official Party press carried the first stories from Moscow about the "opening" of a new struggle within the C. P. S. U. These stories revealed that the struggle between the Right wing (Rykov-Tomsky) and the Center (Stalin), with Bucharin playing the customary buffer rolq could no longer be concealed behind the curtains of the Political Bureau of C. P. S. U. and had broken out in the Moscow and other organizations of the Party, where advance "scouts" for the Right wing had been presenting in the lower units of the Party the policies already proposed by Rykov-Tomsky-Kalinin in the Political Bureau. The dispatches in the. Party press, however, throw no light on the actual situation and the real issue at stake.

The entire course of the present developments in the C. P. S. U. was predicted with amazing precision by comrade Trotsky in his platform as far back as the 15th Party Congress (1927) and in the following suppressed arti-

"HE report read by Rykov on July 13 at the meeting of the Moscow Party workers on the outcome of the July Plenum of the Central Committee¹ was an event of capital political importance. Here was expounded the program of the most authoritative representative of the right wing, carrying his banner to the tribune if not entirely unfurled, at least half-way. In his report Rykov did not pause an instant upon the program of the Communist International; he did not even mention it. He devoted his speech exclusively to the question of the grain collections. Moreover it is not without good reason that his report was delivered in the tone of a victor. The Right has issued entirely victorious from its first skirmish with the Center, after four or five months of "left" politics. The July Plenum of the Central Committee marks the first victory of Rykov over Stalin, gained to be sure with the consent of the latter. The essential idea of Rykov's report is that the swerve towards the left which occurred in February was only an episode due to extraordinary circumstances, that this episode ought to be buried and forgotten, that we must also lay on the shelf not only Article 107, 2 but also what appeared in Pravda in February, that we must abandon the former course and turn not to the left but to the right-and that the more brusquely this is done the better. To clear the road Rykov acknowledged (he would not do otherwise before the accusing facts) three of his small errors: "First, at the moment when the crisis arose I judged it to be less profound than it really was; but, second, I thought that thanks to extraordinary measures we would succeed in overcoming completely this crisis of grain supply. We did not succeed. Third, I hoped that the whole campaign of grain collection would be carried on in reliance on the poor peasant, and maintaining in perfect stability our union with the masses of middle peasants. Upon this point also I was mistaken."

Now this whole crisis of grain supply, with all the political phenomena which accompanied it, was

By L. D. TROTSKY

very slight material results. Measures of administrative violence do not belong to a correct course. They are the price we pay for an incorrect one. The attempt of Rykov to attribute to the Opposition a tendency to eternalize these procedures à la Rykov, derived from the period of Military Communism, is purely and simply ridiculous. From the very first the Opposition considered these perquisitions in the country, the re-establishment of flying squadrons, etc., not as the beginning of a new course but as the failure of the old. Article 107 on hoarding is not an instrument of a Leninist policy, it is one of the crutches of the Rykov policy. In trying to present as a program of the Opposition administrative measures of economic disorganization for which he is himself entircly responsible, Rykov is behaving as all petty-bourgeois politicians do, for they always in such a situation stir up the peasant against the Communist by depicting the latter as a bandit and an expropriator.

What is the significance of the change of course in February? It was an acknowledgement of the lagging of industry, of the threatening class-differentiation in the country, and of the extreme Kulak

cle written in July of this year. Just as Stalin fruitlessly attempted to deny the existence of a Right danger, as analyzed by Trotsky then, so he is now trying to deny the existence of this danger in the Political Bureau (Rykov, etc.), and continues to lull the membership of the C. P. S. U. and the Comintern into a false security. The article of Trotsky printed below was absolutely correct when it was written, and is even more correct now. It throws a penetrating searchlight upon the present situation within the Soviet Union Party, exposes the inexorable class forces represented by the contending groups, and proves again the irrefutable accuracy of the predictions and program of the Leninist Opposition. This article was sent to the Sixth Congress of the Communist International but was not distributed to the delegates. It is printed here for the first time in English. Other suppressed documents of equal importance will be printed in subsequent issues of The Militant.

> danger. What should we deduce from it in order to establish the new line of conduct? A change in the distribution of the national income which should divert to the industries a part of what had gone to the Kulak, thereby diverting it from capitalism towards socialism, and accelerating the development of both light and heavy industry. Contrary to the article which appeared in February in Pravda (which merely repeated in this question the arguments of the Opposition) Rykov discovered the cause of the collection crisis, not in the lagging of the development of industry but in that of agriculture. To offer such an explanation is to make fun of the Party and of the working class. It is to deceive the Party in order to accomplish a swerve to the right. It is the old way of posing this question in the manner of the Ustrialov professors. It is perfectly obvious that our agriculture is incoherent, scattered, backward, that it has a barbarous character, and that this backwardness is the fundamental cause of all the difficulties. But to demand on this basis, as Rykov does, a diversion of the financial resources due to industry towards the individual peasant estate, is to choose not only the bourgeois road but the road of the agrarian bourgeoisie, of the reactionary bourgeoisie. It is to become a Soviet CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

GANGSTERISM!

On Monday evening, December 10th, two women comrades, Maria Reinl and Pauline Gutringer, who were selling The Militant on the sidewalk in front of the Workers Center on Union Square, New York City, were set upon by an organized squad which had been detailed to execute the slogan given out by Party officials to "prevent the sale of The Militant at all costs and beat up the Oppositionists."

"Get out of here, you counter-revolutionary prostitutes!" This and similar commands, mixed with unprintable obscenities, were hurled at the two working class women before a crowd of several hundred which quickly gathered Screaming epithets and calling the women comrades every name in the vocabulary of the underworld, they seized the two comrades by the arms and began to push them away. The Communist women resisted and stood their ground. The papers were torn from their hands. Comrade Reinl attempted to recover some of the papers and received a fist blow in the face. are worse than Mussolini and his Fascists. We must beat them politically and physically." Party comrades have been directly instructed by such functionaries as Miller and Benjamin that they must not speak to the members of the Opposition but should spit upon them. More than that, physical assaults against comrades attempting to sell The Militant on the streets, have been directly discussed and planned in committee meetings of the Party in the New York district, where every kind of bourgeois and anti-Communist literature is freely sold in the Party's book-store.

foreseen by the opposition in its counter-theses,³ which showed Rykov accurately all that he did not comprehend and did not foresee. It was just in order to avoid tardy and exaggerated administrative measures, adopted in haste and without coordination, that the Opposition proposed in good season a forced loan of grain from the rich elements of the villages.⁴ To be sure this measure also was an exceptional one. The entire preceding policy had made exceptional measures inevitable. If the loan had been made methodically and soon enough, that would have reduced to a minimum these administrative excesses, which are too high a payment for

2) Article 107 of the Penal Code deals with the struggle against speculation and the fraudulent concealment of surplus merchandize. This article was widely applied last. Spring as an extraordinary measure for requisitioning grain from the peasants.

3) This refers to the Counter-theses, which the Opposition opposed to the official theses presented by the Central Committee at the 15th Congress of the Party. These Counter-theses, which appeared at the time in the discussion supplement of *Pravda*, were devoted to questions of Party policy in the country and the five-year plan for the development of industry.

4) Foreseeing the imminent crisis of grain hoarding, the Opposition proposed in its platform a forced loan of grain from the rich elements of the villages which should yield 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 puds. The police appeared and *immediaely seized the two* women leaving their attackers unmolested. The two Communist women who had attempted to sell The Militant on the sidewalk were then forcibly driven away by the police.

These events are the direct result of a planned campaign of incitement by Party officials who themselves never yet took part in a fight and who lacked even the courage to participate in the attack on the women comrades. Wolfe, who ran away from the Party like a craven during the Palmer raids and also after the Bridgeman raid, directly incited to physical violence against the Opposition in his speech at the Workers Forum on November 25. Dr. Markoff in his speech to the Italian Party membership meeting on November 28 said, "The Oppositionists The methods of violence which the bureaucrats are copying from the labor fakers are necessary only for a false policy. Their methods condemn their policy and will defeat it, for Communist ideas are stronger than the gangster's fist.

None of the Foster comrades participated in the shameful gangster attack. It is primarily the proletarian revolutionists in the Party ranks who must defeat this course. They must burn the incipient Fascist tactics out of the Party with a white hot iron. They must compel a free discussion of the disputed questions in a normal Communist way.

For our part we will take up the challenge of gangsterism incited and organized by poltroons who never yet took a blow on the picket line or in-a raid. Our task and duty is to reach the Communist workers with our views. Since we are deprived of our Party rights by expulsion and suppression we must do this through The Militant. We will sell the Militant before every workers' institution and meeting. The rights of the revolutionary workers are higher than the rights of landlordism. We will maintain these rights by struggle. In this struggle our strength will be multiplied by steadfast belief in our views and by our courage to defend them.

¹⁾ The Plenum of the Central Committee of the C.P.S.U. which was held in July 1928, was devoted to economic difficulties and to the Congress of the International, which was to convene immediately after. A bitter struggle arose at this Plenum, or more exactly behind the scenes of the Plenum, between the Stalin and Rykov factions. As appears later, it was in fact the Right which carried the day, with Rykov and Bucharin at its head.

The July Plenum and the Right Danger

CONTINUED FROM PRECEDING PAGE

caricature of the "Friends of the People," of the Zemstvos of 1880. Agriculture cannot be elevated other levers. Nevertheless our industry is frightfully backward in relation to the peasant economy, incoherent, scattered and barbarous ast hat is. The lagging of industry is observable not only by comparison with the general historic aspirations of peasant economy, but also by comparison with the buying capacity of the peasant. To confound these two questions, one having to do with the general historical backwardness of country as against town, the other having to do with the backwardness of the in the villages, is to capitulate and abandon the hegemony of the cities over the country.

Our agriculture in its present form is infinitely backward open in comparison to industry, which is of an economy, can be overcome or even attenuated by reducing the already insufficient funds allocated to industrialization, would be like combatting illiteracy by shutting down the institutions of higher learning. That would be to tear out the very roots of historic progress. Although our industry has a type of production and technique infinitely superior to that of agriculture, not only is it not big of the village market, and it thereby holds up the development of this market.

It is exactly upon this basis that the collection crisis became so sharp. It was not caused either by the general backward historic character of the country, or by an alleged too rapid advance of industry. On February 15, Pravda informed us that three years "had not passed without leaving their mark," that the country was enriched, that is to say especially the Kulaks, that in the face of the delay in the development of industry this must inevitably bring a hoarding crisis. Directly contradicting this interpretation, Rykov judges that the mistake committed during the last year by the Party heads was on the contrary to have excessively speeded up industrialization and that it is necessary to slacken the pace, diminish its share of the national revenue, and utilize the funds thus made available as subsidies for the rural economy, especially in its predominant private property form. It is by means of such procedures that Rykov hopes in a very short time to double the yield per acre. But he' says nothing as to the means of disposing of this doubled yield on the market, that is to say, of exchanging it for the products of an industry whose rate of development will have grown still slower. It is impossible that Rykov does not raise this question in his own mind. A doubled harvest would entail a five or ten times multiplied demand of merchandize by the rural economy; the dearth of industrial products would thus also be multiplied several times. It is inconceivable that Rykov does not understand this very simple correlation. Why then does he not divulge the secret which is to enable him to triumph in the future over this disproportion, destined to grow monstrously? Because the hour has not yet come. For politicians of the Right, words are silver but silence is gold. Rykov moreover had already spent too much silver in his report. But it is not difficult to estimate the value of his gold. An increase in the rural economy of the capacity to buy merchandize, faced by a backward movement in industry, would mean quite simply an increase in the importation of manufactured products from abroad, destined both for the towns and the country. There does not, and there cannot exist any other alternative. As a result, the necessity of entering upon this course will be so imperious, the pressure of the growing disproportion will be so menacing, that Rykov will decide to coin his gold reserve and will demand out loud the abolition-or a reduction that is equivalent to abolition-of the monopoly of foreign trade.

This is exactly the plan of the Right which our platform predicted. From now on it will be carried openly to the tribune, if not as a whole, at least in one of its very considerable parts. As it appears from the whole speech of Rykov the raising of the price of grain is hypothecated upon that plan. It is above all a bounty to the Kulak. It permits him to lead along with still more assurance the middle peasants explaining to him: You see, I have made them pay me well for the damage caused by Article 107. It is in struggle that we will win our rights, as say our masters, the Social Revolutionaries. One

cannot doubt that functionaries who really know their business, are consoling the politicians by assuring them that it will be possible to recoup upon other except with the aid of industry. There exist no raw materials produced by the peasants, what is to Leningrad. In his really impotent speech (it acbe paid in excess for grain. But such talk is pure charlatanism. In the first place, the worker consumes bread and not the raw materials utilized by the machine; the raising of the price of grain will thus strike directly at the budget of the worker. In the second place, we will not succeed any better in indemnifying ourselves through the other peasant products i fit is first decided to cover the losses of the Left zig-zag course with the ruble. In general maneuvers of retreat are carried out with more cities in face of the present need of merchandize loss than gain. This is still more true of a retreat as disordered as that marked by the decisions of July as against the resolutions adopted in February. The raising of the price of grain, even conceived as an exceptional and extraordinary measure, as a backward enough. But to conclude that this con- kind of article 107 read backwards, conceals in sequence of the operation throughout centuries of a itself an enormous danger: it only accentuates the law of unequal development of the different parts contradictions which gave birth to the hoarding a miracle to overcome the disproportion which has crisis

This rise in prices strikes only the consumers, that is, the worker and the poor peasant whose harvest is not sufficient for his personal consumption. It is not only a bounty to the Kulak and the well-off peasant, but a still further increase of class differentiation. If industrial products are lacking already under the old price of grain, the lack will enough to play a directive and transforming role- be still greater after the rise in prices and the ina truly socialist role towards the country, but crease in the quantity of grain harvested. This will it is not even capable of satisfying the current needs amount to a new extension of the shortage of industrial merchandize, and to a continuation of the growth of social differentiation in the country. To combat the hoarding crisis by increasing the price of grain, is to enter decisively upon the road of the depreciation of the chervonetz-in other words, it an expose of the Rykov motives in explaining the is to quench your thirst with salty water. This would be so, even if it were an isolated and exceptionmeasure. But in the mind of Rykov this rise in prices is in no wise an extraordinary proceeding. It is one of the essential parts of the Rykov policy of sliding towards capitalism. Upon this road currency inflation is only a technical detail.

On the subject of the danger of inflation, Rykov says with a meaingful air: "In the meantime the buying capacity of the ruble continues firm." What does this mean: "in the meantime"? It means: Until the sale of the new harvest at increased prices, in the face of a shortage of industrial products. But when the inflation arrives, Rykov will say to the workers, whose wages will fall inevitably in such a situation: "You remember I said to you 'in the meantime'." And then he will begin to develop

T. J. O'Flaherty for the Opposition

Tom O'Flaherty, the most popular Communist propagandist in America and the writer of the famous Daily Worker column "As We See It" and a revolutionist of many years' standing, has issued a statement setting forth his unconditional support of the Platform of the Russian Opposition and his solidarity with all comrades expelled for these views.

"After studying new material on the question of the Trotsky line in the C.P.S.U. and the Comintern," said comrade O'Flaherty in his statement, "I have come to the conclusion that the line of the Russian Opposition led by comrade Trotsky is the correct Leninist line and therefore I associate myself with the position taken by comrade Cannon and his associates in the Workers (Communist) Party of America. They were unjustly expelled from the Party for attempting to explain to the membership of the Party the political ine really advocated by Trotsky in the C.P.S.U. and the Comintern."

Comrade O'Flaherty's statement sets forth his agreement with the position of the Russian Opposition on such specific questions as Socialism in one country, the Anglo-Russian Committee and the problems of the Chinese Revolution, and brands the accusation of "counterrevolutionary" hurled as its supporters as ridiculous phrase-mongering having no basis in actual fact and carrying no conviction.

Comrade O'Flaherty, who has been removed from his position on the Daily Worker, has promised to contribute regularly to The Militant.

the part of his program on which he now remains silent. It is impossible to solve the crisis by entering the road of the NEO-NEP without imparing the monopoly of foreign trade.

At the same time that Rykov was celebrating this triumph, Stalin, the vanquished, made a speech at tually makes one sick to read it), Stalin presents the bounty now acorded to the rich elements of the villages and extorted from the workers and the poor peasants, as a new consolidation of the bridge uniting town and country. (How many of these consolidations have we had already!) Stalin doesn't even attempt to show how he intends to avoid the contradictions which are closing in on him. He has just got out of the difficulties produced by Article 107, and proceeds to tangle himself up in those of the rise in prices. Stalin is merely falling back on the same general phrases about the "bridge" which have already been repeated ad nauseam. As if the problem of the "bridge" could be solved by a phrase, a formula, a promise, as if one could believe (anyone, that is, except Stalin's docile functionaries) that if the next harvest is good, it will be able by only been aggravated by the three previous harvests. Stalin is afraid of the Rykovist solution from the Right, but he is still more afraid of the Leninist solution. He is waiting. He is turning his back and occupying himself with manipulating the apparatus. Stalin is losing time under the impression that he is gaining it. After the convulsive shock of February we are now again in the presence of "Khvostism" in all its pitiable impotence. The speech of Rykov has a totally different tone. When Stalin dodges the issue by keeping still, it is because he has nothing to say. Rykov, on the contrary, leaves certain things unmentioned because he doesn't want to say too much. The policy of raising the price of grain (especially accompanied as it was by abrogation of the Left zig-zag in the Spring) constitutes, and cannot but constitute, the beginning of a change of orientation towards the Right, a deep and perhaps decisive change. Legal barriers erected upon this road, such as the limitation of leasings, and of the employ of wage labor, will be abolished with a stroke of the bureaucratic pen, along with the monopoly of foreign trade-at least unless these people break their heads against the iron wall of the proletariar. vanguard. The logic of the Right course can very quickly become irrevocable. All the false hopes in the false policy of the Right, all these reckless calculations in general, the loss of time, the minimizing of contradictions, the mental reservations, and the diplomacy, are nothing but an effort to put the workers to sleep, to support the enemy, to promote, whether consciously or unconsciously, the Thermidor. In the speech of Rykov commenting on the resolutions of the July Plenum, the Right wing has thrown down the gage to the October Revolution. We must understand that. We must take up the gage. We must immediately and with all our might give the first blow to the Right. The Right, in issuing its defiance, has fixed its strategy in advance. For this it did not need any great ingenuity. Rykov asserts that at the basis of the Centrist tendencies of the Left there is "a Trotskyist distrust of the possibility of building Socialism on the basis of the Nep, and a desperate panic before the Moujik." The struggle against "Trotskyism" is the favorite hobby of those who are beginning to slide. But if this sort of arguments were fairly stupid on the lips of Stalin, they become a pitiful caricature on the lips of Rykov. It is just here that he ought to have remembered that silence is gold. It is those who distrust the conquest of power by the proletariat in peasant Russia who are really panic-striken before the Moujik. These heroes of panic were seen on the other side of the barricades of October. Rykov was one of them.5 As for us, we were with Lenin and the proletariat, for we never doubted one instant that the proletariat was capable of leading the peasantry. The Rykov policy of 1917 was only an abridged anticipation of the present econmic tactic. At present he proposes to surrender one after another the dominant economic positions already conquered by the proletariat to the elements of primitive capitalist accumulation. It is only thanks to the privileges which have been conferred upon him these last years by the falsification of history, that Rykov dares to describe as a panic the uncompromising

5) Rykov was in 1917 among the most resolute opponents of the seizure of power. Appointed a Commissar in the Government after the Revolution of October, he deserted several days after with Zinoviev

the Revolution of October, he deserted several days after with Zinoviev and Kamenev. At the moment when the Mensheviks and Social Revolutionaries began their open struggle against the newly-formed Soviet Govern-ment, Rykov, Kamenev and Zinoviev demanded a capitulation to them and the formation of a coalition government. When the Central Committee refused to agree to the formation of such a government, they announced their resignation from the Central Committee, and Rykov and some others deserted the positions in the Government which had been confided to them by the Party.

struggle carried on by the Opposition in defense of the Socialist dictatorship. He attempts at the same time to pass off as political courage his disposition to capitulate to capitalism with his eyes wide open. At present Rykov is directing his reactionary demagogy, perfectly adapted to the psychology of the small owner on the way to wealth, less against the Opposition than against Stalin and the Center who incline toward the Left. Just as in his time Stalin directed against Zinoviev all the attacks which Zinoviev had directed against "Trotskyism," so Rykov is now learning to repeat the same operation against Stalin. Who sows the wind reaps the whirlwind. You can't play with political ideas. They are more dangerous than fire. The myths, legends, slogans of an imaginary "Trotskyism," have not become an attribute of the Opposition, but certain classes have seized upon them, and thus these conceptions lead their own life. To drive them more broadly and deeply, the agitation of Stalin had to be a hundred times more brutal than that of Zinoviev. Now it is Rykov's turn. One can imagine what persecutions the Right is going to turn loose when relying openly upon the property instinct of the Kulak. We must not forget that if the Rykovists form the tail of the Centrists, they have in their turn another, still heavier, tail.

December 15, 1928.

Immediately behind Rykov, come those who, as Pravda has already recognized, want to live in peace with all classes-that is to say, want once more to force the worker, the hired man and the poor peasant to submit peacefully to the master. Behind them looms already the small employer, greedy, impatient, vindictive, his arms raised and the knife within reach. And behind the small employer, beyond the frontier, the real boss stands ready with dreadnoughts, airplanes and asphyxiating gases. "We must not let ourselves become panicstriken. Let us go on building as we have in the past." That is what the little Judases of the Right are preaching, putting the workers to sleep, mobilizing the property holders, preparing the Thermidor. Such is the present position of the men on the chess board. Such is the veritable mechanism motivating the classes. Rykov, as we have already said, deceives the Party in stating that the Opposition would like to perpetuate the exceptional measures to which we are reduced, to our shame, after elevn years of dictatorship by the policy pursued since the death of Lenin. The Opposition has said clearly what it had to say in its documents sent to the 6th Congress. But Rykov was perfectly right when he said: The principle task of the "Trotskyists" is to prevent this Right wing from triumphing. That at least is true. The victory of the Right wing would be the first step leading to Thermidor. After a victory of the Right wing it would no longer be possible to rise again to the dictatorship by the sole method of inner-Party reform. The Right wing is the handle on which the enemy classes are pulling. The success of this wing will be but a temporarily disguised victory of the bourgeoisie over the prolétariat. Rykov is right. At present our principal task is to prevent the triumph of the Rigne. In order to achieve this, it is necessary not to put the Party to sleep as the Zinovievs, Piatakovs and and to the Communist International: Rykov is beginning openly to surrender the Revolution of October to the enemy classes. Stalin is standing reactionary scholasticism.

and honestly chosen. 'Alma-Ata, July 23, 1928.



Station, New York, N: Y. Publishers address at 340 East 19th Street, New York, N. Y. - Telephone: Gramercy 3411.

Subscription rate: \$1.00 per year. Foreign, \$1.50 Bundle rates, 3c per copy. 5c per copy

Editor			5. 16. 471 -		Associate Editors	
Jan	nes P.	Cannon	Martin A Max Sha Maurice S		Shachtman	
VOL.	I.	4.4.4	DECEMBER 15,	1928.	À.	No. 3.
Ap	plicatio at N	n for ent	ry as second cláss N. Y.	matter		at the Post

Whither Foster?

rected. The opinion that the E.C.C.I., under Bucharin's leadership, always and under all circumstances is supporting Lovestone and Pepper in all things is not quite so. It is true that Lovestone and Pepper, not to mention Wolfe, receive the necessary political support to maintain their artificial hold on the party apparatus. But along with this whole hearted backing to the opportunist adventurers go occasional "concessions" to the Foster cerned. The whole business is reduced to a Chingroup and these "concessions" are the bread they live by. After all, half a loaf is better than a crust and a crust is better than a crumb.

The arrival of one of these crusts of concession was made known through the columns of the Daily Worker on Dec. 3rd. It was a cable urging the Polcom to allow the Foster group to "express its dissociation from 'Trotskyism'." This cable resulted in the publication on the same day of the Bittelmanite statement of the Foster group. Up till then the document had been suppressed by the Lovestone majority which had been unfairly hogging the credit for the fight against the "Trotskyist", right or left-take your choice-"danger" This illuminating document of Bittelmanism had been living a furtive life, so to speak, being smuggled around as an illegal work, surreptitiously shoved under back doors, etc. The cable of the É.C.C.I. legalized the statement and made its publication possible.

The Foster group leaders, who appreciate all small favors, were very happy about this "victory". But we believe the jubilation was ill-placed. Sober reflection on the part of anyone able to read the thesis of Bittelman through will lead to the conclusion that the decision which authorized its publication was in reality a defeat for the Foster group. It would have been more merciful to suppress the document altogether and to order the confiscation of the extant copies.

The statement begins with a plaintive wail about being deprived of the "credit" for promptly reporting our Right deviations on Left Trotskyism to the Right Wing Polcom. Being ourselves fair and impartial and wanting to see everyone get his due, we wish to say a word in favor of this claim. It is an absolute and indisputable fact that the Foster group dutifully furnished this evidence to Lovestone and Pepper, and they are fully entitled to all the honor and glory which services of this kind usually bring to those who perform them. If the "leadership" of Foster and Bittelman in the heroic battle against "Trotskyism" lasted only for a day, or, more precisely for an hour, and was strictly limited to the role of information-givers, it is not because of lack of ambition on their part. The greater energy and initiative of the Polcom majority and their control of the apparatus, on the one hand, and the determined opposition of the proletarian supporters of the Foster group to such a course on the other, combined to frustrate their aspirations in this case, as their plans are so others are doing, but to sound the alarm ten times frequently frustrated by the inability to see where as loud all along the line. We say to our Party the second step leads when the first step is taken. "The Right Danger in the American Party" which the Foster group signed yesterday was a straight-from-the-shoulder document. It said in now on one foot, now on the other. He is beating plain terms (and correctly) that "the main danger a retreat before Rykov and firing to the Left. comes from the Right" and said (also correctly) Bucharin is lulling the mind of the Party with his that the present leadership of the Party is the consciously organized Right Wing. The Foster statevanguard must take its destiny in its own hands. declaration and cannot find room in a document The Party must discuss broadly the three courses: of six or seven thousands words to directly char-Right, Center and Leninist. The Party needs the acterize the opportunist charlatans who control the reinstatement of the Opposition into its ranks. The party. The plain words of yesterday which called Party has need of a Congress honestly prepared for these adventurers the Right Wing and the greatest menace to the Party are emascuated into vague talk about "opportunist tendencies" in the statement of today. Nowhere is there a direct and straight-forward characterization of the present leaders without which there can be no question of a serious struggle against them. And furthermore-let the proletarian Communists of the Foster group take note and remember-this "diplomatic retreat" from the basic position taken at the Sixth Congress is only a transition step to a further retreat and an abandonment of the struggle after the Convention. A part of the leadership of the Foster group is moving directly to this. The pressure of the rank and file of the Foster group who really want to struggle against the Opportunist leadership make such an immediate capitulation impossible. This explains the fact that the leaders of the Foster group, lacking firmness and definiteness of principle, are moving in zig-zags backward.

Right and Left deviations, arising out of specific

 \mathbf{A}^{N} impression prevails which, for the sake of absolute and impartial truth, should be corstruggle against them, as Lenin said, "Bolshevism grew, gained strength and became hardened.' Lenin precisely defined the nature of these deviations, explained their source and origin and gave invaluable instruction for combatting them, enriching this instruction with illustrations from the history of the Bolshevik Party. All this is lost insofar as the statement of the Foster group is conese puzzle of contradictions, inconsistencies and light-hearted jugglery of words and formulae which have nothing at all to do with serious politics.

According to the Bittelman evangel "deviations to the Left in the American Party grow out of the same objective situation as right deviations." Moreover, right deviations are the same as Left deviations, the Right being "a fatalistic attitude toward American capitalism, toward the possibilities of struggle against it and the opportunities of building up a mass Communist Party in the United States", while the Left is "pessimism in the possibility of building up a Communist Party in the United States."

Thus Right is Left and Left is Right. The main danger comes from the Right, and "Trotskyism" which Foster and Bittelman called a social-democratic and counter-revolutionary tendency on October 16, is now re-baptized (after Stalin's latest right-about-face speech on October 19) as a Left deviation. The fight against it "as an organic part of the general struggle against the Right Danger," which they prescribed on October 16, is now replaced by a "merciless struggle on two frontsagainst the open Right Danger and against the Trotsky Opposition led by Cannon." Then to make everything absolutely clear, so that even a Gomez can understand it, it is pointed out that the Right Danger is the greatest, therefore the Left must be expelled "to protect the Party from the demoralizing effects of Trotskyism."

It would be a great error to identify this nonsense with the actual standpoint of the great majority of the Foster group supporters. These are proletarian and revolutionary, animated by a relentless opposition to the opportunist adventurers and the will to fight them-an attitude firmly crystalized and maintained over a period of years, and soundly based on experiences in the class struggle. It is their misfortune, and the Party's misfortune too, that their revolutionary antagonism to the Lovestone faction is capitalized by such "leaders" as the authors of this document and thus deprived of real effectiveness in the struggle. These leaders act as lightning rods, catching the opposition sentiments of many worker-Communists, diverting them from their real objects and running them into the ground.

A serious fight on their part to change the present leadership of the Party is, of course, impossible with such a policy. The task of the proletarian supporters of the Foster group is to break through this contradiction and find a clear and consistent line. That all their tendencies are in this direction has already been clearly shown in recent weeks. It was their pressure which has compelled Foster and Bittelman to come forward with proposals to moderate the criminal expulsion policy of the Lovestone majority. But the worker-Communists must not be fooled or pacified by this The Party must lift its voice. The proletarian ment of today crawls away from this straight-out temporizing half-measure. It is impossible to fight the opportunist leadership and at the same time support in any way the expulsion of its real opponents. The workers in the Foster group-the great majority-who understand the disruptive consequences of this expulsion policy and stand opposed to it, must come out in the open against it. The same holds true for the many who secretly sympathize with our whole position. Mere caucus agitation only plays the game of small-scale caucus politicians and serves the interest of the Right Wing splitters.

> Benefit Performance for THE MILITANT "SINGING JAILBIRDS" by Upton Sinclair A New Playwrights Theatre Production PROVINCETOWN PLAYHOUSE 133 Macdougal St. TUESDAY EVENING, DEC. 18. Curtain at 8:40 Sharp.

THE MILITANT

HEDRAFT PROGRAM OF THE COMINT A CRITICISM OF D. TROTSKY

CONTINUED FROM LAST ISSUE

Here is what Bucharin wrote on the subject in 1917:

sian edition).

One could not express himself more clearly. Such were the views held by Bucharin in 1917, two years after Lenin's alleged "change" in 1915. Perhaps the October Revolution taught Bucharin differently? We shall see.

In 1919, Bucharin wrote on the subject of the tern, saying:

"Under existing WORLD economy and the conwithout a decisive victory of one or the other side in SEVERAL civilized countries.

Further:

"In the Marxian and quasi-Marxian pre-war litin the negative" (And what about Lenin in 1915?-. T.) "from which one does not at all conclude that it is impossible or inadmissible to start the revolution and to capture power in one country

Exactly! In the same article we read:

"The period of great development of the productive forces can begin only with the victory of the proletariat in several large countries. . From here follows that an all-round development of the world revolution and the formation of a strong economic alliance of the industrial countries with Soviet Russia is necessary." (N. Bucharin, "Proletarian Dictatorship in Russia and the World Revolution, The Communist International, No. 5, 1919).

Bucharin's statement that a rise in the productive forces, that is, real Socialist development, will begin only after the victory of the proleariat of the advanced countries of Europe-why, that is exactly the phrase which was used as a basis of all acts of indictment against "Trotskyism," including also the indictment read at the Seventh Plenum of the E.C.C.I. It is only strange that Bucharin, whose only salvation lies in his short memory, read the indictment. Side by side with this comical circumstance, there is also a tragic oneamong those indicted was also Lenin, who expressed, tens of times, the very same elementary idea

Finally, in 1921, six years after Lenin's alleged change of 1915, and four years after the October Revolution, the program of the Young Communist League, approved by the Central Committee headed by Lenin and drawn up by a Commission under Bucharin's leadership, says in paragraph 4:

"In the U.S.S.R. political power is already in the hands of the working class. In the course of three years of heroic struggle against world capitalism it and strei ienea its ment. Russia, although it possesses enormous natural resources, is, nevertheless, from an industrial point of view, a backward country, in which a pettybourgeois population predominates. It can arrive as Socialism only through the world proletarian. revolution, which epoch of development we have back to the point from which we started in dealnow entered."

Communist League-not of an accidental article, the epoch of imperialism one cannot regard the but of a program-renders the attempts of the fate of one country in any other way but by taking authors of the draft to prove that the Party "al. as a background the tendencies of world developways" held the construction of a Socialist society ment, in which the individual country with all its possible in one country and precisely in Russia, national peculiarities is included and to which it ridiculous and inadequate. If "always," why is it is subordinated, as a whole. Theoreticians of the that Bucharin wrote such a paragraph in the pro- Second International exclude the U.S.S.R. from gram of the Young Communist League and why the world unit and from the imperialist epoch; was Stalin looking on? How could Lenin and the they apply to the U.S.S.R., as an isolated country, we have all that is necessary and sufficient," SO:... whole Central Committee voice such a heresy? the vague criterion of economic "maturity"; they we have it. Starting out from a point which needs How was it that no one in the Party noticed this declare that the U.S.S.R. is not ready for inde- to be proven, Bucharin builds up a complete sys-How was it that no one in the Party noticed this declare that the 0.3.5.K. is not ready for finder to be proven, Bucharin Builds up a complete sys-"triffle" or raised a voice against it? Does this not pendent social construction and draw the conclu-look like a vicious joke which is a direct mockery of the inevitability of a capitalist degeneration of the leaders of the German Social Democracy in the days of Bebel and the elder Liebknecht. He opposed the Marxian contentions on the agricant of the Barty, its history and the Comintern? Is it of the Workers' State. The authors of the draft program adopt the same theoretical ground and accept the metaphys-time to tell the revisionists: Dare not hide behind same theoretical ground and accept the metaphys-ical methodology of the Social Democratic theoret-ical methodology of the Social Democratic theoret-

5. WHERE IS THE "SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC icians as a whole." They too "abstract" from the DEVIATION"

WORKERS' REVOLUTION."-(Bucharin, "Class olution and the international revolution, the oppo- an elementary class. Struggle and Revolution in Russia," page 34, Rus sition thinks ... the same as Otto Bauer, who does _ That is how matters stand with the "Social not admit the possibility of Socialist construction Democratic deviation." Not we but the authors in Russia. One would think that printing has of the draft should consider themselves related to been discovered only in 1924 and that everything Bauer. that happened before that has been forgotten. It is all trusted to short memory.

However, on the question of the nature of the "Proletarian Dictatorship in Russia and the World October Revolution, the Comintern settled its ac-Revolution" in the theoretical organ of the Comin- counts with Otto Bauer and other philistines of the Second International at the Fourth Congress. In my speech (on the question of the New Econnections between its parts, with the simultaneous omic Policy and the prospets of world revolution) inter dependence of the various national bourgeois authorized by the Central Committee, Otto Bauer's groups, IT STANDS TO REASON" (our em position was outlined in a manner which expressed phasis) "that the struggle in one country cannot end the views of our Central Committee of the time; it did not give rise to any objections at the Con-'At that time this was even "self-evident." gress and, I think, it fully holds good today. So far as Bucharin is concerned, he declined to deal with the political side of the problem since "many erature, the question was many times raised as to comrades, including Lenin and Trotsky, had alwhether the victory of Socialism is possible in one ready spoken on the subject": in other words, country. Most of the writers replied to this question Bucharin agreed with my speech. Here is what I said at the Fourth Congress about Otto Bauer:

"The Social Democratic theoreticians, who, on the one hand recognize in their holiday articles that capitalism, particularly in Europe, has outlived its use fulness and has become a brake on historical development, and who on the other hand express the the European bourgeoisie will hold power in the course of several decades, it will under the present

This formulation of the questions brings us

This paragraph of the program of the Young ing with the draft program, namely, that in

world entity and from the imperialist epoch. They What I have said is more than sufficient to start out from the fiction of isolated development. "Revolutions are the locomotives of history. The characterize Bucharin's theoretical position of They apply to the national phase of the world treplaceable engineer of that locomotive can even in yesterday and today. To characterize his political revolution a vague economic criterion. But their backward Russia be only the proletariat, but the methods one must recall that having selected in "sentence" is different. The "leftism" of the proletariat cannot stay within the limits of the prop the statements written by the Opposition those authors of the draft lies in the fact that they turn erty, relations of bourgeois society. It marches to crty relations of bourgeois society. It marches to power and towards Socialism. However, this mis- which are absolutely analogous with those which the Social Democratic evaluation inside out. How-sion which is being 'put on the order of the day' he himself (IN THIS CASE in full agreement ever, the position of the theoreticians of the in Russia cannot be fulfilled within national bound with Lenin) wrote up to 1925, Bucharin erected Second International, no matter how much one aries.' Here the working class meets with an insur-mountable wall'—(Take note: "an insurmountable on their basis the theory of our "Social Democratic Lenin's position which simply REMOVES Bauer's mountable wall — (Take note: "an insurmountable Deviation." It appears that in the central question Lenin's position which simply REMOVES Bauer's by the battering ram of the INTERNATIONAL concerning the relations between the October Rev. position and Bauer's prognosis as the exercises of

6. THE DEPENDENCE OF THE U.S.S.R. ON WORLD ECONOMY

countries, is absolutely out of the question."

conviction that the evolution of Soviet Russia inev. must understand one State under a proletarian sue without discussion. itably leads to the triumph of bourgeois democracy, dictatorship, Vollmar expressed an irrefutable idea

THE REVOLUTIONARY DEVELOPMENT OF the formulation of our sponsors of the deal cherry with EUROPE....Such a factor as time cannot be left socialism in one country. In his construction, the role of American Im. their full score a factor as time cannot be left socialism in one country. In his construction, the role of American Im. that Soviet Russia will have to adapt itself to it in its economic policy, then the question solves itself same time the advantage of possessing a highly the Chinese repolution and its economic policy, then the question solves itself automatically because, by recognizing this, we pre- developed technique and a low cost of production. its lessons, and with the forsuppose the crushing of the proletarian revolution This construction is based on the prospect of a mation of wort is and peas-in Europe and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and the rise of a new epoch of a new epoch of capitalist DPACEPEIL on helitation of the accelling and the rise of a new epoch in Europe and the rise of a new epoch of capitalist PEACEFUL co-habitation of the socialist and cap in line with Le in condemns revival. On what basis? If Otto Bauer has dis-covered in the life of present-day Austria any italist systems. But inasmuch as socialism must, as in principle. miraculous signs of capitalist revival then all that as it progresses, constantly reveal its colossal pro- ment on the can be said is that the fate of Russia is pre-deter- ductive advantages the necessity for a world rev-mined. But so far we do not see any miracles, and olution will fall away in itself, as socialism will be we do not believe in such. From our viewpoint if we do not believe in such. From our viewpoint, if able to settle accounts with capitalism by the sale interesting to 4 prican com-

world conditions signify not a new capitalist bloom, but economic stagnation and the cultural decline of one of the authors of the second draft, Bucharin, Europe. That such a process might be able to in their construction of socialism in one country, Militant withoutany changes. of Spengler's philosophy. We definitely look for the U.S.S.R." (The Bolshevik, No. 192, 1926), of the Communist Internation our import. Ward to a revolutionary development in Europe. THE NEW ECONOMIC POLICY IS MERELY which is the last word in scholastics multiplied by tional make is in invaluable. In the jour

"Once we have 'all that is necessary and sufficient' for the building up of socialism, it follows that in the process of building of socialism there can be no such a point at which its further construction would become impossible. If we have in our country such a combination of forces-that in relation to each past year, we are marching ahead with a greater relative strength of the socialist sector of economy and the socialized sectors of economy grow faster than the private capitalist sectors, then we are entering every subsequent new year with a greater balance of power.

This argumentation is comprehensible "ONCE

FOREN ORD

With this iss' e The Mili-

otsky's com-Third Party munists. The ntire docu-

.293263263CeSt.

December 15, 1928

tem of self-sufficing socialist economics without market by the correlation of prices, to the same the rivalry of two systems leads us to the arena any entrances or exits to it. As to the external extent it is not so much military intervention as of world economy and world politics, that is, to environment, that is, the rest of the world, Bucha- the intervention of cheaper capitalist commodities the arena of action and decision of the revolutionrin as well as Stalin, think of them only from the that constitute the greatest danger to Soviet econ- ary International which includes also the Soviet viewpoint of intervention. When Bucharin speaks omy. This alone shows that it is by no means Republic, but not by any means the self-sufficing in his article about the necessity to "abstract" merely a question of an isolated economic victory Soviet Republic, which secures from time to time from the international factor, he has in mind not over one's "own" bourgeoisie:the world market but military intervention. Bucharin does not have to abstract from the world market because he simply forgets about it in his structure. In harmony with this scheme Bucharin championed at the Fourteenth Congress the idea death struggle between two social systems one of that if we will not be interfered with by interven which only commenced to build on backward protion we will build up socialism "although with the speed of a tortoise." The uninterrupted struggle between the two systems, the fact that socialism can be based only on the highest productive forces, in a word. Marxian dynamics in displacing one With this issive The Mili, in a word, manage of the growing tant prints the third in social form by another on the basis of the growing stallment of The Draft productive forces—all this has been blotted out. If you our social feebleness in the face of the interpretations of Lenin's expressions on the one hand and by a scholastic interpretation of the 'law Program of the Commu Revolutionary historical dialectics has been dis-ON WORLD ECONOMY The precursor of the present prophets of the national socialist society was no other than Herr New Market and the pititful character of his nand and by a content interpretation of the historical law as world market and the pititful character of his nand and by a content interpretation of the other. By giving a correct interpretation of the historical law as well as of the respective guotations we arrived at Isolated Socialist State" the prospect of indepen. Marxist-Leninist literature was boundaries, connected with the external world Isolated Socialist State the prospect of indepen-dent socialist construction in Germany, the pro-letariat of which country advanced much further letariat of which country advanced much further Isolated Socialist State the prospect of indepen-submitted by comrade Trot-only by its fear of intervention. The refusal to sky to the Sixth World Con-gress of the Communist In-accept this miserable caricature on Marx's and perish from a military intervention, but by no cluding Stalin and Bucharin up to 1925, have arletariat of which country advanced much further than that of progressive Britain, Vollmar, in 1878, refers clearly and quite correctly in several places to the law of uneven development which, accord-ing to Stalin, Marx and Engels did not know. On the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the basis of that law Vollmar arrives in 1878 at the total country will mark that we have fallen into a the total country intervention, but by no coratic deviation." In the quoted article, this in and Stalin, without any the first time been advanced and "substantiated." the total country, the question is the total country, the question is that to arrives the total country will mark that we have fallen into a the total country, the question is the total country, the question is the total country, the question is the total country the first time been advanced and "substantiated." and fundamental criticism re. "Social Democratic deviation" for failing to recog why should a military intervention menace us various countries upon each other, follows not only "Under the existing conditions, which will retain their forces also in the future, it can be foreseen that a simultaneous victory of socialism in all cultural congress and never discussed ist Russia could not have taken power in October by the delegates. The sole ist Russia could not have taken power in October arin admits the preponderance of the productive From this angle we will examine once again attention accorded it was its if Russia had not been a link, the weakest, but forces only in the military technical aspect. He the text of the program a little closer. We have Developing this idea still further, Vollmar says: attention accorded it was its in Acussia had not been a link, of the chain of WORLD economy. does not want to understand that Ford's tractor is already read in the introduction that: "Thus we have come to the ISOLATED socialist the Program Commission The capture of power by the proletariat has not just as dangerous as the Creusot gun, with the only State which is, I hope I have proven, although not and a report in the docu-the only possible the MOST PROBABLE WAY." and a report in the least excluded the Soviet Republic from the difference that whereas the gun can act only from Inasmuch as by the term of isolated State one ust understand one State under a proletarian vent of the C international "division of labor" set up by cap time to time, the tractor brings its pressure to bear

Like the wise owl which comes out only in the stands behind it, as a last resort. itably leads to the triumph of bourgeois democracy, dictatorsmip, volumar expressed an income of the document was lestablished appeared at the moment when our industry, which world proletariat together with which we DE which excludes, rejects and sweeps away before the few appeared at the moment when our industry as a CALCULATED ON CERTAIN DEFINITE CON. 1915. DITIONS OF TIME AND SPACE. IT IS A But then comes already something which is a crystallization of the de distribution were re-MANOEUVER OF THE WORKERS' STATE purely Vollmar's idea which, by the way, is by the way, is by the way, is by the way is by th

Party will have to face another examination:

NATIONAL MARKET TO WHICH WE ARE SUBORDINATED, WITH WHICH WE ARE THROUGH.'

plete" socialism such a death blow as the simple eliminate it. fact that the figures of our foreign trade have in ted in full recent years become the corner stone of the fighis and the ures of our economic plans. The most "stringent place" of our economy, including our industry, is draw Soviet Russia into the abyss can, generally proceed entirely from the idea of an isolated self- Its basic importance for the our import which depends entirely on the export. to go through a state democracy, or adopt some sufficing economy. In Bucharin's article entitled international revolutionary And inasmuch as the power of resistance is alto go through a state democracy, or adopt some sufficing economic. In Ducharm's article criticity of resistance is are power of resistance is areapower of restance in the power of resistance is are power of res

(January 1927, page 27).

ning Commission says without any doubt, for all manage properly our economy in the phase when COUNTRY are too narrow, and limit ourselves who have ears to hear, that the estimate figures it becomes necessary to create independently an to, let us say, the home productive forces, that is, determine the tendency and tempo of our econ- industrial basis with its incomparably higher de to our technical backwardness? If this is the case, omic development but that these estimate figures mands to the management, then our productivity then we should in many branches of industry stop are already controlled by world economy; not be of labor will grow. Is it, however, inconceivable making progress right now, and decline to a posicause we have become weaker, but because having that the productivity of labor in the capitalist tion even lower than our present pitiful technical becoming stronger we have outgrown the narrow countries, or, more correctly, in the predominant level which managed to link up bourgeois Russia enclosed circle

victory of the proletariat of each country over its main cor own bourgeoisie." (Lenin, 1919, Vol. 16, page 388). program. It is a question of competition and of a life and ductive forces and the other which still rests on productive forces of immeasurably greater strength. Anyone who sees in the admission of our dependence on the world market (Lenin spoke directwith his own means.

constantly. Besides, the tractor knows that a gun

to us. These dangers may, however, diminish or capitalism the most highly develop In the journal Planned Economy (a theoretical increase. This depends on the action of two forces but must immediatey carry them onward, other. The second factor of course, that is, the under capitalism. The question arises, how can "in drawing up our estimates for this year we had fate of world economy as a whole, is, IN THE socialism drive the productive forces back into the to take our export and import balance as a starting FINAL ANALYSIS, of DECISIVE significance. boundaries of a national state which they have Can it happen-and in what particular case- broken through under capitalism? Or perhaps we plans for the various industries and consequently for industry in general and-particularly for the con-struction of new industrial enterprises, etc., etc."— Can it happen—and in what particular case— broken through under capitalism? Or perhaps we constantly lag behind that of the capitalist system will ought to abandon the idea of "unbridled" produc-constantly lag behind that of the capitalist system tive forces for which the national boundaries AND -which, IN THE END would inevitably lead to CONSEQUENTLY ALSO THE BOUNDARIES The methodological approach of the State Plan- the downfall of the Socialist Republic? If we will OF THE THEORY OF SOCIALISM IN ONE capitalist countries, will grow faster than in our with world economy in an inseparable bond and The capitalist world shows us by its export and country? Without a clear answer to this question to bring it into the vortex of the imperialist war import figures that it has other means of persua- the meaningless and wordy statements that our for an EXPANSION OF ITS TERRITORY FOR sion than those of military intervention. Inasmuch tempo "is in itself" sufficient (let us forget the THE PRODUCTIVE FORCES which had outas productivity of labor and the productivity of factitious philosophy about the "speed of the tor grown the State boundaries. a social system as a whole is measured on the toise") are insolvent. But the very mentioning of

the support of the International. Before, however, "The Socialist revolution which is meant for the taking up this question we will try to reveal its whole world will by no means consist merely in a main contradiction, basing ourselves on the draft

Page 5

THE ANTAGONISM BETWEEN THE PRODUCTIVE FORCES AND THE NA-TIONAL BOUNDARIES AS THE CAUSE OF THE REACTIONARY UTOPIAN THEORY OF SOCIALISM IN ONE COUNTRY.

The theory of socialism in one country is conwell as of the respective quotations we arrived at The question of honor for the new theory has a directly opposite conclusion, that is, a conclusion

"Imperialism ... intensifies the contradiction between the growth of the productive forces of world economy and national State barriers to an exceptional degree."

We have already stated that this utterance was ial into the most pititul and hat contrainchon of which uses well known to that and any established appeared at the moment when our industry, which world proletariat together with which we DE- which excludes, rejects and sweeps away before-which Lenin expressed in the quoted article of forthwith and the few exhausts ever greater parts of the old fixed capital. The indifferent, neu- hand the theory of socialism in one country as a two-thirds of which is a crystallization of the de- trated world "connect reactionary theory because it is irreconciliably op-WHICH EXISTS IN CAPITALIST SURROUND INGS AND DEFINITELY CALCULATES ON THE REVOLUTIONARY DEVELOPMENT OF the formulation of our sponsors of the theory of ceived. It deal chiefly with the guestions of foreign trade have arisen in the guestions of the guestions of foreign trade have arisen in the guestions of the guest EUROPE... Such a factor as time cannot be left socialism in one country. In his construction, in construction, our dependence of their full scope before our economic directors. out of consideration in political calculations. If we Vollmar took as a starting point the supposition being to the price of their full scope before our dependence on the latter, without ceasing to with national boundaries. From here follow not EUROPE ... - Such a factor as time cannot be tell out of consideration in political calculations. If we Vollmar took as a starting point the supposition admit that capitalism will really be able to exist that socialist Germany will have live economic rela-the revisionist theory of "So" Congress at which Lenin had the opportunity to character than it is now. But unfortunately this ital, the conquest of land, the colonial policy, and speak to the Party, he issued the warning that the is not so. The very monopoly of foreign trade the last imperialist war, but also the economic imbetrays the severity and the dangerous character of possibility of a self-sufficing socialist society. The "An examination which the Russian and INTER our dependence. The decisive importance of the productive forces of CAPITALIST countries have monopoly in our socialist construction is a result already for a long time broken through the na-CONNECTED AND FROM WHICH WE CAN. precisely of the existing correlation of forces which tional boundaries. Socialist society however, can NOT ESCAPE, WILL MAKE US GO is unfavorable to us. But one must not forget for be built only on the most advanced productive a moment that the foreign trade only regulates our forces, on electricity and chemistry in the proces-Nothing strikes the theory of an isolated "com- dependence upon the world market, but does not ses of production including also agriculture, in the combination, generalization and culmination of the "So long as our Soviet Republic," says Lenin, highest elements of modern technique. We have "will remain the only border land surrounded by the been repeating since Marx that capitalism is unwhole capitalist world, so long will it be an absolutely ridiculous fantasy and Utopia to think of our complete economic independence and of the disappear. which it has given rise and which breaks asunder ance of any of our dangers."-(Vol. 17, page 409). not only the private property rights of bourgeois The chief dangers arise consequently from the property but, as the war of 1914 has shown, also

TO BE CONTINUED

THE MILITANT

December 15, 1928.

The Struggle in the Y.W.L.

THE letter sent to the Young Workers (Communist) League of America after the Fifth Congress of the Young Communist International was not made available to the League ranks until an appreciable period after it was received. The agents of Lovestone who are in control of the League's national executive committee, in whose eyes the Y. C. I. document found disfavor, resorted to the simple expedient of withholding its publication and suppressing its circulation. Det spite the protests of the minority, the right wing Polcom of the Party supported its League adherents, and it was not until the minority had appealed to the Executive Committee of the Y. C. I. and the latter had responded, demanding the immediate publication of the letter, that it was finally published.

The minority in the League is as jubilant over this letter and its "victory" as a condemned prisoner who has received three days of grace.

They seem to have forgotten entirely the lessons, as plain as a pikestaff, to be learned from the relations of the Y. C. I. to the struggle in the 'American League and Party in the past few years. It is necessary therefore to repeat them.

The Y. C. I. must follow, and has followed, the general political line of the C. I. on the American question which has been, unfortunately, "on the whole for the political support of the Ruthenberg group". Every decision of the Y. C. I., which appeared to be favorable towards the present minority (even at a time when it was the majority of the N. E. C.) invariably ended its brief career by being transformed into support of the Lovestone (Zam) group in the League. This occurred even though the present minority in the League had, at every decisive point, a majority of the membership behind its policies and leadership. Each time this majority was mechanically routed either by a thunderbolt decision of the Y. C. I., by its representatives to the League here, or by factional gerrymandering by the Lovestone Central Committee of the Party. When the latter method was used, the Y. C. I. did not find a word of criticism to make.

We recall, for sinstance, the scandalous action of the Lovestone C.E.C. in the League convention at Chicago in 1925, where an established majority delegation for the present minority group was squeezed into a minority against the will of the membership and with the acquiescense of the Y. C. I. We recall the post-convention period when the policy of the Y. C. I. representative was the fraudulent "unity" line which consisted in driving the weak and spineless elements of the minority group into the Zam group and thus... liquidating the factional fight! (Later on, it is true, a somewhat different song was sung after considerable damage had been caused.) We recall the fourth convention of the League, (New York, 1927). Despite a favorable previous decision of the Y. C. I. to the then majority of the N.E.C., the genuine unity group, both the repre-sentatives of the C. I. and the Y. C. I. turned the convention and the present N. E. C. over to the hands of the Zam group, on the basis of the fact that the Comintern had given its political support in the Party to the Lovestone group.

What is the political line followed in the present decision of the Y. C. I. that would lead anyone to believe that a new era has dawned? 'Absolutely nothing! Objectively analyzed, the will themselves be confronted with their record nolitical content of the letter of the Y. C. I.-once of today's feeble huzzahs for expulsion of the supwe discount the little bonbons it gives to the minority-is precisely that of the decision on the 'American question of the Sixth Congress of the Comintern, i. e., a denial of the main political contention of the minority that the Party leadership is a right wing which must be removed.

"Not one of the groups can claim the title 'real Left' or accuse the other of being 'Right'. So-

Our First Pamphlet! THE DRAFT PROGRAM OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL A Criticism of Fundamentals Bv L. D. TROTSKY With an Introduction by James P. Gannon READY SOON 25 cents per Copy In lots of 5 or more 18 cents per Copy Order now from THE MILITANT Bax 120, Madison Square Station New York City. called Right errors were committed by all groups." "One must CONDEMN THE REVIVAL OF GROUP STRUGGLE IN THE AMERICAN YOUNG COMMUNIST LEAGUE. The Y.C.L as well as the Communist Party of the United States have been guilty of a series of Right opportunist errors but both contending groups are responsible for them."

Not even Lovestone himself-although he may and does make a few grimaces at some of the formulations-could phrase it more satisfactorily. Is he not ready to say in the middle of the night that he has made some right errors also, that factional struggle is evil, that "the League be united on the line of the C.I. and Y.C.I. and that the League or any part of it shall endeavor not to be connected with either fractional group in the Party"?

The League Lovestone group is preparing to maintain control of the organization by all means. The process of cutting the minority to pieces is proceeding right merrily. The functionaries of the minority have been either removed or wangled out of position throughout the country, (attempted removal of Mates in Pitsburgh; removal of Frankfeld as D. O. in New York; the squeezing down of the minority in Chicago and the mechanical usurpation of control by Plott and Lurye; the foisting of the two eastern statesmen, Shohan and Schaap, on two western districts; the removal of Don and Rijak from the New York D. E. C. etc., etc., etc.) The minority has further given shamefaced support to the expulsion for their views in support of the Russian Opposition of the two leaders of the Chicago league, Glotzer and Zalisko, of Carl Cowl in Minneapolis, of Morganstern, Lankin and Goodman in Philadelphia, of others in New York and elsewhere. Indeed the entire question of the issues raised by the Russian Opposition led by Trotsky now confronts the League minority with its full force and demands of them a clear-cut position which they have thus far not given. They are showing a vacillation which they never learned in any school of Bolshevism. They flutter about piteously between calling "Trotskyism" a "left danger" or a "right danger" They participate in the self-debasing campaign of heaping attacks upon Trotsky and the Opposition, and pour the official, newly warmed over pap down the already raw throats of the young membership which tries to digest it between gasps and well-organized and well-timed cheers for the new revelations.

The League minority comrades continue to rely on, and be led by, elements whose most malicious enemies could never accuse of consistency. Their entire record has demonstrated that for them "dialectics" is construed as a license for "changing their minds" every forty-eight hours. For them mediocrity is placed at a premium. The minority must wake up every morning in a cold sweat and reach trembling for the latest paper to see whether or not the night has passed successfully without a new reversal of position by these shifting elements.

Neither the Lovestoneites in the League, nor the bulk of the minority comrades themselves, take the latter's protestations of anti-Trotskyism seriously. They cannot speak with conviction of Trotsky's "errors" on the questions of socialism in one country, the Chinese revolution, the Anglo-Russian Committee, and so forth, for the simple rea-Some of them realize already that tomorrow they porters of the Opposition, since they must soon choose between the position of the right wing (Lovestone) and the viewpoint of the Russian Opposition, and the choice of that tomorrow is already on the agenda. They cannot continue with the poppycock of "educating" the Communist youth against the Russian Opposition's Platform which many of them hesitate to study and understand for fear of the consequences which inevitable conviction would bring. They should remember the admonition of Lenin, particularly to the Communist youth (in his speech at the 3rd Con- future. gress of the Russian Y. C. L.):

"A Communist who would dream of boasting of his Communism on the basis of the ready-made conclusions taught to him, without performing the most serious, the most difficult and persistent work without understanding the facts of which he should be extremely critical, would be a miserable Communist indeed.

The genuine Oppositionists in the League who have taken a principle stand on the basic questions of the International movement and suffered expulsion for their views are showing the way. Morgenstern, Lankin and Goodman in Philadelphia,

Glotzer and Zalisko in Chicago, Cowl and others in Minneapolis, Gerry Allard in the mine fields, the League group in Akron-these are names of honor. They are showing the hesitant "leaders" how to adopt a principle position and stand up and fight for it as befits a Communist. They are setting an example for those who really want to lead. Around them and their example will be crystallized the Bolshevik nucleus of the League which not only in words but in deeds will struggle for the reorganization of its leadership on a proletarian-Communist basis and for the establishment of the League in its rightful place in the vanguard of the historic struggle now developing in the Party .--- S. A State State

Lovestone Smashes the Right Danger

The huge imposture which the Lovestone C.E.C. is carrying on in the name of a "fight" against the right danger in the Party is on in full swing. The bewildered observer who cannot understand how this faction can properly conduct a fight against opportunism without exterminating itself has apparently not yet even begun to fathom the resourcefulness and "ability" of our Party leaders. For them even such a superhuman effort is quite possible, and that by the simple method of discovering a right wing danger in quarters other than their own. What could be more convenient?

In the Daily Worker of November 23, 1928, John L. Sherman, one of the reporters, wrote a story on the Hoover three billion dollar "stabilization fund" proposal. It was neither brilliant nor correct, that is to say it was neither better nor worse than many stories, articles and editorials that appeared before and since, written by far more responsible spokesmen for the Party and but little improved by the "corrections" which usually follow them the very next day in a special column on the editorial page reserved for this purpose.

It was Sherman's mistortune to concoct his article at a time when a blood-sacdifice was necessary. No sooner had it appeared than the eagle eye of the sentinel on the beleaguered watch tower of the Polcom espied it. Immediately the agit-prop and organizational departments of the Party were mobilized for action. A special meeting of the Polcom was called to consider the menace of Sherman.

Who, it was asked, is John L. Sherman? All, we know of him is that he came to the Party a short while ago from City College of New York, that alma mater from which so many of his inquisitors have leaped directly into leadership of the Party without any intermediary stops in the turmoil of the class struggle. But whom does he represent? Who follows him in the Party? Who, outside of a handful of comrades in the Daily Worker office, had even ever seen or heard the name of this terrible ogre before he broke into un. willing notoriety?

These doubters and conciliators who asked these questions, these objective supporters of the right wing, were quickly and mercilessly suppressed. All the 12-inch guns of the Lovestone group, Lovestone, Bedacht, Minor, Pepper and Wolfe, were wheeled into action. The breech was loaded, the range found, the muzzles trained, a barrage laid down, and when the smoke of the terrific deson that they do not believe them to be errors. tonation had cleared, the target was riddled to bits. On the battlefield, twittering and fluttering with pain, lay a tiny sparrow.

The next day, the somewhat stupefied Sherman blinking his amazed eyes in the white glare of the unaccustomed and pitiless publicity, delivered his unconditional capitulation. He repented his shameless opportunist boldness, denounced himself for being (or having been) a menacing right winger, welcomed the sock in the jaw given him by the Polcom, and in turn delivered a sock at "this danger and Trotskyism which is its crassest form."

Thus ended the first big engagement in the war to make the Party safe for Opportunism, Fiercer battles are feared, however, in the near

NOTICE

Comrade Maurice Spector, former editor of the Canadian Worker and the Canadian Labor Monthly, who has been expelled from the Communist Party of Canada for his support of the Russian Opposition, has joined the staff of "The Militant" as Associate Editor and will contribute articles regularly.

Comrades wishing to communicate with comrade Spector should address him at 231 Palmerston Avenue, Toronto, Ont., Canada.

December 15, 1928.

THE MILITANT

The Right Danger in the American Party

CONTINUED FROM LAST ISSUE

VI. Insufficient Appreciation of Leading Role of Party and Failure to Build It.

The political Secretariat of the ECCI found it necessary to state in its letter of April 13th to our Party that it "deems it necessary to call attention to . . . the tasks of the Party in the sphere of leadership of the growing workers' mass movement;" the Secretariat further stated that our Party "has now as its major task to mobilize and to organize the workers under its banner against the capitalist offensive... it is immediately necessary to intensify the ideological and organizational preparation of the Party, especially the local Party organization, to enable it quickly to mobilize its forces and means and thus to make it ready for a leading role in the developing class struggle."

The insufficient appreciation of the leading role of the Party and the failure to build the Party to which this letter called attention is one of the main characteristics of the Lovestone group. This is shown by the following facts:

1. Overemphasis on labor party. Slowness and delay in deciding upon and announcing our own election campaign. (Lovestone article April "Communist." Delay in acting on minority motion of February 29th for mobilization of Party for our own election campaign.) Allowing SP to enter field first. 2. The Palken, Bearak and Milwaukee cases (support of Socialist Party candidates).

3. The tendency to make our Party into a mere instrument for organizing a Labor Party. (Minnesota), describing our election campaign as an "organic part of the Labor Party campaign." (Lovestone)

4. The tendency to look upon our own election campaign as of less importance than the labor party campaign.

. The tendency to look upon our Party merely as the left wing in farmer-labor organizations (running party candidates in primary elections without statement that they are Communists). (Minnesota). 6. Resistance to Party leadership in trade union

work (needle trades). 7. Absolute denial of Party leading role (Furriers'

Union, and Workers' Delegation to the USSR). 8. Failure to carry on genuine Communist education and training—opportunist confusing of mass workers' education and the education of the Party membership and training of Communist cadres (Workers' School).

9. Failure to build Party in campaigns. 10. Failure to create Party appartus for Women's work and permitting foreign language organizations, consisting of housewives, to take the leading role despite repeated demands of the International men's Secretariat.

11. Sectarian approach to Party building (Bedacht -separation of Party building work from mass

12. Refusal to print Swabeck's pamphlet on internal Party organization and Party building. 13. Non-recognition of Party role in Women's

work. "The working women will march to power through trade unions, through clubs, housewives' organizations, through cooperative leagues, and through a labor party." (First issue New York Working Women," 1928.)

14. The official organ of the Party, the Daily Worker, affords a devastating example of the underestimation of the role of the Communist press as "the collective organizer of the Party and the masses" as described by Lenin. As an organ of a Communist Party, the Daily Worker is seriously deficient. There has been a systematic liquidation of Communist po-litical writing in the Daily Worker to the point where its Communist character has been weakened. Comrade Minor, the editor, made a motion in the Political Committee on April 19th, 1928, to permit the publication of the establishment of anti-war department in the paper April 1st. Instructed to publish articles against Shipstead, Comrade Minor was obliged to make a motion to turn the work over to the Prop. On the ground "of the almost total depri-vation of the Daily Worker of all political writers at the present time..." One of the chief political writers of the Daily Worker for the last five months has been Comrade Nearing, whose articles almost without exception, contain gross reformist and petty bourgeois errors. There has been a systematic liquidation of tried Communist journalists on the staff and their replacement by elements whose training has been acquired on the capitalist press. The line has been to try to make Communists out of journalists rather than to train Communists as journalists.

The Daily Worker today gives neither a picture of the class struggle in the USA nor any Communist analysis of even the main features of imperialist developments. The Daily Worker has been treated frivolously by the Lovestone group both in the editor. ial and management departments (the appointment of three separate business managers in less than two years-all of them incompetent and all appointed for factional reasons, and rejection of proposal to ap. point Comrade Wagenknecht, the most competetent comrade for the position.)

Building the prestige of the Daily Workers is a major task which now confronts the Party. Its editorial staff must be organized from among the best polit-

ically equipped comrades. 15. Failure to utilize the mass campaigns to strengthen the nuclei and build the Party, allowing a gradual and growing disintegration of the nucloi in many centers, New York, etc. to take place, are characteristics of the present leadership.

ment submitted by the delegation of the Opposition in the American Party to the Sixth World Congress of the Communist International, in July 1928 and signed by James P. Cannon, William Z. Foster, William F. Dunne, Alex Bittelman, J. W. Johnstone, Manuel Gomez and George Siskind.

The statement in the Daily Worker of December 11, 1928, thet "immediately upon request of the Opposition, Central Executive Committee instructed the Daily Worker to print this document" is false. Even before the delegates returned from the Sixth World Congress of the Comintern, the Lovestone Polcom had defeated motions made by the minority to publish in the Party press the speeches and platform of the minority delegatesto the Congress.

The document printed here had to be circulated sur reptitiously by the minority, and when it was discovered by the Polcom majority, it, together with other documents was officially denounced as anti-Party, and its circula-tion strictly prohibited. This document was written in July. Only after five months had elapsed, and after its publication had commenced in The Militant, did the Lovestone C. E. C. make the "generous" gesture of print-ing it in the Daily Worker. — Ed:

16. Extravagant financial programs which place unduly heavy burdens upon the membership and make it difficult for the lower paid workers to join and remain in the Party and fulfill the demands made upon them.

VII. OPPORTUNIST APPLICATION OF UNITED FRONT POLICY

The C.I. line against the United Front from the top with reactionary trade union, liberal and Socialist Party leaders, and for united front with the workers against them applies with special emphasis in America. The new objective factors making for the discontent of the masses and strengthening their impulse and will to struggle create increasing. ly favorable conditions for the application of the united front tactics directly with the workers and leading them in the fight against the reactionary leaders and the capitalists. The firm adherence to this basic conception is a prerequisite for the full utilization of the possibilities to broaden and intensify the fight of the workers and build the

The complete degeneration of the Socialist Party and its incorporation into the capitalist-A. F. of L.-police machine puts before the Party as one of its essential tasks the smashing frontal attack against it and its entire leadership all along the line in order to destroy its influence over the workers.

The Lovestone majority has not understood the C.I. policy on the united front and has applied it in an opportunistic manner. This is demonstrated by a whole series of gross errors, many of which remain unacknowledged and uncorrected.

Examples which illustrate the opportunist line n this respect may be cited as follows:

1. False estimation of the Socialist Party and calculation on a "left wing" within it which would work with us for a labor party. This is indicated by the motion of Lovestone to send a number of comrades into the Socialist Party "for the purpose of working for our labor party policy in the Socialist Party", and the rejection of the motion by the minority declaring such tactics to be false and calling for a policy of frontal attack against the Socialist Party all along the line. (Polcom. Minutes, December 14, 1927).

The same policy was executed in the support in the elections of the Socialist Judge Panken, an agent of the black gang in the needle trades who was likewise supported by the Republican Party and the New York World and New York Times. The majority stubbornly defended this decision in spite of the most energetic protest of the minority; the support of the Socialist Bearak in Boston; and the proposal to support Berger, the National Chairman of the Socialist Party in Milwaukee; (criticized in the letter of the E.C.C.I.).

The policy in the Panken case was not an incidental error; it proceeded from a false conception of the Lovestone group. It was proposed as a national policy in a program submitted to the Polcom by Comrade Lovestone, which contained the provision that our Party should run candidates on its own ticket only in those cases where it can be done "without endangering the election of candidates running locally on the tickets of other working class parties." (Point 22 of Lovestone's proposals on the Labor Party Campaign, Polcom Minutes, Oct. 7, 1927.)

2. The Open Letter to the Socialist Party, an error of the Polcom as a whole, which was pointed out in the letter of the E.C.C.I.

1.

3. The united front made by the Party leaders

The following is the third installment of the docu of the Furrier's Union, members of the Lovestone group in the Party, with the so-called middle group in the Union, under conditions which surrender the leadership to the latter and on the basis of a written agreement containing the unheard of provision that "there shall be no Party or clique control of the Union",

4. Building united front in Anti-Imperialist. work too much on top and with liberals and not from below among the workers. Concealing the role and face of the Party in Anti-Imperialist work. Removal of Comrade Gomez as Secretary of the Anti-Imperialist League in order to secure a "non-Communist or someone not know as a Communist". (Polcom Minutes, December 21, 1927-reconsidered at a subsequent meeting under pressure of minority). Failure to do serious anti-imperialist work as shown by refusal to send workers into the American forces in China and Nicaragua on the ground that it was necessary to proceed slowly and concentrate on work at

5. Failure to publicly criticize Brophy and other progressives in the Mine Workers' Union united front despite numerous record motions to that effect passed under pressure of the minority.

6. Wrong form of united front with so-called "Tolerance Group" and Shelly group in the International Ladies'Garment Workers' Union; failure to criticize them, failure in the united front with them to build our own strength and forces in the I. L. G. W. U.

7. United front with Brennan in the Miners' Union under conditions which rehabilitated the prestige of this faker and brought discredit on the Party and weakened its forces in the Anthracite.

8. Liberal, legislative, constitutional and vulgarly "American" line in the "Council for the Protection of 'the Foreign Born".

9. Opposition to leading role of Negro prote tariat in united front Negro race movement by Comrade Moore, Party leader of Negro work, corrected by Polcom on the initiative of the min-

10. Persistence in organizing workers and farmers in one Party (Farmer-Labor Party) contrary to C. I. decision.

11. Wrong orientation in Women's work. basing it on housewives instead of devoting main attention to women in industry despite repeated etters from the International Women's Secretariat on this point. Failure to draw women industrial workers into leading activities; the entire leading committee for women's work in New York is composed of school teachers, with the exception of Comrade Wortis, a leading right winger in the needle trades

CONTINUED IN NEXT ISSUE

It Can and Will Be Done

Our opponents circulate two contradictory stories about us. They say one day that we are financed by the wealthy enemies of the Party and the working class. The next day they say we will never be able to publish another issue of THE MILITANT. Both these stories are like all their stories.

Complacent officials who stand aloof from the revolutionary workers and know nothing of their spirit and capacity for sacrifice cannot believe that a small group of them could dare to take such a burden as the pub cation of a paper on their shoulde: . But we have been identified with many such proletarian enterprises in the past and know that we are only doing over again what has often been done before by convinced revolutionaries.

The generous contributions of a small group of Communist workers who have stood with us from the first, munist workers who have stood with us from the first, plus loans made on personal responsibility, plus vol-untary work, has made possible the first two issues of THE MILITANT. The same resources, plus the help of a wider circle of supporters, will make possible the continued publication of THE MILITANT and its development into a weekly, the publication of the pamph lets and other necessary expenses of our principle sruggle.

We say this because we are convinced that the Communist workers will increasingly support us as the issues are made clear to them. The comparative few who are beginning this historic struggle are unavoidably required to make heavy sacrifices in this respect and are doing so. It is now absolutely necessary to organize the fi-nancial support on a wider basis. Your help is also needed in this revolutionary work. The most depend able financial foundation for our great enterprise is the regular weekly or monthly contributions of sympathetic workers to the sustaining fund. The organization of this fund has already taken place. If you agree with the object it is your duty to help.

Join the Pledge Fund and send your contribution to THE MILITANT, Box, 120, Madison Square Station, New York City.

MILITANT THE

December 15, 1928.

Letters from the Militants

KANSAS CITY

Page 8

Kansas City, Missouri, Nov. 9, 1928. Dear Comrade:

Your letter of the 7th reached me about 10 hours after I had been expelled from the Party.

November 8th, 10 P. M. the District Polcom met with most of the D. E. C. members present. Comrade Kassen was not present but had sent in a signed statement as follows:

"I consider the action of the National Polcom in remoing Cannon, Abern and Shachtman from the positions and their expulsion from the Party as unjustified. Therefore I disapprove of the action of the National Polcom. Signed,-Sam Kassen.'

He was expelled from the Party for being a Trotskyite.

yite. Kassen was a member of the D. E. C. I was also a member of the D. E. C. of the Party. Both of us, as you know, have belonged to the Party since it was first organized and to the Left Wing before that.

Well, Jim, I hold no hard feelings against my comrades who expelled Kassen and myself. I am sure they were sincere, but they had become fanatical from the poisonous propaganda the regime had spread in the last four years. I shall avoid all personalities in our differences at this time. The night I was expelled an amusing but tragical incident occurred. The meeting was adjourned, we got our hats and coats and all the comrades left in a body and went one way and I went another way. No time wasted in even bidding each other good by.

I look back now and ponder over the hard task I had to convince myself that Trotsky and the Russian Comrades of the Opposition were traitors to the Revolution. It reminds me of being a good Catholic and believing all and not asking any questions. The rank and file of the Communists are honest and

when they once get the facts they will soon act. Persecution will only make us better Communists.

With greetings to all the comrades in New York from Kassen and myself, I remain,

A. A. BUEHLER

VINCENT DUNNE Minneapolis, Minn., Nov. 8, 1928.

Dear Comrades:

We were taken completely by surprise as we had no information before your statement came to the different comrades in the mail last week. This was quickly fol-lowed by a letter from the "cleansed" minority boasting of their part in the shameful expose.

Almost all of OUR comrades feel that here at last is the key to the riddle that we have been trying to understand for so many years. That is, we too have come to realize during the time of the Congress that international politics were being played with us while we were still attempting to out-smart these bureaucrats within the confines of our own little Party.

We have EVERY important comrade of the old opposition with us. They have accepted the slogan, "Against the Bureaucrats", as a slogan of action. The comrades realize that this is not the ordinary

Party fight and that all comrades who take a position now of Opposition will in all probability find themselves confronted with a campaign of the most unjust slander and villification. Most of us understand that there will be those who cannot understand the pressure and who will desert. We also know that with the correct line we will be able to strengthen our forces by bringing over to us those elements that are honest and politically alert,

those with that old fighting heart. VINCENT R. DUNNE.

FROM A YOUNG COAL MINER

Frederick, Colorado, Nov. 4, 1928.

Dear Comrades: I got a copy of the document that you sent to a comrade here in Frederick and at the beginning I was tremendously surprised.

The entire matter hits me so hard that I don't know whether I have come back to earth or not. It is very difficult to form at an instant an opinion on matters that you briefly touch upon. I mean the Trotsky question. I totally agree with your charges against the Lovestone incapability. etc." crup As a vivid example we have your expulsion as a verification of the functions of this outfit. It is a tragedy to a revolutionary organization to tolerate such hideous actions as this "Lewis machine" of our Party has perpetrated and they will again endeavor to force your expulsion down our throats. But as I look over the whole matter now, I see that the Lovestone group has bitten off more than they can chew, when they begin to expel the best leaders of our Party merely for their views on political questions. It is the membership of our Party, the masses of workers throughout the country that will suffer because of this despicable act of the bureaucracy in our Party. It shows once more the necessity of rank and file rule instead of a few leaders who can utilize their position for personal advantages and machine rule.

out showing the program that is in contention, leaves the Party members, likewise the rank and file masses in the dark. As to the writer, he has seen nothing in the Party press, other than that the Oppositionists were working with the counter revolutionary forces, and that they were rejected by the whole Party membership, not only this, but were expelled from the Party by a vote of more than 99 percent of the membership. Never a peep in our Party press about the Opposition leaders being exiled and put behind the bars. What little has leaked out from our Party press about the Opposition and their program was so twisted that many of the Party members here thought the platform of Trotsky, Zinoviev and Kamenev and others was that which was adopted by the Rus-sian Party headed by Stalin.

I wish to state that the Militant gave me the first inkling of what had taken place in the C. P. of Russia and the American Party. Likewise the Opposition program, yet I have read everything I could get since the

THE EXPELLED

The following is a partial list of the comrades expelled in the present campaign of the Lovestone leaders to split the Party by the expulsion of all who fight them on principle grounds. The expelled comrades have taken an open stand for the platform of the Russian Op-position and opposed the expulsion of Campan Abern position and opposed the expulsion of Cannon, Abern and Shachtman. Most of the comrades expelled in Cleveland were expelled on trumped up charges, but the real reason was their unyielding opposition to the opportunist bureaucrats of the Party, and particularly for their refusal to give up their fight against the corrupt misleaders of the Party in the South Slavic Section and in the Cleveland district.

While the opportunists expel the revolutionary Communist workers and the active fighters who have founded the Party and helped to build it up, they continue to draw into the ranks of the Party—and into its leading circles and responsible positions—petty-bourgeois dilletants, careerists and second-hand intellectuals. The fight against this disruptive campaign is the foremost duty of the proletarian Communists.

In the forthcoming issues of The Militant we shall print the Party and revolutionary records of the expelled Communists, which, for lack of space, we are compelled to omit in this number. They bear proud records of service to the cause of the revolution which are in them-

service to the cause of the revolution which are in them-selves a crushing reply to those dubious individuals who so expertly slander them with the infamous terms of "renegades", "counter-revolutionaries", and the like. CENTRAL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE JAMES P. CANNON, member Polcom of the C.E.C. ARNE SWABECK, member of the C.E.C. MARTIN ABERN, member of the C.E.C. MAX SHACHTMAN alternate to the C.E.C. MAX SHACHTMAN, alternate to the C.E.C.

CANADA

MAURICE SPECTOR, member of Polcom, and E.C.C.I.

NEW YORK

MAURICE L. MALKIN, member of Furriers Union. JOSEPH FRIEDMAN, member of Y. W. L. PHILADELPHIA

M. MORGENSTERN, member of Y.W.L. Dist. Bureau. LEON GOODMAN, member of Y.W.L. Dist. Bureau.

SOL LANKIN, member Y.W.L. District Committee. CLEVELAND JAMES ANTOLOVICH, member of Machinists Union.

PETER MARGETICH, Ohio District Organizer of South Slavs.

MATT GRZINCICH, nucleus financial secretary. D. GURMAN, stockyard worker. G. MALJEVAC, member of Section Exec. Committee.

P. MATAKANOVICH, secretary South Slavic Workers Club.

V. UJCICH, cement worker. P. SVETINA, factory worker.

MILLER, member of Section Executive Committee. ELMER BOICH, member of District Bureau and Secretariat.

JOHN FOLEY, member of agit prop depart of D.E.C.

Cctober revolution

In conclusion, I for one, unequivocally denounce any act of giving concessions to the Kulaks and Nepmen, when the withholding of concessions cannot cause any violent eruption to the Party in power. Any Party member acting otherwise is not a true Bolshevik.

A. C. MILLER P. S. You are at liberty to publish this letter or any part of it. They say that I was the first Communist that climbed into legislature in the U. S.—1925 North Dakota Session.

A. C. M.

THE CLEVELAND EXPULSIONS

Cleveland, Ohio, Nov. 29, 1928. Dear Comrade:

I am in receipt of your statement relative to your expulsion from the Party. It may interest you to know that I was expelled several weeks ago because I dared prefer charges against the District Polcom majority. charged them with corruption, material and political, embezzlement of Party funds, and a dozen other charges which they knew were true. I demanded a thorough investigation or I would expose them before the membership meeting. This they feared and without the form-ality of charges they railroaded me out of the Party by a 5 to 4 vote. Beside myself the following comrades were expelled: Margetich, South Slavic District Organizer and alternate to the D.E.C., Matakanovich, Maljevac, Jucich, Grzincich, Gasparac, Svetina, Miller, Slabak. Comrade Lesko was expelled a couple of months ago. He was a member of the D.E.C. and the Polcom charge against him was never proved. He was expelled for no other reason but his sympathy with Trotsky.

All above mentioned comrades are proletarians, factory workers. I was a member of the District Polcom and the secretariat prior to my expulsion. Appeal was sent to Lovestone, two weeks ago against the Polcom decision, but no reply was received. The attempt to tyran-nize over the Opposition in the Party has no limit. Bureaucracy is increasing daily in the Party. Self-criticism is only an empty gesture on the part of our bureaucrats. To fight for democracy in the Party today requires courage and determination. This can be supplied by the development of the revolutionary spirit. within oneself. These are times when we must oppose the crowd of fanatics even in the face of ridicule and intimidation. We would like to have you come here and speak at a meeting which we will arrange for you. Please inform me if you could come and when. ELMER BOICH.

"YOU ARE WORSE THAN FASCISTS"

Under the supervision of the Lovestone commissar, Dr. Markoff, the membership meeting of the Italian fraction in New York took place on Wednesday, November 28, with about 50 comrades present. The secretary of the Bureau, Candela, was given unlimited time for his report against "Trotskyism". The meeting had, decided that each member be given 10 minutes, twice. But after the for his of masker. Markoff arbitrarily out off the first series of speakers, Markoff arbitrarily cut off the discussion and demanded that the vote he taken. A motion was introduced to endorse the position of the C.E.C. and the Foster comrades introduced their resolution; but when our comrade attempted to read our resolution in support of the Russian Opposition of com-rade Trotsky, and the American Opposition, Markoff said that it could neither be introduced nor read at the meeting

'All those who support the Opposition," said Markoff, "are not only counter revolutionists, but Fascisti and worse than Mussolini." To our comrade who demanded the right to speak in answer to the stupidities of Can dela and the vileness of Markoff, the latter said, "As a member of the C.E.C., I remove Refugee from the Italian Bureau, with no right to speak any more, even at the meeting." Markoff made the most slanderous attacks upon our comrades, shouting that we had been in the Party for only a few months, denying that we had ever fought in the movement or struggle in Italy. But the comrades who supported us have long records of membership in the Socialist Party, then the Com-munist Party of Italy; of persecution by and bitter struggle against Fascism in Italy; of being the heart and muscle of the anti-Fascist struggle in this country; of service on the strike picket line, arrests, police slug-gings, imprisonment. Only one that has completely lost his honor and integrity, and lacks all contact with the ranks, can flaunt his shameless slanders against revolu tionary workers with such brazenness as did Markoff. A good number of the comrades, sickened by the proceedings, left before the vote was taken. Eight voted for our postion; 3 abstained, saying that not all that was necessary had been said at the meeting; 3 abstained, saying that they did not know enough of the situation; 10 voted for the resolution of the Foster comrades; 1 voted against everything, including Trotsky, 1 voted for the C.E.C. (Zucca), saying that he believed Trotsky was correct on some questions. The rest, a minoritp of the membership, voted with the C.E.C. majority resolution. Markoff then declared to the eight who had voted for the Opposition: "Consider yourselves expelled, and get out of the hall." We did not, of course, leave at the command of this cheap bureaucrat. Our comrades are not new fighters. Under Bordiga and Maffi and other heroes of the Italian revolution they have fought against Fascism and for our cause. Under the banner of Trotsky and the Opposition we continue our fight for the victory of Bolshevism.-JOHN MENELLA.

The slogans must be:

'Save the Party!'

"Lovestoneism Must Go!"

"For a Communist Party of the Workers!" GERRY ALLARD

FROM THE FIRST COMMUNIST LEGISLATOR

Williston, N. Dakota, Nov. 24, 1928.

Dear Comrades:

I had just started to write a letter to the Daily Worker when the Militant was laid on the table before me. In this letter to the Daily Worker I intended to ask the editors of that paper why they did not publish the program of the Opposition (Trotsky, Zinoviev) since they had devoted two pages to the Cannon-Lovestone Party differences?

To accuse each other of being "right wing" with-

BARNEY MASS, member Auto Workers Union. RUTH REYNOLDS, editor of Dodge Worker (Nucleus No. 5).

CHICAGO

ALBERT M. GLOTZER, member of League N.E.C. HELEN JUDD, member of District Control Commission

MIKE ZALISKO, Leagues and Party organizer in coal fields.

ROGER COMPTON, agit prop director of nucleus. TWIN CITIES (Minneapolis and St. Paul VINCENT R. DUNNE, member of D.E.C. and Dis-

trict Bureau

KARL SKOGLUND, member of D.E.C. and District Bureau.

OSCAR COOVER, member of D.E.C. and District Bureau.

O. R. VOTAW, member of D.E.C. and Dist. Bureau. P. G. HEDLUND. SAM LESSIN. C. R. HEDLUND. MARTIN SODERBERG. A. T. HEDLUND. ALVA HEDLUND. LOUIS ROSELAND. SAM ZALMANOFF. MAX KAUFMAN. MRS. SCHWARTZ. JOE ROSS. A. SHROGOWITZ. HELEN HEDLUND. CARL COWL, member of the Y.W.L. SARA AVRIN, member of the Y.W.L FANNIE BARACH, member of the Y.W.L.

SIMON BARACH, member of the Y.W.L. KANSAS CITY

A. A. BUEHLER, member of D.E.C. SAM KASSEN, member of D.E.C.

NEW HAVEN

S. GENDELMAN, member of D.E.C.

"Singing Jailbirds" is by far the best production yet offered by the New Playwrights and marks a significant step forward to the development of a Worker's Theatre. We regret that unusual urgencies of space prevent Militant from printing the extended review which it deserves. Friends of The Militant should make it a point to attend the performance on Tuesday, Dec. 18 which is for the benefit of our paper.