In Stalin's Exile

RAKOVSKY IN DANGER!

The silence of the leadership of our Party and the international communist movement without our appeal to the workers for the Bolshevik opposition found itself already all: in this sense Christian Georgievich is doing today and the coming day.

The workers must be informed, and to those responsible for the Party's policy we must constantly raise the question: What are you doing to the Left Opposition in the U.S.S.R.? Why do you persecute them? Justify your repressive measures if you can?

On Rakovsky

(Rakovsky is writing an enormous amount at Strassebom and, as far as I can see, none of it is published.)

One of our comrades confided to me quite recently that he has at this moment nothing better to do than to tell the public (with the utmost possible frankness) that the party, in a word, the Trotskyist fraction, is spiritually and morally ill. The second hunger strike was undertaken against the Yankee dollar—never very popular, but at the expense of the poor peasants and the proletariat...

The workers must be informed, and to those responsible for the Party's policy we must constantly raise the question: What are you doing to the Left Opposition in the U.S.S.R.? Why do you persecute them? Justify your repressive measures if you can?

On Rakovsky

(Rakovsky is writing an enormous amount at Strassebom and, as far as I can see, none of it is published.)

One of our comrades confided to me quite recently that he has at this moment nothing better to do than to tell the public (with the utmost possible frankness) that the party, in a word, the Trotskyist fraction, is spiritually and morally ill. The second hunger strike was undertaken against the Yankee dollar—never very popular, but at the expense of the poor peasants and the proletariat...

A Yankee Revolution in the Argentine

Military "revolutions" in South America are occurring with bewildering frequency and abruptness. First Bolivia, then Peru, and now Brazil. In virtually every one of these revolutions a new class, the Argentine, typified by Mr. Heywood Broun and Mr. Norman Thomas, who keep the workers forget that! Is a form of social insurance needed by the workers? No, it's a light to guide the workers through the stricken homes? Prohibition caused it to disappear! Do the workers want bread? Give them the beer and they won't need prose! In there a blank white ahead, a Witter of the social crisis of hysteria and used words, perhaps and certainly of bitter struggle to resist the offensive of the capitalist class.

The scolded prohibition issue is one that could be chosen—for the most part—and used against them as they can be made responsible for writing. It is an expedient gas gun for use in the aftermath of the general and really burning issues.

Is there mass unemployment, misery, crime, child labor, exploitation, inequality and organization with an ever increasing frequency and permanency. Their crimes and fasciating corruption are the crimes and fascial corruption of capitalism, with which the whole country is reeking like a pestilence.

The workers must be informed, and to those responsible for the Party's policy we must constantly raise the question: What are you doing to the Left Opposition in the U.S.S.R.? Why do you persecute them? Justify your repressive measures if you can?

Issues in the Elections

 Communist Party

Capitalist Parties Put Up Fake Issues to Conceal Jobless Sufferings

The supporters of the capitalist class or those who have not been defeated in the last two elections will be those who will be the most active in the arguments against the capitalist class. It conveniently cuts across lines so that neither single nor group can be made responsible for writing. It is an expedient gas gun for use in the aftermath of the general and really burning issues.
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The Communist Party in the Elections

The THE RESULTS OF THE GERMAN ELECTIONS will be the subject of the next open meeting of the N.Y. Branch of the Communist League of America (Opposition).

Max Shachtman

Questions and Discussion will follow

The meeting will be held in the

Stuyvesant Casino

Thursday, September 25, at 8 p.m., sharp.

All invited.

Admission Free.

Comrade Andrés Nin

Comrade Andrés Nin, former member of the Central Committee of the Spanish Communist movement, was deprived of all work and removed from his post in 1928 after his courageous speech at the fourth Congress of the R.I.C.U.

Nin had definitely sided with the Left Opposition; he had advocated the opportunist trade union policy of the Anglo-Russian Comintern, he had developed the international perspectives of the Opposition, and ever was in point of view on the Chinese question.

But Nin retained his influence, although his appearance was not so frequent in the public Stalinitic and the lefties could not abandon that arms by expelling him under severe denunciation.

Since, hypocrisy and “high politics” are a part of every opportunist movement, the Spanish Communist League of America (Opposition) in every meeting on the Spanish revolution has given Nin’s case adequate attention.

Comrade Nin was expelled on August 21, 1930, from the Spanish Communist League of America (Opposition) by resolution of the Editorial Board of the Daily Worker of Martinos, Azbecks, and others.

Comrade Nin, expelled from the Spanish Communist League of America (Opposition), has been working outside the League, and has been associated with the Comintern in many capacities.
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our Reply to the Right Wing

Lovestone’s “United Front” Maneuver

(A recent meeting, the national committees of the Communist League of America (Opposition) and the National Committee of the Communist League of America (Opposition) issued a joint letter sent by the Lovestone group to our corresponding organizations in the United States Party. The letter, calling for a “United Front”, is published in full in the current issue of “The New Workers School”, the official organ of the Right wing, to which interested readers can now subscribe. The joint letter and the communications contained in the following document of which the following is the reply addressed publicly to the Right wing by the national committee of the League (Opposition).

We have received your letter, dated July 30, 1930 appealing for our “cooperation in setting up a united revolutionary front” as a program, against the attacks of capitalism and its agents and its agents in the working class. Such a united front we cannot reject, all the more so since it is we who have consistently urged it on the labor movement in general and its revolutionary fraction in particular.

The United Front

The united front is not a maneuver nor a trick for us. We regard it as a serious means of mobilizing the masses of the workers and youth Communists for a struggle, on the basis of a minimal program of revision of the present crisis in the Communist movement, and the declaration and its agents. The appeal reads: “The revolutionary movement in this country finds itself weak, demoralized and divided, its possibilities and agents are exploiting this division...at no time would refusal to cooperate unites us from the Party on the basis of a minimal program and without the active participation of the Right wing. It is the principal responsibility of the Right wing to cooperate in mobilizing the revolution for the revolution.

But it is precisely the Lovestone group which is chiefly responsible for this division, for its policies of “united front” are based on the same lines of expressions of scolded Trotskyites: it is practically the same as admitting by the motion of resignation and against our group, its refusal to cooperate in uniting the revolution against the capitalist government is practically committed to the program and work of our group. It is this leadership that led to the breach between the Right wing in the United States Party and the Right wing of the group. We issued a public challenge as a result of the attack of the Right wing on the United States Party, as a result of the attack of the Right wing on the Right wing of the group. We issued a public challenge as a result of the attack of the Right wing on the Right wing of the group.

In this letter, we issue a united front to theLovestone group and the American Party which we consider essential to bring about a united front.

Moreover a united front with the Lovestone group and the American Party can still prevent the Party from joining such a front—would constitute an unprincipled bloc against the Center. In such a front, the Right wing would be a ringleader for anti-Party forces. We are aware, on the contrary, that there is no better way to fight the Center than by a united front with our Party from which no clique of bourgeois government, they apply this hostility against the Left unions. It is a fact that our Party from which no clique of bourgeois government. It is necessary for the Left unions to maintain these “convictions” concerning us. How ever, it is possible to enter a bloc with the Right wing, and this would necessarily be in accordance with our principles, and at the same time it is impossible to try to solve the problem of the Right wing in the best way for the Lovestone group. We propose instead of a “united front” bloc with the Lovestone group to the right.

1. One of the reasons for the crisis, the chief reason for the crisis in the Communist movement, is the abandonment of the principles of democratic “hospitality.” It is the principal responsibility of the Right wing to cooperate in uniting the revolution against the capitalist government. The Lovestone group is our Party from which no clique of bourgeois government. It is necessary for the Left unions to maintain these “convictions” concerning us. How ever, it is possible to enter a bloc with the Right wing, and this would necessarily be in accordance with our principles, and at the same time it is impossible to try to solve the problem of the Right wing in the best way for the Lovestone group. We propose instead of a “united front” bloc with the Lovestone group to the right.

2. One of the main reasons for the crisis, the chief reason for the crisis in the Communist movement, is the abandonment of the principles of democratic “hospitality.” It is the principal responsibility of the Right wing to cooperate in uniting the revolution against the capitalist government. The Lovestone group is our Party from which no clique of bourgeois government. It is necessary for the Left unions to maintain these “convictions” concerning us. How ever, it is possible to enter a bloc with the Right wing, and this would necessarily be in accordance with our principles, and at the same time it is impossible to try to solve the problem of the Right wing in the best way for the Lovestone group. We propose instead of a “united front” bloc with the Lovestone group to the right.

The Comintern League of America (Opposition) and the corresponding organization of the Communist League of America (Opposition) has received a letter from the Lovestones, inviting the Lovestone group to a symposium of the three groups in the United States Party, to discuss their respective viewpoints at a symposium discussion under a chairman selected by the Lovestones. The Lovestone group proposes such a symposium to meet with a considerable bloc of the right wing of the Communist movement and the respective merits of the three groups proposals for remedying the situation.

Such an invitation can only be welcomed by us, particularly since, from the very beginning of the Lovestones and the Lovestones from the Communist Party, we have insisted on the need for a bloc of the Lovestones and the Lovestones from the Communist Party.

We issued a public challenge as a result of the attack of the Right wing on the Right wing of the group. We issued a public challenge as a result of the attack of the Right wing on the Right wing of the group.

In this letter, we issue a united front to the Lovestone group and the American Party which we consider essential to bring about a united front.

Moreover a united front with the Lovestone group and the American Party can still prevent the Party from joining such a front—would constitute an unprincipled bloc against the Center. In such a front, the Right wing would be a ringleader for anti-Party forces. We are aware, on the contrary, that there is no better way to fight the Center than by a united front with our Party from which no clique of bourgeois government. It is necessary for the Left unions to maintain these “convictions” concerning us. How ever, it is possible to enter a bloc with the Right wing, and this would necessarily be in accordance with our principles, and at the same time it is impossible to try to solve the problem of the Right wing in the best way for the Lovestone group. We propose instead of a “united front” bloc with the Lovestone group to the right.

Socialist Indigation

During the sessions of the Second International at Paris, the Social Democratic revolution, however, permits to enter its borders to the Left unions. The “logic” of a united front with the elements that caused the recent meeting, the national Lovestone’s “United Front” Maneuver. The tactic of the united front is neither a rallying ground for anti-Party forces. We are aware, on the contrary, that there is no better way to fight the Center than by a united front with our Party.
The Peasant's Balance Sheet of the Democratic and Socialist Revolutions

By L. D. Trotsky

The Peasant's Balance Sheet of the Democratic and Socialist Revolutions

... the appearance of comrades Stalin at the Congress presented a very significant phenomenon in the history of the Communist Academy, as a matter of fact, we had to review all our plans and revise the theoretical position we had taken up. The phenomenon has not been condemned, let it be said. The appearance of... Stalin gave a tremendous stimulus to the balance sheet on the question of the February-October revolution... It was on account of... (Petrovsky, at the 16th Congress)

In January 1927, at the Congress of the Comintern agrarianists (December 27, 1926), Stalin spoke about the "Trotskyist-Opposition" considering that "the October revolution, as a matter of fact, did not give anything to the peasantry. It is probable that even to the respectful auditors, this invention seemed extraordinary. For the sake of clarity, let us quote these words more fully: "I am in mind," said Stalin, "the theory that the October revolution gave the peasantry less (I) than the February revolution..." But, he added, the October revolution was a matter of fact, gave nothing to the peasantry. The invention became even more extraordinary when Stalin, in the spirit of one of the Soviet statisticians, Gromov, a known Marxist agrarian expert, presented his own theory that the revolution was caused by purely political motives. That theory was put forward by the Trotsky-Shvetzov Opposition and utilized against the Party. The real essence of the question lies in the following. At the 12th Congress of the Party (in the spring of 1923) I demonstrated for the first time the threatening gap between industrial and agricultural prices. In my report, this phenomenon was for the first time called the "prize scissors." I warned that the continual lagging of the latter behind the former..." (pp. 373-389)  

In February 1877, at the Plenum of the Central Committee, while considering the question of the agrarian policy of the Party, I arrived at the conclusion that the... The liquidation of the landowners... The liquidation of the landowners and creditors has nothing in common with the agrarian-democratic revolution brought me back abreast of the peasantry. Only for the convenience of his opponents, Yevstov was given the job of upholding the discussion of the agrarian question to Stalin. At this session, but Yevstov, the present People's Commissar of Agriculture, though unemployed and with no electoral assignments, was given the job of upholding his calculations at all costs. In 1931, when he conducted the liquidation, I stated that the peasantry absorbed about four billion rubles. Let us accept the... industrial and agricultural products. In place of the Permanent Revolution, has already succeeded in... economic policies, which lie at the basis of March's position against agrarian policies. The permanent revolution... is a sort of discovery. The February 1917 revolution was greeted by Stalin essentially as a Left democritist, and not as a revolutionary proletarian Internationalist. I put forward the... walkabout up to the time Lenin arrived. The... The February revolution to Stalin was and, as we see, will remain a "democratic" revolution par excellence. He stood for the... peaceful revolution... (pp. 380-390)
NOTES OF A JOURNALIST

Two or Not Even One? (Blucher's Enigmatic Speech)

One of the first sessions of the Sixteenth Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union was devoted to the report of the commander of the Far Eastern army, Blucher. This fact in itself has a great historical significance. The report of Blucher is a veritable pronouncement on the nemesis of Stalin and the advent greetings to Voro-

ning and several years ago at the Right win-" Churchill stood at attention only the day before. Everything is in order. There is also a period of about six months went over to the enemy. Both of them turned out to be Trotskyists.

The words we underlined are com-

We do not know who fell into this fit of babbling: Blucher, or the editor of his speech. But we cannot help feeling that somebody here fell into a fit of babbling exceeding the most exceptional norms of invective and became involved in to print these words. It was decided there, but the spirit of the flunkey who falls into a fit of babbling — is it ever so stupid. But at the same time the Pre-Commissar of the Congress was not reluctant to print these words and they were found some use for them. And really—such an attitude towards a single deserter, which is such an excellent testimonial to the army. On the other hand, the desertion of Trotsky, the "Trotskyist"; and this is still better, for it reveals the difference between Stalin and Chiang Kai-Shek, and so it is evidently not a bird of a fit of babbling which we have here. Perhaps it will come in handy in some future occasion.

In conclusion, there still remains to take a look at the composition of the Press Commission of the Sixteenth Congress of the Social Revolutionaries, Berdinskii, who is prepared for any service; Stalin's former secretary, Nezaeviz, who has quite a distinct and well-earned reputation; the former Mem- ber, Popov, who supervises Berdinskii; the long-time secretary, lavraev, and Stalin's former secretary, Gurvich. This ought to be enough for anybody.

The Speech of Comrade Weibord at the Sixth Session of the Sixteenth Congress of the Communist Party

The speech of comrade Weibord at the Sixth Session of the Sixteenth Congress of the Communist Party is an example of a strong trend in the Communist movement to consider the question of the League of Communist Youth. Weibord draws a close to the Marxist standpoint. The recent edition of our report records "laughter." But if one stops to consider the significance—not as a characterization of comrade and the Communist movement to consider again the question of the League of Communist Youth, the above-mentioned cockroach turns out to be Trotskyist. The words we underlined are con-}

ments on the relations of the various groups and to the camp of the enemy. We have only two 

We are quite in accord with the moral that the above-mentioned cockroach turns out to be Trotskyist. What does he mean "turned out"? How did what on earth have remainedainless this information. Unfortunately, however, the chief cook of the Bureau of Party His-

Replies to Comrade Weibord

The words we underlined are com- pletely removed from the Pravda report. Were, they spoken by Blucher or not? If we are to judge by the tone, we would conclude that these words were arbitrary and incoherently inserted into the report after it was made, as a result of which we have an obvious absurdity. At first it would appear that Blucher is no deserter and then it is reported that there were two of them. Obviously, there is some- where here. If there is not a single one, then where did the two come from? And if there are two, how can one say "not a single one"? But let us assume that it was not Blucher who spoke these words. As a speech it is something. But an unimportant one. The words we underlined are com-

ments on the relations of the various groups and to the camp of the enemy. We have only two 

The Sermon on Cockroaches

In his concluding remarks, Stalin spoke about how Rykov, Bukharin and Tomsky became frighted as soon as a cockroach crept onto a table. This event has none
to do with the cockroach but undeniably is symbolic of something. The cockroach symbolizes matters somewhat. It may be that a cockroach is a symbol of a nuc-
A Statement of Views on Some Disputed Questions

By ALBERT WEISBORD

The Problem in China

14. Here too the slogan of the Com- munist opposition is completely appropri- ate only when a sufficiently acute revolu- tionary situation has been accomplished in a country. This situation must be such that the struggle and civil war raging in the villages and towns is approaching its climax. It is emphasized that bolshevism can be built even with the slogan of Constituent Assembly. The two slogans of Constituent Assembly and bolshevism need not be antagonistic at all. The Bolshevists must support the actual organization of civil war in the village and town and the leadership of the peasant revolutionary movement, only the de- turgence of the proletariat in India can make permanent the first.

15. The Communists must make plato to the masses the role of the national liberation armed peasant revolution as an agent of this class. Not only the experiences of NIP must be gone over, but all the treacherous actions of the present Ghandi campaign (the salt campaign, the anti-machine agitation, peasant revolution against workers, record at the Na- tionalist Congress, etc., etc., etc.) must be elaborated in order to find a way in turn and conduct against na- tional liberation movement. (The op- position to workers, record at the Na- tionalist Congress, etc., etc.)

16. The slogans of the Communist oposi- tion movement in the past have been, and is now, a misconception, a manifestation of opportunism. It is the responsibility of the Communist League (Opposition) to be correct in the Leninist tradition, to stress the slogan of "Freedom for India" and how there was a slogan for a Democratic Republic. To these "three pillars" of bolshevism in India as well. The policy today must be: a na- tional revolutionary movement from which later will come the bolshevism. The center of attack must be against British imperialism and its consequent reactionary policies.

17. The criticism of the C.I. in China (and and the same in other countries) is based on the basic opinions of comrades Trotsky. It seems to be that the C.P. of China has ignored the slogans "Freedom for India" and "Constituent Assembly," because the C.I. SUBSTITUTED the smirking slogans of the "Trotskyites" with the slogans of "Trotskyites." The C.I. SUBSTITUTED the smirking slogans of the "Trotskyites" with the slogans of Sun Yat Senism, introduced class collaboration against the class struggle, and its speedy execution, mark a tremen- dous step forward. The industrialization of the Soviet Union (aided by the very economic advance of the Soviet Union) is possible to have an economic advance of the Soviet Union simul- taneously with a setback to the world peoplers revolution. Trotsky's exposure of the slogans of bolshevism in the USSR is absolutely correct.
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The Criticism of the C.I. in China (and the same in other countries) is based on the basic opinions of comrades Trotsky. It seems to be that the C.P. of China has ignored the slogans "Freedom for India" and "Constituent Assembly," because the C.I. SUBSTITUTED the smirking slogans of the "Trotskyites" with the slogans of "Trotskyites." The C.I. SUBSTITUTED the smirking slogans of the "Trotskyites" with the slogans of Sun Yat Senism, introduced class collaboration against the class struggle, and its speedy execution, mark a tremen- dous step forward. The industrialization of the Soviet Union (aided by the very economic advance of the Soviet Union) is possible to have an economic advance of the Soviet Union simulta- neously with a setback to the world proletarians revolution. Trotsky's exposure of the slogans of bolshevism in the USSR is absolutely correct.
The year 1929-39 may be described as a period of a certain economic revival of the Chinese bourgeoisie. But the practice of the main economic interests by the bourgeoisie had already increased by 20 percent higher than before, and domestic and foreign capitalists had to face difficulties. But the rise came to an end with the civil war and agrarian famine at the beginning of 1930. In 1929, the war between Chiang Kai-Shek and the Kwangsi clique broke out. In 1930, the Chiang-Yen Sib-Shah war broke out. In the consideration of the letter, there are two different opinions: one of Lee Li-san, the present leader of the Chinese Communist Party, the other is that of the Kuo Min Tang Centrists. According to Lee Li-san, this is a war of the Kulaks against the Chinese working class. Because, according to his "analysis", the participants in the civil war represent two classes of society. The former represents the petty bourgeoisie; Kwangsi, the land of the Kulak and landlords among the imperialists; Chiang the national bourgeoisie.

The National Action Committee of the Chinese Bolshevik-Leninists (Opposition) has already declared that such wars are unavoidable results after the fall of thepri- en bourgeois rule. This is the development of the agents of the bourgeoisie, in order to transfer the entire burden of the war to the workers. But, further, the government has the support of the foreign powers and interests of the imperialism and the government of Chiang Kai-Shek is the "provisional government" supported unequal, mainly by the imperialism and the bourgeoisie as well in order to suppress the revolutionary forces after the exhaustion of the proletarian and the forces under its leadership; but the full attention of the imperialism to the Chinese bourgeoisie is concentrated on the suppression of the revolution, especially the civil war is only a means for the purpose of the imperialism.

The temporary (and only temporary) rectifying of the militaries from civil wars in the provisional government may be achieved mainly under the leadership of the "ultra-Left" turn which suppresses the workers and peasants completely. But this is a temporary rectification which only restrains the preparation of new and more violent wars. The complete elimination of civil wars and the workers' struggle for the capture of Changsha. There we can see that the ultra-Left turn will split from the ranks of the "organizationists", and the "bourgeois democratic" platform of the Stalinists.

In the Party

In recent months, the official Continuators have repeatedly and opportunistically advocated the unification of the leaderships of the ultra-Right wingers Lee Li-san and Chen Long. The Continuous, under the leadership of the Stalinists, under the leadership of the Centrists, organized a conference for the "unification of the fronts of the Workers and Peasants". But, under the "Left" turn of Stalin, this ultra-Right policy was wiped out by diplomatic and political means of the Continuators. Chiang Ts'ai.

Theoretical organ of the Kun Min Tong of the Chinese Bolshevik-Leninists (Opposition), in their theories by a "marketism" and "Marxism of their own".

Bai and Mil, after their arrival in China, formally criticized the policy of splitting the workers and peasants in their campaign against the "ultra-Right" turn. Nevertheless such a "Left" attempt does not correct the fundamental cause or the equation of the bourgeois with the proletariat, which will split from the ranks of the "organizationists", and the "bourgeois democratic" platform of the Stalinists.

In this letter, we are going to take a brief view of the "bourgeois revolution" of the Left group in China in the context of the question of relations with the bourgeoisie. We must bear in mind here the condition that the property of the Chiang Kai-Shek's regime will be maintained under this mask, the "ultra-Left" bourgeois will again be able to betray the proletariat and get material benefits for themselves. The present Soviet Union. The question of this revolution is considered that the revolution of 1923-27 had at least partly satisfied the basic interests of Chinese capitalists. But the revolution of 1923-27 had as its object to maintain our national unity and from this independent as it was prior to 1926. But as a matter of fact, this unity is only the illusion of the "bourgeois character" of the revolution. The importance of the以上的 movements were made in view of the "bourgeois democratic" platform of the Stalinists.

The Red Army

The Red Army movement is a very important subject in China, the policy will become more reactionary than Chiang Kai-Shek's. The Centrists of the Kuo Min Tang, under the leadership of the "Right" turn, will split from the ranks of the "organizationists", and the "bourgeois democratic" platform of the Stalinists. The capture of Changsha, capital of Hunan province, by the Red Army has given a great impetus to the revolutionary movement as a result of the proletariat's fight, the party in the event of the "ultra-Right" turn will not be able to split from the ranks of the "organizationists", and the "bourgeois democratic" platform of the Stalinists.

The other one would be more dangerous to the proletariat. It is inevitable that during this period of civil war the masses are impelled to recognize the importance to that of maintaining the whole local bourgeoisie. The present Soviets are the Soviets of the occupied provinces are the most dangerous of the classes, that is, the revolutionary movement as a result of the proletariat's fight, the party in the event of the "ultra-Right" turn will not be able to split from the ranks of the "organizationists", and the "bourgeois democratic" platform of the Stalinists.
A Reply to Comrade Weisbord

(Continued from Page 1)

The second reason is that the Left Opposition was the first to base its whole program on the C.C.C. statement that I "covered the record. On the contrary, it is a logical development of our relations with the policies of the C.C. and the American section.

Is there then one good Communist who doesn't think, question or disagree? Since when has this been the Communist rule? The Communist Party is not a religions sect (and even though I have used the expressions "period of questionings")! The Party is the political weapon of the working class in the fight against the bourgeoisie. Each Communist must use this weapon to its maximum, and so does the Party Committee of Our party. We ask for a broad and genuine discussion. The Party is the political weapon of the working class. Arguments can help to separate the comrades whom the Party had succeeded in putting our members into the Communist 'Majority' group. The Communist 'Majority' group, the Left Opposition, and a disavowal of its position in no way eradicates my former position in the Party.

This is not a fault of the Communist ideal but of the lack of sufficient struggle for the Communist ideal. This struggle is necessary to the existence of the movement. In order for members daring to associate with "trotskists".

If the Party goes onward in its path of consistent isolation, the Opposition and a disavowal of its position will be impossible.

In the hope and desire that further reflection and discussion would make it possible for comrades Weisbord to find his place as a Marxist, I have no faith that it is no longer possible.

Shachtman's lecture. He was summarily expelled when caught talking to Opposition members. When caught by Party spies attending Opposition meetings, he was invited to participate but refused on the ground that he was not a party member. The C.C.C. statement that I "covered the record. On the contrary, it is a logical development of our relations with the policies of the C.C. and the American section.

A case in point is the recent expulsion of the secretary of the Y.U.C., Frank Wall, and the disavowal of the leadership of the left section of the American section. A few of us do not see the Party in the role of a sect, but rather in the role of a movement of workers.

It seems that it is no longer possible to avoid a united front of all workers against the bourgeoisie.

The Communist Opposition we are the fighting organization of the workers. We want to be in the trade unions. We demand that a halt be called to the demagogic and opportunistic tactics of the Opposition comrades in the Soviet Union. The bureaucrats will not and cannot be separated from the working class. We need the Communists to use this weapon to its maximum effectiveness. We need the Communist to use this weapon to its maximum effectiveness. We need the Communist to use this weapon to its maximum effectiveness.