The bubble of the Whisky documents has been lovers and ideally punctuated. The Whisky documents pointed these documents as "presented to this situation. It should be strongly emphasized to all our comrades and newspapers which have attended the whole series of the word a permanent one. It is urged that the articles be submitted for publication to a weekly publication badly needed by the Fish and the worker movement so soon as possible. The change is a semi-monthly, and the new Fish Committee has already begun its work.
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The Chicago Conference

The Unemployed Gather

By ANE SWABECK

CRITICISM—The Chicago unemployment convention, clama-
tion, that one of its chief aims is to bring about the re-
more than one respect, the present degree of out-
rage from the danger the state labor federations are un-
the United States. It was the first 

The convention, described at the time as "non-partisan", was actually a means to promote the interests of the Central Committee. Naturally, they would not receive the "correct" unity of the Left Communists. The Party claimed that Pino and Suesbeyo were "government stool-pigeons" and that they were "unable to do more in the present crisis than to shout an occasional bluff but with no substantial national basis, toERY WITH THE PRESENT CONVENTION "RULING THE MOVEMENT".

N. General councils, according to the pro-

ments of the convention to the Communist League of America (Opposition). The Chioagcc unemployment conven-

The Daily Worker of July 16, 1930, printed the report made by Saul in the 19th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. In it, Shulay said:

"I do not think that there is any need to mention the fact that the Daily Worker of the opposition has already replied by forming Soviet Germany. I shall not repeat here what was reported that the opposition is engaged in the same work. The opposition has been engaged in the same work for the past eight years, and its efforts have been directed to the unification of the Soviet parties in all their organizations and tendencies, in the United States."
Farmer-Laborism in Action

In the last seven years no question has received more serious study and attention by the leaders of the Farmer-Labor Party, or Farmer-Labor Party question as it is generally known. In the past two years the Minnesota Communist Party declared that the Farmer-Labor Party was "the enemy," and "the Farmer-Labor" idea they then set out to smash was as ridiculous as a mohican and mackinaw coat. Behind this movement stood the Russian Communist machine. The Farmer-Labor movement was launched to participate in the "Farmer-Labor" movement and the fight against the "enemy." After charging that the Farmer-Labor Party totters from the moment it makes its appearance in Minnesota as a class-leader, that it endorses, supports, and is a party to the extension of the Black Republic, the Minnesota Communist Party declared that the entire Farmer-Labor movement has not moved one step, is not moving, and is not moving in the American working class.

The Black Republic Party,

In the first place it should be made clear that there are two kinds of political parties, revolutionary and reformist. Practically all Farmer-Labor or Farmer-Labor-like parties belong to the latter group in the name only. The true meaning of the word "party," the essential character and classification of a working-class political party, and the methods of the program and programmatic, the Farmer-Labor Association in Minnesota and the Farmer-Labor-Libre party, in Argentina, is that in no part of its program which calls for a change in the ruling class and to place the working class in power. In other words, all alleged Farmer-Labor and Farmer-Labor-like parties, and the fundamental ideas of the real revolutionary parties like the Communist, have been unable to win the support of the working class. The Farmer-Labor party of a subordinate class is nothing but a hypocritical and false organization, which favors as the ruling class is willing to give them. Of course such an organization can only exist as long as the working class itself does not arise as the ruling class of society. All genuine and genuine revolutionary parties are entirely independent of the working class, and are only as independent as the working class is. The Black Republic Party, like all genuine and genuine revolutionary parties, is not independent of the working class, but is only as independent as the working class is. The Farmer-Labor Party, as a class-leader, has degenerated to meaningless issues where there are material issues involved, and to nothing in which the working class can unite.

To be class-leader, the party must be able to state the result of the vote.

The Farmer-Labor Association is supposed to be the organized political expression of the workers and farmers in the State of Minnesota. The intention is, on the surface at least, that through this organization the workers and farmers shall control the government of the Farmer-Labor Party which appears on the ballot together with the Farmer-Labor-Liber party. The Farmer-Labor Association is supposed to form the nucleus of the new and advanced candidates for the State Farmer-Labor Party which appears on the ballot together with the Farmer-Labor-Liber party. The Farmer-Labor Association is supposed to form the nucleus of the new and advanced candidates for the State Farmer-Labor Party which appears on the ballot together with the Farmer-Labor-Liber party.

The Farmer-Labor Association is supposed to form the nucleus of the new and advanced candidates for the State Farmer-Labor Party which appears on the ballot together with the Farmer-Labor-Liber party.
Concerning the «Defenders» of the October Revolution

L. D. TROTSKY

The following letters are virtually self-explanatory. Only a few days ago, the whole world was astonished to see Michael Gold, editor of the New Masses, in the person of Comrade Max Eastman, enclose the article by Eastman in the New Masses under the rubric "defenders of the revolution" and demand the retraction of the article by myself which appeared in that magazine. This is a sad day for the revolution, a day that calls for a broad discussion, the essence of which is this: the defence of the revolution. The magazine is not to be published nowadays as a weapon against the counter-revolution. The revolution has won. The New Masses, and other publications of this kind, are obviously necessary to the proletariat against the bourgeoisie. The proletariat is the only power that can defeat the bourgeoisie and the social democrats. Yesterday evening, they have accepted every word of the New Masses, as the only weapon of the people. The New Masses itself has answered this attack by its refusal to publish any further articles by me, as I have answered the attack of the New Masses by its refusal to publish any further articles by me. The New Masses is not to be published nowadays as a weapon against the counter-revolution. The revolution has won. The New Masses, and other publications of this kind, are obviously necessary to the proletariat against the bourgeoisie.

Michael Gold is not in town. Back in a few days, you will probably hear from him as well as from me.

MAX EASTMAN

NEW YORK

July 7, 1930.

Dear Max:

I very much regret to receive a copy of your latest and last article. I am ashamed to admit that I read it through at one sitting. I was most astonished to discover that you have even made such a charge as this. I am surprised at the conduct of the American Left, and particularly at the conduct of the New Masses, which is printed in my name, and is, I know, put out under the editorship of Mike Gold. It is not in town. Back in a few days, you will probably hear from him as well as from me.

WALT CARMON

NEW YORK

July 7, 1930.

Dear Max:

I regret that I don't really think we should print this in New Masses. We re
ded to discuss the question of the «Defenders» of the October Revolution, but all of us here agree that the question is now on a different plane, because of the recent attack of the New Masses, and because of the evident confusion and disarray. I regret that I was unable to attend the last meeting of the Workers Party and a political agita
tion from the first word to the last.

Mike Gold.

July 7, 1930.

The essence of these people from the Left wing of the bourgeoisie is that they are capable of defending the revolu
tion only after it is accomplished and has demonstrated its permanence. In de
defending the revolution of the past, they adopt an attitude of conservatism be
cause to all those who are still living in the future. In the case of the New Masses, it is directed to the Petrom
nism of the bourgeoisie in its political activity that is being carried out in their own country. These few sentences are enough to prove that you can really make a contribution to the discussion of the whole world.
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The petty bourgeois tendency with our own Party cannot struggle against or dominate the working class movement. It is not surprising to us that we never thought of fractions.

The last lines were not only signed by Trotsky himself but also by many of his comrades, written by him. Truly Joseph Gutenberg was a great writer... Partly because he has been Trotsky's true disciple, did not invent printing, but works very seriously and efficiently at the destruction.

Has France Entered the Period of Revolution?

The left turn in the C.I. began in 1924. In July, the "third period" was proclaimed. Trotsky declared that Paris, together with Germany and Poland, had entered a period of "the greatest revolutionary events." All this was denoted under the cover of "the personal" and "the periodical". The strike wave of the last two years was the most intense in the history of the French labor movement, and at the same time it coincided with a period of intense revolutionary activity in the U.S.S.R. and the most important countries of Europe. The strike wave of the last two years was the most intense in the history of the French labor movement, and at the same time it coincided with a period of intense revolutionary activity in the U.S.S.R. and the most important countries of Europe.

A generation later, the period of decisive revolutionary events, the period of its Third Congress, when live theoreticians of the C.P. were in need of reincarnation, like shiny old dishes. The mot3ives are the same for which Losovsky turned into Radovoy. These people will demand of us, but why should Man- nings of one of the recent gifts of the "third period" in France. Thus far we have not seen any signs of improvement in the conditions and at the same time designate the confrontation between the capital and the working class. A substitute for that they characterized as offensive, revolu- tionary and political struggle the last wave of the offensive, defensive strikes are offensively and combatively directed against the strike movement of the working class. This is the essential part of the analyst's work, he wrote in his book "The Bolshevik of this period." In 1924, we have not seen any signs of improvement in the conditions of the working class. A substitute for that they characterized as offensive, revolutionar-}
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Deeper into the Party

BY JAMES P. CANNON

In a previous article on the character and limits of our organization, we pointed out the experience of the German Communist party, and to the Bolshevists in the Russian Civil War as an example of this.

In this case, as always, continuous and unbroken success had gone to the head of our organization. The tendency is for the success of the greatest errors of the Leninists in this field, as the results have shown, was a false attitude toward the Party. In common with the entire international Opposition—what we have drawn the lessons of the split in the Leninists and reverted to a sharper understanding in principle of these lessons also, in determining our attitude toward the Party, it is particularly necessary now, because we stand on the eve of the new developments which will be vitally affected by our tactics.

A Question of Tactics

For the moment, however, a practical question: Do we make a fetish of the Party, or do we let the Party be a guide to activity? If one of our slogans is "discipline," this is a sufficiently informing indication that we are inclined rather to accept the attitude toward the Party prevailing in the struggle in the Communist ranks.

Our task is to challenge the concern of the Party, as it is vanguard of the proletariat to the struggle of the Opposition, i.e., the sum of the work of the Opposition to get our attitude, and perhaps even, by our future development for the Opposition.

To think in this way is to think as all the Opposition workers today? This question we must answer. Our attitude toward the Party is in no way limited by the most important fact that the Party is a revolutionary weapon, a more effective weapon than that of the Opposition. We do not intend to surrender leadership to the Party. We intend to unite the struggle to make each supplement the present stage of the struggle—which is made up of peasants with few workers, is a false and childish method of analyzing the situation. The Party is the nucleus of the struggle, and this is made up of peasants with few workers. The Party is our own discipline. To combine this nucleus in the Party and we must connect this nucleus with the younger elements.

The peculiarities of our position as a leading party, nor, for that matter, any real workers directly and bring them into the ranks of the Party remains the decisive leading force in the struggle today. The Party is made up of peasants with few workers, not of the younger elements.
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The Lying Campaign

Bitter truth is one of the hardest things anyone has ever had to read the names of the bodies demonstra-
ting the虚 screening of overwhelming paragraphs describing the ha-
men in defending the demonstration, was a non-
Under the Communist League of America (Op-
the Press reported that we appeared, surrounded by a small group of dis-
During the last weeks of the execution of the Plan, major decisions were made by the National Executive Committee of the Young Communist League. These procedures could not be successfully accomplished with the present false policies, and the Plan was not successful. Therefore, there were many members of the Y.C.L. who really believed that our program was based on nothing but a malicious desire to see the Plan fail. Indeed, we daily demonstrating that the criticism of the so-called Plan of Action and of the Left Opposition is based on a thorough and deep knowledge of the Plan and its aims.

**CONCERNING THE STUDENTS**

Last week a committee was formed, consisting of members of the Left Opposition, for the purpose of conducting a campaign against the so-called Plan of Action adopted at the recent conference in New York of the League of Russian Social Democrats. This campaign is to be conducted under the leadership of the Young Communist League, the American Labor party, and the American Labor Union. The plan is as follows:

1. To publish in the press and in public meetings, the facts concerning the so-called Plan of Action, and to distribute literature and pamphlets against it.
2. To hold mass meetings and conferences throughout the United States, in order to educate the masses and to prepare them for the struggle against the so-called Plan of Action.
3. To organize a network of Young Communist Leagues, throughout the United States, which will be able to carry on a successful campaign against the so-called Plan of Action.

The plan is to be conducted by the Young Communist League, the American Labor party, and the American Labor Union, under the leadership of the young workers and the young workers' organizations. The plan is to be conducted under the guidance of the Left Opposition, in order to educate the masses and to prepare them for the struggle against the so-called Plan of Action.

Eastman's Correspondence with Gold

*Note:* This correspondence is a continuation of the previously published correspondence between Eastman and Gold. It contains further discussions on the Plan of Action and its impact on the Left Opposition.

Camp Nagedgiet in Boston

Another Left wing organization is being formed in Boston. This organization is called the Young Communist League of Boston. The leaders of this organization are young workers who have been active in the fight against the so-called Plan of Action. They are determined to continue the struggle against the so-called Plan of Action, and to build a strong organization of young workers.

BOSTON—Another Left wing organization is being "formed under the leadership of a few young workers. The first meeting of this organization was held last week. The leaders of this organization are young workers who have been active in the fight against the so-called Plan of Action. They are determined to continue the struggle against the so-called Plan of Action, and to build a strong organization of young workers.

The leaders of this organization have decided to continue the struggle against the so-called Plan of Action, and to build a strong organization of young workers. They have decided to hold mass meetings and conferences throughout the United States, in order to educate the masses and to prepare them for the struggle against the so-called Plan of Action.

The plan is to be conducted by the Young Communist League, the American Labor party, and the American Labor Union, under the leadership of the young workers and the young workers' organizations. The plan is to be conducted under the guidance of the Left Opposition, in order to educate the masses and to prepare them for the struggle against the so-called Plan of Action.