BIGGEST MARITIME STRIKE FORCES GOVERNMENT TO RESCIND WAGE-CUT

Maritime labor’s militancy and solidarity tied up every port in the United States so completely that it virtually forced the government to rescind a smashing victory against the government.

Within eight days the Truman Administration had declared war on the longshoremen. The AFL seamen won their demands—a pay increase of $25.50 a month on the West Coast and $24.90 on the East Coast and Gulf. The settlers won when the CIO maritime workers joined the AFL strikers.

The strikes are over,但在the government has continued the strike order. In every port, great and small, the government strike was a shambles. The CIO’s strikers took the lead in calling for the strike, while the government blacklisted 1,350 seamen and engaged in a amazingly effective effort to break the strike. But the government order...
"Why We Support The Striking Seamen And New York Truck Drivers"

Radio Address by Farrell Dobbs, SWP Candidate for N. Y. Governor

--- 11/20/1970 ---

"Nothing Doing, Cap!" — An Organic N. Y. Dock

By Art Shara

NEW YORK—Yes, it is a large ship, 600 feet, built to carry Atlantic cargoes and passengers to the far receding of the world, a new ship with all the latest improvements to make the ocean voyage a delightful experience. The crew has been assembled and the ship is ready to sail. The captain has given the crew the order to go to sea, and the ship is on its way. But the crew has not been paid for their work.

The workers have organized and are striking for fair wages and better conditions. The company is not forthcoming and the strike continues. The crew is determined to get what is due them. The captain is under pressure and is forced to comply with their demands.

VETERANS AND LABOR

By Charles Gordon

A new popular pamphlet presenting the Socialist Workers Party's Program of Action for the Veterans

24 Pages

10 Cents

PIONEER PUBLISHERS

116 University Place, New York 3, N. Y.
State of Greek Political Parties Before the Recent Plebiscite

By Jean Paul Martin

ATHENS, Greece—On the eve of the plebiscite, the Greek political scene is marked by widespread tension and uncertainty. The Communist Party (KKE) and the National Salvation Front (ELAS) have significantly increased their support, while the Socialists and center parties are losing ground.

The Communist Party (KKE) has gained popularity due to its strong opposition to the Drangiannis government and its promises of a better future for the Greek people. The National Salvation Front (ELAS) has also seen a rise in support, mainly among the working class and the young.

The Socialists and center parties, on the other hand, are facing a decline in popularity. This is partly due to the government's policies, which have been criticized for being too capitalist and not addressing the needs of the working阶级.

The plebiscite, which is held to decide the fate of the country, is expected to have a significant impact on the political landscape of Greece.

Crisis Over High Living Costs

In Brazil, Chaves Dutra Gouveia

By Charles Cardarelli

Debris and discontent are rampant in Brazil, where the high cost of living is a major concern for workers and families. The rising cost of goods and services is driving outrage across the country, with many calling for action to address the issue.

The Brazilian government has been criticized for its inability to control inflation and for failing to provide adequate support to those struggling with high living costs. The government has announced measures to tackle the issue, but many believe more needs to be done.

The challenges faced by Brazil highlight the need for urgent action to address the high living costs crisis and promote economic stability for all citizens.

Nationalization in Britain

Master Hugh Junior To Mine Owners

LONDON, Aug. 25 - The British Steel Nationalization Committee announced today that all private steel companies will be taken over by the government. The move is seen as a clear demonstration of the government's commitment to nationalizing key industries.

The government has stated that the nationalization of the steel industry will help to ensure the country's economic security and stability. The decision was made after months of negotiations with industry leaders.

The steel industry, which is vital to the country's economy, has been a focus of nationalization debates in recent years. The government's decision to take control is seen as a significant step towards achieving greater control over the industry.

Homeless Workers in London

Steve Sayer

More than 2,000 homeless people in London are facing a difficult time as they struggle to find affordable housing.

Many of these individuals have been forced to live in makeshift shelters or on the streets, with limited access to basic necessities such as food, shelter, and healthcare.

The issue of homelessness is a pressing concern in London, and efforts are being made to address it. However, the situation remains challenging, with a need for increased support and resources to help those in need.

The government is working to provide solutions to this problem, including the construction of new affordable housing and the provision of support services for homeless individuals.

Nationalization in Britain

British Steel Barons Will Retain Their Stranglehold On Industry

LONDON, Sept. 1 - The first plan of the Labor Government to nationalize the steel industry has been announced. This represents a clear statement of the government’s commitment to controlling the steel industry and ensuring its stability.

The plan will see all private steel companies taken over by the state, with the government taking control of the industry. The move is seen as a way to ensure the country’s economic security and stability.

The government has stated that the nationalization of the steel industry will help to ensure the country’s economic security and stability. The decision was made after months of negotiations with industry leaders.

The steel industry, which is vital to the country’s economy, has been a focus of nationalization debates in recent years. The government’s decision to take control is seen as a significant step towards achieving greater control over the industry.

The government is working to provide solutions to this problem, including the construction of new affordable housing and the provision of support services for homeless individuals.

The government’s commitment to nationalizing key industries is seen as a clear demonstration of its commitment to controlling the steel industry and ensuring its stability.
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Government Boards

The economic workers have fought through another bitter experience with an additional example of government control. If these workers have learned their lesson about "collective bargaining," the American workers will continue to be the laziest and most inefficient in the world. They have been forced to accept lower wages and working conditions as a matter of course.

Workers' Control

The demands for the government to take over and operate the major industries are being regarded with a jaundiced eye by the workers themselves. There is no question that the government has already taken over the industries that are necessary for the nation's security. The government has taken over the steel, oil, and coal industries, and has also taken over the banks and the railroads.

We want to see the government control all industries, but we do not want to see our money spent on government control. We want to see our money spent on industries that are necessary for our daily lives.

The Wallace Speech

The speech of Mr. Wallace was a direct attack on the Roosevelt Administration. He said that the President was not doing enough to protect the interests of the working class. He also accused the President of being too soft on the trusts and monopolies.

The President responded by saying that Mr. Wallace was simply trying to stir up trouble. He said that the President was doing everything he could to protect the interests of the working class.

The people of this country are tired of hearing about the "Wallace Speech." They want to see some action taken to protect their interests.

The Same Enemy

The American workers are united in their determination to fight the common enemy. They are determined to stand up against the government and the trusts and monopolies.

The image contains text that is not entirely legible due to the quality of the scan. However, the general content pertains to government control of industries, workers' rights, and the common enemy faced by the American workers. The text is somewhat fragmented and contains sentences that are not entirely coherent. The overall message seems to be a call for workers' control and resistance against government interference.
Labor Party Debated By Ohio CIO, PAC Parleys

C.I.O. Delegates Fight for Control

A decision of the delegates to the national convention of the C.I.O. held at the Goodrich Rubber Works Local 240, springfield, is the status of the national C.I.O. policy regarding wages and working conditions. In order to secure a conclusive decision on this program it would have been necessary that the delegates before the convention consider the actual facts and events in the field, in order to establish an accurate reflection of the working conditions to be the basis for the decision. A resolution calling for an immediate settlement of the various wage increases would increase the burden of the Ohio CIO and Workshops. The delegates, however, voted to continue the resolution calling for a settlement of the various wage increases in the field. "We will give the agencies the necessary time to work out a program," said the delegates to the national convention.

Wages Price Debate

Debate on the Auto price raises continue to rage on the national convention. The delegates have charged the automobile industry with price raising. The convention has decided to present a statement to the automobile industry on this matter.

PAC PARLEY HELD

A meeting of the Ohio CIO and PAC parleys was held at the State House. The delegates from the various shops and plants met to discuss the current issues. The delegates have decided to continue the parleys and to present a report to the national convention.

Wages Prices and Profits

Capitalize Waste of Human Labor

By Warren Cord

Last week we started to examine human labor which is wasted in commodity selling. The individual capitalist employer does not own his workers, but he employs them to work for him. It is necessary for the capitalist employer to hire workers to get the custom. But this selling labor does not produce nothing and is therefore not necessary. The capitalist employer uses the labor of the workers to produce things for others. He uses the word "distribution" for things of this kind. When he says "distribution," he means the transfer of things from the worker to himself. He does not say "production" because he does not want the workers to get the things themselves.

The process is as follows:

1. The capitalist grows a large factor in capitalistic systems. Capitalist contentment with this state of affairs appears to the worker as part of the capitalist system. The capitalist is the person who makes the system.

2. The capitalist employer hires the worker to do his work for him. He does not want the worker to get the things himself. He wants the worker to work for him.

3. The worker works for the capitalist employer. He does not get the things himself. He gets wages.

4. The capitalist employer pays wages to the worker. He does not want the worker to get the things himself. He wants the worker to work for him.

5. The capitalist employer hires the worker to work for him. He does not want the worker to get the things himself. He wants the worker to work for him.

6. The capitalist employer hires the worker to work for him. He does not want the worker to get the things himself. He wants the worker to work for him.

7. The capitalist employer hires the worker to work for him. He does not want the worker to get the things himself. He wants the worker to work for him.

8. The capitalist employer hires the worker to work for him. He does not want the worker to get the things himself. He wants the worker to work for him.

The capitalist is the person who makes the system. The capitalist is the person who makes the system. The capitalist is the person who makes the system.
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**The MILITANT**

**SWP Veterans Ask Basic Changes In Army's Court-Martial System**

**Army Court Martial**

**Chicago Jim-Crow Terrorism Hits Other Racial Groups**

**By Robert L. Birchman**

**CHICAGO, Sept. 19—** The wave of terrorism against Negroes in Chicago is extending to include Mexican Americans and Chinese.

A large and growing number of attacks against the Chinese restaurant and La Michoacana restaurant at 1635 S. Ashland Ave. There are numerous reports of attempted bombings and intimidation of the neighborhood associations. In one of these attacks, two windows were broken at the Chinese restaurant. The managers reported the damage and the restaurant closed.

A Negro antiwar worker in Chicago was recently attacked by a man who tried to pull his gun and a police officer who held a club.

The two men and a woman went to the police station and were told that the police could not do anything.

The Commission on Human Rights of the SWP released a statement that the police had found no evidence of violence.
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Housing Crisis In New Jersey
By Alan Kohlman

While thousands of homeless veterans and their families are facing eviction and poor housing, the reality of the housing crisis in New Jersey is being ignored. The lack of affordable housing options and stable living conditions for these families is a pressing issue that requires immediate action.

As such, there is a need for coordinated efforts to address the housing crisis in New Jersey. This includes advocating for policies that promote affordable housing and ensuring that these families have access to resources that can help them secure stable housing.

In the meantime, it is essential that we support organizations and individuals working to provide shelter and support for these families. Together, we can work towards a solution that meets the needs of all New Jersey residents.