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THE INTERNATIONAL SITUATION

Hands off Russia!
By Ernst Meyer (Berlin).

Soviet Russia, alleged to be bloodthirsty and terrorist, has like no other state tried time and again to live in peace and friendship with other countries. Immediately after the October Revolution in 1918 the former allies of Tzarist Russia commenced their attacks upon Soviet Russia. Since that time the imperialists in all countries have periodically stirred up wars against Soviet Russia and have supported counter-revolutionary generals with money, munitions and advise. Hence Soviet Russia who had had no army at the beginning of her existence was compelled to create a Red Army, which however served only the purposes of defense Soviet Russia would have been too or all if her counter-revolutionary enemies both at home and abroad had permitted her to demobilise this Red Army and employ it in economic reconstruction work instead of having to devote time to the building up of the army. In the Spring 1920 part of the army had already been dissolved into workers' troops, when in the following Summer Poland at the command of France frivolously attacked Soviet Russia, thus forcing the latter to rearms herself.

During these weeks it became apparent that the workers throughout the world understood how the overthrow of the Soviets would react upon themselves. The proletariat of various countries spontaneously opposed their masters who were conspiring against Soviet Russia. This sentiment which found expression in the warning 'Hands off Russia' received its greatest proportion in England. Thus Russia in spite of the peace favouring Poland was enabled to devote her energies to the work of reconstruction and even to go new ways, which made it possible for the capitalist countries to enter into relations with Soviet Russia on the basis of capitalist exchange of commodities.

The catastrophe of nature and its attendant evil, the famine, is now encouraging the lurking enemies to renew their attacks upon Soviet Russia. France cannot forget that she is no longer allowed to draw the interest on the capital lent to the Tsar; time and again she intrigued against Soviet Russia and now cynically and frivolously advises her vessels, Poland and Roumania, to exploit Russia's difficult situation and deal a deadly blow to the famishing workers and peasants of Soviet Russia. Thus France whose bourgeois government has in the course of the last few months suffered many a political defeat in the Allied councils, hopes to raise her prestige. Poland, who by reason of England's protest will be given but at part of Upper Silesia and whose economics and finances are completely ruined, welcomes every adventure as a way out of her difficulties; hence she is overjoyed to be allowed to act as mercenary of France and becomes the latter's willing instrument. If success is not forthcoming in the West, in Upper Silesia, it will perhaps not fail to materialise in the East in a campaign against Soviet Russia. Roumania who under the last of the Hohenzollern has become a tool of counter-revolution prepares for war against Soviet Russia. Hungary looks on benevolently and waits for a favourable moment in which to draw her sword against Soviet Russia, the same sword that is already stained with the blood of thousands of workers.

England still thinks her position threatened by the spread of the Bolsheviki ideas in Asia and by the attempts of the colonial peoples enslaved by her imperialism to regain their freedom. England, however, cannot prove that Soviet Russia has been encroaching upon her sphere of interests. In an official note sent to the Soviet Government England justifies the steps taken against Russia with the Third Congress of the C. I. The English bourgeoisie's hatred of Russia though it is clothed in the forms of polite diplomatic notes, is nevertheless very powerful indeed and is apparently this time exchangng the formal neutrality for a decidedly hostile attitude against Soviet Russia.

The bourgeois German republic whose anti-Bolshevik policy has proved a deplorable failure, has decided to take upon an attitude of formal neutrality thus repeating the policy adopted in Summer 1920. Independent of this, however, the reactionaries who, on their own account, have repeatedly participated in the attacks on Soviet Russia will be only too glad of a chance to bring their iron divisions into action. Having already last winter by means of trusted go-betweens put a plan for the defeat of Russia before the Allied statesmen, Ludendorff has recently deigned to grant an interview to a journalist of the 'arch-enemy' (Sauerwein of the Matin) during which he once more developed a plan for the overthrow of Soviet Russia.

Thus, the bourgeois counter-revolution is bestirring itself throughout the world. Stagnation on the markets, restriction of production, unemployment, increase of prices and the rest of the social effects of the capitalist system of production and domination shall be veiled from the eyes of the workers by a fresh wave of anti-Bolshevik agitation and by a new war against Soviet Russia. The workers should clearly comprehend this plot; they should not allow themselves to forget that Russia is the bulwark of the World Revolution. The overthrow of Russia would automatically result in a ruthless suppression of all attempts to gain freedom throughout the world; it would result in a lengthening of the working hours and in political oppression. Hence, the workers of the world must get ready and answer to the plots of the international bourgeoisie with the united determination of the world proletariat.

Hands off Soviet Russia!
Theses
adopted by the Executive Committee of the Communist International on the Washington Conference.

I. The Washington Conference.

The conference, called to be held in Washington by the United States of America for the purpose of settling the problems of the Far East and of discussing the restriction of armaments, is the latest in the series of unsuccessful attempts undertaken by capitalist countries to suppress the imperialist traditions upon which the imperialist war had thrown a glaring light and which it has proved incapable to solve. The idea of a "Mittel Europä" (Middle Europe) and that of a League of Nations have successively failed. English and German capitalism, after the other alternative of themselves organizing the world on such a basis, where, though the exploitation of one nation by another still remains, it would nevertheless mean the disappearance of armaments and the danger of war. To-day, three years after the ending of the War and two years after the conclusion of peace, Europe may be likened to a cage where wild beast are fighting for a gnawed off bone and are taken care of by tamer, who from time to time throw a fresh bone to the beasts or whip them when occasion requires. After victorious capitalism has demonstrated its qualifications as organizer of the world, in such splendid fashion, the United States of America, who were a party to the Versailles attempt of forming a League of Nations, and who then refused to join this League, her own handiwork, is for the second time taking the initiative in settling the (to her most the most insignificant) far-off far-off ocean, or in other words, the conflicts in the Far East. After the cessation of armaments, the question of disarmament as one of world-wide significance is to be discussed. These are the questions intended to be solved by the Washington Conference. But this attempt will fail. At best, it can but end with a regrouping of the various powers and with an intensification of existing differences. This is obvious if one enumerates the drives forces on the part of the United States, England and Japan, and if one defines the contradictions of these forces.

II. The Return of the United States of America to Europe.

The United States withdrew from the League of Nations, first, because England, with six votes at her disposal, put the English stamp upon the League, and, secondly, because the capitalistic America did not feel inclined to guarantee the political and economic privileges which France and England had achieved by the peace treaty of Versailles, and thirdly, because the capitalist clique as represented by the republican party wished to exploit the fact that the petty-bourgeois masses had grown tired of Europe in order to push the capitalistic clique as represented by the liberal party away from the cork barrel. The United States could not withdraw from world politics, however, because European capitalists and the Allies owe her 20 billion dollars. The development of the European conflicts did not only decide whether or not the debtors will be able to pay their debts, but also, whether or not the United States will be able to keep up the industry developed during the War. As recently as 1919, part of the American capitalists did not believe that their prosperity was depending upon the economic development of Europe. The deep crisis of the years 1920/21 prove otherwise. Even America cannot export her products to Europe, if European economy keeps on decaying. For this reason the United States has already taken part in settling the question of the German reparations; she is now participating in the settling of the Upper Silesian question by the decision of the Council of the League of Nations to stand on the famine problem of Russia. To make a long story short: the United States has rejoined the true representatives of victorious world capitalism; the Supreme Council which has made a puppet of the council of the League of Nations. The United States is playing a double role: she is in council, the Supreme Council and is exploiting the present difficult situation of her English competitor, to this end.

III. England's Situation.

In order to win the War, England was obliged to make use of her colonies for war purposes. The colonies have economically been strengthened by the War and won. Since 1918, the nominal right of having a voice in deciding the foreign policy of Great Britain. Now English imperialism must keep in mind, that, in 1917, because it is unable to bear alone the burden of the naval preparations which it believes necessary to keep up not only against the United States, but against its allies France and Japan as well; and, because English imperialism must take the colonies as powerful factors into account. Great Britain has been replaced by a federation of Great Britain and her self-governed colonial capitalities; whose foreign interests are not those of their mother country. English imperialism wants to continue the alliance with Japan in order to have an ally in case of conflict with the States and, further, in order to assume the role of arbitrator between American and Japanese imperialism in order having duly stirred up the American-Japanese differences. Young Japan, which, by reason of its closeness, is becoming more and more depending upon the United States cannot, on its side, afford a disturbance of relations to its powerful neighbor. At the recent Washington Conference, England opposed a renewal of the alliance with Japan and declined to become a party to the United States-Japanese defensive pact. Australia whose only possible enemy is Japan would, in case of conflict with the latter, find an ally in the United States. The farmers of South Africa decline to mix in world political affairs. This its most important colonies is depriving English imperialism of the freedom of movement in regard to the United States.

Increasing economic competition between Great Britain and the United States raises for both countries the question whether or not this competition in the atmosphere of unsolved world war will eventually result in an increase of competitive armaments on both sides and in another new world war. Great Britain's situation in such a war would be more difficult than in the World War, because while on one hand she could not wholly rely on her colonies she would, on the other hand, very probably have as an enemy France whose attempt to dominate the European Continent. The United States' will not adopt this method, because of her belief in the European Continent. The United States' will not adopt this method, because of her belief in the European Continent. The development of far-carrying hoistways and of air and submarine craft would, in case of war, enable the United States to become a deciding factor, as an ally of the United States, and not only as a rival of England, but effect a landing there as well. This situation is compelling the English Government to try and come to an understanding with the United States. Object of this understanding is the formation of a capitalist Anglo-Saxon trust. The United States will be the centre of gravity of this trust whilst Japan is to carry the cost of it.

IV. The Isolation of Japan.

Japanese imperialism has at very little expense to itself amassed riches during the War by manufacturing munitions for the Allies and by exploiting England's situation which prevented her from furnishing her colonies with manufactured goods. Having at the beginning of the War shrewdly persuaded China from her opium trade, Japan forcibly took away Kiauchou and the Province of Shantung from German imperialism and put herself in the latter place. She poured oil on China's internal troubles and posing as an organising principle, compelled them in order to gain mastery over the vast empire which, under the pretence, the petty-bourgeois South, is slowly growing its way from feudalist disintegration.

The results of the War threaten to deny the Japanese the fruits of their victory. Germany's defeat, the disappearance of Russia as an imperialist factor which could join Japan in political conditions, means that all this makes it necessary for Japan to rely wholly upon England for assistance against the United States.

V. The Plans of the United States in the Far East.

The search for markets to satisfy her gigantic needs for economic expansion: the United States is casting her eyes towards China and Russia. She is thinking of using her industries to capture as fields for investment of American capital. The monopoly the United States is enjoying as the world's creditor and, further, the ability of American industry to compete not only with the British but also with the Japanese industry, but with the English as well prompt the United States to prepare for the future. Japan, formerly acquired in China and recently in Siberia by the older imperialist states France, England and Japan. The United States, under the slogan of the "open door in China" coined by the Army, and under the secrtary of State John Hay as far back as 1905, is trying to force Japan back; her treatment of the question of the wireless stations on the island of Yap goes far to prove that she is determined to take up the battle along the whole front.
This policy of the United States is threatening English interests, though to a lesser degree than the Japanese. Not only, because England, a capitalistically stronger state, is a better match for the American competition than Japan, but also, because the Pacific question, vital for Japan, is only of secondary importance for the United States. Japan can rely only to a certain extent. Obliged to choose between Japan and the United States, England will side with the latter. The Washington Conference therefore represents a diplomatic attempt on the part of the United States to snatch the fruits of victory away from Japan.

VI. Possible Results of the Washington Conference.

The preliminary condition for any restriction whatsoever of the military preparations in the Pacific and for a division of the spheres of authority on the sea, is the outcome of the diplomatic negotiations on the disputed Pacific problems. England will side with Japan and endeavour to bring about a compromise between the United States and Japan, enabling her to continue the alliance with Japan by formally including the United States in this alliance. The military value of the alliance with Japan would be great in case of war with the United States, while its diplomatic value in settling any possible dispute is the same power is not to be underestimated. The compromise is to be brought about either by either England receiving compensations in the way of privileges in Siberia or by the United States being given concessions in China, or the right to construct a railway in Szechwan Province, a Northern Manchuria etc. etc. If England succeeds in bringing about this compromise, she will, within the English-Japanese-American alliance, endeavour to get into especially close relations with Japan. Thereafter an agreement will be arrived at by the three contracting powers providing for full-scale, or at least for limited, military cooperation. If the powers are unable, however, to reach an agreement on the points under discussion, it will result in a boundless economic struggle and formation of an American-English trust and in a competition in which both the Japanese and the Chinese know no limits. Thus, if the first case comes true, we shall witness the attempt to cheat Japan of part of the fruits of her victory in favour of the United States and at the expense of China and, perhaps, Soviet Russia. An agreement of this kind would prove to be the start of a system of diplomatic regressions and new world political conflicts, after the fashion of the peace of Chimonoseki where Russia, Germany and France endeavoured to snatch away from Japan the fruits of her victory over China. If an agreement is not arrived at, however, the process of intensification of the existing conflicts will develop more rapidly, and under no circumstances will these conflicts disappear. In that case the economic conflict between England and America continues to be the foremost world problem. The French-English conflict remains as before. In the background of these conflicts dislocated financial crises and economic home disturbances and the Thelander conflict with the defeated capitalist countries as for instance Germany and, further, with the colonial peoples and, finally, with Soviet Russia who forms a gap in the bulwark of capitalist states.

VII. The Washington Conference and the Communist International.

The attempt to settle the question of restriction of armaments on the European Continent is doomed to failure. France will not forego her state of preparedness, although, considering the complete disarmament of Germany, that state is no longer important in view of the new military power in Europe, because the policy of French imperialism strives to dominate the European Continent. Aside from France, there exist her vassal states which by the peace of Versailles and the succeeding treaties were allotted territories inhabited by an alien population. In particular, many the Ukrainians, Little-Russian and German inhabitants while Czech-Slovakia may be likened to the former Austrian Empire, because, apart from Czechoslovakia, it is populated by Germans and Hungarians. A great many Hungarians and Bessarabians have resided under Romanian domination. Central, Southern and Eastern Europe is to-day built upon bayonets. In the Near East, France, one of her African possessions, Syria, is endeavouring to flank England’s most vital interests. This division of the United States is bound to interfere with the English policy of creating by means of the territory of a great Arab state depending upon English imperialism — a line of communication between Egypt and India. In order to compel France to forego her preparations in such a situation, England had to come to an agreement with France on all world problems.

How little the capitalist powers themselves believe in the likelihood of disarmament, is shown by the fact that, having most heartily welcomed Harding’s proposal to discuss in November the question of disarmament at Washington, the English Government immediately afterwards decided to expend 30 million pounds sterling in building new warships. It justified this by pointing out that Japan is building eight dreadnoughts to be finished by 1925 and had already granted the means for another series of eight, and that in 1925 the United States would be possessed of 12 superdreadnoughts.

The Executive of the Communist International maintain that the Washington Conference will neither result in disarmament nor in a closer alliance of capitalist states. The Executive of the Communist International call upon all Communist Parties and Trade Unions affiliated with the Red Trade Union International to intensify the agitation against the struggle against the imperialist governments whose conflict interests are not to be calculated. The proletarian revolution disarms the capitalist class and thus creates the pre-requisite for a true world-wide federation of all toiling peoples. The Executive draw the attention of the workers throughout the world at the intrigues that at Washington are being carried on against Soviet Russia. They call upon the toiling masses in China, Korea and Eastern Siberia to ally themselves more closely to Soviet Russia the only state in the world willing to render assistance upon a mutual and fraternal basis to the peoples of the Near and Far East who are being menaced by the world’s imperialists.

Moscow, August 15th, 1921.

The Executive Committee of The Communist International,

A Butcher State.

A year before the constitution of the Communist International took place in Moscow, its foundation was laid in the midst of revolutionary struggle. It happened in Finland, in the spring of 1918. On the snow-covered battlefields in the class-war of Finland, international forces fought on both sides. On the red side the battles were led by the Russian workers, the red guards of the Finnish proletariat, the Finnish Social Democrats and the Libertarian comrades. On the white front the troops of German imperialism, the bands of the Finnish middle-class, the voluntary battalion of Swedish political agitators, counter-revolutionary officers etc. By this war which lasted three months the international idea took strong hold of the proletariat as well as of the middle-class of Finland.

The necessary condition for the international education of the proletariat, i.e. its deliverance from the spell of democratic illusions. At that time the idea was most prevalent and quickly done in Finland. In the year 1900 there was on paper a very democratic constitution of parliament in Finland. The social-democrat labour party possessed 40—45% of the members of the diet. In 1917 they had the majority already. In that year democratic liberty in Finland was so great that the bourgeois class could quite publicly form and arm their red guards.

The democratic illusions strengthened in this way were, however, destroyed in a few weeks by the reality of the class struggle: capitalist democracy in its highest form is nothing but a camouflage for the mobilisation of social forces for the class-war.

At the same time the division of the old social-democratic labor party in the camps of the Second and Third International took place, a new temporary form of the adherents of the right wing unmasked themselves as counter-revolutionary saboteurs in the fight of the revolutionary masses. After the great massacre of the 1st of May 1918, thousands of members of the Finnish Labour-Party lay shot to death on the ground with their membership cards reposing on their breast, whilst many of
the triumphal conquerors carried their books of membership of the German Social-Democratic Party or of German trade-unionism in their pockets.

By its voluntary troops recruited from its class the Finnish bourgeoisie had given an example to European reaction. Already in 1906 they were called butchers in Finland. Now the dictatorship of the butchers had temporarily gained a perfect victory. It was a triumph to the bourgeoisie all powerful, the White Terror and of systematic inquisition. But the result was a great disappointment for them; the ranks of the revolutionary clearheaded masses of workers are now much stronger and more resolute than ever in Finland and are on the way to finish the victory.

The national illusions of the Finnish middle-class have likewise been destroyed. The independence of Finland really existed only during the four weeks of the end of the year 1917, when Bolshevik Russia had made a present of this independence to the Finnish bourgeoisie and when it had not yet been delivered by them as a booby to German imperialism. During the German domination, in 1918 Finland was impudently pillaged of victuals and valuables. After the German defeat the Finnish butcher-state, state has still kept up secret connections with the German monarchist lord, but has more and more been vanquished by the mighty British imperialism. Now its force of passive defiance against the English imperialism is totally broken.

Under these circumstances its situation has become by no means easy. The little butcher-state is struggling for its independence. It has no market for its products. The production of worthless paper money is the only branch of industry, that is not wanting in White Finland. The tremendous unproductive costs for the military equipments of troops, the speculation of banks and the inordinately overvalued properties systematically committed on the State by the "independent" bureaucracy have exhausted the economic force of the country. Next autumn or winter threatens the Finnish butchergeneration with an economic catastrophe. In order to save herself Finland cries for help in all directions for a foreign "economic dictator" for any Stinnes with unlimited powers.

The Finnish Utopia of national independence has been as unlucky as the Utopia of pure democracy. In the moment, when it seemed to be on the point of perfect realisation, when they knocked already at the gates of the world and it they were in fact ultimately driven out of all the land of Canada. The little butcher-state had of course just like other deformities of the same type its imperialist dream too, if fixed idea of a "Great Finland". This fixed idea was also pursued by adventurous expeditions to English boulevards and by South-America. Everywhere this every time this raging megalomania was shaken into clear-headed consciousness by the rough fist of the Russian Red Army.

By these hard experiences changes have been taking place among the possessing classes of Finland. Part of them are politically; they are in favor of social peace and order at any price. That is to say: secured capital and secured majority price, or, in a word, price where something comes from, even from the Bolsheviks. This part of the bourgeoisie is inclined to help the capitalist production of the country by commerce with Soviet-Russia, but the others being terrored by the active butchers. The active butchers are looking for rescue in a new secret alliance with the monarchists of all countries of Continental Europe, in new warlike adventures against Soviet-Russia.

By this endeavour they meet half-way the intentions of the French bourgeoisie. The empire is in a new defense of French profit. The new commercial treaty of Finland with France is the outward proof that also the Finnish butchers will hencforward join the French secret alliance as hirelings.

It will soon be evident, how long and how far these block plans of concentration may be carried on, on the European Continent without meeting with the opposition of the British policy of splitting up the Baltic into small "independent" states. But one thing is sure: the revolution of the European proletariat will pronounce the final sentence on the plans of the political intrigues of both parties.

Finland herself is of course only a very small phenomenon and a passing spectacle. But it is evident, that her story contains some typical respects of the present process of the European class-war. The factor, which plays the dominant role in the class-war in Finland is: the organisation of the communists for the proletarian revolution against the organisation of the butchers.

Moscow, August 25th 1921.

O. W. Kusmin.

ECONOMICS

The World-wide Economic Crisis of the Capitalist System.

Everywhere the capitalist world is tottering. After the ending of the war, matters apparently had improved. With this improvement the profits of the capitalists increased but production and meanwhile a crisis set in during the Spring of 1923. This crisis has not yet ended and if so, always intensifying and widening, and the end is not yet within sight. Any temporary improvement of a few weeks duration is as a matter of course followed up by further downward moves.

The causes of the world crisis are known. The great ironworks in America on one hand and the collapse of capitalism itself on the other. The owners of the copper mines have reached an agreement providing for a restriction of the output. The cotton area has this year been reduced by 27 per cent, and planters will be committed to keep up this reduction for the next five years. Half of the 10 millions of new tonnage built by America during the war is lying idle. The same picture is repeated by other countries, no matter if during or after the War they were victorious, defeated or neutral. In Belgium, the crisis is intensifying from day to day, coal-productions is about three. 25 furnaces only 12 are in full blast. If we pass to Bohemia, we are face to face with a complete idleness of the iron and steel producing industries; the last furnaces in Cladno, the great centre of iron industry, was shut down in July. This condition is general, in England and Italy, Japan and South-America as well. It is indeed a world crisis in the true sense of the word. Since the inception of capitalism, crises have taken place from time to time. The present crisis, however, is not a crisis within capitalism, but a crisis of capitalist world economy; of the capitalist system of society itself.

Previous to the War capitalist world economy represented a unit which was at that time kept together by world trade and by the gold standard adopted in all capitalist countries, whereby national currency was accepted internationally. This capitalist economy is now falling to pieces, and this is the reason why English pound sterling is worth three quarters of its gold value, while the German mark is worth only three pence today of its pre-war value. Austrian crowns and Polish marks have become mere scraps of paper. Central and Eastern Europe are generating deadly germs for the whole world.

The present crisis is not one of overproduction in the ordinary accepted sense. Who will maintain that in Germany, Austria and Poland, these impoverished countries, completely ruined by the war, from where from month to month the governments do not know if there is sufficient food for the people, we have a case of overproduction? The character of the present crisis is one of overproduction in one part of the world, an overproduction unknown heretofore, and underproduction in the other part of the world - The reaction of these factors upon one another results in the present economic crisis.

At the command of the capitalists, the people of Europe murdered each other with all the means of modern technique. Every possible resource to carry on the war was utilised: riches which it took generations to produce, the soil, livestock, buildings and factories, machinery and locomotives, everything was torn to pieces, exhausted or annihilated by the war itself. One after the other, the states perished. First of all came the weakest, Russia, then followed Bulgaria and Austria-Hungary and, finally, Germany. The extension of the war was not less in Belgium, France and Italy, but the support of America and England enabled these countries somehow or other to hold out till the end of the War.

The non-Europe States developed altogether differently. Europe, up till the beginning of the War the chief supplier of commodities, now is only a Receiver and a supplier of the supply, but needed all kinds of goods from the other parts of the world as well. This need everywhere resulted in a gigantic increase of plants and production. The great, orders given by the countries of the war to the United States and Japan a hot house development. The revolution brought to these countries with a white population-Australia, South-Africa and South-America took advantage of the temporary cessation of European competition to further their own industries.

The increase of shipbuilding crisis of capital enabled these youthful industries to compete on the local markets with the American and Japanese industries. While Europe was going towards her doom and her productivity dwindling, the non-European capitalist countries were developing gigantic new forces of production.
Two parts of the world are facing each other: the impoverished half (Russia, Germany, Poland, the countries of the former Austro-Hungarian Empire and Italy with a total population of 300 millions), and the oversea countries, which accumulated immense wealth during the era of imperialism; these latter are especially mentioned not only the United States and Japan but also the English Colonies.

The impoverished countries are suffering from a crisis of under-production, the enriched countries from one of over-production. The impoverished countries are in need of everything of which the second category is possessed in abundance. But the poor cannot buy the rich, for they have nothing to give in return, their gold and securities and their treasures of art, even if they are available in America, Europe, and elsewhere. For the same reasons the rich cannot sell. The poor cannot get credits, because they are poor and nobody will trust them with anything.

Thus, the two parts of the world are facing each other in insoluble contradiction, and as in the case of all the other evils of capitalism modern society will certainly met with a catastrophe. The suffering of the workers is greater than they would be if the capitalist system was running smoothly.

---

**THE LABOUR MOVEMENT**

**England:**

**To the Workers of Great Britain.**

Fellow Workers:

The Trade Union Congress met at Cardiff on the 5th of September. Never before had a labour gathering been confronted with a situation as serious as this. The working-class of Great Britain is in a position bordering on despair. Divided into a multitude of unions, federations, loose alliances, committees, councils, parties, and devoid of united and militant leadership, the British labour movement of today is a vast confederation of organized workers united under the banner of "labour." A mighty army indeed, cannot point out a single victory won by labour in recent years. The history of the labour movement, especially since the outbreak of the war and after the armistice, has been a record of reverses. Separation of workers, divided and unsupported by the rest of the working-class, have time and again, put up the most stubborn and the most heroic fights, but the working-class as a whole has repeatedly suffered itself to be tricked and fooled by the bourgeoisie and liberal politicians. Countless millions of workers have been broken promises and downright betrayals -- has submitted to threats and intimidations.

And what is the result? Millions of unemployed, hundreds of thousands of workers on short-time, a general insecurity of work, a continuous decline and fall in the standard of living. Instead of the promised millennium; instead of a "place fit for heroes to live in," the life of the worker has become a hunt for a living wage. Verily it may be said, it takes a hero to withstand all the cruel pressures of the unbridled capitalism.

Nor does this wave of unemployment show the least sign of abatement. Every day day engulfs new thousands of workers, throwing them out on the streets to swell the ranks of the already unemployed millions. Thus the general mass of misery and poverty is proportionately intensified. On the other hand, the prospect of unemployment is haunting those still in jobs and they do not know what to-morrow will bring, while among those in employment, hundreds of thousands are working only part-time, many clinging on to their jobs by the skin of their teeth.

The capitalist system, which is responsible for this continual existence, it is compelled to degrade the working class as long as it still further to serve the universal economic chaos, to which imperialist capitalism has brought the world, threatens profits, and the bourgeoisie wishes to recoup itself at the expense of the wages of the workers. Thus, the present crisis is being utilised by the capitalist class in a ruthless and ferocious attack on the standard of living of the proletariat.

To maintain the profits of capitalism, the workers must starve.

Today, after so many years of struggle, the British proletariat finds itself in constant dread of losing that little which is left to it in the form of wages by the employing class.

The British trade unionists, amongst the largest in the world, are the source and foundation of all the wealth and prosperity that the country possesses today. They are the prey of the capitalist exploiters and an object of intimidation by a capitalist class gainfully employed. Hence the British proletariat suffers itself to be thus cowed and beaten and submission without putting up a united and determined fight to a decry?

This is the foremost question which the workers must raise before the Trade Union Congress and give a satisfactory answer. We on our part, on this occasion, organize the trade unionists of Great Britain are organized in trade unions but not organized as a class. They possess no class organization capable of leading the whole of the working class to victory. The trade unions form federations and alliances, or belong to the Trade Union Congress of Labour Parties as a multitude of this multitude, and the proletarians, the proletariat is not organized as a class. The bourgeoisie looks to the Federation of British Industrial for aid and action. When in need, it has Parliament to fall back upon and a National Government to dispose. The bourgeoisie is indeed organized as a class, but there are worn out and torn asunder into a multitude of petty unions, federations, alliances with high-sounding and imposing names, but none of them representing the working class as a whole and with neither authority nor power to act. To the workers accustomed to talk in terms of the Labour Movement, it will sound paradoxical that there is no Labour Movement in Great Britain. There are movements of labour, -- of miners, of railwaymen and dockers, and so on, but there is no concerted movement of the whole of the working groups of the British proletariat have in the past engaged in some splendid skirmishes. They have scored notable victories on isolated fronts, but never have they yet matched the whole army of Labour in the general battle with the bourgeoisie. Labour as a whole has been as yet only a united front against Capital. That's why it has been beaten and crushed time and gain, so badly. It is high time for Labour to recognize the painful truth that it is divided against itself. It has neither authority nor power to act. To the workers accustomed to talk in terms of the Labour Movement, it will sound paradoxical that there is no Labour Movement in Great Britain.

England: To the Workers of Great Britain.

The capitalist class and the Government know well that so long as they can hold the working class in a state of disorganization and chaos, their rule is unassailable and unshakeable. As to the revolt of separate groups of labour, as in the case of the miners, there is nothing much for the bourgeoisie to fear. These revolts were mere comparative ease, and what is more, crushed by the aid of the machine. Every now and then, while the struggle goes on, supply the enemy with the sinews of war to be used against their fellow workers. For, and let us not deceive ourselves on that score, when a million miners are engaged in a deadly grip with the employers, and the rest of the workers stand at their benches, drive express trains, and cars, they help the enemy to beat the miners. There is no getting away from this truth by hiding behind the beautiful phrases of class solidarity and "an injury to one is an injury to all." Class solidarity, to be effective, must find its expression through class organisation and as long as labour remains disunited, it will be beaten.

The working class and the Government know well that so long as they can hold the working class in a state of disorganization and chaos, their rule is unassailable and unshakeable. As to the revolt of separate groups of labour, as in the case of the miners, there is nothing much for the bourgeoisie to fear. These revolts were mere comparative ease, and what is more, crushed by the aid of the machine. Every now and then, while the struggle goes on, supply the enemy with the sinews of war to be used against their fellow workers. For, and let us not deceive ourselves on that score, when a million miners are engaged in a deadly grip with the employers, and the rest of the workers stand at their benches, drive express trains, and cars, they help the enemy to beat the miners. There is no getting away from this truth by hiding behind the beautiful phrases of class solidarity and "an injury to one is an injury to all." Throughput as it is, we see always in this place, talk of class solidarity, yet the railwaymen and the miners were beaten. So was it in 1920. And on top of it all comes the great debacle at the beginning of the present year, when even J. H. Thomas, hardly delivered a speech or made a statement without repeatedly using the phrase "class solidarity. What is the result? Black Friday! "Class solidarity" must cease to be a phrase used only in newspapers, books and on the platform. It must cease to be a cloak donned on special occasions and for spectacles. The light of day is at hand. It's time for us to permit it to be used as an instrument whereby traitors may pull the wool over the eyes of Labour. Class solidarity must become a living reality, and can be achieved only by the creation of a class organization, beginning with the United Labour Committees and culminating in the General Staff of Labour.

The Trade Union Congress.

The Trade Union Congress, loose and involuntary as it has been till now, is not the organization capable of leading the working class to victory over the bourgeoisie, or even to defend the workers from capitalist aggression. The annual meetings of the Trade Union Congress only afford an
additional platform to a few labour leaders to give vent to their eloquence before a labour audience. Its practical work results in a multitude of resolutions and recommendations and that is all.

The leaders of the Trade Union Congress readily turned into diplomats of the working class and concluded peace with the bourgeoisie and the Government by voluntarily assigning to them the rights of labour on empty promises for the future, but did nothing to compel the Government and the bourgeoisie to fulfill them. When the industries and the soldiers were disbanded, and hundreds of thousands of men and women were thrown out of work to become a public charge; when every promise made to the workers during the war was broken soon after, what did the Trade Union Congress do to show to the capitalists and the Government that Labour cannot and will not be bullied with? It did not even attempt to maintain the dignity of Labour being gradually degraded and dragged into the mire. Was the Trade Union Congress of any assistance to the workers in 1919? Did it bring active pressure of the whole working class to bear upon the Government to yield to the railwaymen? No, it did not. Did the Trade Union Congress help the miners to secure nationalisation at a time when the position of Labour was most favourable? No, it did not. Its threat of direct action remained on paper, notwithstanding the fact that over four million workers voted for direct action. Was the Trade Union Congress of any practical use in the Labour disputes of 1920? No, it was not. When the bravest of British Labour, the miners, were so hard pressed by the combined strength of the bourgeoisie, Parliament and the Government, and sorely in need of the combined strength of the working class, where was the Trade Union Congress then? As usual, it was hibernating, only to awaken in Cardiff on September 5th to talk for a few days and again to fall into its winter's sleep until the next Congress.

The Triple Alliance.

The Triple Alliance has come in for a great deal of criticism especially since its failure to act on behalf of the miners. Far be it from us to hasten to defend the Triple Alliance. It may be that the Triple Alliance has not got what it deserved, the contempt of the masses. The secret negotiations which its leaders carried on with the enemy behind the backs of the workers; their constant wire-pulling, their endeavors to hold the ring while the Government made common cause with the employers; their fears to bring into action large masses of workers, all their deeds, or rather misdemeanors, will be inscribed upon the blackest page of the history of the class struggle. But criticism and indignation alone are not enough to build up the labour movement. The bitter lessons which the treacherous leaders have taught you must not be wasted for nothing, such lessons must not be consumed in the momentary flames of your indignation on the morrow of your betrayal, and the same bitter experiences gone through again.

What did that Triple Alliance teach us on the 15th of April? Not that there is no class solidarity of British labour, but that a combination of leaders only, especially leaders of the type of Thomas, is not a class organization and efficient, to take the field at short notice and thus beat back the enemy decisively and irreversibly. When the Transport Workers and the Railwaymen failed to act side by side with the Miners, was it due to the lack of a class solidarity on the part, or because they lacked the feeling and conviction that Vansittart had shown to all? No, fellow workers, it was due to the fact that the three bodies of labour were never properly united. The Miners, the Transport Workers, the Railwaymen had not created a common organization. The labour movement does not mean a combination of J. H. Thomas, Gosling, Hodges, etc. etc. The three great labour bodies had never had common conferences called directly from the rank and file; had never discussed in common the problems affecting them all. They were never organized all along the line for concerted and efficient action. If the Miners, the Railwaymen and Transport Workers had been united, if the leaders of the miners, the railwaymen, the transport workers, the whole labour movement had had unity and authority greater than themselves, that they would have to part with some of their prerogatives, of which they are so jealous. They hang on to their petty authority with all their might and main, even though the interest of the working class as a whole demands that they should give over to one another, to a higher authority, to the sovereign will of the working class.

The leaders of the petty unions too will be against the close union of the working class, against the General Staff of Labour, for this would mean surrendering an authority greater than themselves, that they would have to part with some of their prerogatives, of which they are so jealous. They hang on to their petty authority with all their might and main, even though the interest of the working class as a whole demands that they should give over to one another, to a higher authority, to the sovereign will of the working class.

Both the petty leaders and the leaders of national fame, those who represent labour in the bourgeoisie newspapers in the Trade Union Congress and in Parliament, those who are hailed as the leaders of the future Labour Government will, with a few exceptions oppose a centralised and disciplined organisation of the whole of the working class, because such
au organisation would destroy their power of aiding the bourgeoisie and the Government to play off one group of workers against the other; it would eliminate that chaos in the Labour movement which heretofore afforded to them the very essence of their organisation. It would lxave the working class disunited, disorganised and decentralised.

To insure ourselves against the tricks and machinations of the leaders who will make every attempt to reduce the whole question of the unification of the Labour Movement to a mere change in the names of the old/organisation (for instance, instead of the Parliamentary Committee and a General Council), leaving everything else as it existed heretofore, the workers must take the works of organisation into their own hands and see to it that first of all the shops and the works are united, along the lines of industry. The Workers' Committee is the foundation of working class unity. The Workers' Committee and the Trade Unions must form the Local Trades and Labour Councils with authority to destroy the radical* executive and create a Labour Party in the locality. Finally, the Trades and Labour Congress must be representatives of the whole of the working class and responsible only to the working class. Unity in the factory, in the pit, and so on, unity on the point of production, unity in the local, unity in the working class unity in the whole country. It unites all the workers at the point of production irrespective of grade, craft, color or sex.

The creation of directing staffs in the English Labour movement is only one part of the problem. What will the Executive Organs, invested with authority, do? Will they like the general staffs of the Trade Union movement in other countries look for salvation in collaboration with the bourgeoisie or will they carry on a revolutionary struggle against it? Will the new staff spend the revolutionary energy of the working class in bartering, or will it, clearly understanding the contradictions which tear apart the existing capitalist society, struggle with all its organised power for the overthrow of capitalism and the establishment of socialism in their own rank and file? Here are questions that are of utmost interest to every proletarian, to every worker of Great Britain. You have witnessed the great struggles and social conflicts of the last years. The workers were being vanquished not only because they were poorly organised but also because they were not organised. The bourgeoisie had triumphed over you not only because it is better organized than you, but also because it understands its class interests better than the working class. It never pursues mere immediate goals.

It ALWAYS regards its problems as class problems and all its activities permeate the spirit of its class. Being numerically weaker than the working class it succeeds by the strength of its organisation and class consciousness.

It the General Staff of the English Labour movement will be permeated with the spirit of hatred against the dominating class, if each little conflict, each skirmish, will serve for the education of the masses, for the single aim of the overthrow of the bourgeoisie domination your staff will be worthy of the confidence of the English Labour movement, and will be worthy of its great mission. The victory in the social struggle belongs to the class which is not only better organized, but which is moreover devoted to the class which can marshall all the might of its organisation and all its experience for the accomplishment of its class task.

Fellow workers, Forward to unity, to class solidarity and discipline, to the creation of a single revolutionary and anti-capitalist front!

Forward to victory over the bourgeoisie and the whole capitalist system!

The Small Bureau of the Executive of the Communist International:

The President: S. Zinovjev, Bucharin, Radek, Russia, Sevastavine, France. Heckert, Germany. Genami, Italy. Bela Kun, Hungary.

The Executive Bureau of the Red International of Labour Unions:


Germany:

The Congress of the German Metal Workers Union.

(The greatest Trade-Union in the World.)

By J. Walcher (Berlin).

The 15th general congress of the German Metal Workers Union was held in Jena from the 12th to 18th of September. 780 delegates, representing 1.6 million members participated in the congress. This gigantic number alone shows the outstanding importance of this greatest Trade-Union in the world, a fact which was proudly and repeatedly emphasized by the delegates at Jena.

The regular general conferences of the G. M. W. U. are held every two years. At the conference two years ago, which was held in Stuttgart, the opposition had a decided majority, though lack of unity, insight and consistency rendered it incapable of attaining victory. A new executive was then elected but apart from that, everything remained as before. Taking all in all, the new executive radical* treatment of the problems of the day after the manner of the old executive. This resulted in an ever growing discontent. The new executive, in order to protect itself against the attacks of the opposition, sought for and obtained, under the leadership of Dissmann, a rapprochement with the Majority Socialists. Dissmann was one of the greatest shooters for Amsterdam in the contest for the latter or Moscow. He was one of the leading trade-unionists in the battle against the Communists. The Majority Socialists thought that they had reason to be satisfied with the activities of the new executive, remained coolly aloof, and not going in much for Amsterdam, Stuttgart. The Communists were unitely in the opposition.

The basis of the Executive which was to a large extent comprised of Independents had little by little become very small. The Majority Socialists were industriously labouring at strengthening and widening their influence, a policy which had also been adopted by the Communists. The elections to the District Administration of Berlin, held in December 1920, showed that the Communists had lost their ground. The Communists receiving 30,000 of a total of 61,000 votes. The effects of the March action which in many places resulted in the dismissal of Communists from the shops, have for a time weakened our influence in the G. M. W. U. Our influence was still strong enough, however, to fill the Executive, located in Stuttgart, with misgivings.

For time the foremost care of the Executive was the question how they could manage to secure the appointment to the conference of delegates, who would be favourable for them. Three groups were endeavouring to win for themselves the favour of the electors. The election regulations, decided upon by the executive in the power should have taken into account for this fact, and allowed every group to nominate its own candidates. At this juncture however, the shrewdness of the Executive became apparent. They decided that only a majority and a minority list would be permitted. The result of this was that the Independents, being the middle group, reaped the benefit sometimes of the left and sometimes of the right minority. These election tricks have been especially harmful to the Communists. This can be exemplified by the fact that we polled 65,733 votes against the 124,530 votes of the Majority Socialists and Independents in the elections for delegates in the six districts of Hagen, Essen, (Rhineland-Westphalia), Hamburg, Koenigsberg, Berlin and Stuttgart. The 124,530 votes of the united followers of Amsterdam elected 293 delegates while the Communists with their 65,733 votes had to be satisfied with 48 delegates, or, in other words, 23 votes was necessary for one Amsterdam delegate while 1369 votes were needed for a Communist delegate.

The 114 Communist delegates who were elected in spite of these manoeuvres and who formed a Communists fraction in Jena did not in the least express the extent of the confidence enjoyed by the Communists among the membership of the G. M. W. U. The faction of the Majority Socialists which numbered 420 members had a decided majority.

The Communists fraction, though it was numerically not in position to effectively influence the decisions of the session, has had a great deal of power and has had a greater influence upon the progress of the deliberations than most of the participants supposed. In a speech lasting four hours, Dissing reported on the activities of the Executive. The speaker carefully avoided a discussion on the problems of the class struggle and instead attacked the Communists whenever deficiencies or the insufficiency of trade-
unionist tactics became too apparent. A resolution moved by the Communists, which provided that a discussion of the economic situation and the resulting trade-unionist tactics be put on the agenda was rejected by the majority. In the discussion following Dissmann's speech, the Communists then endeavoured to make clear the metal workers' and other working class character of unionist tactics, because of the complete change of the economic basis resulting from the War and its effects. The Executive as well as the Majority Socialists and Independent fractions stubbornly avoided any discussion on this point. An unprejudiced spectator must agree that this arrangement had a very benevolent influence upon the deliberations of the congress. A number of small matters which otherwise would have occupied the time of the congress were thus settled within the fraction. These debates within the fraction were much toward bringing about a certain clearness and understanding, which greatly facilitated a business-like exchange of opinion. Another advantage of the forming of fractions is that the alternation of speakers tended to keep the interest in the proceedings awake till the end. In his concluding speech, the chairman justly maintained that a congress attended by nearly 1000 delegates had heretofore only very seldom practised such a discipline and endurance. Though the daily sessions at times lasted ten hours and more, the debates remained in their places and attentively listened to the proceedings. After this first great test it can safely be said that the forming of fractions is certain to improve the Trade-Union Movement as a whole.

The progress of the congress justifies the Communists fraction in expecting much in the future; it did not entertain any illusion whatsoever, which cannot be said of the Independent opposition of two years ago. The fraction was characterised by an imposing unity; its knowledge of its aim and is certain to reach it. The German proletariat, whose situation is steadily growing worse is approaching heavy struggles. Prices of foodstuffs are increasing on one hand, while on the other the value of the money is decreasing rapidly. The Government is making preparations for a gigantic pillaging expedition in the form of taxes. The employers once more believe themselves to be masters of the situation.

A continued gigantic concentration of capital is taking place. The gigantic formations of capitalism already in existence and still growing up cannot under the present circumstances be combatted with the old tactics of the Trade-Union. The metal workers will be the first to feel this and will clearly comprehend the necessity of the Communist point of view and then rally round the Communist flags.

We will again see each other at the next congress — if we do not meet before that.

Three Weeks of the Way of the German Majority Socialists.

By A. Thalheimer.

The news-papers of the German bourgeoisie are joyfully welcoming the decision of the annual party convention of the Social Democratic Party of Germany, recently held at Görlitz, which opens the way for a coalition from Sinnes to Stesselmann.

The bourgeoisie has indeed won a great battle within three weeks. The revolts at Griesbach which killed Erzberger formed the prelude, Görlitz constitutes the end — for the time being.

A sense of dismay and shame is gathering headway among the workers not excepting the followers of the Social Democratic Party, who feel they have been tricked.

That feeling can be easily understood. It is fully justified. Immeasurable millions were cast away and sank themselves in the arms of Sinnes and Stesselmann, the representatives of capitalism. To begin with a "united proletarian phalanx" and to end with co-operation with the party of the large industrial concerns and the bank capital — that is indeed a record which is not equalled every day.

The workers must now clearly comprehend why matters have progressed that far and where they stand at the present moment.

Both these questions can readily be answered if the mist of phrases is swept aside with which the petty and great bourgeois parties have shrewdly clouded the issue, and if what has occurred during the three weeks is called by its proper name, a clash between the great classes sheltering behind the various party names.
THE COMMUNIST YOUTH

The Compulsory Labour Service Bill.

A dream of waning capitalism.

Profit is the only aim and object of the capitalist class. Everything it undertakes, it does so with this object in view. Whether it builds universities or endows hospitals, or acquires colonies — the needle of its compass always points to Profits.

If the attainment of its aim is made difficult or impeded by events, by local, national or international circumstances, or even if it is provided of course it is too weak to do away with the obstacles by its peculiar methods — war, etc., etc. — infallibly will have recourse to trickery. In such an emergency, the state apparatus dominated by the capitalist class is made its willing tool. What the single capitalist is incapable of before the force of circumstances, is to be attained by legislative measures.

An instance of this kind is furnished by the compulsory labour service bill, the spectre of which is once more haunting Germany and which now is in force in Bulgaria. This bill is intended to help the capitalist class regain within its own country part of the gigantic profits lost by the separation from the world’s markets.

The idea of compulsory labour service is not new. It has a precedent actually in practice in the auxiliary, service bill passed in Germany during the First World War, with the glorious assistance of the Trade Unions and the Social-Democratic-German parliament, surrounded by enemies and possessed of the stubbornness which is a characteristic of every capitalist class, wishing in any case to make sure of its profits by dominating the world’s markets, protected by the support of its enemies, but also eager to increase profits in its own country before the time allowed by circumstances to conceive the audacious plan for a complete exploitation of the working class. Freedom of movement for the proletarian class was abolished. Protective measures for the youth were temporarily in the balance. The proletarian children, the proletarian sick, the proletarian workers, the proletarian men were all cut into important war industries or, in other words, in factories yielding great profits to their owners. A similar policy was adopted in Bulgaria.

The timing of interest, then, to observe how German capitalism, after its dreams of world dominion have so cruelly been shattered and the danger of revolution threatening at the end of the War has somewhat receded, is again advocating the same old measure, even though in a somewhat altered form. So far, the state has not taken official notice of the plan. The spectre is represented by the wishes of the capitalists, thewill of the workers, of the workers. The mere, the German capitalists become managers of the international bourgeoisie, the sooner they will come to the conclusion that a bill of that description would be quite useful for reaping new profits. For what would it accomplish? If the workers were given a free choice, they would not hesitate to induce their instrument, the state, to provide the necessary legislative measures.

This time it is the proletarian youth who are to be the prey of the capitalist exploiters. Nothing less than a compulsory economic service, after the pattern of the compulsory military service of by-gone times, is being planned. In this way it is intended to get hold of willing slaves who can easily be exploited.

Hundreds of thousands of young workers are out of work. Hundreds of thousands are working short time. Amongst these are thousands who, as a result of the misery imposed upon them, are drifting towards ruination. Society is not capable of furnishing work and bread, nevertheless it wants to introduce a law providing for compulsory labour.

What will this service be like to? The answer is quite simple. Wages, as is well known, are too 'high'—Owners let their factories lie idle, because, in their opinion, they would no longer yield sufficient profits. This state of affairs cannot of course continue indefinitely, because the owners want to earn money, and as much as possible. The ultimate result would be well if one could get an army of workers, who would accept this service, and with the help of whom one could quite easily smash the 'exorbitant' demands of the workers.

The working class must remember this. What is only a wish to-morrow may be a necessity to-morrow, unless the workers are on guard and nip these blossoms of capitalism in the bud. What is only national to-day, may be international to-morrow.

The proletarian youth must wait and watch, because the blow intended for the working class as a whole will be aimed at the young workers who, therefore, must get ready for it in time. If the entire working youth is united, it can ward off an attack.
with comparative ease. Hence, the young workers must rally to the flag of the capitalists to divide the young workers from the adult workers by compelling the former to join an army of scabs, must be replied to by the young workers forming a united phalanx and, in addition to that, by the forming of an united phalanx with all adult revolutionary workers. There is only one order of young workers earnestly and consciously striving for the phalanx of all young workers. This federation unites the young workers and enlists them in the adult workers' army which is waging war against capitalism. This federation is the Young Communist International. Join the Young Communist International! It will help to defend this army of young proletarians kept in check from all young proletarians. This army is front of young and adults against capitalism, a front which is to be led by the Communist International.

Do that, and the intention of the capitalists to subject you to unchecked exploitation and to use you as blacklegs against your fathers and mothers will come to nought! Otto Unger.

THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL

Czecho-Slovakia:
The Workers of Czecho-Slovakia for the Red Trade-Union International.

D. Up until now the strength of the Communist Party of Czecho-Slovakia has not been in proportion with its influence in the Trade-Union movement. A large portion of the politically organised workers were organised in the Communist Party, the reactionary Trade-Union bureaucracy held a nearly absolute sway over the Trade-Unions. The influence of the Communists was insignificant, a fact which became apparent on the occasion of the Trade-Union Congress at Moscow where the proletariat of C.-S. was only imperfectly represented.

After the Congress the process of capturing the Trade-Unions by the Communists seems to make rapid progress. On the 18th of September a congress of the Moravian Trade-Unions was held at Brunt. Moravia is at present the bulwark of Communism in C.-S., a fact which was confirmed by that conference. A resolution moved by the secretary of the Trade-Union headquarters in Prague, was only supported by eight out of 408 delegates present. A resolution demanding that the Trade-Unions immediately leave the Amsterdam International and affiliate with the R. T. U. I. was accepted unanimously. It was also decided to discontinue the system of national division into German and Czecho sections and to reorganise along uniform and international lines. At the conclusion of the conference a national Trades-Union Commission of exclusively Communist members was elected.

OUR PROBLEMS

On the Character of our Press.

In the resolutions on organisational questions adopted by the Third World Congress of the Communist International, a special chapter is devoted to the questions of our Communist papers. In the lines following, the Executive Committee of the C. I. undertakes to supplement the above-mentioned resolution.

The press plays a very large part in our agitation, especially in the countries where our Party owns one or more daily papers. Our organs are, however, still far from satisfactory in spite of that fact. Did we create in Europe or in America a new type of Communist paper? Such a question must be answered in the negative. The majority of our papers are reduced to an appearance and management closely resembling the old Social-Democrat papers, the only difference being that we labour to represent a different "point of view". This is very little indeed. We must create a new type of Communist organ to which the main workers contribute, and which grows with the development of the workers' movement.

Let us carefully examine our, foremost daily: L'Humanité, L'International, Ordine Nuovo, Politiken, Rabotničestvi, Westen, and even the Rote Fahne — do they contain many letters written by workers? Are they truly people's papers in the best sense of the word? does one feel the best of the pulse of the genuine worker's life in any of them?

The resolution on organisational questions adopted by the Third Congress of the C. I. points to the "paper of our Russian comrades" the Pravda as a presenting the true ideal of a genuine proletarian newspaper as it was edited in the years 1917 and during the time between the revolutions in February and October 1917.

What was it that made the paper so powerful in those periods. The main and foremost contributing factor was the fact that not less than half of its columns were open to letters of both sexes and not only to workers but to all young proletarians. It was a special type of a Communist newspaper. The "Pravda" expressed functions which no other Russian newspaper succeeded in doing! At least half of the paper differed even in its outward appearance fundamentally from the Social-Democratic and bourgeois press. This was without doubt in the interests of both sexes, soldiers, sailors, cooks, cabbies, hairdressers etc, etc.

What did these letters of both the skilled and unskilled labourers deal with? They described the manner of life in the respective factory, shop and barrack, or in the respective proletarian quarter. All sufferings and the abuse which fall to the lot of the proletariat were described in simple words.

The letters furthermore exposed the machinations of factory, shop and state administrations. Taken in all, they conveyed an idea of the hardships, and poverty the masses of workers had to endure; they reflected that suppressed and slowly growing protest which afterwards"found voice in the great Revolution. The paper became a letter box for workers who were actively taking part in it. Thus, it grew to be a bridge between every letter written by every proletarian family, in every public house frequented by workers etc, etc. If a letter from some factory or barrack appeared in it, the paper was literally fought for in that factory or barrack. Thus the paper was an instrument for reading these letters. When a letter from some factory appeared, the whole paper was received as an event of first rate importance for the entire factory. If the letter contained an exposure it was read by party members and non-partisans. Thus, the paper became a danger signal for all who misuse the workers.

It is said, however, that in the West it would be difficult or nearly impossible to accept such letters, some comrades maintaining that such grievances are being addressed to the Trade-Unions. So, for instance, the German worker is in the habit of addressing all complaints to his representative in his respective Trade-Union. It is certain that the workers in the West are in the habit of doing a number of things; at best, many things would prove difficult. We must remodel all this, however, and repeat that we want to create a new type of a proletarian newspaper. A Communist daily should under no circumstances be reduced to "high politics" so-called; it must, on the contrary, devote three quarters of its columns to the doings of the workers, to these daily occurrences especially of which the workers life is composed. This should be done just because the workers are in the habit of addressing the previously mentioned grievances to the old Trade-Unions, which, as is well known, are to a large extent the defence of the interests of the employers and their families in all phases of life. In order to enable us to compete successfully with bourgeois and other papers we must publish everything concerning us, everything the bourgeois press cannot publish, such as letters written by workers of both sexes in the factories, barracks, and trades.

A second argument very often heard is that the average worker in the West is not used to writing, and that affairs of that description are referred to the representatives. This argument can easily be refuted. The workers of the West are on a much higher level of culture than their Russian brothers were a few years ago.

If at that time it proved possible in Russia to win the working masses for contributors to their paper, the attempt will succeed even better in other countries. All that is necessary is that the Parties settle down to this task and that they clearly comprehend the great importance of it.
It will be far easier at first; the letters will be written clumsily and incoherently. The need will arise for a special department in every paper, as at that period it did in the "Pravda". The task of this department will be to correct the errors of the workers. It will in the beginning be necessary to encourage and assist in the work of rewriting the reports. Many of the letters sent in by the workers will have to be rewritten — that does not matter however, because the work is worth the labour expended on it.

Our present papers are much too dry and remind one too much of the newspapers of the old type. Much of their content is in illiterate colloquial polish, directed to the average worker, farm labourer, cook and soldier. Their ideas are intertwined with "learned" words; many of their articles are too long and too dry. We strive too hard to imitate the "decent" papers. All this must be altered.

In order to improve matters in a systematic manner, it is necessary that a number of contributors be won in every great enterprise, shop, coal pit and railroad line. These contributors must be gathered and patiently and systematically taught how they should write in their papers; they must periodically be made to study the character of the paper and must attentively be listened to, if they have some practical proposals to make.

We must create a new Communist reporter. We must be less interested in the labels of Parliament and instead pay attention to the factories, shops, workers' flats and schools, etc. Reports of the babbling in Parliament should be neglected in favour of those on workers' meetings, the needs of the workers, the increase of the price of foodstuff etc., etc.

The "Pravda" published many poems written by workers. These poems, which did not stand out from the view-point of licensed critics, but they reflected the true sentiment of the working masses better than many a lengthy article. The average worker is very fond of a good expression and of well-deserved biting ridicule of the enemy. A well drawn cartoon squarely hitting the nail on the head is worth more than a dozen of dull "marxian" articles so-called. Our papers must carefully seek out the people capable of serving the revolution with the pencil; they must from time to time publish cartoons which tend to enliven the issue and in a simple manner explain everything that should be expected.

From time to time series must be published treating on workers, because the masses can best read and are most addicted to a little bit of imagistic literature. Very often we must, instead of the "leader", print some important letter from a worker or workers' group, or publish the picture of some arrested workers, or of a prosecution by bourgeois judges, who has made a valiant stand during his trial, etc.

As few as possible digressions, as much as possible facts — that is what we need at the present time.

Every occurrence in factory or shop must find an echo in the columns of our papers. Every list of nominations of the enemy should be examined to the very last detail. The papers must be in a systematic manner light on all phases of the struggle in the factories. Our struggle with political enemies is beginning with the bourgeoisie down to the "independent" socialists must become more vivid and spirited and less automatic than it has been heretofore. To make a long story short: we must make an effort to imitate the usage prevailing "in the best of families" but strive to give apart from the first class information which is necessary, material making it possible for party members and non-partisans to fully understand and be fond of the central organs of our Parties.

The slurring of the character of our papers in the manner outlined will not only be a change in their financial basis and make of them a connecting link between the masses and the masquerade. A newspaper should create a paper of such a spirit, we shall succeed in attaining what the "Pravda" attained during the time of Tzarism: that the workers, by taking up small collections, obtain the funds necessary for the publication of our papers. If we alter the character of our papers the workers' enthusiasm will increase and obtaining means for their papers. Sympathisers with the various Communist papers will spring up like mushrooms. The collections made by these friends should be reported from time to time in the paper in order to give emphasis on the cause of agitation. Again, if a group of our leaders have been arrested, the factories in this and the neighbouring factories should commence making collections on behalf of the families of the arrested. The papers should duly report all this. A report should appear in the paper in connection with the meeting or demonstration; not in the old manner, however, but in vivid pictorial pictures. Such short notes can, if possible, be written by participants, giving in simple words an account of each and every occurrence. Under such a management every issue, every single line will tend to strengthen and encourage the hatred of capitalism.

It need hardly be mentioned that a real international service will play the most important part in Communist daily, if for no other reason than that they are international. In accordance with the decision of the III Congress the E.C.C. of the C.I. is organising a new periodical which, beginning with the third week in September, will be published in four languages at Berlin as a Communist bulletin. It is intended to become a true platform of the workers and the alarm bell of the proletariat, such a paper will be a powerful weapon in the struggles of the Communist Parties.

Comrades, let us do all your energies for the creation of the new type of such a truly proletarian newspaper.

With Communist Greetings
The E.C.C. of C.I.
(signed) Zinoviev, President.

P.S. We urgently request all editors of our organs to immediately call a conference of their contributors and invite also to this conference the workers of the greater factories and shops. We request that the content of this circular be discussed in this conference and the Executive Commission informed of the result.

We also request that the subject be discussed at the important conferences of towns and districts.

In order to effect by an international exchange of opinion, practical and desirable alterations, we are willing to open the columns of our organ to the Communist International on the discussion necessary for this purpose.

FROM THE CAMP OF OUR ENEMIES

By Franz Kortischner.

The Second-and-a-Half International is the legitimate child of the Austrian Social Democracy, such as it has grown out of a consciously reformist tradition, disguised and interwoven with radical phrases. The fathers of the Austrian "socialist" state were versed in the art of posing as radicals before the masses and at the same time of negotiating in the traditional manner with the representatives of the other parties. The repulsive haggling transacted in the lobbies of Parliament, the appeal to aip with radical appeals to the revolutionary masses, whose energy in turn was used to intimidate the government into concluding political business transactions. This policy employed towards the monarchy with varying success was continued in the period of "democracy".

The Social Patriots and Imperialists complicated by the policy they had been advocating during the War, the annexationist Renner and the Social Nationalist Leutner stepped out of the limelight, in order to manage matters efficiently behind the scenes. Friedrich Adler, the spoiled son of the great organiser and politician, remained in the foreground, however, and explained to all and every one the intricacies of the modern politics of opposition; he no longer took seriously the slogans he had formerly struggled for and sheltered behind his name and revolutionary past. Adler's programme was the wish of the unorganised parties on one common platform, an imposing phrase intended to disguise the proposed fraternalisation of Centrists and Social Patriots. Under the apparent leadership of Adler and his crowd, the party is struggling to carry through the Renner's political programme which consists of a coalition with the bourgeoisie with the object of obtaining Austria's economic reconstruction with the support of the Allies, and of a flirtation with the idea of joining Germany. In other words it implies a surrender to the wishes of capitalism both at home and abroad. The Austrian party at home has indeed carried through the programme of the "Second-and-a-Half International. The Union of Centrists and Social Patriots at a preceding split is to be confirmed and strengthened. While the bourgeoisie adopts offensive measures, partly abolishing the eight hour day and chasing the Communist shop stewards out of the factories, while the police troops side openly with the monarchist conspirators who, having left the hiding places of illegal existence, are once more facing the workers on the open field — while all this takes place, the Social Democrats are
still advocating the policy of defensive at any price and of keeping intact the republican army and retaining the acquisitions of democracy. The last successes of the revolution can be retained and strengthened only by the workers taking action on their behalf.

Julius Deutch, the Austrian Noske without arms has in a truly classical manner confessed the betrayal of the Social Democrats to all the world. The Social Democrats have during the last days been forced to prove to the world that the necessary pre-requisites for a retention of the revolution rule; they have in a later period perfected and increased the unification, not in order to support the proletariat, but to suppress it and proclaim an empire of the bourgeoisie, the republic of the aristocrats in Germany.

While all the talk was going on about socialising the industries, the sorry remains of these plans were buried with the leasing of the Willersdorfer ammunitions factories to a newly founded private concern, the bourgeoisie prepared for a counter-attack. While the Social Democrats were holding the masses back by measles, the succession of the KAP, from the comrade formed an army of its own. The policy of reformism has proved a complete failure.

Both camps are under arms and facing each other. Economic facts have proved to be a stronger argument than the democratic illusions of the Social-Democratic leaders. The policy of the Communist International, the policy of capitalist economic reconstruction is being made palatable to the masses by seasoning it with the democratic common places of peace between the classes and social pacifism and with incoherent formulism on the Mohammedism of the class struggle. The unification of the Second International and Second-and-a-Half International under the leadership of the old Social Democratic demagogues and under the banner of Centrist ideology is taking place. The internal collapse of the Second International is certain to engulf and destroy under its ruins the deserters from Social Imperialism.

The Communist Labour Party of Germany Against the Communist International.

In consequence of the debates in the second and third world's congress of the Communist International the interest in the Communist Labour Party of Germany is greater in foreign countries than corresponds to the importance of that party. In 1919 the KAP, separated from the KPD (Sparkus Union), because it wanted to make a principle of anti-parliamentarism and rejected the principle of work in the trade-unions. These anarchist and syndicalist tendencies of the KAP, have increased in consequence of its development. In all of its political discussions the KAP was subject to the greatest vacillations. It overcame the national bolshevism of Lautenberg and Wolflheim pretty quickly; both these KAP-leaders in Hamburg, were expelled from the KAP. The absolute denial of any political action was only temporary, appreciated by the Centrists of the KAP. The leaders of this revolutionary movement, Pfeiffer and Ruhe, were likewise expelled from the KAP. With the exception of its adherence to anti-parliamentarism and the rejection of cooperation in trade-unions the KAP, has not been able to develop a clear political programme. In practice it vacillated between open insurrection and pure passivity. Their form of organisation was decided upon with the object of gathering a small member of "pure" communists.

Sometimes the KAP wished to get as many workers as possible to the union's the KAP, has never been able to develop a clear political programme. In only one case acknowledged the dictatorship of the people. Their conduct towards Russia was contradictory and vacillating. The primary inclination to adopt the forms of organisation in Russia (soviets system) to meet the situation in capitalist Germany and to imitate the revolted imperialism of the Russian anarchists without regard to the standard of the revolutionary development in Germany has given way to a conscious rejection of the "Russian Eastern European Methods" for the revolution of the world. Thus we find instead of the blind enthusiastic of former times Noske's model Russian anti-parliamentarist standpoint. This standpoint was most obviously marked in the refusal to assist in the action for relief of famine in Russia on the grounds that Russia was a middle-class, counter-revolutionary state.

At the last congress (Parteitag) of the KAP, in the middle of September in Berlin, the expulsion of the KAP on the Communist International was decided upon. The KAP, belonged to the C.I. as much a sympathising member. The request of the third world's congress of the C.I. that the KAP should submit to all resolutions of the International and should amalgamate with the KPD, was unanimously rejected in this meeting. It may be noted however that the organisation of the KAP, in Dresden (East-Saxony), which next to the Berlin organisation is the most important in Germany, had already previously severed their connection with the KAP, and could therefore not take part in the congress. The congress of the KAP, resolved further to take preliminary measures for the foundation of a new worker's international. The KAP, hopes to find adherents in the ranks of the syndicalists abroad and to take up the war against the C.I. on an international scale.

The KAP, is extraordinarily weak in numbers. After its disunion from the Spartacus Union it comprised about 40—50 000 members the greater part of whom has gradually joined the KPD. Last winter the number of members was still estimated at 8 000 members. To-day they do not count more than 2—3000 members, who really belong to the KAP organisation. In all other towns the KAP possesses only a few members in most cases only confidential agents, who, however, carry on a very active agitation.

APPEALS

To the Workers of the United States, Issued by the Executive Committee of the C.I.

The famine raging through the Volga districts of Russia has called forth the sympathies of all honest workers throughout the world. The Communist International has called upon the workers of the world irrespective of creed and party to organise relief for the famine-stricken districts in Soviet Russia. To this end the workers of Europe are forming central committees, composed of representatives of all parties, trade-unions, workers' cooperatives etc. Today the Communist International is especially appealing to you, workers of America. Organise a non-partisan relief committee for the hungry in Russia. Let the American workers render independent assistance to their starving Russian fellow workers and give up one day's wages on behalf of the hungry in Russia. Thus Russia can be helped. All gifts in money or in kind must be sent by the workers' representatives to the Soviet Government directly. Organise everywhere and immediately committees for the relief of the hungry in Russia! Do not log behind the workers of Europe!

The Executive Committee of the Communist International.

We request all sympathisers to give publicity to this appeal.

To all Communist Parties.

A supplement to our appeal and our instruction on the famine problem.

The Little Bureau of the Communist International has adopted the following resolution:

"A number of bourgeois governments, which at the beginning were at least in words willing to help Soviet Russia, are now commencing to express intentions of intervention. In connection with this are the vacillations of the various groups and parties of the Second and Second-and-a-Half International. The Executive insists that we protest to these groups and parties to collaborate in the organisation of the relief action. One condition must always be made, however: The relief action must under no circumstances be carried out by any bourgeois government whatsoever but by a special inter-parliamentary committee, which is to be appointed by all proletarian parties."

(signed)

A. Zinoviev.

The members of the Little Bureau of the Executive: Souvarine, Gennari, Hébert, Bela Kun, Radek, Bukharin.

Having at our disposal an abundance of material and especially the textual articles this Berlin orgins more material than will be the case with the further issues.