Why the American Farmers Should Be Socialists

The American farmer is discontented with his condition, and well he may be. He is probably the noblest and most patriotic of all the inhabitants of the country, but he is also the most ignorant and the most oppressed. The farm laborer of the middle West is in an inferior position to the great mass of the working classes in the cities. He is a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the labor market; but he is also a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the market for his products. The relation of the farmer to the market is the same as the relation of the miner to the market for coal, and the relation of the manufacturer to the market for his productions is the same as the relation of the farmer to the market for his products. The farmer is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products. He is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products.

The American farmer is discontented with his condition, and well he may be. He is probably the noblest and most patriotic of all the inhabitants of the country, but he is also the most ignorant and the most oppressed. The farm laborer of the middle West is in a higher position to the great mass of the working classes in the cities. He is a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the labor market; but he is also a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the market for his products. The relation of the farmer to the market is the same as the relation of the miner to the market for coal, and the relation of the manufacturer to the market for his productions is the same as the relation of the farmer to the market for his products. The farmer is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products. He is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products.

The American farmer is discontented with his condition, and well he may be. He is probably the noblest and most patriotic of all the inhabitants of the country, but he is also the most ignorant and the most oppressed. The farm laborer of the middle West is in a lower position to the great mass of the working classes in the cities. He is a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the labor market; but he is also a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the market for his products. The relation of the farmer to the market is the same as the relation of the miner to the market for coal, and the relation of the manufacturer to the market for his productions is the same as the relation of the farmer to the market for his products. The farmer is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products. He is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products.

The American farmer is discontented with his condition, and well he may be. He is probably the noblest and most patriotic of all the inhabitants of the country, but he is also the most ignorant and the most oppressed. The farm laborer of the middle West is in a different position to the great mass of the working classes in the cities. He is a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the labor market; but he is also a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the market for his products. The relation of the farmer to the market is the same as the relation of the miner to the market for coal, and the relation of the manufacturer to the market for his productions is the same as the relation of the farmer to the market for his products. The farmer is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products. He is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products.

The American farmer is discontented with his condition, and well he may be. He is probably the noblest and most patriotic of all the inhabitants of the country, but he is also the most ignorant and the most oppressed. The farm laborer of the middle West is in a different position to the great mass of the working classes in the cities. He is a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the labor market; but he is also a free laborer, free from the restraints of any monopoly of the market for his products. The relation of the farmer to the market is the same as the relation of the miner to the market for coal, and the relation of the manufacturer to the market for his productions is the same as the relation of the farmer to the market for his products. The farmer is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products. He is the only class of laborers who have no monopoly of the market for their labor, and who have no monopoly of the market for their products.
The Beginning of the End

The fact that the secretary of the treasurer requested in the house of representatives to explain his connection with the sale of the Standard Oil Company, and that an embarrassing situation was created, is a very interesting and important phenomenon. The situation is quite significant in the modern social evolution of many who do not want to sell their souls.

On Monday, January 49th, without a formal resolution calling upon Secretary of the Treasury to furnish the history and full explanation of the disposal of the Standard Oil Company, the secretary has also a full account of the sale of the Standard Oil Company, as well as a copy of the contract for the sale, which contains the words read aloud.

Why this is true is almost inexplicable and his action is, as they say, "free and clear.

To consummate the business, judges in New York City and New York State, with the assistance of the city, will no doubt have the power to decide what the best course of action is for the furtherance of the business in the interests of the residents of this city and state.

It is a very interesting and important phenomenon that the secretary of the treasury has created a situation that is quite significant in the modern social evolution of many who do not want to sell their souls.

CITY OWNERSHIP

Princeton, III., has voted to purchase the electric light and power system with a profit of $50,000 or less.

Kensville, Iowa, has a citizen's ticket in the " platforms, " a public movement, but we are only serving the waterworks.

City ownership is an amazing wedge to the ownership of municipals. The same thing is true in Baltimore and other places. When the people are not in the city, the city owns it and the people are not served in the same way.

Manchester, one of the finest and biggest city-owned systems in the country, is now concentrating that private ownership is in the public interest and what that ownership means in terms of real estate and transportation, and what the city can do for public good.

The Manchester project has been talked about in the city of Manchester, New Hampshire, as a model of city ownership. It is a good ownership; it is a probably the best ownership of a business of this kind. It is not the only ownership in the city of Manchester, New Hampshire, but it is a good ownership.

Do We Want Socialism?

Why should the United States adopt socialism? The socialists suggest government ownership and operation of the railways. Their answer is: Because the people are taxed on the railways, and the official officials claim the railways are monopolies.

The Republicans say that the railways are monopolies, and the people pay three or four times more for the service than they would pay under private ownership.

In Belgium, Germany and several other countries, the government has taken over the railways. The people do not pay as much as they would if the railways were owned by private companies.

The exception of a few cities whose public utilities are owned by private corporations, is the case in most cities in the United States.

In England, Germany and several other countries, the government has taken over the railways. The people do not pay as much as they would if the railways were owned by private companies.

Do We Want Socialism?

If the socialists are right, then the people should pay more for the service they receive. If the Republicans are right, then the people should pay less for the service they receive.

There are two main reasons why the socialists are right. First, the people should pay more for the service they receive. Second, the people should not have to pay for the service they receive.

In a democracy, the people are the owners of the government. The government is responsible to the people. If the people are not satisfied with the service they receive, they have the right to demand a change in the government.

The socialists are right because they are right. The Republicans are wrong because they are wrong.
APPEAL ARMY

The results of the great appeal were announced on Tuesday. A final total of over $400,000 was reached. The appeal ended at 5 o'clock yesterday on a total of $403,146.48.

The appeal was the work of the National Committee on Conservation and the National Council of Women.

The committee was headed by Miss Jane Addams, the noted social worker, and Mrs. Mary E. Brown, the head of the National Council of Women.

The appeal was made on behalf of the American Red Cross, the Salvation Army, the YMCA, and the Salvation Army Women's Auxiliary.

The appeal was a success beyond expectation and the committee is to be congratulated on the work done.

The money raised will be used for the relief of suffering and for the promotion of social welfare.