Miners Declare for Socialism

The unanimous action of the coal mining industries in the United States in forming the miners' union, the United Mine Workers of America, is another striking step in the struggle for economic justice. The miners, who have long been the victims of剥削 and exploitation, are making a bold move to secure better pay, safer working conditions, and a fair share of the profits. This union is a significant development in the struggle for social change.
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The miners are fighting not only for better wages, but also for improved working conditions. The union is working to negotiate better contracts that provide for safer work environments, shorter hours, and more equitable benefits. The miners believe that they should be treated fairly and have a voice in the decisions that affect their lives.
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"TOO DARN HOT"

The miners are also fighting against the forces of oppression and exploitation. They are determined to break the power of the exploiting class and to create a society where all workers are treated with dignity and respect. The miners' union is a powerful force for social change, and its success will have far-reaching implications for the working class as a whole.
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Rescue of Rudowitz.

SECRETARY OF STATE EHLING ROOT has announced the decision of the American government that the Englishman, family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the United States government that the Englishman, family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the English government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the British government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of England could not be sustained.

The Secretary of State has also announced the decision of the American government that the family of the late John E. V. Rudowitz, had been refused the ground that the rights charged against the Englishman for desertion under the law of the United States could not be sustained.
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THE MEXICAN CASE.

The Mexican War is a case of war which America has never been able to forget. It was a war of self-defense, a war of justice, a war of humanity. It was a war of progress, a war of civilization. It was a war of freedom, a war of democracy. It was a war of achievement, a war of victory. It was a war of glory, a war of honor. It was a war of truth, a war of righteousness. It was a war of purpose, a war of destination.

The Mexican War was a war of the United States against Mexico, a war of the North against the South, a war of the rich against the poor, a war of the powerful against the weak. It was a war of aggression, a war of conquest, a war of domination. It was a war of plunder, a war of massacre, a war of destruction. It was a war of terror, a war of fear, a war of hatred. It was a war of violence, a war of cruelty, a war of savagery.

The Mexican War was a war which changed the history of the world. It was a war which created new nations, new peoples, new cultures. It was a war which opened new frontiers, new lands, new opportunities. It was a war which established new empires, new powers, new systems. It was a war which shaped the future, a war which determined the destiny.

The Mexican War was a war which was fought for many different reasons. It was fought for the right to live, to work, to think, to believe, to worship, to vote, to be free. It was fought for the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. It was fought for the right to be a human being, a citizen, a member of society.

The Mexican War was a war which was fought for many different purposes. It was fought for the glory of the United States, for the honor of the nation, for the benefit of the people. It was fought for the greatness of America, for the power of the people, for the prosperity of the nation.

The Mexican War was a war which was fought for many different goals. It was fought for a better government, a better society, a better world. It was fought for a more just society, a more equal society, a more free society. It was fought for a more just world, a more equal world, a more free world.

The Mexican War was a war which was fought for many different reasons. It was fought for the right to be human, to be a citizen, to be free. It was fought for the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. It was fought for the right to be a member of society, a member of the world.

The Mexican War was a war which was fought for many different purposes. It was fought for the greatness of America, for the power of the people, for the prosperity of the nation. It was fought for a better society, a better world. It was fought for a more just society, a more equal society, a more free society. It was fought for a more just world, a more equal world, a more free world.

The Mexican War was a war which was fought for many different goals. It was fought for the right to be human, to be a citizen, to be free. It was fought for the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. It was fought for the right to be a member of society, a member of the world.