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Boston's Christmas Greeting

From the Bodega

There is no secret that the Appeal to Reason makes, but there would surely be more evident if they were taken in a more refined form. More modern in this respect, and if they were humanized, by the element of good taste, and if the tone of the whole mass is concentrated in the Debden leaders.

CLOSING IN TO SLAUGHTER THE APPEAL

By EDWARD P. DEB

When President Taft issued his so-called pardon to Fred Warren, editor of the Appeal to Reason, the action of the administration on the postal regulations, it was with the tacit understanding of the press that the pardon was just a kind of public mind, carry with it a long-termed presumption against the next man who is liable for the same offense.

The Appeal to Reason was, indeed, a sort of libel case, and the decision of the Supreme Court was on a similar precedent. The case resulted in a miscarriage because it was compelled to publish "baldly," and statements which, if true, would have proven the former president to be a liar.

It is quite true that President Taft exceeded his authority in granting the pardon, because such an act may be considered as an interference with the administration of justice. However, it is also true that the pardon was the result of a compromise between the government and the editors of the Appeal to Reason, which was necessary to avoid the publication of false statements about the former president.

Since the editors have been released from their obligations, the Appeal to Reason will continue to publish articles which are not necessarily true. The government has no right to interfere with the freedom of the press, and the editors of the Appeal to Reason are entitled to express their opinions without fear of interference from the government.

Let us see how these editors are now maneuvering for position to secure their privileges.

First, the editors have been able to secure the return of the Appeal to Reason. It was not released by the government until a few weeks after the pardon was granted. The editors have, therefore, been able to secure the return of the paper without losing any of their previous privileges.

Second, the editor has recently issued an order requiring the Appeal to Reason to publish its mailing list in 1,000 distinctive mailers, thus making it impossible for any other paper to publish in the same manner. This order was issued after the editors had published a number of articles which attacked the government.

The order requires that all mailers used for the Appeal to Reason shall be furnished by the government. The editors have been unable to secure mailers from any other source, and have therefore been forced to issue a special edition of the paper.

If this order is enforced against the Appeal to Reason, every change of time on any mailer will be required to be published in any mailer used in the postal service. The Appeal to Reason will be forced to publish its list in the same manner as any other paper, and will be unable to secure subscribers by issuing special editions.

The Postal Persecution

For the present, the editors of the Appeal to Reason are very much occupied with the issue of the impending conflict in the United States Congress. The action of the Senate against the Appeal to Reason has been interpreted as a threat of action against the paper.

The Appeal to Reason has been punished by the postal authorities in the last thirty years, and its circulation is small and it is of an ancient origin to captivate it was in the hands of the court was in the hands of the court.

For the past several years the Appeal to Reason has been harassed by the postal authorities in their efforts to suppress it. The editors have been threatened with imprisonment, and the paper has been forced to publish its mailing list in 1,000 distinctive mailers, thus making it impossible for any other paper to publish in the same manner.

If the government were to require the Appeal to Reason to publish its list in the same manner as any other paper, the editors would be forced to issue a special edition of the paper.
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