

JUSTICE

OFFICIAL ORGAN OF THE
INTERNATIONAL LADIES GARMENT
WORKERS UNION

VOLUME I - Price 2 cents.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 18, 1919

No. 1

THE STRUGGLE FOR VICTORY

The die has been cast. A struggle between the Waist and Dressmakers' Union and the Dress and Waist Manufacturers' Association is now a foregone conclusion. Today or tomorrow the tens of thousands of workers, bent with hard toil in the shops to eke out a miserable existence, will vacate them and converge in the streets, in halls. The shops will remain empty and death-like for an indefinite period. This was in the waist industry will touch deeply the fate and the lives of 30,000 workers and of three times that number of people directly and indirectly connected with them. Naturally this conflict between employers and employees will to a certain extent affect the rest of the population; and the latter has a right to demand an accounting from the authors of this tumult.

The following lines are a faint attempt to explain the situation and point out the actuality guilty parties.

Every unbiased person who has at all gone into the respective statements of the representatives of the Waist and Dressmakers' Union and those of the Dress and Waist Manufacturers' Association must concede the fact that for the conflict which is to break out these days the manufacturers alone are responsible.

True, the representatives of the union presented a few new demands, such as a working week of forty-four hours, an increase of 15 per cent in wages, etc. But at the same time the union has expressed its readiness to submit its demands to arbitration and abide by the award.

Of course, this should not be interpreted that the union has the slightest doubt in the justice of its demands. In an address to the manufacturers' association President Schlesinger so indisputably put the case for these demands that it is impossible to question any of the points. Together with its President the entire union shares his firm belief in the justice of these demands and in their absolute necessity. For, only the realization of these demands will afford the workers the possibility of more or less regular work and will keep the wolf of hunger and misery from their doors.

Yet, and perhaps because of this consideration, the workers

were prepared to submit these demands and the reasons for them, to arbitration, in the judgment of honest and impartial persons, who should decide whether the workers want too much, and to how to their demands.

The manufacturers, however, who originally started the hue and cry of "Bolshevism" against the union and its representatives, intending to imply that the workers are unreasonable in their demands; these fine people, with their pious pretence of reason and moderation, state in their last reply to the International Union that they will not agree to arbitration.

It must be clear to all that the cry of Bolshevism against the union is simply throwing sand in the eyes. Bolsheviks, in the worst sense of the word, are, indeed, the manufacturers. They act like veritable autocrats. They present their ultimatum and refuse to listen to reason or think of fairness. They impose their will as the sole arbiter.

These petty despots must know that under the circumstances public opinion cannot range itself on their side, but must decidedly oppose and repudiate them, because their action is like flinging mud in the face of the public. In the words of their lawyer, they say to the public: You are outsiders; mind your own business; we know better than you how to deal with our wage slaves; your intervention in the last six years has nearly ruined us; therefore hands off!

That is the attitude of the manufacturers' association, to the great public. How then can the public do else than brand such audacity as an insult to the entire community? Clearly, from this angle the manufacturers can expect no aid or sympathy. Then, upon what agency do they center their hopes for victory over the workers?

It can be no other than the strange idea that they will enslave their side the entire brutal force. Furthermore, that by starving the workers they will force them to accept the impossible conditions and thus smash the union.

If this is the case the workers will plunge into the fight with all the fire of indignant protest against this fell design, fully convinced that the day is not

far off when the employers will regret the hour in which they provoked this strife, which must end for them with the most terrible defeat in their experience.

During the few years that have elapsed in comparative calm in the waist industry the employers have forgotten the great fight, which the Waistmakers' Union gave them nine years ago, even though the union was then so young and poor and inexperienced and helpless. Yet, is there one manufacturer who can recall without a shudder that tremendous upheaval and blow to their business? "I have grown tired of fighting the union," an employer recently told us; "and never again, I vow." Since then the Waist and Dressmakers' Union has become one of the strongest in the land. On one side it is a member in the family of the powerful International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union and on the other—a part of the entire labor movement. Through its untold struggles and victories it now occupies one of the foremost places among the organized workers. That infant organization of nine years ago, which forced the manufacturers to be more decent in their dealings with their employees is now a veritable giant; and is it this giant that the manufacturers are provoking to combat? Surely the gods wish to destroy the manufacturers, therefore they made them blind and unbalanced.

The workers have not wilfully this fight. They have done all in their power to meet the employers before any honest tribunal and accept its verdict, but the latter rejected this proposal.

They want to force upon the workers their old time slavery. Like ruthless despots they want to dominate over them in the factories. The curbing of their arbitrary power to discharge workers without due reason they regard as an unusual demand. The right of the worker to his job, when he performs his duty honestly and loyally, they brand as Bolshevism of the worst kind, which they cannot stand for. In their blindness they have not noticed the hands of the clock and do not know that even conservatives who are by no means Bolsheviks recognize the necessity of the worker participating in the management for the good and welfare of the industry in general.

Upon these issues the manufacturers have precipitated the conflict between them and the Union. Well, they shall have that in a more intensified form than they have ever imagined.

This is not a controversy the issues of which are obscure and not clearly brought out on either side. It is a fight upon which the workers are entering with full consciousness of their aims.

First, they know that these employers wish to drag them back to the condition of misery, starvation and slave helplessness of ten years ago; and they also know what they want. That was, first of all, a proper renumeration for their labor, even if the manufacturers should have to be satisfied with a few thousand dollars less profit every year.

Secondly, they think that under present conditions of perfected machinery, minute division of work and the fact that so many workers are idle, the time has come for a considerable reduction in the hours of work.

Thirdly, they are weary of the daily and hourly malice of the employers against them and to meet which they have no other means than stoppage, which has exhausted so much of their energy and money. They know that it's sheer hypocrisy on the part of the employers to complain of the stoppages of work. The employers themselves in most cases, brought the stoppages on by their disgraceful tricks; now they come and blame them at the door of the workers, as though the workers had any other remedy for the repeated petty tyranny. To an end to this evil a stoppage must be introduced.

Such are the main bones of the controversy. The workers were ready to submit their demands to persons well-known for honesty and impartiality, but the employers turned down proposal. It does not end there, to have as arbitrators such as Judge Julian W. Mack, L. D. Brasfield and men of small prominence. In the eyes of these men, in, are regarded as Bolsheviks. They in no way want the public to have a say in their manner of dealing with labor. Suddenly they have become men of principle, not less so concerned for their profits for their fundamental rights as employers to be privileged any minute to chase a

ECHOES FROM THE AMERICAN LABOR MOVEMENT

By A. Roy.

The Strike in the New York Harbor.

A remarkable demonstration of concentrated power was presented to a three days' strike of 14,000 workers operating the Hudson river craft in the port of New York. A strike of this nature could not last long, yet on the second day the outbreak was fully broken for the movement became very defiant. Mayor Hylan, who in 1917 had been elected by the labor vote, threatened the municipal workers with arrest and prosecution, and the press, as usual, leaned over to the side of the exploiting boat owners.

The workers, as will presently be seen, have just grievances. As yet in November the consolidating force of discontent had not come in, and in the course of the two months the workers resorted to every means in their power to avert a conflict, but the employers have played their card with them until their patience was exhausted and a strike declared on Thursday morning, January 9.

The employers are partly steam boat and tug owners and partly officials of the railroad administration under the government, having charge over some of the ferries and other boats. The strike, if prolonged, would have caused something like a famine and acute suffering to the already overtaxed population. Clearly the responsibility rested on the shoulders of the boat owners and railroad administration, since they blocked the efforts of the War Labor Board to adjust the dispute. Many of the boats carry milk, fuel and coal to New York, and this traffic was completely demoralized.

Fortunately President Wilson in a cable message from France to Secretary of Labor Wilson intervened ordering the parties to submit their case to the War Labor Board; and the employers and other parties concerned having agreed to do this, the unions willingly called off the strike and returned to work Sunday night.

The workers demanded the six hour day, an increase in pay and standardization of working conditions throughout the harbor. These are by no means meager demands, since the

out of the shop, if it so pleases them. They hold that, as their sacred right not to be violated. The workers are taking up the struggle not in despair, not in fear, but after thorough deliberation.

The workers are prepared for conflict and do not conceal it. They have been preparing for months, because they are too experienced and wished to prevent a rear attack, a sudden assault, as the employers have been planning to do. Yet they are now reappearing the workers for their preparation.

No, the weapon of starving the workers will prove ineffective. Our brave people will fight to starve. The pub-

Railroad Administration granted them to the employees on the roads. But the private owners, bent on profiteering, refused to have the workers' grievances passed on by the War Labor Board, in view of the well-known principles of that body.

The unions involved had called upon the New York Board of Arbitration and the National Adjustment Commission at Washington to help avert a strike. Having met with no success in these quarters they finally applied to the National War Labor Board.

On December 21 the board issued an order that the private owners and railroad administration should fill the vacancies on the local adjustment committees that had settled petty disputes during the war. But the employers failed to carry out the order. Thereupon Basil M. Manly, the joint chairman of the board, recently appointed successor to Frank P. Walsh, issued a statement to the effect that the board was unable to adjust the controversy because the employers failed to recognize its authority or agree to abide by its award. This left the unions no other course than to order a strike.

Six unions of the Marine Workers' Affiliation were involved in the strike: the Master Mates and Pilots' Association; Marine Engineers' Benevolent Association; Harbor Boatmen's Union Local No. 327; Lighter Captains' Union No. 296; Tidewater Boatmen's Union No. 887 and Local 379, International Union of Steam and Operating Engineers.

All traffic was tied up, excepting Federal ships and one boat of each line carrying milk and other necessities.

During the war the wage slaves loyally performed their duties so as not to delay the government's war operations. Now the employers everywhere want to measure strength with the workers. But the more they will bring matters to the breaking point the closer the solidarity will grow in the ranks of labor.

Bethlehem Steel Company Seeks Trouble.

During the war 32,000 workers employed by the company

in, knowing who it is that provokes this war and for what reason, will fit this weapon. The moral consciousness of mankind has recently become too strong a weapon in the world of warfare. If as yet the employers do not know it they will soon learn the lesson.

But even though the workers should have to starve they will starve and suffer the direst need willingly, but will not return to their own terms. Never, never will they let the employers encompass the collapse of the union and the retribution of their former slavery.

To arms, with full faith and energy and enthusiasm! For victory, great and glorious victory for right and humanity!

of which millionaire Charles M. Schwab is the president, won an increase of wages and the right to organize. This was awarded them by the War Labor Board on July 31. The management of the company was pledged to enforce the award. But the award has remained a dead letter and now the management is trying to wriggle out of the company's pledges altogether.

Apparently all employers' claims work in the same direction. In war time they feared to antagonize the workers so as to disguise their real complexion under the mask of patriotism. Now they have openly removed their mask and brazenly exhibit their test of profiteering at the workers' expense.

The War Labor Board claims that since officially the war is not over yet, the government should step in and seize the Bethlehem plant, just as it did in the case of the Smith and Wesson Company, which defied the award of the National War Labor Board. The head of the company was summoned to a judicial hearing in Washington, held on January 13, to give the final answer to the demand of the Labor Board.

At this hearing it was understood that the Board would make a test case as to its powers. If the power of enforcing its decisions is still vested in it under the President's proclamation of last year, then the company must live up to the award of July 31. If not, the workers will know that the only course open to them is to rely on their own organized power and resources.

A Gain for the Metal Trades.

The metal workers of the company have a department in the American Federation of Labor, and thirteen national and international unions are affiliated with it. During the war the employers conceded the workers' demands because of government pressure for production; and the unions strengthened their organized ranks. Now that the demand for labor has considerably lessened, there was a question as to the employers' attitude. Would they maintain peace in the industry or, like so many others, adopt a bellicose tone?

A large ship-building company having plants in Massachusetts, San Francisco, Baltimore, Wilmington, Del., and Elizabeth, N. J., and employing some 75,000 workers, recently concluded an agreement with the thirteen unions of the Metal Department. The agreement provides for an adjustment committee consisting of five representative employers and five representatives of the unions. This is probably a sort of "committee on immediate action" that will consist of one representative of each side in every plant. There will be shop committees who will formulate the desires and grievances of the workers and submit them to

the said representatives for settlement.

The agreement is regarded as a gain for the unions and the workers.

The Meany Convention in Chicago.

While these lines are being written a labor convention is assembling in Chicago upon the course that should be taken by the labor movement to gain liberty for Tom Mooney and W. K. Billing, whose innocence is practically established. The International Workers' Defense League, which has from the beginning organized the defense of the accused labor men, has made it known that the convention would consider three resolutions. One resolution calls for Federal action in taking Mooney out of the jurisdiction of his would-be executors. A second resolution calls for Congressional legislation providing for such cases. A third resolution is to the effect that in the event of the former methods proving unsuccessful a nationwide general strike shall be organized.

Almost all the unions of the country are being represented at the convention. Vice President Schleicher represents our International Union as well as the Chicago cloakmakers. J. Hogenman, organizer of the Chicago waistmakers, represents Local No. 25, and Local No. 50 of New York, as well as Local No. 100 of Chicago. Jacob Heifner represents the Beader makers Local No. 17. Vice President Ninfo represents the Italian cloak makers Local No. 48, Local No. 26, Raincoat makers, Local No. 28, Skirt makers and locals of our International Union in Philadelphia, and elsewhere, are on the list of unions represented. Other familiar organizations from New York are the United Hebrew Trades, the Amalgamated Clothing Workers, the Cloth Hat and Cap makers, besides many unions affiliated with the C. P. U.

Amalgamated Secures 44 Hours in Chicago.

The well-known firm Hart, Schaffner and Marks, employing some 10,000 workers, has this month entered into agreement with the Amalgamated Clothing Workers granting the forty-four hour working week and an increase in wages. This is a diplomatic victory of considerable significance, which will influence for good the 44-hour movement among other unions.

If Hart, Schaffner and Marks can run their business on the principle of fairness and the short-workday all the tailors of the New York garment manufacturers against the unions fade away into nothingness.

The Conferences in the Fur Industry.

In the fur trade of New York conferences are in progress between the Furriers' Union and the Fur Manufacturers' Association in regard to a proposed

(Continued on page 8.)

AT A MEETING OF THE CLOAKMAKERS JOINT BOARD

By E. YANOFSKY.

It is already more than two weeks since the meeting of the Joint Board of the Amalgamated Union, when the newly elected officers for the current year were duly installed; yet the impressions I gleaned there, are still vivid in my memory; so vivid that the momentous events with which our present day have almost cannot wipe them out.

That was indeed a glorious gathering of thoughtful workers who must have called forth this pertinent question even in the minds of the most astute pessimist: Has not the time really come when the workers are perfectly ripe and ready to become the possessors of the world? It was quite a revelation to me to witness the earnestness with which the various delegates, all shop workers, wielding the press iron, fiddling the needle or operating the machine, bore themselves towards vital and serious questions of the moment. I do not mean here the really impressive and thoughtful address of President Schlesinger and Secretary Baroff of the International Union, or of President Feinberg and Manager Sigmund of the Joint Board, good and pointed and earnest as they were, because I expected it of them. I mean that quite many of the rank and file, who are so little known to the outside world, were quite so eloquent and logical, and spoke with quite as much fire and conviction. I particularly enjoyed a certain speech, even though I did not agree with a single word therein. The speaker is known as the "reactionary" of the Joint Board. Yet what firmness and certainty in his argumentation, what power in the analysis of the question, and what tantalization on the part of the hundreds of his listeners regardless of the fact that they represented a diametrically opposite view? Yes, it was indeed a gathering which enthused and made me strong in the faith and hope that the world, the future, belongs to those who until now have been considered the pariahs of society.

Such was the character of the entire meeting. But the most impressive and sacred moment — an unforgettable moment — occurred when the President of the International Union took the floor upon a very important, though very delicate matter, namely, the matter of the present great strike of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers, and asked the Joint Board to pledge to their strike fund not less than a sum of \$50,000.

Here was a suggestion to practice large-hearted solidarity under most difficult circumstances. First, the Amalgamated Clothing Workers is beyond the pale, so to say, of the official American labor movement; secondly, the relations between the cloakmakers and the clothing workers have re-

cently been strained on account of the accusations of the former from the shape of the latter engaged on military work; thirdly, there was even a more weighty consideration: the International Union is itself on the eve of a great struggle, when every cent in the treasuries of the various locals counts. Naturally it was to be expected that President Schlesinger's suggestion should call forth strenuous opposition and be voted down.

My curiosity was keenly aroused. I was eager to see whether the worker, in a moment of fierce struggle waged by his brothers and sisters not directly affiliated with him, would lift himself above his grievances, forget his own need of the hour and come to feel that this is not the time for petty reckoning, but that it is the time for true magnanimity. I hoped for the manifestation of this feeling, though I felt much anxiety whether the assembled delegates would stand the hard test. And it was really one of the greatest moments in my life to see the splendid result. Regardless of every consideration that may have swayed their minds the Joint Board voted by a large majority to raise the sum of \$50,000. Truly, I do not know whether many gatherings are capable of attaining to such mortal heights.

I thought: if only the clothing employers were present and saw with their own eyes the wonderful scene, the greatness of the true fraternal manifestation — would they not understand the futility of their fight against the clothing strikers? Would they not realize the fact that when the workers have attained to such a marvelous degree of solidarity, being actually prepared to give their last penny to their brothers in arms, even though these brothers had so recently acted unexpectedly foolish toward them — yes, if the employers were present and understood the meaning of that noble action they would see the stupidity of their resistance; that in fighting the clothing workers they are literally fighting the entire labor movement of New York, and would abandon their sneaking, futile ob-

stinate. Equally impressive would the meeting have proved to the waist and dress manufacturers who are preparing to engage in battle with their employees, whom they have to thank for all they possess. They would realize how foolish is their campaign against the union in the shape of displayed advertisements, in which they denounce as dangerous "Bohemians" the very people who are capable of such great and noble action. They, the waist manufacturers, would see that by such slanderous statements they make themselves rather ridiculous in the eyes of the New York pub-

CONGRATULATIONS TO OUR NEW WEEKLY

PRESIDENT SCHLESINGER'S CONGRATULATIONS

We Stand for Justice

Dear Friend S. Yanofsky:

With greatest hearty joy am sending you congratulations on the birth of Justice.

The selection of the name Justice symbolizes our movement.

Justice is what we stand for. True, very often we have to fight to obtain justice.

It is true, that when we speak of our International Union as a labor organization it would be more appropriate that its organ should be named "The Fighter", for the reason that our great organization is full of the fighting spirit. Yet it seems to me that Justice is a more suitable name, because our aim is to secure Justice for the wronged, the oppressed; and the struggle is only a means to that end. Hence I repeat, that the name Justice for our organ is a happy idea.

Our organ Justice comes into the world at a time when one of our biggest locals, the Waist and Dressmakers' Union, masters its membership for battle to secure justice, and believe me, comrade and friend Yanofsky that I bless the name when we obtained your nomination to undertake the task of editing our Justice.

The record you have attained during the long years in which you have fought bravely in the advance ranks of labor and by your pen, have taught them and led them to a better life, is a good guarantee.

Your name, my friend, in connection with our paper as its supervisor and guide, assures us that Justice will be a paper which will bring light and knowledge into the minds of the workers and will induce the hearts of our members with the faith that only in unity lies strength.

With a sense of security do we look to the future. Our hearts throb with the hope that our aims will be attained. And now, while we are on the threshold of a bitter conflict with the dress and waist manufacturers to secure justice for our members, we feel that in Justice and its editor we have acquired an invaluable force which will help to lead the workers of our industries to victory.

Congratulations to our International Union for its great achievement of a weekly organ. We wish that Justice may moreover for all our hopes and aspirations.

(Continued on page 8.)

BENJAMIN SCHLESINGER.

lic, and that in spite of their fabricated epithets the world is bound to sympathize with the workers. True, the waist makers have presented certain demands; but as strong as is their sense of justice of their claims that they are ready, at any moment, to submit them to arbitration, while they, the employers, although they spend so lavishly to poison public opinion by large advertisements in the press, yet they fear to submit their "painful" grievances to impartial, honest opinion.

Thus I have come to this

conclusion: even though these other employers are the most sinister devils,

the workers, what will it be to them, when they have arrayed against them such workers as I saw at that meeting of the Joint Board? How can they even measure strength with them? In moral force, never to money? I would say that people who can give \$50,000 to other workers on strike at a time when they themselves are encircling upon a great struggle — against such workers money is the weakest and most contemptible weapon.

JUSTICE

A Labor Weekly

Published weekly. Postage by the International Ladies Garment Workers Union.

1000, 11th Avenue, New York, N. Y.

S. SCHAFFNER President

E. H. MILLER Vice Pres.

S. TANZBERG Editor

S. LIEBERMAN Business Mgr.

Vol. 1

Saturday, January 13, 1912

Subscription price paid in advance. 1 year, \$1.00. Single copy, 2 cents.

Contributions for second class mail postage with the Post Office at
New York, N. Y.

FROM THE EDITOR'S NOTE BOOK

A Few Personal Remarks

I confess to being somewhat nervous. It seems to me that to be the editor of a great labor paper is a very difficult task. Yet from all sides come assurances of confidence which is truly touching and full of promise. And I say myself: Will you be able to fulfill these great hopes and expectations? Yes, I am truly nervous and I can assure my many friends that I will spare no energy to justify, at least in slight measure, all the great hopes placed in me, although I know how hard it will be.

For, if I were quite unknown or at least not quite so known, I might sometimes by a good name only or a strong word convince myself and the many friends to an extent that the process of gradual revealing to self and others would be highly interesting. Fortunately are the young, the unknown, for whom the possibility of revealing and exposing themselves is so great. But, however, is it the case with him, who is already popular, who has already revealed all that he is in him. However well he might accomplish a certain task, he will constantly overshadow the remark: "Well, should he not even know this?"

Friends, such a remark is an encouraging, so full of disapproving. He who is so unfortunate as to have acquired slight popularity, must not, cannot be content with having performed a pretty good job, the words "pretty good" are so painful to the unfortunate popular one, must, in satisfy himself and others, surpass himself and the expectations of all his friends. And since this is rare, possible, the inevitable result is disappointment, unfulfilled expectations and, sometimes, perhaps the bitter observation: "Oh, everyone could do this." (Therefore request all those who complain addressing gratulations to Justice and its editor, to be more reserved with their compliments. Perhaps this will be a cure to my nervousness.

The Name of our Journal,
-- and I did not quite favor

The word "Justice"

and in the both, as something embracing rather much, and as something very indefinite. In reality, who does not know, that the justice of today can become the injustice of tomorrow, and vice versa? I desired a more simple name, without so many pretensions, for Justice is not something trivial. Are we always just, and cannot we always be just? Does not the fact that people sometimes are and can be unjust give in life a certain grace? Then, is

it not rather too much to ask of frail, sinful man to be ever just? And if it were possible, would it not be a bore?

Besides, I fear that we may be too often confronted with the remark: "Some Justice this is, eh!"

So I did not favor the name. But now that the name is here, I am beginning to feel more conciliatory toward it, and am even becoming satisfied that the name of our paper is JUSTICE. I am, however, anxious that it should be rightly interpreted. The name of our paper does not imply that we imagine that all we have done or will do will be permeated with justice. I cannot vouch for that. But I can promise that in all our actions it will be our earnest endeavor to live up to the spirit of justice as we conceive it; and last we should forget it, there will be ever before our eyes the name JUSTICE.

Our Program

It is the ambition of the editor, first of all, to induce the reader to read his own paper. That he should not feel that the paper is forced upon him, but — rather that he should impatiently await its appearance from week to week. When the editor assumed this position of great responsibility and honor, which he profoundly appreciates, he thought to himself: "All your life you have been addressing a more or less limited circle of people. True, you have become wedded to them, and nothing can separate them from you or from you. Yet, there is also the desire to speak to the great masses, to those whom it would not harm to hear you. Your hope that they would come to you, to the small, sacred temple you have erected has not been realized. Perhaps now it would be no more than right that you should come to them?" And acting in accordance with the old saying: "If the mountain does not come to Mohamed, Mohamed must go to the mountain," I undertaken this great responsible position.

But that will only be true when the people will read the paper, if not, the effort will be in vain. Hence I have decided, in order that the paper should be read, the reader must find it highly interesting in the fullest sense of the term, if not at once, then by degrees. It must become the mirror of the mental, physical, emotional and social life of every member of the great International Union.

The entire union with all its varied activities, large or small, important and unimportant, must be reflected in its pages. If the paper can not do this

there is no easier way to succeed than.

The paper must be the reading of a friend, his chum, his guide, his mouth, eyes and ears. I do not mean that the reader should cease using his own vital organs. I mean that the paper should help the organs to function much better and fuller than before. It should strengthen his power to see, to hear, to talk.

The International Union has still to become known through the paper to tens of thousands of its members. The loose bond now existing, and perhaps the apathy, the indifference to the fact that the entire union, with all its achievements, is to most members a closed book. In JUSTICE we shall strive to put an end to this lack of knowledge, and thereby the union will gain in power and efficacy in an extent scarcely to be imagined.

I should much like to unfold all my plans to our brothers and sisters; but this would take up too much space. I had hoped that already the first number would afford an idea of what I intend to do. But it so happens that even in this first number we must engage in a great fight for our union and our rights; and naturally this is not the time and the opportunity to carry out the program. Now the slogan is right and keep up the fight! And so it ever will be, when the union or any part thereof will be called upon to wage its daily struggles. Yet I am certain that in the interval we should succeed in making our paper so vivid and interesting, so indispensable to the reader that there shall be no question of not reading it.

No doubt there are many reasons why the preceding organs of the International Union, now amalgamated in Justice, have not been read with avidity and therefore did not have the necessary influence. In the next issue we shall begin a discussion on this question in an initial article by a contributor, who apparently knows his subject. I urge the readers to participate in the discussion, in order to ascertain the precise reasons why the members of the International Union have been strangers to their own publications. I believe that we shall learn much from the shortcomings of our worthy predecessors.

Let me stress the point that "readers" includes our women readers, just as "workers" covers our women workers. The term man, in my opinion, includes both sexes who are neither worse nor better from one another.

But let us return to the subject of our program, as to which for the present, I want to say one more word. The paper is the organ of the International. Hence it will deal with all that is useful and interesting to this great and powerful labor organization. But at the same time, I believe, it will be the organ of the workers in general, and nothing that touches the worker, in whatever trade he may be employed, will be foreign to Justice.

Another word: A paper is like a living being and, like every living being, cannot achieve perfection all at once. It must develop. Intelligent critics might gains at sites from this number

while the living will live a little while later. Others will have patience. The members both of the International Union and the editor is to make Justice the leading organ in the labor world.

One of the Arguments of the Dress and Waist Manufacturers Should Be Demolished

The dress and waist manufacturers have a grievance against the Waist and Dressmakers Union. In the last few months there have been not less than 200 stoppages in different shops. They complain that the workers have been in the habit of suspending work suddenly. If the employers' statement is correct, we can come to no other conclusion than that the waistmakers are not of the mass. The employers are therefore very angry with the union for having failed to discipline such workers, and they insist that in the event of stoppages being made in the future, the act should be regarded as one by which the workers exclude themselves from the shop and cannot be reinstated.

I think that these 200 stoppages in ten months are the strongest indictment against the employers. If only they had a modicum of sagacity the figures would shame them. I cannot imagine that the workers should suspend operations without cause, and thus hurt themselves and their by no means large earnings. One worker may sometimes lose his temper, but that thousands of workers should be seized with the same madness of stopping work does not ring logical or true. I am therefore certain that most stoppages have resulted from violent, disgraceful insults, which no one with a sense of dignity can pass over in silence, or they resulted from grievous annoyance. I therefore invite those who can express themselves in writing to write to Justice, telling us some of the reasons for these stoppages. Let the world know the truth. Those who cannot write — which is by no means to their dishonor, so long as one is aware of the fact — are requested to come to our office at 31 Union Square, and communicate with me verbally. I do not promise to publish all letters or reveal all I may hear. Some of the facts may be of such a nature as cannot have publicity. However, the most important facts will be imparted to our readers.

Heartly Congratulations to Our Sister Organization, the Amalgamated.

It was an admirable, a brilliant move. I mean the gains of the forty-four hour week in Chicago, conceded by the firm Hart-Schaffner & Marks. All those who helped to bring it about, especially Brother Attorney Hillman, the president of the Amalgamated Union, may be proud of the victory. The fact has with one blow taken the wind out of the sails of the New York employers, and their rickety boat is now on the sand. Their consternation that a forty-four hour week special ruling for the entire clothing industry has lost all its force. The world can and will now answer them that what is possible for Hart, Schaffner & Marks should also be possible for the New York clothing manufacturers.



THE PROSPECTS OF AN AMERICAN LABOR PARTY

By A. ROSEBURY.



In view of what British Labor has accomplished in the matter of a successful labor party it were stupid and futile to oppose a labor party movement in America. It is surprising that there should have been so vigorous labor party until now to unite the Socialist and Labor movements of this country in a plan of common action. What the Socialist party has been unable to accomplish after many years of effort in the way of invading Congress and the various state legislatures could have been successfully accomplished by a powerful and united labor party similar to the British Labor party. It is clear that something has been wrong. Circumstances in America have not been favorable to the growth of such a movement. The jarring elements of dissunity have made it impossible.

Therefore, if the present movement for a labor party is to be crowned with success the obstacles in the way of closer unity must be removed first, by bringing together in common understanding all the parties and sections at interest.

Great Britain furnishes the only example of a successful Labor party. Hence from Great Britain we must learn certain lessons in order that the present movement should not prove fruitless as similar attempts have proved in the past.

Conditions Abreast the Same

Certain people, particularly Mr. Gompers and his followers, keep harping on the strain that American conditions are different from British conditions.

If they are so inefficient, so incapable of organizing their factories that they cannot exist without working their employees four hours in the week longer than other firms, then they have no right to be in this business. Let them become peddlers, rag pickers — anything but clothing employers.

As to the firm Hart, Schaffner & Marks, it is easy to understand that this Chicago firm did not make this concession as a matter of hurting itself more than its competitors. It is quite certain, that this firm realizes that when the workers are sufficiently rested and restored and feel a certain interest in the work, they waste less time and can accomplish in forty-four hours much more and better results than overwrought, weary and listless workers, having no interest in their jobs, can achieve in fifty-four hours. That and nothing else has acutated this Chicago firm to grant the demands of the union. When the New York clothing employers will realize this truth — and there is great hope for it — they will grant the firm of Hart, Schaffner & Marks, and the protracted, brave struggle of the Amalgamated Union will terminate in a splendid victory, which will be of significance not only for the Amalgamated Clothing Workers, but for the labor movement in general.

consequently there is no need here for a Labor party. What they mean by "conditions" they do not exactly specify. Do they mean conditions of life and labor, or conditions of organization, or political and governmental conditions, or differences of temperament and psychology? Whatever they mean they could not prove to demonstration that the differences are so fundamental as to preclude similar movements or organizations.

British capitalism and the predatory class — manufacturers, landlords, middlemen, and so forth — behaves in the same brutal manner as these gentlemen do here. American profiteers are even more aggressive. The British courts are just as eager to damn the organized workers and jail their agitators and leaders as our courts here. The only difference is that our judges have more power and the British more discretion. The British constitution is unwritten and ours is rather stiff and rigid; but both are interpreted in the same way when Labor is involved. In both countries the masters feel that

they are the guardians of capital, private property and vested interests. Unemployment resulting from over production and financial panics is rampant there as it is here. There is not a single evil besetting capitalist society there that is not duplicated here. All these conditions in their combined effect have favored the growth and success of the British Labor party. Why then should we in this country fail in establishing a unified and powerful labor movement in the political as well as in the economic field? Surely our leaders possess sufficient intelligence and driving power to bring home to the laboring masses the real meaning and terrible consequence of these unnatural conditions!

Conditions Essential to Success

No Labor party in America can hope for lasting success unless it will embrace within its fold all existing labor and similar organizations. First of all they must all be willing to support a comprehensive radical labor program. Neither the trade unions, nor the Socialist party, nor the Farmers' Union, nor the Non-Partisan League, nor the cooperatives can hope for the immediate conversion to their sectional programs of any or all the other sections. If each of these will continue to say: "ours is the only one, or the oldest, or the best, and all the others must join us to secure united action," the new Labor party will come to grief early in its career. In this regard we should take a leaf out of the history of the British Labor party. There is no need to merge the existing organizations but only to find the common ground for the common interest, and from that ground give battle to the forces of reaction under a united banner. Hence, the constitution and platform must be broad and all-

embracing enough to satisfy the aspirations of every section forming part of the new Labor party.

For the rest each section should be left undisturbed and perfectly free to pursue its aims, agitation and educational work, as if nothing happened. The advantage of admitting to membership in the party brain workers as well as manual laborers is so obvious that it ought not to arouse a doubt in any mind.

We should not lose sight of the fact that the sectional differences in Great Britain were, for many years, very acute. Some thirty years ago the British Socialists were made up of several antagonistic factions, while the Trade Union Congress comprising the powerful trade unions adhered to the same methods as Mr. Gompers and his following still do in America. Then the Fabian Society was launched by intellectuals, who included George Bernard Shaw, the celebrated dramatist and critic, and Sidney Webb, the well-known historian of British Trade Unionism. Its educational work in politics and economics had had a tremendous influence in moulding labor opinion. Subsequently Keir Hardie and his associates formed the Independent Labor party which has gradually drawn the more intelligent working people away from the old political parties. The co-operators — a very numerous class of workers — have for many years held aloof from the political field. Now, all these elements are united in the British Labor party for political action, while pursuing their sectional activities in their respective organizations.

Of course political unity among the British workers has not been achieved all at once. It has taken many years of discussion, education and conferences to iron out the differences and arrive at a common platform. The movement for unification has been painfully slow. At times it was the despair of the more ardent souls. Even after the first great success of the British Labor party, after it had won some fifty seats in Parliament, the British trade unions were so disappointed with the tardy results of Parliamentary action that they turned to Syndicalism and repeated strikes.

But British Labor has somehow muddled through and its party now enjoys international fame and prestige. Its program Labor and the New Social Order — is so convincing that Lloyd George has adopted parts of it. The educational plank in this program has practically been enacted as the law of the land by the previous Parliament at a time when the issue of the war still hung in the balance.

"Too Many Cooks Spoil the Broth."

Here in America we rather pursue separate state actions. Thus the State of Illinois

has its Labor party. Springfield is in the field with a party of its own. New York has formed a Labor party, and the movement is said to be quite strong in many cities of the country. But so far, it is not clear from all these separate endeavors whether this common understanding can be affected before everything else.

Officially the Socialist party has not as yet declared itself and opinion among Socialists is divided. The more radical element contends that the American Socialist party is the only legitimate workers' political party, and a competitor in the field would only increase the confusion. Others would take an attitude of watchful waiting to see what tendencies the new party is going to develop. There are Mr. Gompers and his followers who still insist their right policy of casting the labor vote to the English and getting little in return.

In these circumstances prospects of an American Labor party largely depend on the turn of events in the future and the attitude of business in dealing with labor.

What appears necessary to insure the success of an American Labor party right at the beginning is first of all to get away with sectional names; secondly, to swear off in all states of Union for the adoption of Congressional platform securing unity of action in all matters affecting the fate of the party organization.

OUR EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

METHOD

The Educational Department of the International Union is one of the most important interesting in our organization and more about it should be known by our members.

The different Unity Classes are held in Public Schools in all boroughs of New York, where classes in elementary and advanced public speaking, Health forums, gymnasium readings, current events, are at the time of this already thirty in number, more to come, and are tended by our men and women.

The Workers' University in Washington Irving School has special courses taught by prominent authorities in their subjects. Among one may read such titles as: Education and the War Movement, by Everett Martin, of Cooper Union; American Institutions, Dr. Chester Maxey; the University of Wisconsin; Sociology, by Dr. W. E. Miller; our membership and Problems, by Dr. Gottschall; Social Interpretation of Literature by Dr. Henry Newell; Labor and the Law by LaFollette, of the International Socialist Society; Cooper Union; Speech by Gustav P. of City College. The specific agents course is

(Continued on page 2)

THE CAUSE SPREADS OVER LAND AND SEA

by William Morris Feigenbaum.

There is no land where there is another industry that is free from the labor movement than is no land in which there are exploitation and hunger and want except from the resources available of the workers to make up themselves from abuses and exploitation.

Today, at the close of the war, the workers are rapidly assuming the historic role that is theirs by right. In land after land it is becoming increasingly apparent that there is no problem but the labor problem; that not one is going to solve the other problems but the workers themselves.

In a notable speech that President Wilson delivered to an audience of Socialists in Turin the other day, he declared in words bearing with meaning and seriousness and through and through with thoughts that had not been uttered before, these sentences:

"Whatever you think of the members of your government and the members of other governments who are going to enter in the City of Peace to be the real makers of war and peace. But we are anti-YOU ARE THE MAKERS OF WAR AND PEACE. The pulse of the modern world beats on the farms and in the fields and in the factories."

And so Milan, a day or so after uttered those remarks, were pregnant than the city in Turin:

"I am so keenly aware, I believe, as anyone, can be, that the social structure rests on the great working classes of the world, and that these working classes in several countries of the world have to their consciousness of commonality of interest, by their consciousness of commonality of spirit, done perhaps more than any other influence to establish a world opinion which is not of a nation, which is not of a country, but which is the opinion of mankind."

These speeches were directed not to kings, not to diplomats, but to vast audiences of working people; they were directed in great cheering multitudes who came to him waving red flags, they sang along with the workers' Marseillaise.

And those thoughts, sealing what the advance guard of the workers have always said, do not mean that our President is converted to the point of view of the international labor movement; they do not mean that President Wilson has become an International Socialist. They mean that at the peace table, there will be no thought more prominent than the demands of Labor; that Labor's needs, Labor's hopes, Labor's dreams, and the consciousness of Labor's right, will hold first place. And at the table in the Hall of Mirrors of Versailles, the thought of the labor uprisings in Central and Eastern Europe will be the glue that will not dry.

Meanwhile, as the workers are ready to assume power in our country after another in Europe, there are other parts in which the struggle between Labor and the master class is assuming striking form, and in which there is being enacted the same drama that we have in Europe and in America.

Latin America, although in the Western Hemisphere, has hitherto been more European than American. And so it happens that while our capitalists have been looking to the South with greedy eyes for the great sphere of exploitation that they can find there, the workers have been practically blind to South American events.

For years, American capitalists have been striving to penetrate the Southern continent; great business organizations have established branches there, and a whole propaganda has been carried on to wrest the business of the South American countries, first from the Germans and then from England.

It is necessary to know of the business penetration of South America by American capitalism in order to realize the great significance of the awakening of labor that is threatening to make of Latin America an industrial battleground second to no other part of the world.

Uruguay is a small nation, only about as large as New York and New Jersey together, with a population half as large as that of Brooklyn. It is a progressive country, with a rapidly developing labor movement. In that country also, there is a strong and virile Socialist party, which in 1911, secured representation in the Chamber of Representatives.

As a result of general war conditions, the workers there recently prepared to strike for better living conditions. The plans for the strike grew so rapidly that soon they assumed the proportions of a general strike. The whole harbor was tied up and shipping was at a standstill. The capital, Montevideo, a flourishing city of about 400,000 people, felt the strike acutely, and the Government took action.

Declaring that the strike was the work of "foreigners," namely Russian Maximalists, the president of the Republic mobilized the entire army against the workers, and terrorized them into temporarily calling off the strike.

The charge of "foreigners" has a peculiarly familiar sound.

It would seem as if no one thinks of leading the labor movement in his own country. He must go to another country, to be a properly qualified "foreigner" — in the eyes of the bourgeois press and government.

Shortly before this Uruguayan outbreak, there was a great labor disturbance in Cuba, which for all we know to the contrary, is still going on. A feature of the labor movement throughout the world is the absolute silence of the capital-

ist press, wherever they can successfully keep silence, until there is an opportunity to lie and misrepresent the aspirations of the workers. Then there is an avalanche of so-called "news."

The Cuban conflict began as a strike on the Cuba Railways. The workers went out to secure certain demands. As the bitterness of the struggle grew, workers in other lines of activity went out in sympathy, until nearly the whole of Havana and parts of the rest of the Island Republic were tied up by the walk-out.

The railroad workers soon secured their demands, but still the strike went on. For it began to develop into a general strike with demands that were not economic so much as political. For instance, the workers demanded the immediate end of compulsory military service. They demanded the repeal of certain anti-labor laws, including laws limiting the right of workers to strike.

In Cuba, as in Uruguay, the Government took a hand. The strikers were denounced as "foreigners" and agitators and threatened to report them; in this case, however, the "foreigners" were supposed to be Spaniards — not Russians. The supply of Russians seems to have given out. The strikers took to parading under the red flag. The Government saw visions of a general tie-up of the harbor, for the harbor workers were solidly with the strikers. Thereupon, the government took to the use of convicts and army trucks on the docks, in order that the workers might be beaten by governmental scabbing.

Some of the incidents of the strike were amusing. Havana is a sort of an Island Paris, with its gay cafe life; the perfumed dandies saw their gilded cafes closed to them. Thereupon the proprietors themselves had to wait upon their patrons, serving canned foods. Street car traffic was stopped in the capital; there was no electric or gas service in Manantiales; altogether, the strike spread with the rapidity of a prairie fire. The capitalist papers were wild. Some of them made believe to treat it as a joke — thereby showing that they were thoroughly frightened.

But others went to the limit. They threatened the one thing that Cubans fear most; namely, American intervention.

And since that threat was made, not a whisper of the strike has come out of the island. The news censorship has shut down tight. Columns on the sorrow of prominent Cubans at the death of Theodore Roosevelt, but not a line about the biggest thing happening there. The purveyors of news know their business, and well do they serve those who pay.

In connection with the labor disturbances in the Latin American countries, it is well to take note of capitalist connections. In these countries

there is a short news item in the Wall Street Journal, for example, stating that Brazil is reducing her import duties by 20 per cent to countries favoring her similarly. That is, the greatest nation of South America is looking for favors, and in return, will do favors. The nature of the favors is becoming more and more manifest; they are concessions, worth millions.

The Argentine is a wonderful country. It has as its capital city Buenos Aires, with a population of nearly 2,000,000; it is thoroughly capitalist, and its principal capitalist newspaper is La Nación.

Recently, the editor of that journal went to England, and in an interview given to Northcliffe's London Times, he says: "Since the armistice was signed, we have been able to see through our New York office the great interest that is being developed in South American trade. The same thing is likely to occur here (England). . . . I believe myself that newspaper offices should be the advisers and distributors of information. This applies particularly to trade matters."

The strike movement that began in Montevideo, there to be brutally suppressed by the government, soon reached across the great La Plata river in Buenos Ayres. In the latter city, there is a powerful labor movement, headed by members of the great Partido Socialista, the largest party in the capital city in point of votes cast and served by the great socialist newspaper, "Vanguardia."

The first outbreak there was a strike of iron workers in the Vassena iron works in the outskirts of the city. The police and firemen attacked the strikers, and a battle, lasting three hours, resulted, with five killed and thirty wounded. The men sought to tie up the iron works; the city authorities sought to prevent them, and the result was the battle. The next move is to be a strike of the harbor workers — after that a general strike.

The harbor workers, indeed, went out the same day that a New York harbor was tied up, and there the tie-up was complete.

The workers in the textile mills in Brazil, in and near Rio de Janeiro, fought their employers to a standstill, securing from them a "protocol" some time early in December, which guarantees them a 54 hour week, and a minimum wage. But most of all, it secures union recognition. The "protocol" is said to have been modeled upon the Protocol of Mr. Waismann.

These are some of the outward manifestations of the great labor unrest that is following Capitalist penetration into Latin America. The movement is running broad, and deep and now, the greatest step of all is imminent; that is, international action.

In 1915, the American Federation of Labor selected Gar-

Government of Latin America to the South American countries and report back on their movement. Laredo made the trip, and at the Baltimore convention in 1918, reported that there was a healthy labor movement in every developed country, and that in every case, it was under the leadership of the Socialists.

This inquiry resulted in the calling of the Pan-American Labor Conference that met a few weeks ago at Laredo, Texas in the Mexican border. There were represented the workers of Mexico, of the Central American countries, and of Porto Rico, as well as the United States. But not of the powerful labor movements in Brazil, Argentina, Uruguay, Chile and Peru. It is said that they distrusted the auspices under which that conference was called.

But now another congress is being called, at which the attempt will be made to form an American International.

The threatened war between Chile and Peru is the occasion. The capitalists of South America are getting ready for a war over the rich nitrate fields in the provinces of Arica and Tacna, torn from Peru and Bolivia in 1879. Since that year, there have been no diplomatic relations between the two governments of Peru and Chile, and the armies have been kept in readiness for them.

But it is of vast significance to know that the workers of the two countries have not broken off relations. They have organized what is known as the Centro Latino Americano — Latin American Center. Presided over by a union priest, Alberto J. Montes, the workers of the two countries maintain the warmest and the friendliest of relations; and there have been frequent meetings of the two nations in the brotherhood of Labor.

Now, the various capitalist nations of the world want to exploit the nitrate fields of Arica and Tacna. Suddenly, therefore, the two nations get to quarreling again over their possessions. It is of vast interest to one nation or another to own these natural resources, being exploited by another nation. It pays. So Chile and Peru are getting ready to fight — not for honor, but for the privilege of selling concessions — for the swag.

This is the signal to the jagged movement. Vast meetings are being held by the Socialists to prevent the war. And best of all, the Argentine Partido Socialista has called for a convention of the Socialists of all American countries at Buenos Aires to meet soon, to prevent this war, and to cement the bonds of the brotherhood of all the lands this side of the Atlantic.

IMPRESSIONS OF THE LABOR PARTY CONVENTION

By A. R.

Life's great interest arises from the panorama of unexpected occurrences. This is true of the convention called by the Central Federated Union of New York and held on Saturday and Sunday in the Yorkville Casino, with the object of forming an independent Labor Party for Greater New York.

Upon receiving the invitation practical people asked each other: How does the Central Federated Union fit in into this movement and what success can be assured for the new organization if initiated by its leadership? Some people seem to forget that we are living in a new age of cumulative changes and world revolutions; and judging from past experience they believe that the conservatives of the C. F. U. are spreading a political net for the hitherto organized labor forces of New York. But can all the people be deceived all the time?

Radical unions rightly suspected that the same C. F. U., which only a year ago planned annihilation of the United Hebrew Trades and its affiliated unions, was not capable of ensuring that unity and harmony essential to the success of a Labor party. Then, some thought, the members of the Socialist party cannot permit the formation of a sort of opposition party that might alienate many of its supporters.

But the realities of life have removed all doubt and questionings. The whole spirit of the convention, the radical platform and program adopted unanimously, the large representation of Socialist union men, the revolutionary tone prevailing from beginning to end, and the satisfaction in the ranks with the result of the two days' labor — all this is a great surprise. Only three days before, such a result was hardly expected.

"The world do move," and it moves partly for the reason that some people possessing more impudence than foresight want the world to stand still; they even try to turn back the hands of the clock. The end of the war, the question of demobilization, the fact that discharged soldiers are already seeking employment and finding none while men in uniform are begging in the streets; the brazenness of leading employers, who immediately upon the second day after the armistice had been signed came out with a demand for longer hours, reduction in wages and the open shop — all this and other reasons have brought home to enlightened workers the necessity for an independent Labor party in America. And the new party is grimly determined to break away completely from old political parties and follow the course of England's Labor party.

Old conservatives of the C. F. U. have seen the light of day as reflected in the Socialist principles of the British Labor party and even in the principles of the American Socialist party. And the same process of dawnings in the mind of the Labor giant is apparent throughout the country. In Chicago the new Labor party has already selected its municipal ticket.

Here is the platform of the American Labor Party of Greater New York:

If we, the workers, are to enjoy freedom and embrace our full opportunity in the new nation about to emerge out of the suffering and sacrifice of the great world conflict now closed, it behoves labor to formulate its own program of fundamental, social, economic and political change and establish an independent American Labor party to carry out that program. If we are to escape from the decay of civilization, we must insure that what is presently to be built is a social order based not on fighting, but on fraternity; not on competitive struggle for the means of bare life, but on deliberately planned co-operation in production and distribution by and for the benefit of all who participate with hand or brain; not on the inequality of riches, but on a systematic approach toward a healthy equality of material circumstances for every person born in the world. There should be no subject nations, subject races, subject colonies, subject classes, or a subject sex; but in industry as well as in government, we propose to build on that equal freedom, that general consciousness of consent, and that widest possible participation in power, both economic and political which is characteristic of democracy.

The program is actually such as every Socialist can conscientiously endorse. Public ownership of public utilities, full freedom in every respect, the worker's right to his job, 100 per cent taxation of incomes above \$100,000, a working week of 44 hours and other vital reforms — all which cannot be more radically expressed.

Right on the first day of the convention there was something in the general atmosphere which foretold harmonious accord. The chairman — William Cohen of the Upholsterers' Union — a past member of the Socialist party and the other convention officers were elected unanimously. Save a few erratic points of orders, no one manifested opposition to the leaders. Deibel, a Socialist delegate, demanded that the committees be elected from the floor. "We shall favorably consider additional nominations," came back from the leaders. Thereupon several delegates were nominated and, upon intimating their acceptance, were added to the committees without question.

East Side unions were practically all represented at the convention and their delegates evinced enthusiasm for the new movement. True, the radicals, who love the Socialist party, assumed a watchful demeanor and took an inconspicuous part in the proceedings. Being known as strict party members they evidently declined to commit themselves in view of the fact that officially the Socialist party has not as yet announced its position.

According to reports many prominent and active party members are sympathetic to

the new movement. Judge Jacob Paulson, who, as a violinist, watched the entire proceedings from the gallery, and subsequently, at the request of the chairman addressed the convention, indirectly confirmed this report.

Our cloakmakers' locals were well represented: Shewey and Locals No. 25, 62, 64, 41, 36, 38, S. Shore and A. Elmer were even represented in the committee. The Fur Workers, Cloth Hat and Capsmakers, Fancy Leather Goods Workers, Boot and Shoe Workers were fully represented. Max Fine and H. Guskin represented the United Hebrew Trades.

The New York Women's Trade Union League was represented by its entire regular delegation, and Rose Schneiderman, its president and a well-known Socialist, was one of the speakers.

Two locals of the United Garment Workers were represented: the Amalgamated Clothing Workers was absent, not because of any official host to the union than the presence of its delegates was undesired, but because of a vague impression in its own circles that they would not be admitted. Had they, however, appeared, there would have been no question about their credentials. James Holland and other reactionaries have no more voice in the councils of the now enlightened Central Federated Union.

If it should seem remarkable that the Hebrew workers who are such loyal adherents to the Socialist party, are so enthusiastic for the new movement, it might be explained:

Possibly they are disappointed with the election results of the last few years. In 1917 we had all thought that at last we were going to reap the fruits of many years agitation, and that as soon as Socialist representatives will gain an inroad into the legislatures their number will grow and increase with every year. But the last elections were a humiliating setback and many ascribe the defeat to disunity within. That opinion may not be correct; but a profound disappointment does prevail in the organizations composed of a large Jewish element.

In view of the present deistic attitude of the employing class, showing that while the world is advancing they remain stationary, cherishing smiter devices of dragging us back to the dark bondage of old times. It behoves us not only to be watchful but to close up our ranks and present a solid phalanx. The new movement for an independent Labor party promises to effect political as well as economic unity. While the new Labor party has not adopted the Socialist designation, it nevertheless aims at the realization of the Socialist ideal not less than socialists.

A small number of people are capable of rare courage to run against the stream and suffer martyrdom. But the masses do not possess such energy, preferring to go slow and follow a moderate program that does not breathe defiance. They do not feel sure of their livelihood and

ITALIAN WAIST AND DRESSMAKERS ACCEPT CONTRACT OF ITALY

same award is to be submitted. That is why they want another summer, an independent labor party, while holding good men an otherwise successful program. This was the situation in Great Britain and shows the great success of the French Labor party.

When Edward Hannan,

President of the C. P. U. announced at the opening of the convention that all labor and trade organizations will be consulted to assure the upbuilding of a strong labor movement, all the delegates were agreeably surprised. When, almost to the same breath, he declared that they were prepared to unite with the radicals and embrace their principles, it is easy to imagine how the declaration electrified the 800 delegates present.

And when the same Hannan,

and the close of the convention, declared that even though for twenty years he had been a Communist man, he had now come in the conviction that the only salvation for the workers is to be politically independent, not have no dealings with the capitalist parties, the delegates saw that the leaders of the C. P. U. were earnest in their changed convictions.

James Boyle of the Brooklyn United Labor Union presented a second example of the new spirit permeating the minds of those men everywhere. He was the chairman of the committee on platform and program of the party. Readily Boyle moved to embody every amendment suggested by Socialist delegates to give the program a radical aim.

The earnestness here as in Chicago and other cities is a sign of the times — clear evidence that the air is ripe with change and revolution.

ONE MORE HEARING IN THE CLEVELAND CLOAK SITUATION

On Saturday, January 11, another hearing was held on the Cleveland cloak situation before Major Rosenson, one of the three referees appointed by Secretary of War Baker to settle the cloak strike waged in Cleveland last summer.

Our union was represented at the hearing by International President Schlesinger and Vice-President Pearlstein, our Cleveland organizer. Owing to technical reasons the union had to be represented by counsel, and the well-known advocate, Max D. Steiner, had been engaged for the purpose.

The Cleveland manufacturers were represented by Mr. Maurice Stark and Mr. Frankel, the attorney for the association. The question turned mainly on the status of back pay.

It will be remembered that when both parties had agreed to submit the matter to the Board of Referees it was expressly stipulated that the workers should be paid back wages as from August 1.

So far, only a small number of workers in the inside factories have received this back pay, while as yet the majority have not received satisfaction in this regard. Thus the said hearing was held at the request of the union which claimed the unpaid back pay for the workers concerned.

Incidentally President Schlesinger raised the question of es-

tablishing a machinery for the adjustment of disputes occurring from time to time between employers and employees.

Judge Panek, one of the referees, was giving attention to this matter. But being busily engaged he is unable to devote to the subject the time it requires. Dr. Hopkins, however, will complete the plan as soon as possible.

The decision as to back pay

which is now expected, will be final.

and brought out the fact,

that while today the workers

put in only 48 hours a week,

they produce a great deal more

than when they worked 57, 58

and 60 hours a week, due to the

perfection of machinery and sec-

ondary systems introduced by the

manufacturers. This, said Judge

Panek, was greatly to their

benefit and not to that of the

workers. So that, if the waist

and dressmakers today ask for

shorter hours and more pay, they

are only demanding that to

which they are entitled. Judge Panek urged the Jewish and Italian workers to be united

and solid in their determined

front against the employers,

who make no distinction between races—but prefer whom-

ever they can best exploit.

Lawyer Canedo, Journalist

and Director of the official orga-

nization Order of the Sons of

Italy, one of the largest organiza-

tions in America, aroused great

enthusiasm. He called the Italian

women to their duties and ap-

pealed to them not to fail to tell

the women of other nationali-

ties, but uphold their dignity

by demonstrating to the manu-

facturers their close affiliation

with their unions, the only safe-

guard of their rights.

The other speakers were Di-

dotti, Crivello and Paoli, who

are influential in the Italian

branch and whose remarks

evoked enthusiastic applause.

A resolution was adopted

with three ringing cheers, de-

claring the attitude of the manu-

facturers and endorsing the

efforts of the Executive

Board of the union to bring

about an amicable settlement,

falling which, the officers were

authorized to call a general

strike.

OUR EDUCATIONAL DEPART-

MENT.

(Continued from page 5.)

the Epiphany branch of the N. Y. Public Library every Friday afternoon.

The periodical concerts are

given in various places where

our members assemble, and many

activities too numerous to mention help to make up a program

of which any labor union may

be proud.

The courses and classes can

be reached by all our members

free of charge. All they have

to do is to register and get their

admission card, by applying

either to their own local secre-

taries, or at the office of the

Educational Department, 31 Union

Square, Room 1802, every day

between 9 A. M. in 8 P. M.

We should like to hear from

members of the International

Union in reference to educational

activities conducted in their

own locals, and we shall gladly

answer questions or give what information is possible in this matter. Write to the department at the above address.

S. LIBERTY.
Educational Organizer.

Congratulations.

(Continued from page 2.)

pirations and help us in their

realization.

We wish that Justice under

the leadership of so true a

teacher of labor as you are,

will be to our members a

comrade, a friend and outpost

in our struggle.

I greet all the members of

our International Union and

hope that they will have cause

to be proud of their paper.

your true friend,

AB. BAROFF.

Sec.-Treas. of the Int. Union.

answer questions or give what

information is possible in this

matter. Write to the depart-

ment at the above address.

Fourth Annual Reception and Ball.

Given by the

EMBROIDERY WORKERS' UNION

Local 6, I. L. G. W. U.

SATURDAY EVENING FEBRUARY 15TH, 1919

At Eight O'clock

AT MCKINLEY SQUARE CASINO

169th Street and Boston Road.

Music by HENRY M. KELLAJEST'S JAZZ BAND

Tickets including war tax and Wardrobe 50 cents.