LA LECTURE DE LUXE DE LA SAISON
Condron & Martin announce the opening lecture of
MR. FRANK HARRIS
“Religious Thought Today and Tomorrow”

Written in Brixton Prison
With views of Blake, Emerson, and Bergson.
Aeolian Hall, N. Y. C., Tuesday, October 3, 1916
Seats on sale at box office two weeks in advance
Prices - 50c., 75c., $1.00, $2.00

Mr. Frank Harris, the author of “Monseigneur the Matador,” “Unpath’d Waters,” “The Man Shakespeare,” “The Women of Shakespeare,” “Contemporary Portraits,” and “Oscar Wilde His Life and Confessions,” will deliver a series of lectures on literary and artistic subjects in New York this winter, the dates of which will be announced in the next issue of THE MASSES.

EIGHT HUNDRED SOCIALISTS
COOPERATE IN CALIFORNIA

The Llano del Rio Co-operative Community, established by John Harriman two years ago in Los Angeles county, California, is growing rapidly. There are over 800 persons there and they are solving many of the vexations problems of modern times.

They have about fifty industries and every one of them is owned by the community. All are democratically managed. Only homes and personal property are privately owned. They are putting theories into actual practice and they are not quibbling about tactics or words or forms. They are achieving.

All lands, gardens, orchards, dairy, poultry department, laundry, printing plant, magazine, weekly newspaper, sawmill, planting mills, machine shop, shoe shop, lumbering industry, lime kiln, brick-yard, rug and carpet works and a score of other departments are owned and operated by this community.

They have a wonderful educational system that ranges from the Montessori school (the largest in the West) to the vocational department. They have delightful social life of their own making. Why not join these comrades now and cease being exploited? Why not fortify yourself against old age and disempowerment by securing your membership in this community now?

There is no insurance in the world that will be as valuable as a membership with your comrades. Send for free illustrated literature. Subscribe for one magazine, the WESTERN COMRADE, 50 cents a year, and our weekly paper, the LLANO COLONIST, 50 cents a year; both for 25 cents. They will keep you informed on the progress and development of this wonderful enterprise. Address

LLANO DEL RIO COMPANY
OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

Just Out
ETERNITY
World-Wide Thoughts on Life and Death, Religion, and the Theory of Evolution
By ERNST HAECKEL
Professor at the University of Jena

Of highest importance are these latest thoughts of Professor Haeckel, which embody the ideas of a Monistic Philosopher and Humanitarian Free-thinker on War, Religion, and the nature and origin of Man. The conclusions of the evolutionists on these questions are restated, emphasized, and strengthened.

Price, cloth, $1.25 net.

The Truth Seeker Company
62 Vesey Street, New York.

PEARSON’S WILL BE ILLUSTRATED

Beginning with the September number PEARSON’S will become an illustrated magazine. Cost of production will necessarily be increased as the magazine is improved.

In view of these facts the management has decided to withhold No. 11, No. 12, and No. 13, and to publish them by means of which PEARSON’S has been uniformly published at the rate of $3.00 a year.

Subscription renewal of PEARSON’S means that you and all your friends, past and present, will be saved.

Subscribe NOW and get The MASSES and PEARSON’S both for only $1.50.

Bush Car Free

Spoon River Anthology
By Edgar Lee Masters


"If it once takes its place among those masterpieces which are not of a time or a locality."—Boston Transcript.

"A work splendid in observation, marvelous in the adroitness yet of democratic inclusiveness, vigorously analytical of characters, plastic fertility of handling, sympathetic under- search (irony, humorous, pathetic, tragic, comic, particular yet universal—a Comedia Humana)—a creation of a whole community, of personalities."—William Marion Reedy.

Price $1.25 Postpaid

Backing Us Up
If you believe that what we are doing is of prime importance in this age, demonstrate that belief practically.

The MASSES must continue to grow stronger and stronger. The MASSES must continue to increase in circulation and make itself known throughout the length and breadth of this land.

Will you get two new subscribers for the MASSES?

It is the best way to show that you are seriously interested in our work.

Copyright, 1916, by The MASSES Publishing Company, 33 West 14th Street, New York.

THE FLAME
Journal for the New Age
Free, Fearless, Realistic, Mad, Mercy, and Profound. Some of the best writers and artists in America are helping make THE FLAME the organ of the American rising generation. Its credo is that Labor is always right.

$5.00 per Year—$1.00 a Copy

Help up with a subscription or a contribution in verse, prose orrawn.

Address: 92 and 94 Park Square, Boston.

With The MASSES for One Year $1.60

Bound Volumes
The MASSES
for 1915

More copies bound up to supply the unusual demand for the 1915 series. Previous numbers sold out.

$2.00 EXPRESS PAID
Keep your files complete.

Copyright, 1916, by The MASSES Publishing Company, 33 West 14th Street, New York.
FICTION


Jean-Christophe, Romain Rolland’s world famous novel. Translated by Gilbert Camban. In three volumes, each complete in itself. $1.50 net per volume. “Hats off, gentlemen—a genius.” It is moderate praise to call it with Edmund Gosse “the noblest work of fiction of the twentieth century.”—Springfield Republican.

The Night Cometh, by Paul Bourget; tr. by C. Frederic Lee. $1.35 net. Imaginative story written under the influence of the present war.

The Pastor’s Wife, by the author of “Elizabeth and Her German Garden,” etc. Extracts from a recent review in The Masses.—better than H. G. Wells. . . . the story of almost every woman who marries against any man’s wishes is a disturbing book. Net, $1.35.

The Revolt of the Angels, by Anatole France. Contains a “chapter which all revolutionists ought to read for the good of their souls and minds.” $1.75.

The Bomb, by Frank Harris. Emma Goldman says: “It’s not the monument at Waldheim that will keep alive these great heroes—heroes in their innocence and simplicity—but it will be The Bomb.” Published, $1.50; our price, 75c.


The Little Angel, by L. N. Andreyev. You are acquainted with Andreyev’s plays. But his stories represent his best work. Ask anyone who knows. Here are fifteen of them. $1.25.

The Old House and Other Stories. Translated from the Russian of Feodor Sologub by John Comr. Price, $1.50.

Green Mansions, by W. H. Hudson: introduction by John Galsworthy. A romance of the tropical forest which combines scientific observation of nature, poetic imagination, and polished style. $1.50.


Murder, by David Greenberg. A strong, powerful, red-blooded novel of the underworld, his way from the cradle to the electric chair. 600 pages, cloth. Send $1.00. Postpaid.


The Alibi, by George Allan England. One of the biggest crime stories in years, vivid, fascinating and thrilling. By the author of “Darkness and Dawn.” Send $1.35.

The Wandering Jew, by Eugene Sue. The complete novel in one volume of 652 pages. Regular price, $2.90. Our price, $1.25.

In Midsummer Days, by Strindberg. A collection of stories which show the keen philosophy and good humor of the great writer. Price. $1.25 net.

RELIGION

The Study of Religion, by Morris Janov. Jr. Discusses the methods of the subject, and shows that religious origins and developments cannot be understood unless studied in relation to the general historical conditions. Send $1.00.

History of the Conflict Between Science and Religion, by Wm. J. Draper. A classic on the subject. $1.75 postpaid.

The Rise of Religious Liberty in America, by Sanford H. Cobb. A historical work of prime importance. $2.00, postpaid.

The Revolutionary Revolt of To-day, by Prof. James Shotwell. $1.10, postpaid.

New Wars for Old, by John Haynes Holmes. A “statement of radical pacifism” by the leading advocate of non-resistance. $1.50.

An Economic Interpretation of the Constitution, by Prof. Charles A. Beard. A valuable and stimulating book by a thorough student of the subject. $2.25, postpaid.

Criminality and Economic Conditions, by William Adrian Bonger; tr. by H. P. Horton; with an editorial preface by E. Lindsey; and with an introd. by Pk. H. Norton. $2.50 net. First half of book sets forth, in extracts, the theories, methods, and results of all prior writers dealing with the subject. Second half takes up the different phases of criminality.

Social Freedom, by Elsie Clews Parsons. A study of the conflicts between social classification and personality. Reviewed by Floyd Dell in this issue. Price. $1.00.

POETRY AND DRAMA

Spoon River Anthology, by Edgar Lee Masters. “The richest mine of the new poetry that has yet been opened up.” Price. $1.25.

The Drama of Love and Death, by Edward Carpenter. A study of human evolution and transfiguration. $1.30 net.

Rhymes and Vowymes, by Fuller Miller. “Readable, radical, liberal in thought, and all popular.”—Portland Oregonian. “He has projected against the world a new form of future, verse which he calls vowlymes.”—S. P. Call. “The verse itself, like the preface, in which these forms and the plea for freedom from conventions is made, is revolutionary.”—Oregon Journal. Cloth. 60c.; leather, 80c.

Poems, by G. K. Chesterton. His verse, no less than his prose, contains delicious humor and deep philosophically. $1.25 net.

Three new plays just received from England:

Youth, by Miles Malleson. 40 cents.

The Dear Departing, from the Russian of Andrei. 25 cents.

Theatre of the Soul, from the Russian of Erevenof. 25 cents.

The three books sent for $1.00 postpaid.

The Terrible Meek, by Charles Rann Kennedy, author of “The Servant in the House,” “The Winter Feast.” Here is the strangest play ever written—a play as dramatic and thrilling to read as to act. Think of it—it is a play to be played in the dark! Send $1.10.

Humorous Poems, by Ignatius Brennan. Don’t read this book if life to you is dull, dark, dismal. If, however, you see laughter lurking even amidst the crashing storm, then get busy. And, after you read it, don’t lend it, for your friend will pass it along and you’ll never get it back. $1.25 postpaid.

Today and Tomorrow, by Charles Haskin Towne. Author of “Manhattan,” “The Quiet Singer,” and several other volumes. Mr. Towne is recognized as one of the foremost of the younger American poets. Net, $1.00.

Plays, by Lord Dunsany. The Gods of the Mountain; The Golden Doom; King Argimens and the Unknown Warrior; The Glittering Gate; The Lost Silk Hat. $1.25 net.

The Awakening of Spring, by Frank Wedekind. A tragedy of childhood dealing with the sex question in its relationship to the education of children. Fifth edition. Cloth, gilt top, deckle edge, $1.25 net. By mail, $1.35.

“...and Other Poets,” by Louis Untermeyer, author of “Challenge,” etc. $1.25 net. The modern Parnassians, Frost, Maskefield, Masters, Yeats, Amy Lowell, Noyes, Pound, “E. P. A.” etc., are the victims in this irreverent volume of mirth and thought provoking parodies.


Our Book Shop will give you prompt and courteous service. We have convinced skeptics that we can fill orders by mail carefully and efficiently. And we will gladly answer book inquiries, even if no books are ordered. The Masses is aided by The Masses Book Shop and we ask our subscribers to send us their orders. Thank you.

THE MASSES BOOK SHOP
33 West 4th Street
New York
PSYCHOLOGY, ETC.

The Psychology of Relaxation, by George Thomas White Patrick. A notable and unusually interesting volume explaining the importance of sports, laughter, prosytna, the use of alcohol, and even war for furnishing needed relaxation to the higher nervous centers. $1.25 net.

Psychology of the Unconscious, by Dr. C. G. Jung. A remarkable book by the foremost authority on this subject. $4.00 net.

The Sexual Life of Woman, Medical Edition, $5.00. New and enlarged 5th edition. $7.50. This is the first time that Prof. Heinrich Kisch's exhaustive study of the sexual life of women is obtainable by the lay reader. Jour- nists, officers, social workers and women will especially value this famous work of inestimable value.

Love, by Dr. Bernard S. Talley. A Treatise on the Sexual Scene Attr. The work is an outgrowth of Freud's method of psycho-analysis. The translator is a member of the New York Department of Cornell University and the New York Post- Graduate Medical School. $4.

Three Introductory Lectures on the Science of Thought, by F. Max Muller. 35c.

Three Lectures on the Science of Language, by F. Max Muller. 35c.

A Beginner's Psychology, by Edward Braggs. A complete work on the psychology of the sciences. $1.00 net.

The Freudian Wish, by Edwin B. Holt. A remarkably clear and interesting exposition of Freud's theories with special consideration of their bearing upon ethics. Second printing. $1.25 net.

Thinking as a Science, by HenryHazlitt. Telling us how to think, and how to search for the rules and methods of procedure which will help us in thinking creatively, original- ly and, not least of all, surely, correctly. Net $1.00.

SCIENCE

Philosophy of Ancient India, by Richard Garbe. 35c.

The Evolution of Man, Bolchev Darwinism up to the present time in little more than 100 pages. 50c. $1.00 net.

The Life and Exploits of Jehovah, by Henry Hazlitt. A complete work on the subject of Jehovah. $3.00.

SEX

Sex in Relation of Society, by Have- lock Ellis. An indispensable book to the student of social problems of sex. Price, $1.25 postpaid. Sold only in U.S.A.

Problems of Sex, by Prof. Thomson and Geddies. Regular price, 50c; by M. B. S., 35c. postpaid.

The Sexual Life, by C. W. Malcom, M.D. Third edition. Price, $3.00. Sold only in U.S.A.

The Life of a Man, by C. W. Malcom, M.D. Regular price, $5.00; by M. B. S., 35c. postpaid.

The Life, by C. W. Malcom, M.D. Regular price, $5.00; by M. B. S., 35c. postpaid.

Self-Reliance, by Dorothy Canfield Fisher. This book helps children to help themselves which every sane mother knows to be true, but which many parents may fail to point out. Hurry and press of domestic life. $1.00 net.


SOCIETY ETC.

Above the Battle, by Romain Rolland (France), author of "Jean Christ." These essays were sup- pressed in France because they den- nounced war and called upon social- ists in France and Germany to declare a strike. $1.00 net.

A B C of Socialism, by I. G. Savoy and M. O. Teck. This book is not academic. It is written for the everyday reader who, having but a few hours a day wishes to gain a fundamental knowledge of the basic principles of Socialism. It is a book of big ideas in small words. 60c postpaid.

Study in Socialism, by Benedict Elder. An attack on Socialism. Send $1.10.


The Theoretical System of Karl Marx, by Louis H. Boudin, author of "Socialism and War." It is an excellent synthesis of the Socialist ideas of the world, history, society, etc. $1.00 net.

Books on Anarchism, from Emma Goldman, 20 East 125th street, New York. Send for a copy of MOTHER EARTH and "Marriage and Love."


Woman Under Socialism, by August Bebel. One of the greatest Social- ists books ever written. This is the book from which garbled extracts are taken to show that Socialists advocate "free love." Price, $1.30 postpaid.


Socialism in America, by John Macy. A vivid and interesting description of Socialist principles and tactics from the revolutionary point of view. Price, $1 net.

The Twentieth Century MoiJere: Ber- nard Shaw, 1910. Translated from the French by Eeden and Cedar Paul.


HAY FEVER, ITS PREVENTION AND CURE, by W. C. Holloper, M.D., $1.37 postpaid.

With Walt Whitman in Camden, by Henry William. A book of conversations, with many important letters and manuscripts. Profusely illustrated with uncommon plates. Complete in eight or more volumes. Three volumes now ready. $3.50 a volume.


Above the Battle, by Romain Rolland. No saner counsel has yet been heard above the turmoil of the con- flict." He sees his mission in up- holding the ideals of brotherhood that national hatreds have broken down." $1.00.

The War in Eastern Europe, described by John Reed and recorded by Boardman Robinson—two wel- come contributors to THE Masses. They viewed not only the battle front, but beheld the homely, un- familiar life of the people at the crucial period. $2.50 postpaid.

Culture and War, by Prof. Simon N. Paton. He does not discuss the merits of the present war, but explains the psychology of the German and Russian stereotypes, contrasting them with those of the Englishman, with a view to a clearer understanding between nations than now exists. 60s cents.

HEADACHES: HOW TO PREVENT THEM, by W. H. Riley, M.D. Thirty years member Ballentine Creek Sanitarium Medical Staff. Send $1.25.

THE MEMOIRS OF A PHYSICIAN. Trans- lated from the French of Victor Versezey, by Simeon Linden. Ed- ited by Henry Pleasant, Jr., M.D. This is the chief work of a Russian writer of the first rank. $1.50.

The Social Significance of the Modern Drama, by Emma Goldman. "The most illuminating and prominent works of Scandinavian, German, French, Irish, English, and Russian drama. It is revolutionary only as truth is revolutionary; its teachings are consistent with Miss Goldman's long battle for her own ideas of growth and of freedom."—The Re- view of Reviews. $1.00 net.


German Philosophy and Politics, by John Dewey. An original and inspiring Internationalism. $1.00.

(Continued on page 30)
Some Recent Workings of the Censorship

In the past six months six radical periodicals have been suppressed by the Post Office Department without the formality of a trial and without possibility of redress: Revolt, of New York; Alarm, of Chicago; The Blast, of San Francisco; Voluntad (Spanish); Volni Listy (Bohemian); and Regeneration (English-Spanish). All of these papers, except the last one, were denied the privileges of the mails on the grounds that the Post Office Department "did not like the tone of the paper." Regeneration, as will be remembered, was handled more crudely: the Federal Department of Justice confiscated its presses on the ground that an article which it published, advising the Mexican people not to trust the Carranza government, was "treason." And at the same time two of its editors, the Magon brothers, were beaten into insensibility by detectives, and the entire editorial board was indicted.

The Post Office examination and censorship of mail is strictly illegal. Several times the Post Office has asked Congress to grant it definite rights in this matter, and Congress has refused. Cases which have been carried up to the United States Supreme Court have been decided on the legal merits of the particular case—the Supreme Court has refused to pass on the principle of the Post Office censorship.

This method of suppressing publications without trial was begun during the administration of Theodore Roosevelt, when La Questions Sociale, of Paterson, N. J., was so forbidden to publish or circulate.

We bring these instances of lawless tyranny to the attention of our readers, to further prove that the governing class of the United States has not the slightest respect for that "law and order" which it professes to uphold against "dangerous revolutionists" like us.

Passing from philosophy and economics to art and literature, we catch a glimpse of the reason why America is so hopelessly inferior in artistic and philosophical expression to the rest of the world.

We find in the literary section of the Boston Transcript a notice to the effect that "the Committee on Suppression of Cincinnati and New York" has instituted proceedings to suppress Theodore Dreiser's great novel, "The Genius," on the grounds of "immorality."

We also happen to know that "The Rainbow," by D. H. Lawrence, one of the finest novels ever written in the English language, has been barred from publication here—after appearing in England—by the threat of the Society for the Suppression of Vice on the grounds of "obscenity."

Then there are "Hagar Revelly" and "Homo Sapiens" and an infinite number of other books. Likewise the publishers of translations of Russian literature have been warned against introducing here some of the greatest books of all time—which are freely available to the public of every other country of the world, including China.

In the theatre we have a recent example in the outrageous censorship of the Russian Ballet in New York, and the stupid suppression of serious plays in Boston, Philadelphia and Chicago; while undisturbed, the silly and lascivious burlesque show, musical comedy and vaudeville act go on. The moral is, of course: "As long as you are vulgar you are safe."

And we have with us always what the Little Review calls "the most perfect system of Birth Control for genius and art ever devised"—The National Board of Censorship.

But the latest activity of our national prudery is in the realm of painting. Jerome Blum, a painter of reputation, returned from China this spring, bringing with him a little collection of Chinese and Japanese paintings. Among them was a book containing eight original paintings on silk by one of the ancient Chinese masters, and a Japanese scroll of exquisite workmanship.

The Customs Appraiser of the Port of Chicago declared these two works obscene, saying "they would arouse the passions of an ordinary man."

Upon this evidence the Collector of the Port ordered them to be destroyed, informing Mr. Blum that he had laid himself open to thousands of dollars in fines and five years imprisonment. Mr. Blum offered to paint out the objectionable parts, to return them to China, or to present them to some museum. But the Customs official's decree was: "Art or no Art, all paintings of the kind are to be burned." So the two paintings were destroyed!

No one of the slightest education need be told that all Art—and all religion—arose from the desire of humanity to recreate for the hearts of men the mystery of the creation and reproduction of life. The Art of the Orient is almost solely concerned with these subjects. And not only that; the steeple of every village church in the United States, the form of the cross on its altar, the shape of a bishop's hat—are all "obscene" phallic symbols.

We wonder how the Customs officials of the Port of Chicago can bear to go around carrying the shameful male organs of generation. But perhaps, after all, they haven't any.

---
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THE MASQUE OF THE RED DEATH
The Tempting of Anthony

WHEN Rose-Ann telephoned, inviting him to come over to tea that afternoon at her apartment, he was disturbed. He was rather afraid of Rose-Ann. She was so wide-awake, vivid, and sure, that he was always left rather dazed by her, as if the lightning had struck in his immediate vicinity. "I wonder," he had mused, "what life will be like when the world is full of girls like that—as I have predicted it will be? Would I really like it?"

A foolish question, as he had perceived on further musing. The real question was, would that kind of world like him? He feared not. Rose-Ann disapproved of him. She liked him, to be sure, but with a tolerant affection which did not conceal a certain hard scorn of his masculine uselessness. He had failed her on several occasions—the latest time when she had wanted him to be one of a deputation to go and see a governor about something. He had hesitated and deputations, and tried to beg off on that score.

"What," she had said with contempt blazing in her blue eyes, "do our personal likes and dislikes matter, in a case like this? Are you interested in the Cause, or are you not?"

So he braced himself for the encounter. Of course she would want him to do something for the public good. Rose-Ann had never wasted a whole tea on a mere private friendship. He wondered what it was this time, and hoped that he was not again condemned to make himself despicable in her eyes.

He found out after his second cup. For fifteen minutes she had given an excellent imitation of a young woman who enjoyed having a young man to tea. She did it well, just as she did everything she set out to do. She inquired lightly of his activities, touched humorously upon her own, remarked upon their common friends, and even threw in a dash of gossip—an example of her histrionic capacities, for Rose-Ann was utterly incapable of being interested in gossip. It was a matter of complete indifference to her that Olga had quarreled with Hubert and become reconciled to her husband. Yet she related the fact with an apparently amused twinkle, and did not so much as raise the question of the injury the whole affair had done to the "social usefulness" of those concerned. Anthony had fallen almost wholly under the illusion she had intended to create, he was unsuspecting and disarmed, when she said casually:

"Do you remember that you once promised to do something for me?"

He remembered. Protest, at the time, that he could not make speeches or collect money or attend committee meetings, he had offered her his fountain pen. "Tell me what to write," he had said, "and I will write it. An article—a series of articles—anything you like!"

"The time has come to do it," she said, and he saw by the steel glint in her eyes that she expected to have to hold him to his word.

"About Hughes," she said. He sat up. Of course! Why hadn't he guessed?

"Well," he said defiantly, "I won't! Promise or no promise!"

"Why not?" she asked calmly.

"See here, Rose-Ann! I believe I must."

"Do you?" she said with a mocking lift of her eyebrows. "How nice of you!"

"But it's only one of seven million things that I believe in!"

"I see," she said, with a faint, damning smile.

"No, you don't see, Rose-Ann. In the first place, I consider myself as good a suffragist as you are. Better, perhaps. I believe in votes for women in spite of the follies that I know you'll commit with it. The folly, for instance, of voting for a cheap politician just because he comes out in favor of the Constitutional Amendment. Those things are temporary. It is a folly which I myself might commit under similar circumstances?"

"Might you really?" she said ironically.

"I won't call it folly in you," he said. "You've read Hughes' speeches. You know the caliber of mind he has—I don't think you're fooled for a minute. It's just your hardness. You have a sense of power—and you're going to use it. Reward and punish. Make and break. And here's your chance. I can't help admiring it in you. But when I think of the other things at stake—"

"Chief of which," said Rose-Ann, "is—?"

"Peace," said Anthony. "Do you think I'm going to help, even if I could, in electing a man with the boyish notions of foreign policy displayed by Hughes? I'm not that kind of suffragist."

"Neither am I," said Rose-Ann coolly.

While Anthony stared she went on. "Thank you for expressing yourself so clearly about the situation. I was afraid you might think me inconsistent. As a matter of fact I am working for Wilson."

"Oh," he said, angrily.

"Will you make a series of articles out of what you have just said?" she went on. "I think it would help. And you might put in a few nice things about Wilson."

"Yes," he said sullenly. "And a few nice things about Carranza and the Kaiser. I suppose you refer to the fact that Wilson has kept us out of the war.

Well, I think those two deserve some credit, too. As to that, I'm not certain that we have kept out of war. What do you call this Mexican business?"

"You're hard to suit," said Rose-Ann. "Tell me, whom are you going to vote for?"

"Debs," he muttered.

"I know, Anthony, that you don't keep as close track of politics as I do. But the Socialist candidate this year is not Debs. His name is Benson—Allan L. Benson."

"Thanks," he said. "I'll remember that."

"Now see here," said Rose-Ann earnestly. "You've got to be a good sport and play the game right. This is the most critical election we've ever had. It's very likely going to decide the destinies of America. I know you're a Socialist. But are you going to stand aside and let other people do the deciding? I haven't ceased to be a suffragist; not for a minute; and I don't expect you to stop being a Socialist. But—Anthony, this is a time when all our little party tactics come to look childish, in the face of a terrible necessity. We cease to be suffragists and Socialists—we're just human beings making our choice as to what the history of our country is going to be for the next generation."

"It's true," said Anthony, "that when it comes to questions of life and death I find it hard to remember my politics. But—are you asking me to entrust the future to the man who wrote the Latium note and sent the army into Mexico?"

"Yes," said Rose-Ann. "I am. He had to. And he's going to take it out again. You know it."

"I know he believes in peace," said Anthony slowly, "and I know that he put through a staggering military bill."

"And you know why," she said.

"Maybe I do. And maybe I only think I do. Maybe there's a good reason behind every change of policy. I tried to believe in him. But he requires, I must say, a faith like the old-fashioned one in God. Though he slay me, yet will I trust in him." I haven't the temperament for it, really."

"But Hughes?" asked Rose-Ann:

"Unfortunate country," he said, "may have to choose between them; but I don't."

"You're a pig," said Rose-Ann.

"No," he said. "It's just because I believe it is important. And because I still have some self-respect left. If we had the old Greek system of ostracism, I would vote to exile Hughes for four years, and see what Wilson would do with us. But vote for Wilson—approve of what he has done—pretend to believe in him—I couldn't." He rose.

"And so," she said, with a sigh for a wasted hour, "I've been giving tea and cakes to a man who's going to assist himself from the polls on election day! Oh, just as useless, vote for—"

"Debs," he said firmly.
THE PARTING

They had decided to leave each other for a time.

After many months of strain between them something had broken in her and she knew they must have a rest from each other.

Her unsatisfied spirit had pressed forward until it had wrenched itself loose from her reason and found release down the dark alleys of instinct where it had pleased to be unguided.

He had told her one more foolish obvious lie, covering and hiding away from her the unknown nature and processes of him which she felt would be the source of fulfillment to her if she could only reach them and tap them. After the long, unsuccessful pursuit of his spirit she had a sudden complete sense of her frustration.

She was mad with jealousy because her spirit had never been satisfied. She had never been able to ascertain him, and the intellectual longing of her heart to know him and possess him and be saved by him turned into a flood of hatred that flowed into every nerve and muscle, galvanizing her into a passionate effort to be saved from him.

She had strived blindly at him with all her strength without knowing what she was doing. Her consciousness rushed out of her upon him in a storm of blows as though to thrust him out of existence.

As soon as she came to herself she was frightened at what had happened. She told him she must go away and give her a chance to get hold of herself again. She must have a rest from the riddle of his uncertain quality. She longed for peace to come to her.

He agreed that it was necessary to go for her sake. For himself he felt no uncertainty in his feeling for her except at those times when she became unlike herself; then he felt no love for her. He agreed cheerfully to go away for a time and work.

She tried to pierce the motives of his acquiescence. She analyzed his reasons for taking with him the clothes that he took and the orders that he gave for putting away what he left behind. She felt unable to understand how he felt, what went on in him, and she suspected that he tried to give her the answers that she wanted and not the true ones. How could she ever know this about his nature and his acts? She felt a darkness surrounding him and his ways and she wondered if it really was his darkness or the limitation of her own light. She felt a mystery about him that seemed evil, yet she wondered whether the sense that it was evil did not come from herself. She doubted herself as well as him.

When he came to say goodbye, the pain of it was awful of her. She felt she was giving up, surrendering her life. Yet she did not ask him to stay for fear of giving up her reason.

His tears flowed with her. He tried to comfort her.

"It will be better when I come back," he said. "You will see, dearest. You need a rest from me."

Her heart seemed to turn to mud in her. She knew that not even she would not begin it over again. She would not have him back unless she could know him; and she could not get to know him when he was away any more than when they were together.

All of the afternoon of the day that he went the hours dragged themselves across her. She lay and could not move under the weight of the day. Towards night, when she got up and went downstairs to see people again, she found everything had taken on a pallid, sickly hue. All the accustomed things had a dead look. The furniture, and all the inanimate familiar objects were like symbols that had lost their meaning. And the people about her appeared diminished and ineffective. She felt insulated from them—out of contact—neutralized. Their voices sounded dead in her ears, and her own voice sounded still more dead.

He was gone around her in the nearby places and far into the world for a spot that carried life in its remembrance, but the whole earth was like a burnt ember to her imagination. A place of death people with shades. She longed for true death—for appeasement—cessation.

As evening wore on and she sat listlessly with the others, all of her being seemed to her to stream out in invisible antennae seeking contact and finding none.

She wondered where he was—what he was thinking and what look was upon his face. She tried to penetrate the empty space between them and reach him—to know what he was like and what he was feeling. She did not wish him back for fear of the exposure to her and the unceremonious manner in which she longed to go to him for fear of the imperfect meeting.

There was no goal of aspiration for desire—no direction for her longing to take. She had become a storm center of unrelated emotion. Her soul was a deep whirlpool. She felt she was going mad...

In desperation she turned to movement of some kind, and ran out on the brown house across the hill with a friend. She stormed across the hill and each heat of her heart was a hammer of pain, reluctant to fall. The perspiration streamed from her face and body, the blood poured through her arteries as she forced herself along, and the whole of herself seemed a terrible burden that she carried for no reason and to no place.

Coming back alone, she got lost in the darkness. She plunged through a thicket that seemed a dull and endless growth of little mean natural things without dignity or nobility. Shrubs—grasses—stones—a mere confusion of small and horrible claws, stretching out to pull her. Her intrepidity was still unused. The spitefulness of nature could not make her battle with it. Her heel was torn from her shoe, and slapping on her skirt she came down knocking her forehead against a tree trunk. The pain and anger she felt were not strong enough to assuage her.

The fireflies staggered on all sides of her—lost—without direction. All nature seemed a welter of stupid instinct without goal. In all the night there was no sign that nature held out any hope.

She wondered where he was and if he suffered as she did. She longed for him to suffer that her suffering might become valid. Unless he too knew the agony of separation her suffering was not authentic. She did not know why this seemed true.

Again she longed for death. As she had once longed for possession of his spirit, now she longed for annihilation. But she knew that annihilation was not for her. In the night in bed she lay waiting for the day. Unfulfilled she lay waiting. Unable to think—phrases of half-thoughts persisted—beating their way through her consciousness—meaningless to her—carrying no vitality. Anemic images floated by her—aimless and drifting.

The day came and went, dragging her down with it. It seemed to her that it was a degradation to suffer as she did. She felt a sense of shame and inferiority at the dulness of her being to all things outside of her—at her unresponsiveness to life. Then she felt most truly lost—knowing there was no dignity in her pain and that she was unable to save herself from it.

At the end of that day she sank lower in her own estimation. That was when she admitted to herself that it was probably better not to count on him that she was going through such agony. She remembered that she had gone through similar severances and at this remembrance she knew that she might not be at her ultimate agony.

At this point she turned her revolt back against herself. He became exonerated. She was the culpable one, carrying darkness about her and evil in her hidden heart.

She waited for the next morning to recall him. She told herself that he might as well return. She felt that she could love him better since she found the flaw of pain to lie hidden in herself—unrelated to him. She longed for the reassurance that would come from his presence, when he would be near her, exonerated by her. She longed to forgive—to ask forgiveness—to take the blame.

But these thoughts did not hold. When he came back she became hard to him again.

Her pain remained diminished until his coming, and she had counted upon her forgiveness and understanding of him to alleviate it. But when she found him cheerful, contented and not very moved, she resisted all his efforts to be sweet to her. She saw that he was sorry for her overwrought nerves, but that he did not feel what she was feeling. And she hardened to him.

But he sat by her and stroked her hand and her hair. Gradually the tension in her relaxed and she felt the cessation of pain that she had known in imagination. Soon she was soothing utterly, her heart lightened. She listened dreamily to what he was telling her.

He was speaking of the terrible evening before. He was telling her what he had been doing while she was in the wood. "I stopped off, dearest, and went into that funny place—the Palmiscone Coney Island, you know. You should see those people—those girls! I looked for them for a long time, first sexually, then aesthetically. It's queer how differently I can see things—the same things. Both ways give me pleasure...."

For a long time—a long hour a guess—I watched a man hitting at a mark with balls! He won every time. Dearest—he was piling up all sorts of prizes he won—tea set after tea set—quite good ones. I felt so sorry for the poor Chinaman who had the booth. I was awfully interested watching them all." He went on telling his adventures like a child.

There seemed no longer anything unknown or mysterious about him. She knew completely that his pain was unrelated to outer things. Then she felt that they were truly parted.

Thearkin was still there appall ing her, but it was deep in her self. He could not cause her any pain.

She shivered a little through the cloud of drugging magnetism from his fingers. It soothed her, but it did not enhance her. It was like a drug too often received.

She knew that the momentary alleviation that she secured from her harness would pass away again, leaving her craving for a certain satisfaction, and knowledge. She felt lost once more. Lost in her own depths, the foundation unknown and irrecoverable.

Again she waited for another day to break. Still uncertain—weary and unfilled.
THE SLEEPERS

Moonlight and music and the sound of waves
Reached out and held us there,
Each close to each,
Upon the night-blurred and deserted beach.
She sang an old, imperishable air
Softly...and from forgotten graves
A mist of memories arose
As if in answer to an unspoken call.
A soft and intimate breeze
Blew over us and over all
The blue and faintly-singing spaces;
Over the quiet and the salty balm,
Over the velvet skies and seas,
Over our half-concealed and cloudy faces.
That strange and rosy wind
Mellowed the distance, smoothing down the thinned,
Sharp edges of the sickle-moon,
Bringing the night so close
That, when our fingers clasped,
We grasped and held its greatness and calm
Warmly within each palm.

And, as her head sank back,
And the breath of the night came slower,
A drowsy voice grew out of the black
As her own voice sank lower.

Something caught her unspoken word.
It answered and mingled with her;
Their breath blended and I heard
The voice of Sleep and her sleepy voice
Singing together...

The wind crept up on the sands and stopped;
The voices dropped.
Our fingers loosened; the night imposed
The weight of all sleepers upon us and closed
Our heavy eyes...

Then, as we lay,
I stretched my hand into the skies
And plunged it through that shining spray;
Pushing aside the cloudy bars,
And grasped the moon like a scythe;
And cut down great, wide swathes of stars—
Reaping the heavens with a blithe
Song till the blue fields were bare.
Then, when the last gold bud was shaken free
And all the silver flowers of the night
Had rained and heaped about her there,
I threw the bright scythe into the sea...

There was a hissing and an end of light.
And we slept—dreamlessly.

Louis Untermeyer.

THE SUN

Now autumn, and that sadness, as of love,
Heroic in immortal solitude;
Those veins of flaming passion through the wood;
But in the blue unburdened infinite above
A shining circle like the light of truth,
Self-poising, tranquil, his desire sublime,
Whose motion is the measurement of time,
Whose step is morning, and his smile is youth.

No passion burns upon the livid earth
Whose stain can tint that circle, or whose cry
Can rout the tranquility receiving sky.
All passion, all its crimson stream, from birth
To murder, bloom and pestilential blight,
All flows beneath the sanction of his light.

Max Eastman.

FIRE-BIRD

Why are you so listless, O strangely beautiful bird?
Can you not lift those great blazing wings?
Too long have you hovered near me
Making light my way where I stumble in the dark.

Rose Winslow.
Birth Control

The fight is not yet won by any means. The next battle is soon to take place, when Jessie Ashley and Ida Rauh will be brought to trial for giving away pamphlets containing scientific information about birth-control. According to the law which defines such information as "obscene," they may be sent to prison for a long term of years and fined heavily.

Their action in giving away these pamphlets was a deliberate and public ignoring of a law which they felt must be publicly defied before its sway can be broken; though it is secretly defied by the governing classes before whose judicial representatives these "law-breakers" will be solemnly brought to trial.

These trials must be made to serve the purpose of the original "crime"—by bringing to the notice of intelligent people the preposterous law against which the idealism, the hope and the sanity of our freest souls has flung itself in what should be a final struggle.

You can help. You are not asked—as yet—to risk your freedom in this cause: that is being done for you by the defendants. You are asked to watch for the trials, tell your friends about them, and if you live in New York City, go yourself to the trial and see for yourself what happens. You are asked to help advertise the fight, to help provide that audience in the face of which it will become harder and harder for judges and prosecuting attorneys and legislators to countenance the insane cruelty of this law.

Memo

I wish to be notified of the dates of trials of those arrested in the Birth-Control propaganda cases.

(To be filled out and mailed to The Masses)

The Gold-Sprayed Voice of the People

In the gilded chamber, with its cushioned floors and softest draperies, where the heroes of Democracy met in conclaves, he arose to debate—a huge bulk of well-fed mankind, with smooth-shaven jaws and gleaming finger-nails, that hung like pouches over the glistening band of white around the vein-swollen neck. His voice was stern, his voice harsh in its deep earnestness, as he swept the air with a hand jewelled with gleaming finger-nails. And courageously proclaimed his faith in democratic ideals.

"Children must be kept at work in the mills. If they are to be kept out of jail." Max Endicott.

This Isn't Wilson—It Is Julian Pierce

What Happened to Pierce

Julian Pierce is a speaker, newspaper correspondent and national committeeman of the Socialist Party.

Three nights a week he addressed crowds on Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D. C.

A recruiting station with tent and bugle-blowing soldiers was on the opposite side of the street. Placards announced: "Your country needs you." Note the word "country," instead of Standard Oil, Guggenheim and others.

Well, Pierce criticized militarism. He even went so far as to call the soldiers "fifty-cents-a-day patriots."

It's hard enough to get recruits, without having a street speaker about one socialist idea just as a recruiting officer thinks the boys are going to nibble.

The rumor went around that the officers had told the soldiers to "get" Pierce.

A cordon of policemen surrounded Pierce to keep the pathetic young patriots from attacking him.

The crowd was with him.

But finally the chief of police had to revoke his speaker's license.

Pierce was arrested, and is now out on bail, awaiting trial for "inciting to riot."

In the national capital of the nation, a man cannot raise his voice against the methods of the militarists? Imperial Rome will look like a gentle, tolerant Democracy if the plans and purposes of militarism and big business continue to go through.

Arthur Young.
Why They Hate Ford

John Reed

HENRY FORD started with the idea that everyone ought to be able to own an automobile; and it has led him far. He saw that the only way an automobile could be put in the reach of all was to manufacture it in great quantities and sell it at low prices. In order to do this, Ford spent years simplifying his machinery, increasing the swiftness of production, and organizing the efficiency of his workmen.

Then he turned his attention to the people themselves. He had been a poorly-paid mechanized himself, and, unlike other self-made men, he had not forgotten that low wages, overwork, and no leisure, made bad workmen and bad human beings. Moreover, he was absolutely ignorant of the economic theories of the seventeenth century—which was lucky. He said: "It costs as much for a poor man to bring up a family as it does for a rich man. . . . The world's wealth is concentrated in too few hands. . . . A workman has the right to what he produces—as nearly as that can be determined. . . . The only way to mend a bad world is to create a right one and the only way to create a right one is to give men enough to live on so they won't be driven into destruction."

This is Sunday School stuff, of course—if he had not made up his mind to act on it. That is what bothered the other employers of labor: Ford's acting on his beliefs. With such ideas as that, if he really believes in them, you can readily see how far a man would go.

And when Ford says a thing he means it, in the most literal sense. For example, many men cried out that the European War was a horror, and that peace must be brought as soon as possible. But only Henry Ford chartered that amazing Peace Ship and naïvely started out to bring peace with his own two hands.

When he talked of the profit-sharing plan, a roar of protest went up from manufacturers all over the country. Ford was going to disrupt the labor market, raise the wage standard, create chaos in the industrial world. Even his own business associates were horrified and opposed him with all their strength in his mad efforts to ruin the Ford Motor Company. But he simply answered: "It will make them work better. Don't you worry about that. I know how they feel about it."

One hears a great deal about the "benevolent despotism" exercised by the Ford Company over its employees—and there is something in it, as I intend to show; but it remains a fact that, if Ford were as effective as it is, for example, German industrial paternalism, in keeping workmen down, our most farsighted industrial barons would not fear it as they undoubtedly do. No. The truth is that this new Ford plan is turning into something dangerously like a real experiment in democracy, and from it may spring a real menace to capitalism.

In inaugurating his profit-sharing plan, Henry Ford upset several of our most sacred industrial and economic laws: for instance, "that no manufacturing institution can successfully employ more than 5,000 men in a single unit." Ford increased that number to 30,000, and now plans to triple that number. Next, he smashed all the doctrine which says that the more hours you work a man the more work you get out of him; he voluntarily reduced his workmen's hours from 10 to 8 a day. And finally, the Times' pet theory, "low wages are necessary to keep laborers at their work," he violated by establishing a $5 a day minimum wage.

Let us see how this worked out:

Number of motor cars made and shipped in February, 1914, by 16,000 men working 10 hours a day. . . .

16,000

Number of cars made and shipped in February, 1924 (after the new plan went into effect), by 15,800 men working 8 hours a day .

26,000

Number of cars made during the year 1914-1915 by 30,000 men working 8 hours a day, $5,000—about $500 a month.

Before the inauguration of the plan, a social survey of the company's 16,000 employees was made, followed, five months later, by a second survey including only the beneficiaries of profit-sharing, then numbering 9,251. Of 16,000 men at the start, 5,872 had bank accounts totaling $696,418—an average of $62. Of the 9,251 profit-sharers at the second survey, 7,549 had bank accounts totaling $1,603,768—
an average of $737.86. In January, 1914, Ford employees had $346,431 in banks. In January, 1924, $5,689,296. In 1914 Ford employees carried about $5,000,000 in life insurance; in 1916, just under $15,000,000. In 1914 they owned a little over $500,000 worth of homes and lots; in 1916, $3,500,000. In 1914 homes and lots on contract came to a little over $5,000,000; in 1916 they had increased to about $55,000,000.

In January, 1914, about 47 per cent of the employees had good home conditions, 41½ per cent lived in good neighborhoods; 30 per cent had fair home conditions; 40 per cent lived in fair neighborhoods; 23 per cent had poor home conditions, 19 per cent lived in poor neighborhoods. In January, 1914, 87 per cent had good home conditions, and 81 per cent lived in good neighborhoods; 11 per cent had fair home conditions, 18 per cent lived in fair neighborhoods; less than 2 per cent. lived in poor neighborhoods. In January, 1916, 87 per cent had good home conditions, and 81 per cent lived in good neighborhoods; 11 per cent had fair home conditions, 18 per cent lived in fair neighborhoods; less than 2 per cent. lived in poor neighborhoods. The Chiefs of Police of Highland Park and of Hamtramck, where most of the Ford employees live, state that since the profit-sharing plan went into effect Ford employees have been almost never arrested, and that the improvement in homes and rooming-houses is incredible.

Since the profit-sharing plan went into effect, no Union has ever tried to organize the Ford plant, and no complaint of a Union man working at the Ford plant has ever been made to the Union headquarters in Detroit.

It seems remarkable, then, that there should be such hostility to Ford Industrialism on the part of employers of labor. The reason, however, is obvious. It lies in the stark simplicity of Henry Ford's mental processes. For Mr. Ford is only secondly interested in making money.

It must be remembered that in paying a minimum wage of $5 a day Henry Ford felt that his workmen had a right to it. But his advisers and business associates managed to persuade him that it was ruinous to pay out such a flood of wealth upon the unprepared laboring classes. So they elaborated a plan by which, above the regular wages per hour, a "share of the profits" was added to bring the minimum stipend of unskilled workmen up to $5 a day; and the Sociological Department of the Ford plant took charge of the distribution. A smug chrysanthemum's morality was set up as a standard to which profit-sharers must attain. Profit-sharing was made a charity.

In order to share profits a man had to lead "a clean, sober and industrious life, and be of thrifty habits." Every unmarried male employee over 21 who could so qualify was eligible. Every married man over 22 who could so qualify, and "whose domestic relations were satisfactory," was eligible. Boys over 18 years of age (the age of employment at the Ford) who had relatives directly dependent upon them, were eligible. But no woman was eligible, no matter what her age, unless she was the sole support of relatives; and this was because, as the chief of the Sociological Department recently informed me, "We find here that women are not forced into the labor market. Women usually go to work because they want to get a little more to put on their backs to swing around with, hunting for a man." Needless to say, this view of womankind was not Mr. Ford's—he was simply ignorant.

The paid investigators of the Sociological Department went from home to home, investigating the employee's manner of living. If an investigation of his income, the way he and his wife got along together, his habits and tastes. A system of spying was elaborated all over the city, to report lapses of morality, smoking, drinking, playing pool, and attending burlesque shows. Children were brought before the inquisition to testify against their fathers, and wives against their husbands.

The profit-sharing was arbitrarily given and taken away from men upon the report of investigators as to whether these men were living up to the required conditions or not. The most abominable system of petty tyranny grew up; one case, for instance, being the forcing of an employee's wife to submit to the amorous attentions of the investigator, on pain of his profits being taken away from him.

Sanitary and other improvements were suggested—and even ordered—in the home, and whose families were plucked up from what the investigator decided were "undesirable" neighborhoods and set down in another part of town. In some cases, even, nagging mothers who caused domestic troubles and a resultant loss of efficiency on the part of the worker, were removed and ordered to live elsewhere.

The main emphasis, however, was placed on domestic relations. No married man could share profits unless he was living amicably with his wife. Conjugal difficulties were settled by the investigators by negotiation, if possible—and if not, by the threat of taking away the profits. If a man instituted divorce proceedings against his wife his profits were taken away from him; and if a divorce decree was handed down by any Court blaming that man, he was discharged.

Now it was Henry Ford and Henry Ford's influence which put a stop to this state of affairs. The spy system was abolished. Several investigators were summarily dismissed. And Mr. Ford and the officers of the company made it plain that they could be reached at any time by any employee who wished to make a complaint. The "clean, sober and industrious" clause in the profit-sharing qualification was removed. The qualifications for profit-sharing were simplified to such a degree that, while a year ago only about 15,000 out of 25,000 men were paid the $5 a day minimum, now more than 25,000 out of 30,000 men qualify, and the remainder are, without exception, new employees, who
are not eligible for profit sharing anyway until after six months' probation.

Henry Ford said to me: "Most people want others to decide for them in the organization of their work as far as making a living is concerned. But every one is here to get his own experience in his own way; and he ought not to be interfered with. This explains Ford's loyalty to the profit-sharing plan. He has resolutely set his face against "welfare work." There are no company houses, company stores, endowed schools for workingmen's children, recreation grounds, no compulsory Mutual Benefit Associations or Employee Clubs; and no interference in the workmen's social, economic, and religious beliefs. But the plan has only been in operation for two years; and in that time all of the objectionable features have not yet been remedied. Women are still barred from profit-sharing — though I can confidently predict that this will be remedied in a short time. The Sociological Department's investigators still supervise the workmen's savings and expenditures, although with constantly diminishing thoroughness. Marital relations are still considered important enough for the investigators to offer their friendly services in domestic disagreements, though no man's profits are taken away from him because of a Court divorce decision, and he cannot be discharged for anything that happens in his private life. If a profit-sharer flagrantly fails to live up to the conditions for one month, his profits are withheld and repaid to him if he makes good the second time. If he falls down for two months, 75 per cent. of the accumulated profits are paid to him, and 25 per cent. banded over to some charity picked out by the Sociological Department. For three months he gets 60 per cent. and 40 per cent. goes to charity; four months, 40 per cent. and 60 per cent. to charity; five months, 25 per cent., and 75 per cent. goes to charity, and six months all his accrued profits go to charity and he is brought up before the council of the Sociological Department to show cause why he should not be discharged.

But Henry Ford has made it almost impossible for a Ford employee to lose his job; a man's position is more sacred in the Ford Company that it is in the Civil Service. No man can be discharged without the personal order of Mr. Ford himself or of the general superintendent. Any man who has trouble with his foreman can apply for and get a transfer to some other department—as can also any man who is tired of the monotony of any particular line of work. A newspaper man whom I know—a well-paid, rising and influential journalist—was given a nervous breakdown, and afterward got an unskilled job in the Ford plant. "No more damned slavery for me!" he said enthusiastically when I saw him. "I get more money, live cheaper and better, have more leisure and a bigger chance to get on right here."

To illustrate the tendency of the Ford plan, it is interesting to know what Henry Ford did to preserve his workmen from exploitation by outside interests. When the profit-sharing plan first went into operation, a cloud of real estate speculators, loan sharks and gold-brick vendors descended on the men. There was already in existence a model hospital and a highly efficient Medical Department to preserve the men, free of charge, from the attacks of hostile bacteriologists. Now to preserve them against purely human influences, Ford established the Legal Aid Department and the Real Estate and Investment Department—formed of the best legal and financial talent he could obtain, and also free of charge. When I spoke of these services as "free," Ford objected. "I don't like that word 'free,'" he said impatiently. "Nothing is free. We don't give anything away in this plant. These services are part of the wages we pay the men." And a little later he gave me the key to the whole vast plan of profit-sharing. "This thing is changing all the time," he said. "It started as a kind of paternalism, if you want to call it that; because I didn't know any other way to give people what they have a right to, and to see that they used it to make themselves happy. But it has developed. And it is changing automatically into a system of advice—just as the Medical Department, the Legal Aid Department and the Real Estate and Investment Department are systems of advice. The Education Department, which includes all these, and also the old Sociological Department, will some day be nothing but a great system of advice which our employees can come to when they want it, and don't have to have when they don't want it."

It must be remembered that this &dollar; a day wage plan was promulgated by Mr. Ford within an hour after it had first taken shape in his mind. It originated in Ford's wish to pay people what belonged to them, and was not carefully planned out with an eye to increasing the profits of Henry Ford. Of course he knew the simple fact that an adequate income, freedom from anxiety about unemployment, and leisure, would make better workmen, but how could he know, for example, that the sweepers and scrubbins who clean the factory, whose wage scale at &dollar; 3 a day amounts to over &dollar;600,000 a year, would save &dollar;600,000 to the Ford Company by retrieving that much worth of vanadium steel dust and scraps from under the machines? How could he realize that highly-paid workmen would take a new interest in the business, and spontaneously invent new methods of manufacturing, and new labor-saving devices? How could he have prophesied that the first effect of the new scheme would be to create rivalry of achievement in the plant, that made it immediately a great training school from which he might draw for all branches of the business?

Let us consider this man Henry Ford. He stood alone in his ideas—opposed by the solid hostility of other manufacturers, by the shaken conservatism of his own business associates, by public opinion; and even labor was apathetic and suspicious. At a recent meeting of the Central Committee of the Detroit branch of the American Federation of Labor, the paternalistic activities of the Sociological Department were endorsed, because workmen were not fit to take care of themselves! And at the present time an officer of the Federation is a Sociological Department Investigator!

The Ford plan of manufacturing, which includes profit-sharing, is in its infancy. This year more than half a million automobiles were manufactured in the home plant at Detroit, &dollar;90,000 in the Canadian factory, and &dollar;50,000 in the works at Markfield, England. At the time Ford topped &dollar;25 from the price of the car. In two or three years the home plant will be making more than a million cars annually, and there will be immense new plants turning out Fords by the hundred thousand in Kansas City, Chicago, Duluth, Hoboken, Long Island City, etc. The nineteen immense assembling plants all over the United States will be doubled or tripled. The Ford car will some day cost &dollar;100 or less.

In Dearborn, Mich., is the nucleus of Ford's immense new plant for manufacturing the Ford farm tractor, which will be made in millions and sold by weight for about 6 or 10 cents a pound. With this Ford plans to make the farmer independent of railroad short-hand freight rates, to free him from the burden of horses and draft cattle, to supply him with cheap power. He says that the tractor is going to play up the Siberian stepe, the wastes of Mesopotamia and Persia, the Australian bush. To run it he has invented a cheap motor from which he has manufactured out of a farmer's growing crops, without destroying their food value, for a few cents a gallon.

He dreams of an aeroplane that can also be manufactured in vast quantities, and very cheaply.

And how about the Ford workman? In all the Ford enterprises at present established the Ford profit-sharing plan has gone into effect; and in all Ford's future dreams profit-sharing is an integral part. The shares of profits will not remain fixed—they will rise as the profits of the Ford Company rise and as the price of Ford products declines. Think of it! Millions of men—for his vision embraces millions—for ever gaining an increasing share in an industrial empire whose extent may well be almost boundless! And the man who founded all this, who hates to be considered a philanthropist, who will not give charity, realizing more and more that material ease increases strength and intelligence, and that when a man gets the value of what he produces, he loves his work.

But let us not fool ourselves. The Ford workmen can get more and more of the profits and still be slaves—for, after all, their well-being depends upon the benevolent intelligence of one man. Ford profit-sharing is still in the form of a gift, not as a right to do with as the recipient pleases. It is so because Henry Ford is not yet sure of his own theory; though he is getting more sure of it every day. And yet, even were profit-sharing made legal wages, Ford workmen will not be self-respecting human beings until they have self-government. And Henry Ford himself knows this. I am sure that he thinks of it and is coming to that point of view—though I cannot tell here what makes him sure.

Some day Henry Ford will die. That he knows, and he knows, too, that with his death the great Ford empire, like the Empire of Alexander the Great, may be divided among squabbling captains. Above everything he wants to keep the Ford empire intact—not the motor car, but the Ford idea. The only way he can do this is to give the Ford employees a voice in the government of the great community they have made. And I think Henry Ford is aware of that fact. That is why the capitalists hate Henry Ford. That is why the Steel Trust would like to cut off his steel—why they wish to block the campaign of the little financial geniuses who own all the rest of America.
T. Atkins, Who Believes that Woman's Place is in the Home, Returns from the Front and Sees His Wife and Sister

Drawn by K. H. Chamberlain
The Way of the Worker

Austin Lewis

"I picked her up when I came down from Seattle. She was on the same boat, the 'Governor,' and we got together on the trip. She was travelling alone and so was I, and we just naturally fell together."

Max Kraus rubbed his eyes at intervals, as he looked away from me out of the window, and for the third time that afternoon again laid his head on his arms and cried, not softly, after the fashion of the better disciplined, but unrestrainedly, and at times quite noisily.

He wept as the Homeric heroes must have wept and, as one is not hyperrhetical as regards the weeping of Achilles, I could not find it in my heart to be hard on Max. There was really quite a lot of the Homeric in him. He had higher aims than the bar-room, but in his day and generation he had grown a lot of hard drinking and a lot of hard cards. He had had a lot of hard luck that summer and only had fifteen dollars, she told me, after she had paid her fare to Frisco. She thought she could get a job that winter because the Fair was going to open in February, and there would be lots of new restaurants opening in the city. There was a whole lot of people thought the same way and the steerage was full of folks going to Frisco to look for a job.

"We got on fine together and talked a whole lot on the trip, and when the steamer got to Frisco we were in the great streets. She said that she knew some people called Sorensen who lived out on Minna Street and she said she might go over there and see if they would take her in till she got a job. I took her bundles up for her to the street where the Sorensens lived and left her there and then I went to Headquarters to get a job."

"But there were no more jobs at Headquarters. The committee had said there was to be no more jobs that winter."

"I was in a hell of a fix because I knew that eighty-five dollars would have to last me till February and the chance of getting a job was pretty poor. Things was real bum in Frisco that winter. It was not as bad as the winter before, though, when we slept in the old Marye building on newspapers packed up tight together and thelice crawling all over us. I got a bit of a room for two dollars a week with some Russian people and in two days I had forgot all about Myra.

"A week the next Saturday I went to the dance at Headquarters and about ten o'clock along comes Myra. She saw me right away and come over. She says, 'You've never been to see me,' she said."

"'I haven't had time,' said I, but quick as a flash she told me, 'That isn't true. You forgot all about me.'"

"'Well she was that straightforward and it was so true that I did not know what to say."

"'Have you got a job yet?' she said. I told her no, and she said that she didn't have one either and she saw no chance of getting one."

"'I've been looking all about,' she told me, 'and there does not seem to be any show of getting one. The Sorensens keep on asking me if I've got a job and they seem to be afraid that they'll have to keep me. They knew I only had fifteen dollars when I come and it costs me six dollars a week to board and I don't know what I'll do.'"

"'Well,' I said, 'if you're short come to me and I'll help you out.' She looked at me sharp for a moment and then said in a funny sort of way, 'Any strings on it?'"

"'None at all,' I said, and then she was very quiet. She had those quiet spells sometimes. She had them on the boat. She would sit for as much as two hours and never say a word, and if you spoke to her it would be just the same as if she never heard you. I never knew anybody like that. She seemed to live right inside herself all alone."

"'I'll take you to Golden Gate Park tomorrow,' I said. 'I've been in Frisco three times and never seen the park. So if you say so we'll go and see it together.'"

"Next day we went to the park. It was November and pretty cold standing around by where the band was playing, so we walked along past the place where the buffaloes are and away out till we came to a little lake all by itself, like as if it was in the Cascade Mountains and not in Frisco at all. And there were wild ducks on it. We stopped about the lake quite a good bit and just before we came away Myra said, 'I've had a fierce time, but it's going to be over soon.' I remember her saying it quite well.

"'I joked her a bit and I said, 'That's fine. But how do you know that it's going to be over? I've had a pretty fierce time myself, but I know it isn't over. I guess I'll always have a hard time any way.' 'A fortune teller in Seattle told me I'd have no more hard times after this winter,' she said. The fortune teller said I'd have a hard time. She told me what I'd been doing and she said that there ain't nothing to do but keep on and after this winter there won't be no more hard times for me.'"

"'Well, maybe she's right,' I said. 'I didn't want to have her feel sore because I could see she was looking pretty blue. Sometimes those fortune tellers hits it just right.'"

"'They must be all right,' she said, 'or they couldn't make the money they do. If they weren't all right folks would be sure to get on to it and put them out of business.'"

"'That's all right,' I said. 'You've got to make good somehow, and I guess those fortune tellers have to get something or they'd have to stop.'"

"This seemed to encourage her quite a lot and when I saw her the next Sunday she had a job. I got a bit of a job for myself just after that and then I got two or three little jobs right away so that I was managing to get by. So owing to the work we didn't see anything of each other till pretty near Christmas. It was the Saturday before Christmas when she came down to the dance again. She danced a bit and then asked me to take her home. She was living on a little street somewhere up by Polk and Turk. I forget the name. I remember she had one of her silent fits that night and never said a word all the way home."

"Just as we got to the door she said that she had lost her job and she was going to leave that house next day. So I told her to meet me at the post-office and we'd go to the park together. She said she would and we went the next morning. We walked right through the park and as far as the Cliff House and then we talked the thing all over together and she was pretty blue. I don't quite know how it happened but she and I went home and we stayed together all the rest of the winter."

"'I done the same thing before. When two people are in a strange town together it is the cheapest way. Two can get along better than one; there are two chances to get a job. About the end of February or the beginning of March you have to go to the country and hunt a job, if you don't your name is Dennis and you won't have any chance to make a stake for the next winter. The fellows that don't do that just become hobos. So I always pulled my freight about that time.'"

"This year I stayed longer, till about the seventh of March. We were getting on fine together. She got a job on the third and I felt better, for I couldn't leave her in town without a job and a mighty little to live on. After she got the job there was nothing to keep me and I told her that I had to go. There wasn't no
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"When I told her that there was no chance for me to stay in town, and that I would have to get and would come back in the fall, she didn't say a word. She just went into one of her quiet moods so I was telling you about, like a trance. We went out to the movies together that night and saw "Carmen." It was a fine show. We sat together in the dark holding hands and then we went home."

"I must have slept pretty sound, because I never felt her get out of bed. But next morning she was gone. She did not come back by ten o'clock so I went to the place where her new job was, but they had not seen anything of her. I put my hand in my coat pocket to get the makings for a cigarette and I found this. I did not show it at the inquest though perhaps I should have done. He pulled out a piece of folded paper and showed it to me. It said, "Dear Max—I guess the fortune teller was right after all—M'ya."

"And that's all there is to it," he concluded, and rose from the table. He walked over to the window and stood looking out into the street for a few minutes and I could see his big shoulders heaving as they had done with his sobbing when first he came in. At last he turned, and putting out his hand, said, "It's me for Contra Costa County and a job with the Associated Oil!"

San Francisco and the Bomb

Sara Bard Field

WHILE the Preparedness people of San Francisco were marching in favor of War, they met something that looked much too realistically like it.

As the Preparedness parade was under way on Saturday, July 22, a bomb placed in a suit case which was left in a saloon doorway on Market street exploded, killing, up to this writing, nine people and wounding thirty-eight others.

The Preparedness people had worked up that parade with infinite difficulty, in the face of widespread opposition. Some men marched because of the extra pay offered them. Some marched for fear of losing their jobs. An illusion was worked, with glory in their breasts.

Suddenly a bomb exploded. A tiny section of the European battlefield was thrown into the midst of this peaceful city—mangled flesh and a little stream of blood. The shadow which darkens Europe fell upon San Francisco. Such anguish as such all Europe knows entered half a hundred homes. The city had a taste of War, and the people who have marched for it didn't like it.

The paraders, for the most part, knew nothing of the tragedy till the parade was over. The line of march continued its unenthusiastic course without break or confusion. When it was all over, the city knew what had happened, we found that the bomb had done more than kill nine people and wound thirty-eight others. It had blown to pieces the judgment and sanity of half a city. It had burst the lid off from a seething mass of jealous hatreds and mercenary rivalries. It set loose ignorance and fear to run blind and rampant through the streets. It created childish specters of "bloody anarchists" and "murderous socialists" who were about to inaugurate a reign of terror. The thought of San Francisco—at least that thought which got itself expressed most loudly and at once—became practically imbecile.

An Anti-preparedness meeting had been held two nights previous, at which the parade had been denounced by all the speakers. All phases of war had been decried. The so-called need for preparedness had been shown up by unanswerable fact and brilliant satire. At the great meeting of over four thousand people had quietly, seriously, dedicated themselves to preparedness for peace.

Then the bomb. The Preparedness people said this Peace meeting was responsible for it. All the pacifists in the community were looked upon as bomb-throwers. Wholesale and absurd arrests were made. The evidence against one feeble old man, arrested on suspicion in the street, was a card found in his pocket, advertising the Peace meeting.

Speculation fastened upon anyone who had ever had his name in the newspaper in connection with a humane idea, from Emma Goldman, who was holding an unceasingly orderly and high-brow meetings at Avery Hall, to Rudolph Spreckels, President of the First National Bank, who believes in Organized Labor, Single Tax and a few other such things. He had also presided at the peace meeting.

And the accusers were as curiously assembled as those they accused. It was Thorson Mullally, leader of the Preparedness Parade, who accused Rudolph Spreckels of inciting the deed. During the graft prosecutions of seven years ago, Mullally was indicted as one of the members of a public service corporation involved in bribing public officials. The home of a witness dangerous to the case of those indicted was mysteriously dynamited. Mr. Mullally had made no protest against that "outrage."

Mr. Hearst, in veiled editorials (large-mesh veil) tried to make it appear that the Bulletin had incited the crime. The Examiner has, for months, been urging war with Mexico. The Bulletin has made splendid resistance to this idea. Therefore, of course, the Bulletin must have been responsible for the bomb, throwing the day of the parade.

That was the way people thought.

And some of those who knew better encouraged this kind of thinking for their own reasons.

Big Business in San Francisco has long been determined to crush Union Labor here. They have been at the job some time and so far have failed. They have been asking for a million dollar fund with which to wage this anti-union fight and haven’t had a satisfactory return. The Chamber of Commerce was worried.

Now Mr. Spreckels would not be a partner to the Chamber of Commerce scheme. He would give no money to break up labor unions. The Bulletin openly opposed it. Therefore the Bulletin and the First National Bank, through its President, ought to be put out of business. But how?

Then a poor crazed fool exploded a bomb. "O divine Providence! O beloved Bomb! You have exploded at the right time. You are the missing link between what we, Big Business, wanted to do and how it is to be done."

Underneath the phrases, "brutal outrage," "innocent victims," "widows and orphans," "public safety," under consciously knitted brows and tight-fisted lips; under all the oratory of denunciation which has been hurled against the doers of the deed, there lurks a gloating gladness, an eager triumph which cannot be wholly concealed. Here is the chance to lay the blame on Labor and its friends. Big Business must take speedy and immense advantage of the scare, and demand retribution. The First National Bank and the Bulletin must become the butts of public hatred. Organized Labor must be smashed.

So Big Business, weeping over the dependent families of the dead, gives the magnificent sum of six thousand dollars (at this time of writing) for their aid, while it grabs with the other hand the million dollar anti-labor fund it wanted. Profitable indeed to capital has been this tragedy.

Sir Roger Casement

(Extract from a Letter)

"He really was an old trump, you know. He did great things in the Congo—and a cheap trinket like a knighthood didn't spoil his vision. He never wavered at the end. I happen to know how much he wanted to be hanged—how afraid he was that England might realize her rashness and not go through with it. His sister, to whom he was everything, felt the same way. They wanted the protests—they wanted England shown up—but they felt that it must be, or all the other lives that were already sacrificed might seem paid for by this mercy. He knew the Irish; he knew that while they might forgive the lives that were taken in the first fury, they could not forgive the one taken in cool calculation long after it was over. They never will forget that.

"Anyway, I envy him. I'd like to die at sixty or sixty-five (I believe that was his age), for something worth while, in a mad moment of history like this."

"L. B."

Note

The subject of Mr. Wats's cover design last month was Miss Fania Marinoff, whose identity we concealed at the time by a typographical error.

Willie: "What are captains of industry, dad?"
Crashaw: "They are fellows who cause wars but never fight them."

My goodness! Life is doing it, too.
Efficiency First

THE Russians have almost conquered Armenia, and the massacre of Christians, let us hope, is ended for all time. The Russians can massacre Christians, but it is not their specialty.

THE Austrian dilemma seems to be, separate peace or separate pieces.

But every Russian cloud has a German silver lining. It becomes constantly easier for the Kaiser to slip from front to front.

If Italy wants our candid opinion, it is not acting in that tolerant spirit of love and freedom that we had every reason to expect.

The Allied conference in Paris adopted a stringent economic offense against the enemy after the war. The idea seems to be that when this horrible slaughter is over everybody must settle down to work and start another one.

Complaint is heard that there is no interest in the campaign. Hughes's speech fell flat, and nobody is sitting up nights worrying about Wilson's. The campaign is about as easy to open as a car window.

I took a crisis in the nation's affairs to pull Mr. Hughes off the bench, and now we know what the crisis was. The President once appointed a horse doctor to an office.

Hughes Finds Wilson Wanting." What makes it worse is that Hughes is wanting the same thing.

This is the title of a Shonts advertisement: "Shall New York Street Railways Be Run for the People of New York?"

Well, it might be worth trying.

On the last day of the street car strike, Staten Island strikers committed all the fares. It shows the essential honesty of these gentles that they did not remove any of the track.

The railroads admit that freight train crews are often on the job from 14 to 16 hours a day, but say that much of the time is eaten up in sidings and ways stations. What you might call the idle hour day.

A revised by the striking insurance agents, "The Prudential Has the Strength of Limburger."

Those who carp about the bum distribution of wealth were flabbergasted to learn that J. P. Morgan left only a trifle over 78 million and that his son did not get an unearned cent except 53 million dollars.

In target practice in Cuban waters the Rhode Island scored one hit out of 106 shots. The Rhode Island would have delighted the heart of dear old Tolstoy. It is practically a non-resistant.

Aid Senator Overman of North Carolina, "Child labor is a good thing because it keeps children out of jail." Now we know why they call it the Solid South.

SOLID ivory. Howard Braubaker.

When Congressman Bennett rose and charged Frederic C. Howe, Commissioner of Immigration of the Port of New York, with encouraging "immorality" among the immigrants under his care, it took the newspaper men about five minutes to find out that Bennett was the attorney for the firm of contractors who had been making a fat profit out of feeding the immigrants until Commissioner Howe kicked them out and had the work done by the government. Hence the moral indignation. This is Congressman Bennett's notion of "serving his constituents."

"Money and Your Life!"

For the information of those who believe that business dying out with Bluebeard and Captain Kidd, we call attention to the following from the New York Times:

"CITY ASKED TO PAY CARMEN'S ADVANCE"

"Can't Stand Burden, Cay Railroad Directors"

The New York Railways Company is expected to make formal application in a few days that New York City bear the financial burden incurred by the settlement with striking motormen and conductors.

The position taken by the Corporation is that inasmuch as Mayor Mitchel and Oscar S. Strauss, Chairman of the Public Service Commission, were chiefly responsible for the settlement, it is only fair that the city and the company should assume the expense. The other traction companies involved have made no similar announcement, but it is shown they will demand concessions in proportion to that of the New York Railways Company."

One of the items in this "financial burden," we notice, is a matter of $135,000 paid to "strikebreakers, guards, etc."

We believe that the perniciousness of this demand is not fully understood by the public, if it were, police reserves would be called out, and Mr. Shonts and his associates would be spending the night in the cooler.

Let that uncrowned king, the American citizen, give a cursory glance at the history of the New York street railways companies. Never have public service properties been pillaged and loot as these have. The piracy of the New Haven railroad by Mr. Morgan, et al., was mere shoplifting compared to the gigantic operations which threw the New York street railway companies into bankruptcy again and again, while their assets were stripped into the pockets of our best citizens. For years these gigantic thefts have been going on, and new issues of securities have been poured out upon the public, much upon the principle that gold can be extracted from sea water.

Of course, wages have had to suffer—"labor has had to be liquidated" as Wall Street puts it—in order to pay the interest on these securities. Then comes the inevitable strike brought on by the desperate economic condition of the motormen and conductors.

The Unions appeal to the city to arbitrate their differences; President Shonts and the officials of the street railway companies do the same. The Mayor of New York and the Chairman of the Public Service Commission accede to these requests and the strike is settled. And then the street railway companies send a bill to the city for the strike costs, on the ground that the Mayor settled it.

This is amazing enough to the average uninformed lay mind—but there is an item in the bill which is even more amazing. The Subway and Elevated lines, who have had no strike, put in a bill for $100,000 for increase of wages, "also indirectly due to the strike." Doesn't this seem just the least bit tactless, in view of those $250,000 bonuses which the Thompson Committee recently revealed had been paid to the gentlemen who put through the great Subway Grab, and charged to the city?

In our humble opinion, it is like the highwayman who soaks you with a lead pipe, picks your pockets, gouges the gold fillings out of your teeth, and then, when you come to, indignantly puts in a bill for damages to the lead pipe he bent over your cranium.

Meanwhile, it opens up a new hope for labor in industrial disputes. Up to now, labor has had to support itself during strikes, and start work again with a considerable handicap. Now all that is changed. All workmen have to do, when their strikes are settled, is to charge the damages to the arbitrators. Suppose the anthracite miners had charged President Roosevelt with the cost when he settled their strike for them!
EUROPE, 1916

Drawn by Boardman Robinson.
EUROPE, 1916
SIX POEMS

I
EACH grass
And grey sand, O waves
That beat on the shore;
He is more beautiful
To me.

II
A S it
Were shower of sunlight
Filling the world with light
So does your soul
Kindle mine.

III
MOUNTAINS
So blue in the distance,
Trees that clutch at the clouds;
He is more strong
Than you.

IV. TO A SWIMMER
H me!
When sun and wind
And the water . . . caress you
How can I who am flesh, withhold
My love?

V
EMPTY
And silent as
Midnight . . . are the grey hours
When I cannot touch you or hear
Your voice.

VI
THOU
Bright field that laughs
Because yellow daisies
Bloom on your breast . . . Why am I then
Barren?

WORDS
I put the blood of my heart
And the sweat of my labor
Into a line.
I look out over the mountains
And over the sea,
And am aware
Of the majesty of God,
And I write
A second line.
I look into my soul—
Out of its agony,
Out of its mortality,
Its ceaseless question,
Its inevitable ending.
I fashion two lines.
After a while
You read,
I watch your eyes
Travel down the page;
They lighten, then cloud;
I look over your shoulder—
How is this?
All I see
Are little black marks
On white paper.

RELEASE
CHARMING to drift about the streets
In the early evening,
Not thinking but simply accepting
The flattery of one’s amusing sensations;
This is to be oneself a poem—
Pleasanter than writing them.
The people passing
Have put off the egoism
With which day invests them;
Vague accidents of a dream,
Like comfortable animals one keeps
To take the chill off solitude,
They pass,
They make no demands.
I like the electric glare
That cuts the pavement in clean blocks,
Like the touch of the wind slipping past
With still some sweetness of fields to scatter,
But the straying aroma of cigarettes
Amuses me more—
Pricks with a tang of sex,
Caressing, not gross,
Like the perfumed things they lean to say,
For saying,
On terraces,
Beneath an easy moon.
I am sorry the lasses with their horrible drum
Must find it all so fierce and solemn,
That’s their pleasure, I prefer
The bold lights capering, sans gene,
Up there
On the stage from which the proud, accusing stars
Have withdrawn.

Clara Shanafelt.

TWO CONTENTMENTS
THE curtain falls between the world and me,
My lamp burns bright and the oil is far unspent.
No noises reach me from the land or sea—
I am content.
Far runs the road ahead and calls to me,
Gladly my heart, unwearied, forth is bent.
In freedom sharing as the sun is free—
I am content.

M. D.

YOU
A MILLION feet dragging on the hollow walks;
Filthy dust
Of horses dung and grease
Before a moving wind;
The boom-boom of swaying trucks across the pavements;
The shrieking of new born buildings,
Vomiting smoke and sound;
Leaping,
Crying to God;
Heavy lumbering cars whanging around corners;
A traffic policeman with his hand upheld—
And still
I have forgotten all
Except your eyes—
Green as a sunflecked sea.
Your nearness smoothes me with Happiness.
And oh the sweetness of your breath
Upon my mouth.

Frederick Garnett Rice.

THE SUMMONS
WHAT urged me through sleep to the narrow window?
Towards the east, marches the packed army of the snow,
Crowding the street, from side to side;
Driving ahead with chilling haste;
Going to some white splendor,
Leaving behind a white desolation.
The window panes rattle,
Like drum-beats that echo, off-ley;
Calling . . .
The snow rushes on with a mad purpose,
Gathering recruits as it goes.
Always the drum-taps summon . . .

What do they ask for?
Whom are they calling?
I go trembling back to bed,
Stiffened with a cold courage,
And throw warm and defensive arms
Over the body of the man I love,
As he twitches and starts in a restless sleep.

ZANESVILLE
I will not be like the unspiring hills,
Whence the sour clay is taken,
To be moulded by the shape-loving fingers of Man
Into vases and cups of an old pattern.
But I will be my own creator,
Dragging myself from the clinging mud,
And mould myself into fresh and lovelier shapes
To celebrate my passion for Beauty.

Jean Starr Untermyer.

A PORTRAIT
DAY by day she grows in upon herself,
Day by day more aloof, more fastidiously withdrawn,
Further from life; like Buddha she grows inward,
Save that her growing is not toward infinite immensities,
But toward infinitesimal finesses,
Fragile as a filigree of porcelain
And as delicately designed for no hard uses;
Alone through many years, ageing into a fine parchment beauty,
She has cut off from herself all the struggle,
She has shut out from her all the hard sweetness of life.
Dining with her that night the talk was intelligent,
Quick and showing a wide range of interest—
But under it all, the strained guard against unpleasantness,
The wary light fleet thought, veering away
From all that burns, all that throngs, all that lives,
All that urges and pants and sings.
Day by day she grows in upon herself,
Day by day more aloof, more fastidiously withdrawn.

Lydia Gibson.
The German Middle Class and the War

L. B. Boudin

One of the most remarkable phenomena of the present war, is the almost absolute unanimity with which the German people have lined up behind their government in prosecuting it. This is particularly true of the “new middle class,” that great and growing mass of people who make their living by “brain work” of all kinds—professional men, journalists, academicians, office-workers, scientifically and technically trained managers, superintendents and overseers of all kinds. These elements of German society do not merely support the war, but are enthusiastic for it. And what is more, they are enthusiastic for it as an avowedly aggressive war—as a war whose object is not only to retain what Germany has won already, but to conquer for herself a new position in the dominion of world politics, and open up new spheres of influence—or new “fields of endeavor,” as they prefer to call it.

The idea prevalent in this country that Germany’s aggressive Imperialism is imposed upon that nation by its Junkers and other reactionary and conservative remnants of the feudal order, is a wholly erroneous one. Not that these elements are not imperialistic. But they are not the only imperialists in Germany. Nor are they always the most aggressive and most enthusiastic of German imperialists. In fact their Imperialism is a reasoned, shrewdly-calculated, and, therefore, conditional affair. They are imperialists because certain particular phases of modern German Imperialism works out in their interests. As long as this condition lasts they are imperialists. Should this condition change they will cease to be imperialists. Their Imperialism is like their Monarchism, which is summed up in the well-known refrain: “Und der Koenig absolut, So lang’ er unser Willen tut.”

Their monarchical convictions last as long as they serve their interests—and they are conscious of it. The same is true of their imperialistic convictions. That does not make them less ruthless in their service, but it does make them less enthusiastic and whole-hearted. For true enthusiasm and genuine abandon in the cause and service of German Imperialism, we must look elsewhere—to the new middle-class, the intellectual proletariat of that country. The same intellectual proletariat which is supposed to be and largely is the exponent of liberal and even radical ideas. This is the true explanation of those peculiar manifestations of Germany’s “national spirit” which shocked and amazed us at the beginning of the present war—the manifestations of its scientists and men-of-letters, the Hymns of Hate, etc., etc. We were shocked and amazed because, in our ignorance, we associated Germany’s Militarism and Imperialism with her traditionally conservative and reactionary elements. The fact that we were so shocked and amazed shows that we were ignorant of the true condition of affairs. And having been thus rudely awakened from our slumber of ignorance, it is well that we note the undoubted fact that modern German Imperialism is essentially a “popular” affair—a movement shared in by all classes, from the remnants of feudalism to the modern factory proletariat, but whose banner is borne aloft principally by that army of brain-workers upon whom we were wont to look as one of the main forces making “towards democracy.”

And having noted the fact it is well worth our while to study its causes. That is, of course, not as easy a task as giving vent to our indignation at this “base betrayal of ideals,” etc., etc., but it is vastly more profitable. We have had a surfeit of indignation, and, unfortunately, entirely too little of the study of causes. This will, therefore, be an attempt in that direction, and I hope that it will prove fruitful in its immediate results as well as in calling attention to this much-neglected work.

In my “Socialism and War” I have attempted to elaborate a general theory of Modern Imperialism, tracing the imperialistic ideas now prevalent in all economically highly-developed countries to an economic cause—the exigencies of the process of production and distribution in our Iron Age. If this be true, then our most highly developed industries, particularly the iron and steel industries, would properly be the “seed” of Modern Imperialism, and our great industrial barons its chief exponents. This would go far to account for the prevalence of imperialistic tendencies in our scientifically-educated, “liberal”- and “radical”-minded, intellectual proletariat. Engaged largely in this very industrial process or as personal retainers to the industrial barons and their dependents, and liberally subsidized by them, it is only natural that they should acquire the psychology and be imbued with the ideology which is historically that of their masters and of the industries which are the basis of their social existence. And having acquired this psychology and ideology it is only natural that they should be most active and vociferous in expressing it. For the formulation and expression of ideas is their especial social function. And in the main I do believe this to be the true explanation of the “predisposition” which our brain-workers, including the radically-minded intellectual proletariat, have everywhere shown for the imperialistic distemper.

The effects of this main cause may, however, be augmented or modified by special and subsidiary causes. Such a special cause, working in the same direction as the main cause and intensifying the imperialistic clan produced thereby, I believe to exist in Germany. And it is to the existence of this special cause, making the general interests peculiarly its own, that we must ascribe that peculiar intensity of feeling with which the German intellectuals are clamoring for Germany’s “place in the sun.” An intensity of
feeling which has not only destroyed their logic and blurred their moral vision, but even blunted their wit and deprived them of that fine sense of humor which has always been one of their most typical characteristics.

This special case is Germany's overproduction of intellectuals. The great increase of that part of the population which makes a living, or attempts to make its living, by engaging in some form of "brain-work" is a general phenomenon in all industrially-developed countries. So that all highly developed countries suffer to a greater or smaller extent from a special form of over-population, manifesting itself, among other ways, in the desire to rule over some "inferior" race, whose members could always be kept in the condition of manual laborers—the superior race supplying all the "brain-labor" needed in the social body and industrial processes in which the "inferiors" do the manual work. Germany suffers from this form of over-population to a greater extent than any other country in the world. No other country has such a large annual output of "brain-workers" of all kinds. With the result that notwithstanding the remarkable expansion of Germany's industries and commerce during the past half-century, its commercial and industrial life cannot profitably absorb all the "brains" produced by its intellect-manufactories. Hence the constantly increasing necessity for exporting this particular commodity, and of spheres of "colonization" in which Germany's "brain-workers" could sell their "labor-power" under monopoly conditions.

And here I must pause for a few moments in order to discuss the general question of over-population in its relation to modern Imperialism and the present war. Since the outbreak of the present war one meets very frequently with the assertion that its real cause is Germany's growing population. Germany's population, it is said, has grown so rapidly during the past half-century that "there is no more room" in Germany proper for all of her people, and she must needs have new lands where she could "colonize" her surplus population, the millions of peasants and laborers who cannot find profitable employment within her own borders because of the lack of land. Thus "Nature" herself compels Germany to strive for colonies, and directs her course over seas.

This view, which is nothing but a re-hash of the old Malthusian theory of population, has, strangely enough, found its way into socialist circles. And shortly after the beginning of the war we find a writer in the New York Call justifying Germany's aggression by appealing to this alleged imperative demand of Nature. That Marx has long ago exposed the fallacies of the theory of population from which this argument proceeds need not concern us very much here. Of much greater interest to us is the circumstance that the assumptions involved therein are contrary to all the known facts, as will presently appear.

The truth is that there is no general natural law of population, and that each economic system has its own law of population. And it so happens that in so far as "natural law" is concerned our industrial system tends to relatively diminish population—that is to say, the economic process can absorb all the normal increase in population and more. Hence, the constant movement of population from rural districts into industrial ones, and from industrially less highly developed countries into those with a higher capitalistic-industrial development.

That Germany is no exception to the rule goes without saying. Indeed, Germany furnishes the most striking example of the workings of this law: Notwithstanding her enormous increase in population during the past fifty years, which is supposed to be the basis of her need for colonies, Germany has during that very period turned from an emigration into an immigration country. Far from having a general surplus of population she is deficient in population and must draw upon her neighbors for labor. And she draws principally upon her less-developed neighbors, of course. For, as I have already stated, the general movement of population is toward, and not away from, industrial centers. The idea, therefore, that Germany needs colonies in order to dispose of her general "surplus-population," the constantly increasing millions of tillers of the soil who have no soil to till, is wholly erroneous and little less than absurd. This is conceded even by German Imperialists, though they are hard put to it by this concession for arguments to justify Germany's aggressive Imperialism. I shall therefore quote one of them, Professor Hans Delbrueck, editor of the Preussische Jahrbucher, and one of Germany's foremost historians and publicists. In his "Bismarck's Erbe," published since the war began, he says:

"What kind of colonization does the German people need now? The safest of all colonizations is that of peasant-colonization... But we cannot think of such colonization, because we have no longer any surplus population. Our entire over-sea emigration has fallen to about 20,000 to 30,000 souls per annum about the middle of the nineties of the last century and has not risen ever since—while we have been employing, at the same time, about a million foreign workers, Russians, Poles, Ruthenians, Slovaks, Italians, and Scandinavians. Germany is an immigration, not an emigration, country. The peasants and agricultural laborers that could be colonized we need badly at home, and have little or nothing to send across the sea."

But while Germany does not suffer from any general over-population, she suffers from a disproportion between the different elements of her population. Under existing conditions the number of "brain-workers" is too great, when considered in connection with the number of manual workers engaged in her industries, commerce, and agriculture. This is due in a large measure to the rapidly developing process of production. Notwithstanding the great demand for "brain-workers" of all kinds which accompanies the rapid development of large-scale industry, the industrial process cannot possibly absorb all the members of the old propertyed middle-class whom it has deprived of its property, and whose only chance of retaining a "middle-class" position is to enter the ranks of the "brain-workers." The flight of the old German middle-class for a continued existence under middle-class conditions is favored by many local conditions, such as wonderful educational facilities, etc., the net result of which is a surplus population of intellectuals, with the usual accompanying phenomena—low remuneration for work done, emigration, etc.

I have said before that Germany has ceased to be an emigration country and has become an immigration country. But this does not apply to "brain-workers." There is still a fairly large migration of "brain-workers" from Germany, and hardly any immigration of this class of persons into it. This fact is too well-known and a matter of our common, everyday experience to require special proof here. We meet these German "brain-workers" face to face too often to forget about their existence. We know them in this country, and we know of them everywhere else, from England, France and Belgium, to the wilds of Africa.

Naturally, the most favorable fields of activity for them is to be found in those countries which have not yet attained any very high degree of industrial development—provided that they are capable of developing rapidly so as to need a considerable amount of brain-work. It is here that the best conditions of employment for this surplus population is to be found—a permanent job and good pay, as well as very high social standing. Hence, the enthusiasm for Imperialism with its colonies and "spheres of influence." A Germany colony, dependency, or "sphere of influence," "developed" by German capital, not only increases the number of jobs open to "brain-workers" generally, but gives the German "brain-workers" a monopoly of these jobs, not to speak of the many administration-jobs which go with colonies.

But let us hear the "brain-workers"—Imperialists themselves. Says Professor Delbrueck in "Bismarck's Erbe":

"What must give our colonies their specific character is the upper layer, the thousands of graduates of our higher and intermediate educational institutions which are being constantly produced by our fine school-system, for whose talents there is, how-
ever, no suitable employment at home. Men in the thirties, at
the zenith of their power, and in possession of knowledge and
aptitudes which fit them for influential posts, are with us often
compelled to waste their time in idleness or semi-idleness, waiting
for a chance to get some employment at miserly pay. These we
must send into the world as engineers, merchants, planters, physi-
cians, superintendents, officers, to rule the great masses of the
inferior races, of the English are doing in India. . . . The first
and most important of our national demands at the coming peace
negotiations must be for a great colonial empire, a German India.
. . . Such a colonial-Germany will not only raise to the position
of World-Power, but will, at the same time, solve our most diffi-
cult social problem—the finding of suitable employment for the
rising sons of the people, the surplus of intelligence which finds
no proper field of activity at home. . . .
“If Africa, or whatever exotic land may come into question,
is insufficient for the purpose, there is, fortunately, another
kind of colonization, and another field of colonization, a field
which this war has opened up for us and has already securely
placed at our disposal. Turkey, which still possesses the oldest
and most fruitful culture-areas in the world, in Europe, Asia
Minor, Syria, and Mesopotamia, seeks to align herself with our
European civilization, and if she comes out victoriously from this
war she cannot retrace her steps. She will need European in-
structors and she cannot look for them anywhere but in Ger-
many.”
Here we have it, uncovered plainly to our view, the main-
spring of the sacred fire of enthusiasm which burns so brightly
and so scorchingly in the hearts of the German intellectuals for
Nation, Fatherland, and Colonial Empire. Incidentally it ex-
plains the special admiration which they have shown of late for
Allah and Mahomet his Prophet.

TOWARDS LIBERTY

Max Eastman

III. THE AIM OF AGITATION

(This article belongs to a series which began in the last issue of THE MASSES under the general title TOWARDS LIBERTY: THE METHOD OF PROGRESS.)

The aim of revolutionary agitation is very old. It must
have arisen almost in the dawn of human dreaming.
Men like Plato, and Jesus, and John Ball, and Rous-
seau, and Tom Paine, and Shelley, and William Morris
must have always lived and talked in obscure wayside places about
the rights of man. They were persons who did not find pleasure in
having a social or financial advantage over others. They found
pain in that. They wanted all men to have equal opportunity for
the realization of life. And they loved liberty. And though
they loved liberty for themselves they hated to be free at the
expense of slavery for others. They wanted all men to be free.
And so they preached those famous doctrines of democracy, and
love, and communism, and anarchy, and freedom, and equality,
and the abolition of caste.
They hated caste. Nothing aroused their wrath so much, I
think, as to behold a meagre little narrow-hearted snipe who could
look down upon a large-souled and wise and humble man because
his social or financial station was inferior. This seemed to violate
the very soul of nature. And this it was that raised their voices
to proclaim Equality.

They did not wish to see all characters made equal, if those
words have any meaning, nor ever dream they could be. Nor
did they really wish to equalize the recompense in fame or fortune
that should fall to them whose talents differently served the world.
No artist ever longed to paint out all the contrast that variety of
nature and adventure and award give rise to. Life would never
seem to be at play, if all were toiling at a level to a common end.
The taste of rivalry, the mettle of the race, is half the joy of
action. Who would drain that over when his picture is of life?

No, the reason men of courage have cried Equality in every
revolution, in that they have felt the falseness of the orders of
merit that this world contains, that “men of low degree are vanity,
and men of high degree are a lie: to be laid in the balance they
are altogether lighter than vanity.” They saw, and they could not
bear to see, all the world unite in deeming him better who was in
reality, by any test but accidental fortune, worse. It was this
high revolt against false values that led Plato to conceive his
Ideal Republic. He wished to see a genuine aristocracy, and so
he fashioned one in his own mind. And though we know that
without a divine judge there can be no absolute aristocracy, still
we can afford to borrow from Plato a little of his fine sense of
the superiority of good things over bad. We can recognize with
him that there is both inevitability and beauty in the fluent orders
that would continually form and dissolve themselves in a free
society at the bidding of nature. And to that condition, so
remote from the crass and rigid aristocracies of our money culture,
we can still appropriately give the name Equality.

A name that seems to paint a far less vivid world—a world less
vivid and more extravagantly unrelated to the facts of life—is
Universal Brotherhood. It was a fashion, at the birth of social-
istic programs, to assume that men were creatures in whom sympa-
thetic virtue is predominant, and only choked back by the acci-
dents of politics and history. But now we know that men have
egotistic virtues just as strong. We know that individual interests
and raw temperaments will always clash, and men and women
will themselves against the enemies of their desires and fight. We
can, indeed, abolish all these stereotyped hatreds which make pas-
sionate puppets of us. We can abolish international war, and the
conventional jealousy of races. We can end the hatred of slave
and master, of the class against the class, by forcing out the basis
of false privilege on which it rests. And with that we can assure
ourselves that men will find a new degree of sympathetic under-
standing, as they do today within their several groups. But that
anything remotely approaching a Brotherhood of Man, if those
words are taken seriously, can be engendered in a race with our
hereditary nature, is as utopian a dream as it is unnecessary. Such
pretension would only multiply the sweet hypocrisies which
fester in our own society.

The emblem of Universal Brotherhood was borrowed from an
alien culture, which contained a custom of ecstatic contempla-
tion that seemed, at least, to make it real. In tranced conditions
there is a narrowing of consciousness upon some luminous focus
of emotion, which oriental and medieval mystics have believed to
be a transcendental knowledge of the oneness of all creatures.
They have lived in that belief the marvellous and abnormal life
of saints and holy mendicants. And when they murmur
“Brother,” there is, indeed, a pause. But this mere intellectual
concept, borrowed like a head-dress from the orient, will fail
entirely to sanctify an experimental plan of progress, whose real
promise rests upon a forsaking of mysticism, and a matter-of-
fact definition of the facts and potentialities of average human
nature, unsublimed.

If we still aimed, through some mystic evangel, to make men
what they are not—we might define what we would make them
as it pleased us. But if our aim is, by enfanchising their wills,
to give them, after all these centuries, an opportunity to be what
they are—we need not hope that they will turn out brothers, or be found so much alike that they will all well-wish and love each other, and acrid taste, and diastase, and isolation, and ferocity, and arrogance, and sin be lost out of the world. “Brotherhood” belongs in heaven; the dreams of the agitator are for this world.

There is in fact a suspicion attaching to the Brotherhood gospel when it is advanced, as most often, by persons of sentiment who are themselves in easy circumstance. To feel brotherly, in a millennial sort of way, is a happy manner of passing the leisure time that one owes to the sweat and penury of others. It is a renunciation of the social superiority that attaches to superior ease; and this act of renunciation will often engender a sense of virtue so great as to enable one to forget the material injustice which gave him the opportunity to perform it.

Moreover, the spreading of an abnormally fraternal feeling among all men plays subtly into the hands of those who hold the economic advantage. To them there is a business value in the Brotherhood gospel. It is a quieting gospel, and tends to conserve the current rate of interest on capital. It offers to the dependent classes an artificial emotion which, although quite inappropriate to their position, may win them to the gracious habit of accepting that position as merely incidental. To hear John D. Rockefeller confessing his brotherhood toward the miners who are his serfs in Colorado, makes one who loves liberty sick of the very odor of sanctity that exalts from that so virtuous ideal. One suspects that in a Universally Brotherly world the wheels of exploitation would run so smoothly as to be almost inaudible.

An ideal more modest than Universal Brotherhood, and one native to the occidental civilization, is the cultivation in man of what is called “Social Consciousness.” This implies an excessive development of the altruistic instinct, backed up by a self-interested recognition of our mutual dependence in matters of health and moral sanity. It shares with Brotherhood the defect of tending to ally discontent in the exploited classes, but as a state of feeling among people of wealth its very modesty gives promise of their delivering a little of the material goods. We generally find that what they intend to deliver, when translated into business-like language, is the abolition of absolute poverty or destitution. And to this task, every humane person will give aid and gratitude wherever he can. It is a task which seems actually possible of accomplishment by means of this appeal to intelligent self-protection and this exaggeration of the tender emotions. For probably few people profit in the long run upon the destitution of others, and almost all of us suffer in some degree at the contemplation of cold misery. But it is a task which seems rather negative and obvious and only to have been delayed, and its compelling desirability should not lead us to confuse that vague ideal under which it will be performed with the aims of revolutionary agitation. Along with the Brotherly millennium we may lay aside the hope of a general epidemic of “Social Consciousness” as neither very beautiful nor very scientific. The fundamental stratifications of society will never be revolutionized by the cultural over-emphasis of one instinct.

But Social Brotherhood is after all a friendly, helpful notion, as compared with Righteousness. It seems to me the mood that strikes for Universal Righteousness is the most of all opposed to that which hopes to make the world a free and happy place. I do not mean that moral wisdom would be suspended in that place, or is suspended in the minds that labor towards it. Moral wisdom is all wisdom, and this science of the fight for liberty is but a portion of the greater whole. But the very word righteous is self-righteous. It proposes primarily to make other people fit the patterns of restraint one had to lay out for himself. It is a word that comports with the old arrogant propaganda of the jealous God, of judgment day, and the damnation of everybody who was having more fun than the apostles. Or, in gentler form it indicates that marvelously self-sacrificing passion to do for others what they have no desire to have you do, which we call the missionary spirit.

Prior to Puritanism, and more deep, there grew into the very fiber of our morality this evangelical temper, which is a great inhibitor of progress in the art of social life. We have concerned ourselves in every excited moment with the problem of making people good. We have lived so long for another world. In this world the exact problem is to make people happy—a problem in the solution of which we may find ourselves compelled to be good. At least we shall find ourselves liberated from the sombre domination of the parson, and not estranged by our idealisms from the genial business of life. A mighty energy will be let loose when we return, we “spiritual” people, to this earth with our ideal enthusiasm; and surely a portion of that energy must take endeavor toward the elemental aim of giving men a chance to be in liberty that which they are.

A theory that partakes somewhat of the thrust after other people’s rightness, not excluding of course one’s own, is Anarchism. I mean that by the very nature of his creed, the anarchist is committed to be missionary rather than agitator. A missionary is a zealot who would change the fundamental wills of men; an agitator seeks to rouse the courage to fulfill those wills. And those whose aim is Anarchy must change the basic trends of human nature, or their aim destroys itself. It is either evangelical or absurd. For given a human being who on every hand, from every state of Anarchy, has always passed as surely as the motion of the planets to the despotism of the strong—until you change his character the probability is infinite that he will do that thing again. You must either alter the man, or else establish a “supreme power” to enforce your Anarchism.

And as a fact the writers upon Anarchy who were not frankly evangelical, like Christ and Tolstoy, have always established a supreme power in their books delineating the ideal future. And that supreme power was either an abstract noun, or it was the author of the books. “Anarchism” will have it so: the “Anarchistic Order,” the “World Under Anarchy” will inaugurate such and such affairs; that is their characteristic language. And when they escape from that, it is because they themselves have taken over the power, and are commanding the genesis of a free society, as God commanded the genesis of the world.

Take away from the utopias painted in these books that ghostly power of the abstract noun to arrange anything, except upon a page of print; and take away their authors (or let them be taken away by the police) what have you left but men and their inherited propensities to domination and servility, which will start the groaning cycle at the ancient point of tyranny again? We say the best for Anarchy when we call it evangelical. It is the evangel of Christ Jesus taken seriously.

But even let us suppose its goal were possible, suppose that human nature could be transmuted in a bath of noble oratory, so that we might dodge this mean alternative of either selecting or enduring an authority—would that be liberty? It would perhaps, if economic life were primitive, if wealth and sustenance were not produced by extremely complex and social mechanisms. There was a time when tools were few and labor was plentiful, or at least was so conceived, and liberty was rightly then a negative idea. The absence of authoritative interference was all that freedom of the individual required. The cult of “natural liberty” expressed the revolutionary purpose of those times, and political Anarchy, though as a word of eulogy it rose much later, is only an extreme expression of the credo of that cult. It seems a sort of dying cry, if one may so suggest its beauty, of an eighteenth century attempt at human liberty.

With the growth of social industry and capital, and the submission of the many to the few which resides not merely in the ownership but in the very operation of our wealth producing mechanism, the problem of attaining liberty in spite of all, has grown to be a high constructive problem, whose next steps can

---

*Even Max Stirner, who is at a glance the furthest from the gospel anarchist, and whose idea of what a man should be is not like “righteousness” at all, is none the less compelled to war on his own inspiring moralism as a power for changing men. He would have "society" replaced by the "singular of spirits"; but what can prevent the "abstraction of spirits" from becoming "society" over again, except Max Stirner and his egotic gospel?*
only be delineated in their outcomes as the fight proceeds. From being a mere negation of external interference, the concept, individual liberty, must become a sweeping and audacious affirmation. We must organize this intricate gigantic engine so that it produces liberty as well as wealth. And organization, even of the most simple kind, requires at least a conditional authority.

The government of an industrial society where men are free, will be a different thing, indeed, from what we taste of government today—the instrument of moneyed exploitation oiled with an elective officidom. It will be so different that perhaps the word "state," which characteristically describes the "myself" of a despot, or despotic class, will be allowed to lapse. Who knows? And of the further developments and revolutions that may follow—who is wise enough to be the fool that knows? In the remote ends of time what may become of government, of laws, of property, of competition or cooperation, of the use of life, is the shadow of a mystery. Like universal being, the far course of time is dark, because our minds were not fashioned for knowing such things. And therefore they serve well the purposes of worship and emotional belief. So let the faithful gather under their churches, and under their mythic "isms," pouring their sorrow into the bosom of whatever eternal and abstract ideal aspiration is a mother to their temperaments. But let them not send out apostles to convert to their dogma, to their personal sacred word of emotional relief, men and women who need neither dogma nor religion, for they are busy with brain and feeling both in the present struggle towards a universal good.

There is a special "isms" called "anarchism," which is willing to ravish and explode for a high purpose whatever law or principle of custom, binds us for the common purposes. That is the fire that breathes through every word of Godwin, Shelley, Proudhon, Stirner, Bakunin, and Kropotkin. That is the sacred danger that we cherish in our hearts forever. The theory, the program, the dogma, which denies all law and regulation, as though denial were a God, is but the sterile blossom of that spirit in a mind not trained to think in terms of method. We ought to lop off these pale blossoms, every one of them, and save what flows along the veins they grew on. For there are always people to be extreme in what they "believe in"—people to advocate impossible social ideals, or preach raw statements of exaggerated fact. And they are usually the ones who are ready to propose extreme measures also. But the crowd never listens to them, and so they are allowed to talk. What the world fears, and what the world needs, is the mind with deliberate aims and pliant perceptions of fact, who is still ready for extreme methods.

Syndicalism has to a great extent displaced Anarchism as a dogma for the extremist, exactly because it contains so much more indication of method. As a social aim Syndicalism suffers the defects of Anarchism. It portrays a kind of anarchy of free competition between labor groups, as small as a single branch of industry; and that this would entail a tyranny of the strong, unless hereditary human nature were altered, or some supreme power enforced the ideal, is obvious. As a goal of endeavor, therefore, Syndicalism like Anarchism, lacks the humble reference to the actual. But Syndicalism also connotes a current method of affirmative procedure. It is the name for a tendency, shared by many working men who never heard the name, to ignore politics and legislation, and to make their fight against exploitation purely industrial.

This is exactly the opposite of Anarchism. The Syndicalist sees that the privilege of his exploiters rests on economic grounds, and with a wisdom that immediate facts usually justify he refuses to have the impact of his attack diverted from those grounds to the political superstructure. He abjures the political mode of thought and procedure altogether; whereas anarchoism is the political mode of thinking at its unconditioned extreme. The anarchist sees the very ground of privilege in political government, and would direct all his energies to the assault upon that superstructure. Anarchy is an old-fashioned purely political philosophy. Syndicalism is the immoderately extreme opposite. The political science of half a century divides them. But as delineations of an ideal society they share the faults that spring from ill-defining or ignoring verified facts.

So all these slogans of the millennium—Equality, and Brotherhood, and Righteousness, and Anarchy, and Syndicalism, and other isms of which these are the type—seem to partake too much of the nature of God to bring help to anyone whose tools are imbued in the actual. Yet they may all, as indicating the universal trends of social volition, help us to mould an aim still beautiful to man, and yet more practical, and with a humbler front to nature's determinations.

In "Equality" we find the wish for equal opportunity, which might assure us that the victors in this eternal race for distinction shall be those who have put forth the better powers. In Brotherhood we find a resolution to see an end of stereotyped hatreds, and of these exact hostilities that rest upon pecuniary caste. We will give those who preach the love-gospel a chance, at least, in a fluent society, to see what it will do. Even in the ideal of Universal Righteousness is contained a reasonable hope that we can utterly destroy that public hypocrisy and private corruption, which are incidental to a society in which the political and cultural forms of democracy exist without its economic substance. And Anarchy, above all, portrays with abandon the passion of man to exist, separately and self-dependently, a sovereign of his destiny. Something from all these utopias returns, after one has renounced them, and beautifies the enduring purpose of the agitator to make all men as free to live and realize the world as it is possible to make them.

The purpose of life is that it should be lived. It can be lived only by concrete individuals; and all concrete individuals are unique, and they have unique problems of conduct to solve. And though a million solutions must be generally proposed and praised in order that each may choose the true and wise one for himself, they are all futile, these solutions, and the whole proposal to live life in wisdom or virtue is hypocritical and absurd, if men and women are not free to choose. That we should give to all the people on the earth a little liberty to be themselves, before we lay out such elaborate efforts to make them "better," seems to be a point of common courtesy that the entire idealistic trend of culture has ignored. Yet around that simple friendly purpose, dropped by the wayside in the grand procession, the revolutionary storms of history have always gathered.

(The title of the next chapter will be "The Basis of Caste.")

A Chance for Freedom

It is not generally understood here in the East that Matthew Schmidt has another chance for freedom. His case is to come up for decision on appeal before the Appellate Court next October. The labor men of California are very hopeful of the outcome, if the workers of the whole country will back them.

Caplan's second trial has been set for October 16th, and the prospects are that the case will be dismissed, if the necessary fight is made.

Last month we asked The Masses' readers to send their contributions to M. A. Schmidt, County Jail, Los Angeles, Cal. We reiterate that request this month. Send all you can for the men who have proved themselves among the pluckiest fighters the Movement has produced.

Announcement

Next month we shall publish, among other interesting things, Elsie Clews Parsons' article on "Engagements" and Louis Untermeyer's review of Miles Malleson's play "Youth," both unavoidably omitted from the present issue, together with "Strike Pictures of the Mesaba Range," by Mary Heaton Vorse.
AN INTERNATIONAL DIGEST

Confiscation!

The organs of wealth are bitter against the new income and inheritance taxes for which the present Congress and administration are responsible. As it happens the income tax is not nearly so high as it is in France or Germany, nor as the inheritance tax introduced in England by Lloyd George in 1914. And many times higher still are the new war taxes which the countries of Europe will have to support for several years, if not indefinitely.

There is no practical reason why American taxes should not be raised to the European level; no reason why they should not provide, as it was claimed that the British tax was intended to provide, a revenue which would make it possible for the nation to improve the mental and physical efficiency of its people.

As it is, the new American taxes mark a radical step for a country as backward as ours in its social reform and taxation policies. They can suffer several additions before they reach the standards set in Europe, but there is consolation in the thought that the objections to the inheritance and income principle of taxation have been met and that the road is cleared for an advancement of the rate. With confidence, therefore, we can look forward to further "expropriation" and "confiscation."

Allowing for some complicated exemptions in the new system, the taxes will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per Cent</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

About 14 per cent, $5,000,000 and over

The British taxes of May, 1914, rose to 7 per cent, on $20,000; 13 per cent, on $500,000 and over.

The British war taxes are 17½ per cent on $20,000 and 34 per cent on $500,000 and over.

The new U.S. inheritance taxes (at the present writing) are to be fixed as follows:

- Under $50,000: 1 per cent
- Over $50,000: 5 per cent
- Over $1,000,000: 10 per cent
- Over $5,000,000: 10 per cent

The British budget of May 1914, raised taxes under $50,000 from 1 to 4 per cent; estates over $500,000, 10 to 11½ per cent; over $5,000,000, 20 per cent.

Even adding in our state inheritance taxes we shall not reach these figures by our new federal taxes.

If $21,000,000 is raised by the present inheritance tax bill, it would be easy to raise $190,000,000 in the same way.

If $250,000,000 is to be raised by the new income taxes it would be easy to raise $100,000,000 by applying the British pre-war rates, or $1,000,000,000 by applying the war rates.

Then we might really take care of the health and education of the American people.

W. E. W.

French vs. German Pacifists

The international conference of the Socialists of the neutral nations did not take the action that was generally expected. It did not endorse the position taken by both wings of the German Party, that the war is to end with the restoration of the status quo. According to this view, all races, nationalities, and subject peoples (no matter what their wishes may be) would remain under the same governments as before the war. Thus the surviving Armenians would remain under Russia, the Italians of the Trentino under Austria, and even the French parts of Alsace-Lorraine under Germany. And Germany would not be required to carry out her promise to compensate the Belgians for the wrong she admitted having done to her. This policy, formulated in the phrase "no annexation and no indemnities," was said by the American delegate Algeron Lee (in the New York Call) to be one upon which all the delegates were united. The American international secretary, Morris Hillquit, said in an article in the Sunday Times that the Belgian Socialists did not want an indemnity.

But the official resolutions of the conference, as published in the Socialist press, show that it reached a widely different conclusion. For it demanded the "rehabilitation of Belgium" (which was natural, in view of the fact that the conference was held in Holland). Nor did it assume the most difficult questions of the territorial questions could be settled by a mere return to the status before the war. On the contrary it called upon the German Socialists "to confer with the French Socialists regarding Alsace-Lorraine."

What, it must be asked, would be the result of such a conference? It is clear that the pro-war Socialists of the two countries would come to no agreement. What, then, is the position of the Socialist pacifists of the two countries? These minorities are about equally powerful in France and in Germany. In both countries they include about a third of the Socialist members of the national parliaments and of the Party organizations and claim to include about half of the Party membership. Besides these influential minorities there is a small extreme group in both countries. In Germany this group is composed of 2 out of the 112 Socialist Reichstag members (Liebknecht and Ruehe). In France it is composed of 3 out of the 102 Socialist members of the Chamber. There is no forecast that this group will gain strength. On the other hand, the large minorities led by Kautsky, Haase and Bernstein in Germany, and Jean Longuet in France, are recognized by nearly everybody as being of the highest significance.

Some of the German minority are willing that there should be a plebiscite in Alsace-Lorraine as a whole, but it is said that this would give a pro-German result. Some districts were German before 1870 and remain German to-day. Other districts, nearer to France, were and remain French. Still others have lost a part of their French population and received a still greater influx of Germans since the provinces were Germanized. Evidently the neutral conference had this fact in view.

Let us now turn to the French. There the pacifist minority led by Longuet has just had a great victory. In the last meeting of the Chamber of Deputies in July, it succeeded in getting its principles adopted by the Socialist group as a whole, including 89 of the 90 members present (not 87 out of 166, as reported by the New York Call). That was a victory of the pacifists and not of the militarists (as asserted by the Call) we learn from Jean Longuet himself in the new Paris weekly of the minority, Le Populaire. All 89 of the signers voted military supplies to the government on the following grounds:

1. That the French Government had declared officially for the right of all the peoples of disputed territory to self-government—Bethmann-Hollweg had declared wholly against this right for the peoples of Eastern Europe (e.g., Lithuania and the Baltic Provinces), and had limited it for Belgium.

2. That the war was not aimed at the political or economic destruction or crippling of Germany.

3. That the objects of the French government are "to insure the territorial integrity of France, to obtain for Alsace-Lorraine the rights trodden upon in 1871, to assure the entire political and economic restoration of Belgium and Servia, and to acquire the certainty of a durable peace."

On the other hand the declaration denied the statement of President Poincaré that France would fight until the Germans sued for peace. (In France, fortunately, the President is not the government nor even a very important part of it.)

The conference of the Socialists of the neutral countries, held two weeks later, evidently took this declaration, which Longuet explains originated with the minority, into account. It thereby took a position at variance with that of the immediate international Socialist conference at Zimmerwald. But it agreed with the Zimmerwald Socialists that the war is and must remain a draw, so that a peace to-day would not be radically different from a peace a year later. This is clearly not the view of the French minority. Nor is it the view of Huyssens, Secretary of the International Bureau, who has repeatedly stated that the terms of peace are even more important than an early termination of the war.

The German minority recognizes that a golf exists between themselves and the French. Longuet says that Germany is pursuing a war of conquest and that France is not. He pledges the French minority to oppose their government—as Marx and Engels did in 1871—if the war develops into a war of conquest on the part of France, or threatens to do so. In this view as in their whole position the minority "remains faithful to all the decisions of the international Congress and to the eloquent teachings of our great and beloved Jaurès." And it is certain nobody was nearer to Jaurès than was Longuet. Longuet points out that this view is also that of J. R. MacDonald, who is the leader of the English Socialist pacifists since the death of Keir Hardie.

Longuet concludes one of his editorials as follows: "No! The Socialist minority is more and more certain that it represents the deepest thought of the masses. It sees coming to it from all directions the approval and encouragement of the whole nation in arms. It is neither aspiring to the false glory of a minority at the cost of the common good of the nation, nor is it the tool of a minority at the cost of a nation. It is pursuing methodically its action on behalf of the international and of the fatherland, which it has never separated."

The neutral conference then meets with an absolute refusal of the German minority to accept its terms of peace, and an equally resolute refusal of the French minority to accept an immediate peace at any price—because of their belief that such a peace would not secure even the modest terms demanded by the neutral conference.

W. E. W.
BOOKS THAT ARE INTERESTING

A MONTHLY REVIEW CONDUCTED BY FLOYD DELL.

WHO SAID THAT BEAUTY PASSES LIKE A DREAM?

THIS book reminds me of one more respect in which I am a fortunate being—that I am living to-day in the first quarter of the twentieth century, instead of, like many estimable people of whom I have heard, dying in the nineteenth. Think! In the nineteenth century this book of photographs, the exquisite record of a living art, would have been impossible. In the nineteenth century the art of dancing did not exist. It had once existed; lovely memorials of it remained in the weather-beaten marble of Greek friezes to haunt the memory and challenge the imagination. And the poets responded to that challenge. Keats saw a Grecian Urn in the garden of the Holland House, and went home and wrote an ode in which he imagines, prophetically of the art of dance. "In Eden, in Wordsworth's "The Daffodils,"... Very nice words, too. Swinburne, some time later, wrote:

"The ivy falls from the baccalum's hair;
Over her eyebrows, hiding her eyes,
The wild vine, slipping down, leaves bare
Her bright breast shortening into sighs;
The wild vine falls with the weight of its leaves,
But the berried ivy catches and cleaves
To the limbs that glitter, the feet that gallop;
Gallop, gallop, gallop, gallop, gallop.
But who follows on the fawn that flies."

But do you think Swinburne had ever seen the wild vine fall from a baccaulum's hair at Oxford? He had not. In the nineteenth century that was purely an exercise of the imagination. "The limbs that glitter, the feet that scare"—in the nineteenth century the limbs did not glitter, and the feet did not scare except when the sousrette in short horizontals who stood on one toe for the edification of audiences varied her performance by kicking off somebody's high silk hat.

A strange and dark century, the nineteenth! When they thought of creating beauty, they thought of creating it by means of colored paints and stone and words. The human body as the medium of high artistic expression did not seem to occur to them. That magnificent possibilities for the expression of joy, far surpassing in poignant intensity the power of words and paint, were going about carefully covered up with trousers and petticoats, was not apparently within their power to conceive. Perhaps—no, it could not be. For creating beauty has always been the privilege of the stronger sex. The women in the eighteenth century created the fashions of their day. But in the nineteenth century women appeared to have forgotten that they possessed the power to create their own apparel.

I remember the revelation it was of the full glory of the human body, when I first saw her dance. The beauty of it was terrific and blinding; it re-created the soul anew with its miraculous loveliness, the loveliness of youth and joy. And it still haunts me, that last time, when I saw the tragic poem which was unfolded in her slow and poignant rhythms—the magnificence of grief and pain—then, and a future more radiant than any I have ever seen in my Socialist or Nietzschean visions, the lovely constellated girlhood as if it were a dream sprung out of the deeps of heartbreak.

Those young dancers, Isadora Duncan's pupils—her prophecy and her challenge—are here in this book. Star-like, flower-like, ineffably young, they seem in these pages to live again. Mr. Genthe has captured with his camera, miraculously, the swift and vanishing loveliness, like a wave, foam-tipped, about to break, poised on the verge, which was in their gestures. No one who has seen them but will cherish this record of the grave and joyous splendor of youth.

They are the fitting prelude to such a book. Follow, then, Maud Allan, Ruth St. Denis and her school, Lady Constance Stewart-Richardson, Lillian Elammon (a child dancer), the Morgan dancers, Spanish dancers, Classic dancers, Anna Pavlova, the Byar school, and Eclectic dancers—some ninety odd dancers, pictured for the most part with astonishing success. One might have suspected that photography was not the divinely ordained means of representing figures in motion—but Mr. Genthe has taken the irony out of that thought. Subtle, vivid, delicate, full of movement, these pictures are a tribute at once to the art of the dance and the art of the camera.

It is, as I remarked before, the twentieth century; and no one who can earn or steal the money to buy tickets need go untouched by the lucent and soul-emancipating influences of the art of dance. But—worse luck—it is a long time between dancers. In the thirtieth century, I know, it will be otherwise. But in the seasons of draught between those draughts at the well of living beauty, one can look at these photographs. I especially recommend them to those who live out of town and are like to perish of inanition before Christ- mas from lack of the greatest spiritual sustenance that art can offer—the authentic beauty of the human body expressing the human soul.

FLOYD DELL.

THE SINGLE TAX


In his book on The Single Tax Movement in the United States, Dr. Arthur Nichols Young, instructor in Economics at Princeton, pays a just tribute to the idealism of Henry George, whose vision inspired his followers with a belief in the possibility of a world freed from poverty. The volume brings together in convenient form the important events in the life of George and summarizes the efforts of Single Taxers to translate their beliefs into political action.

The movement soon developed a difference of opinion between two groups of adherents: those who favored concentrating efforts upon the work of propaganda in the belief that public opinion would force political action, and those who insisted that the field of politics offered the best hope of success. While it is obvious that a reform must remain in the academic stage until enacted into law, it does not follow that the most effective way to gain the acceptance of the single tax principle is to work for piecemeal legislation. Indeed it may be argued that this method has produced but meagre results from a Single Tax point of view, however helpful it may have been in establishing desirable minor reforms such as the Initiative, Referendum and Recall, Home Rule in Taxation, and other more or less related issues. Moreover, the desire to gain political advantage has encouraged compromise in a movement whose life depends upon veracity. The reformer cannot breathe in the atmosphere of compromise which is the natural element of the politician. Public opinion is the agent which turns the politician into a reformer. The land question did not become an issue in the fight over the famous Budget of 1909 because Lloyd George had mastered the Single Tax philosophy, but because as an astute politician he reacted to the feelings of the constituencies with which he came in contact. It was the "academic" propaganda of the British Single Taxers which aroused the voters, who in turn impressed the ambitious Chancellor of the Exchequer. There can be no moral objection to political activities which do not involve a surrender of principle, but the fact remains that no radical reform can succeed until it has won a preponderance of public opinion by the process of reasoning.

Among persistent objections to the Single Tax argument the historian of the movement insists that two have special force. A definition of land which puts it into a different category from "other goods" is held to be untenable, as is the contention that landholders should be made to surrender ground rent without compensation. Like Huxley, who described "Progress and Poverty" as "more dammed nonsense than poor Rousseau's blather," economists laugh at the conception of natural rights. The test which most students of social problems to-day apply to institutions and laws is not as a priori idea of natural rights," says Dr. Young, "but the test of social utility. The Single Tax may or may not be a good thing, but Single Taxers can make their case only by showing that it is just and will promote the general welfare."

One may be pardoned for asking what justice is if not a conception of natural right. Huxley himself admits "the substantial justice of the laws by which the world is governed," and declares that "the rewards of life are contingent upon obedience to the whole law—physical as well as moral."

While the Single Taxer does not shrink from arguing in terms of social utility, he realizes that justice must be the final measure of all social adjustments. Huxley was able to see "that slavery means, for the white man, bad political economy; bad social morality; bad internal political organization, and a bad influence upon free labor and freedom all over the world." Single Taxers see in industrial slavery the same train of evils, and appeal to the same instinct of justice in demanding its overthrow. But we are assured that "opponents have protested that it is a gratutious affront to intelligence to compare ownership of human beings, whose welfare should be the end of economic activity, with ownership of land, an inert thing."
Here we touch the very crux of the Single Tax argument which holds that men are forced into unwilling servitude because land monopoly prevents them from making an independent living. Supposing Robinson Crusoe's ownership of the island, the man Friday becomes his serf; but with equal rights in the soil of the United States, men can be enslaved as effectually by withholding from them the means of livelihood as by taking them captive. It is no rhetorical flourish to describe the present industrial slavery as more cruel and more demoralizing than the cruder form at which the conscience of the world has learned to revolt. Its subtlety is an added danger. No slave can recognize his owner, nor are the owners conscious of the relationship. This form of peonage exists because the law permits the private appropriation of the value that society gives to land, and it will continue until ground rent is socialized.

The question of compensation to the landholder is directly analogous to that of compensation to the slaveholder. There is no way of righting a wrong without loss. Since the warning contained in "Progress and Poverty" men have speculated in ground rent at their own risk. If the day of reckoning finds them unprepared it is their own affair.

The aim of the Single Taxer is an item in the Socialist programme, but it profoundly does to the two schools of thought differ in their interpretation of economic phenomena that has been scant co-operation even on the land question. If some agreement could be reached whereby the united forces could be brought into action against the common enemy, the cause of social justice would be well served.

FRANK W. GABRIEL.

LOVE AND SELF-RESPECT

The Real Adventure, by Henry Kettlethorpe Webster. $1.50 net. [Bobb's-Merrill Co.]

FROM the paper jacket of this novel I learn that all the literary critics in the United States have with a unanimous voice pronounced this to be one of the best novels so far written in this country. Curiously enough they are right. It is a remarkable book, and the fact that I only came across it some six months or so after it was published shall not deter me from talking about it. Perhaps others, like myself, let it go by last winter unread.

A novel that undertakes to tell the truth about love is too rare to be neglected; and when it shows, as does this one, not only a courageous sincerity but a profoundly sympathetic knowledge of the subject as well, it must be regarded as an important historical event.

Mr. Webster has something really important to say about love; and he says it in a most engaging and impressive manner. His story is unusual enough to gratify my taste for romance, and so faithful in its details as to satisfy the most exacting demand for realism. It is interpenetrated with a singularity just and humane spirit. There is not, so far as I can discover, one failure in spiritual discernment of his characters in the whole volume—which is, by the way, a considerably different thing in length and strength, from the serial version which appeared in one of the magazines.

It tells first of all, briefly and charmingly, how an earnest young man named Rodney and a glowing girl named Rose meet, fall in love, and begin the real adventure of life together. Then two things happen. First, Rose succeeds, without the least intending to, in turning her husband from a slightly "quiet" idealist into a somewhat lawyer. This is the theme upon which Robert Herrick has written many sad and savage disquisitions, and upon which H. G. Wells embroidered brilliantly in "Marriage" and "The Magnificent Adventure." Indeed, the first part of the book parallels "Marriage" in a rather startling way; but it should be added that it is about five times as good. The other theme is that if you want to be happy, you must shut your eyes to reality, economize, and take care of your children instead of wasting her husband's substance.

Well, Mr. Webster goes a bit deeper than that. For, as he notes, at the same time all this is happening to Rodney, something is happening to Rose, too. While her husband is breaking his back to pay for a magnificent house, clothes, motor-cars, dinners and jewelry—all the chaff of living which he had once wondered why men gave up the really important things in order to buy—Rose is having to live in the house, ride in the motor cars, adorn the dinners and display the jewelry. At first she likes it. But she does miss the old companionship they used to have. She feels that something is the matter; and being possessed of a mind, she ponders certain scraps of conversation with a foreign actress, a psycho-analyst, a radical journalist and what not, until she discovers what the matter is. It is, briefly, love.

If she were in any doubt about it, she finds out when she tries to talk to Rodney. The fact is that he loves her so much that he wants her to be his pride, his joy, his ornament—anything, in fact, but an actual person. She becomes hurt and angry when he finds her disconnected with being all these nice things. (And yet he had become exceedingly rebellious against what love was making of him.)

It is left for Rose, after a pathetic and vain attempt to become a real person via motherhood, to walk out of the house. I have always wondered what Nora did when she walked out of her Doll's House. Now I know. She got a job as chorus-girl in a musical comedy. It is in fact by the romantic expedient of earning her living that Rose succeeds in becoming a person.

And, as related by Mr. Webster, it is romantic in the best sense. Some of the most fascinating chapters of the book deal with her triumphant struggle to make good in the economic world as a human being with two capable hands—also, in her case, two well-shaped and capable legs—and a brain.

Some of the most tragic chapters, too, are here. One in particular relates how Rodney sees Rose on the stage and goes to her cheap half-bedroom with her. They are, as Mr. Webster has made quite very, much in love with each other. Rose, naive and simple-hearted, is proud of her successful struggle to make a living, and she thinks Rodney will be proud of her, too, when she tells him. But explanations can wait. For they are in love with each other and famished with absence.

So love, divine and joyous passion, flings them desperately into each other's arms. And then—afterward—Rose learns what her husband really thinks of her runaway escapade. Bitter, angry, hurt to the brutal forgiveness, and orders her to pack her things and come home. Her dream, the dream that she had made herself a friend and equal, that he would see her now as a person, and not simply as the sweetest, loveliest and most desirable thing in all the world, falls down into tragic ruins. "Go home with you!" she answers, staring at him with frozen eyes. Instead she gives him back some money she had borrowed.

"Take it. I would pay it back if I had to earn it on the streets. For that is what you have made of me to-night."

Rather unjust, that. For, as the author does not fail to observe, it was as much her fault as his...

But it postpones very effectively any reconciliation.

Not until love has become at last compatible with self-respect do they effect that reconciliation. I am happy to record that they do, and that it is a perfectly satisfactory one—that is to say, it involves a complete and whole-hearted conversion on the part of Rodney to the theory that a woman is a human being even if she is the sweetest, loveliest and most desirable thing in the world.

I am happy to record, too, that the final reconciliation is unmarrred by any of the familiar complications in the shape of other love affairs. Rodney and Rose are, you will remember, very much in love with each other. Even so, in real life I am afraid, doubt, or the spirit of adventure, or mere loneliness would have sufficed to evoke the illusion of love during Rose's long struggle, with some other than Rodney as its object. And I should not have minded if Rodney had had to accept that fact as one of the incidental qualifications of Rose's newly-conceded human nature.

Such an incident would have given the book the one thing it lacks—a sense of the ironic and accidental quality that pervades human life, a gargoyle-esque decoration which need not be regarded as marring the beauty of the noblest structure. Life is more uncertain even in its clearest moments than it seems in this book. It would be less interesting if it were not.

But Mr. Webster has preferred not to obscure his motif: love at its noblest, he says, does these things; love at its noblest will spoil two lives if it is permitted to smother self-respect.

The conflict of the oldest with the newest passion in the soul of man.

P. D.

[See also next page.]

Artzibash's Publisher

I N our September issue we attributed to another publisher the three books by Michael Artzibash which are published by B. W. Huebisch. Also the prices of these three books and of Gorky's "The Spy" were not correctly given. They should be as follows:

By Michael Artzibash:
"In Search of the Guru"—$1.35 net.
"Breaking-Point"—$1.40 net.
"Millionaire"—$1.25 net.

By Maxim Gorky:
"The Spy"—$1.20 net.

We are glad to make the correction.
EDUCATION


I suspect the publishers of this book of realizing how important it is, and of wishing to keep it out of the general circulation which they have given it, by means of severe black lettering upon the cover, and a straitened and forbidding "educational" appearance, apparently intended to signify that no ordinary human being should read it. I fear they will succeed. Unless James Howard Kebler, who has persuaded several million astonished citizens of these States to read a book called "Crowds" (and why he did it I never could guess)—unless that inspired advertising agent gets hold of it, and prints an edition with a Japanese print or a Whistler etching or a pretty girl in a bathing suit, or something else humanly interesting on the cover, it will not have the circulation it deserves. And thus, as perhaps the reluctant publishers hoped, the American School System will be saved again.

They were right to be afraid. This book has enough dynamite in it to blow the institution to pieces, if once it could be applied.

The odd thing is this: that this reformational method of education was not created by a colony of anarchists who have made modern Gneida community, gone off by itself to do things which the whole world had declared impossible: it grew up, fantastic as the notion seems, right under everybody's nose, in New York!

What is still more strange is that this amazing system gains a general respect among our governing classes, instead of being looked upon as subversive of civilization and being suppressed by the military.

The answer—you know it already—is that it was found to save money. It had the one thing in it which is respected by those who rule. And so not only was it permitted to exist, but it is now in process of an impetted extension to New York City.

It seems almost as though a real overturning of an ancient and thoroughly ridiculous institution could be quietly accomplished for economic reasons.

The Gary plan promises to turn dull and weary pupils into live children, and to turn dull and weary teachers into live men and women.

This last is as important to us as it is to the teachers themselves. Who, indeed, that has the best interests of his country at heart, can view without alarm the steady, relentless transformation of the most promising youths and maidens of our fair land into—teachers? Who, I ask, would wish his own daughter to become a teacher? It has been argued on a grosly materialistic plane that this was an ugly necessity of civilization.

So it has seemed; and this peculiar lack of recognizable humanness by which the type can be recognized in public, has appeared a kind oblivion which makes their existence by anesthetizing them to all those aspects of human life from which they are for ever cut off. But the decayed reverts against such a state of affairs.

Is it really necessary that perfectly good people who would never hurt just like us, should thus be sacrificed to the dreadful necessities of education? And I have sometimes suspected that the teacherhood of these States is reduced to a large extent only apparent—that behind these cold masks... Indeed, a suggestion of the true state of affairs came to me while I was still immersed in that tepid bath called education.

Select ONE book from EACH class; remit $2.75 and the books will be forwarded to you at once.

These are all clean new books, taken from our overstock. Many of them are described in The MASSES Book Shop pages.

Send Money orders, cash, stamps or checks (add for bank exchange) to

THE MASSES BOOK SHOP
33 West 14th Street, New York

The prices quoted below are net. We prepare the postage.
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Combination BOOK BARGAINS

Wood and Stone, John Cowper Powys, $1.50
Green Mansions, W. H. Hudson, $1.40
Yvette and Ten Other Stories, Gabriel de Maupassant, $1.40
The Signal and Other Stories, Garshin, $1.50
Mykle Church Town, John Trevena, $1.40
Ray, Maxim Gorky, $1.35
Boon: The Mind of the Race, etc., H. G. Wells, $1.35
Four Plays, Emile Ajar
Anthology of Magazine Verse, $1.50
Others, an Anthology of the New Verse, $1.50
How Lord of Mims and Alfred nests
Socialism of Today, $1.60
Life and Germany, Frederick C. Howe, $1.50
Within Prison Walls, Thomas Mott Osborne, $1.50
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Ideals and Realities in Russian Literature, Kropotkin, $1.50
The Sexual Question, Forel, $1.50
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Little Angel, Andreyev, $1.25
Chelsas, Maxim Gorky, $1.35
—and other Poets, Louis Untermeyer, $1.25
Kipling, Rudyard
Spoon River Anthology, Edgar Lee Masters, $1.25
Man Who Rocked the Earth, Arthur Train, $1.25
The Confession, Maxim Gorky, $1.35
Fair Haven and Foul Strand, Steindberg, $1.25
The Souls, Gogol, $1.25
Child of the Amazons, Max Eastman, $1.00
New Wars for Old, John Haynes Holmes, $1.50
Why What Women Want, Beatrice Harris, $1.25
Woman and Labor, Olive Schreiner, $1.25
Socialism and War, L. B. Boudin, $1.00
On Dreams, Freud, $1.10
Poet in the Desert, Charles Erskin Wood, $1.00
The World's Social Evil, William Burgess, $1.50
The Spy, Maxim Gorky, $1.50
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Socialism in America, John Macy, $1.00
New Womanhood, Winifred Harper Cooley, $1.00
Anthropic, Scott Nearing, $1.00
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Limitations of Offspring, Robinson, $1.00
Love's Coming of Age, Carpenter, $1.00
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SALE LIMITED TO STOCK ON HAND
AMERICA'S COMING-OF-AGE
by V. AN WYCK BROOKS

A study of American ideals and reality; aspirations and performance. What is that retards the maturing of our literature and life? In our art, our politics, our letters, the tortuous trails of the "Highbrow" and of the "Lowbrow" may be traced. They stem from Jonathan Edwards and Benjamin Franklin respectively. Whither do they lead? Read the book; it marks a step forward in American criticism.

Published by B. W. HUEBSCH, 225 Fifth Avenue, New York City.

$1.25 Postpaid
Offered by Charles Scribner's Sons through The Masses Book Shop.

THE MASSES BOOK SHOP
33 W. 14th St., New York.

THE SEXUAL LIFE
By C. W. Malchow, M.D.

Embracing the Natural Sexual Impulse, Normal Sexual Habits, and Propagation, together with Sexual Physiology and Hygiene.

Very much nonsense is written, and upon investigation it will be found that the average library contains many books that are calculated to arouse and appeal to the passions, but very little is to be found that is really instructive or acts as food for thought upon the subject. All classes and kinds of people. The effort has been made to present herewith something solid and to give only scientific and established facts—such as will better enable those who are interested in these matters to obtain and impart rational information.

Now in 4th Edition 318 Pages $3.00

THE MASSES BOOK SHOP 33 W. 14th St., New York.

THE SEXUAL LIFE
by E. H. INGERSOLL KISCH, M.D.

Physiological and Hygienic Aspects

New Edition
Cloth, $1.60, Postpaid

The most exhaustive work on the subject, hitherto published exclusively in the United States, will be sold for $1.60.

The heavy and unexpected demand by lawyers, judges, educators, sociologists, clergy, and lay leaders among the thinking and cultivated classes was the determining factor for this edition. All those parts dealing with pathology, laboratory experiments, pathological conditions, and surgical professional treatment have been eliminated. In other words, all those passages which are of direct interest only to the medical man have been omitted.

What renders this book peculiarly attractive are the interesting, suggestive, and instructive illustrations that make it the work of today.

-"Algemeine Wiener Medicinische Wochenschrift.
-"It is a book for the practicing physician and the cultured layman alike.
-""-"Jahreshefte für Volkerkunde.
-"The book is a pervaded with a sphere of moral cleanliness which is highly gratifying; it is altogether normal, only to point a way of correcting it.
-"Railway Surgical Journal.
-"There are so many attractive ideas brought into this book that no one is at a loss where to begin. It is as absorbing as a novel and will be appreciated by all classes.
-"The book is a treasure of information, not only among the members of the medical profession, but also among all thinking and cultured classes.

CLOTH, $1.60, POSTPAID

THE MASSES BOOK SHOP
33 W. 14th St., New York.

Enjoyment of Poetry
by MAX EASTMAN

A reprint from the author's "Child of the Amazons and Other Poems," etc.

$1.25 Postpaid

An interpretation, at once scientific and eloquent, of poetry in life, and its relation to the poetry in literature.
A Really Different Magazine
Michael Monahan, Editor
Atlanta Constitution: The Phoenix is Literature.
The Argonaut: All discussing persons read The Phoenix.
Buffalo Courier: Many look up to him as a rare teacher, while others look down upon him as one fit for the burn- ing.
Rochester Post Express: To our thinking quite the most satisfying periodical of its kind.
To By Times: One of the few editors whose personal moods it is in the least possible to share.
Brooklyn Eagle: Will be appreciated by those who know the difference between literature and advertising.

Subscription, One Dollar a Year
To Canada and Foreign Countries, $1.25

SOUTH NORWALK, CONN.

A Shockingly Stimulating Book!

"Radical Views"
Printed for you conservatives
By Ralph Brandt
If you want to see at the impression of an iconoclast this book will give you a better collection than the Con-gregation of St. Jude.

Jury binding, hand-sewed with red silk, lying double edge paper, with initials in red. Limited edition.

$1.00 A COPY POSTPAID
Sold by
The Masses Book Shop

Red Hot

If you want for sell or friends, a paper that combats all religious dogma, send 50c. for each Agnostic hottest paper published. Don’t delay. Send today.

The Crucible, V, Paper
1330 1st Avenue, Seattle. 50 CENTS

Do Business by Mail
It’s probable, with numrous lists of prospects. Our catalogue contains vital information on advertising and the best of personal selling. So, and it is guaranteed. Such as

- War Material Mfgs., Wealthy Men
- Chance for Men,
- Black Gold Mfgs.
- Contractors
- Art Club Mfgs.
- Drummers

Write for this valuable reference book; also instructions for letter writing. How to write or recover your Sales Letters.

Rudolf Gould, 814 Olive St.

LIFE STUDIES
DRAPED AND IN THE NUDE

Original Photographs in Portfolio

The Basis of an Art Training in Figure-Work

These are direct, black photographic prints on double-weight paper, intended for the exclusive use of painters, illustrators, archi-tects, designers and art-students. Hundreds of such men have ac-corded them high praise for beauty of pose, excellence of photog-raphical technique and sincerity of purpose.

There is no catalog, samples are not submitted nor are the sets sent on approval; but they are sold under the Photo-Era Guarantee, which is known to be an absolute assurance of satisfaction in every respect.

Sets A, C or O. 20–5 X 7 Prints
Sets B, D, E, F, G, H or I. 12–8 X 10 Prints

Price, $5.00 each, express paid
With Photo-Era, 1 year, $6.00

PHOTO-Era, Trade Agent
363 Bayston St., Boston, U. S. A.

MSS. SUCCESSFULLY PLACED

Criticism, Revised, Typed. Send for booklet.

Readers' Exchange, Establisheollow, 1905

UNITED LITERARY PRESS, 123 6th Ave., N. Y.

WRITERS ARTISTS
TURN YOUR IDEAS INTO MONEY

We sell stories, poems, illustrations and sketches on commission to experienced saloonmen and editors in close touch with magazines, advertising agencies and picture publishers. Write for list of subjects now in demand.

Writers & Illustrators, Exchange
433 E. 12th, New York City

JAP-ART BRUSHES
TRADE MARK REGISTERED U. S. PAT. OFFICE

COMMENTS ON THEM

By Two Artists of the "Masses"

Our bulletin describes nineteen types—illustrated full size—write for it today.

JAP-ART BRUSH COMPANY
154 Nassau Street, New York
WOMEN AND MORALITY

"The view that feminine charity is well purchased at the price of prostitution, is a masculine view. It is a piece of the sinister and cruel idealism of the male mind— indeed, the male mind is in capable of being—from realities. No woman would ever have created prostitution to preserve the charity of men of her sex; and it seems impossible that women, when they come to think and set for themselves, should permit this act of charity to be maintained for their 'benefit.' Not will they hesitate long at the implications of that dedication. With the advent of women into a larger sphere, in our phrase we shall have to go to make missions for missions and gardens fit to be inhabited by the human soul... Women have a finer instinct than men the derection of the trust human values, but their very acts of reverence will seem to timid minds like the shattering of all ease, the delirium of civilization..."

Have you read the book from which this passage is taken? It is "Women as World Builders" Studies in Modern Feminism," by Floyd Dell. Sent postpaid for 55 cents.

THE MASSES BOOK SHOP 33 West 14th St., New York

THE MASSES

made the lesson seem a model of expert teaching.

Did you ever, after you were grown up, look at an old school-book, and note the lines and marks and pictures and silly words and meaningless sets and general mess-uping with lead-pencil which defaced its pages? Think of the hours of toil which those deface-
ments represent, the sheer boredom which the children will feel in their living soul, having no point of contact with affairs that were going on outside, expressed itself in making those ugly traceries! I do not scroll all over my copy of the poems of Thomas Hardy or the novels of Annette France and indeed I did not, as a child, deface my copy of John Veere and W. Clark Russell. A defaced school-book means a bun school. And I am glad to see Mr. Rosner make further objections in the same effect. You see, they let the children do as they please at Gary—so it seems to the outsider and I hope the outsider is right, though it wouldn’t do for the authorities at Gary to admit it as boldly as that. "Anyway," says Mr. Bourne, “some visitors, ap-
palled by the freedom of Gary schools, look about for signs of depre-
dation. But they do not seem to find any. The visitor gets the impression that these schools have acquired a "pub-
lc sense." The schools are the chil-
dren’s own institution, and are in the same broad sense that streets and parks are public. The tone is that of a gra-
ified democratic club, where members are availing themselves of privileges which they know are theirs. One ex-
pects children, unless they are chal-
enged to inventive wickedness, to use their school than a lawyer is likely to deface the panels of his club."

There is so much of what is ordi-
nary considered childishness, and which persists into college days, which is the product of mere depredation in-
isms of spirit—a tedium which is the most poisonous kind of unhappiness. I happen to remember what it is to be a child, and to be bored and unhappy. Most children, I think, are bored and unhappy a great deal of the time. Their happiest moments are marked by an in-
tensity of thought and action which is no pretense so rare and apparent, is not for the creation of the new mind of America..."

The way—as you are sure you didn’t miss something good in our advertising column this month— seems to appeal to you. Look again. Incidentally, you will help
THE MASSES while you are helping yourself to something you always wanted.
THE MASSES

Wanted—A $50,000 Man

I am responding to this advertisement, run by a big Boston corporation, for a man to be very rolsey with $50,000 to be given every year after making good. Address

The Kind of Men Enrolled

The following are some of the subscribers to the Modern Business Course and Service of the Alexander Hamilton Institute: Alfred I. Du Pont, of the Du Pont Powder Companies, capitalized at $200,000,000; Melville W. Mix, President of the Dodge Mfg. Co., a $5,000,000 corporation; Geo. M. Verity, President of the S. F. Mills, a $5,000,000 corporation; William H. Ingersoll, Marketing Manager of the big car company, in the world; N. A. Hawkins, General Sales Manager of the Pennsylvania Railroad, 76—and so on down the list of the biggest concerns in America.

Forging Ahead in Business

A careful reading of this 128-page book "Forging Ahead in Business," copy of which we will send you free, will repay you many times over. It will help you master what you don't know—and what you should know—to make success sure. This Course and Service will fit you to grasp the opportunities that come your way when prepared.

ALEXANDER HAMILTON INSTITUTE

125 Ave. Place
New York, N. Y.

Send me "Forging Ahead in Business." FREE

Name
Business
Address
City
State
Position

Study the Big Fundamental Principles Behind Your Work

You feel and know that you have the capacity for greater success. But conscientious work alone will not fit you to get on. You must be prepared, before you can hope to rise much above your present position. You must master the big fundamental principles behind the work you are now doing and which underlie the job ahead of you.

It is just these broad business principles, and the application of them to individual cases, that the Alexander Hamilton Institute is teaching to more than 40,000 men in America today.

Based Upon Actual Experience of Thousands of Successful Business Men

The Institute collects, classifies and transmits to you through the Modern Business Course and Service the best thought and practice in modern business. It will give you a thorough and sound training in the fundamental principles underlying all departments of business—it will give you a knowledge that could be otherwise obtained only by years of bitter experience—if at all.

Advisory Council

Both business and educational authority of the highest standing are represented in the Advisory Council of the Alexander Hamilton Institute. This Council includes Frank A. Vanderlip, President of the National City Bank; Judge E. H. Gary, head of the U. S. Steel Corporation; John Hays Hammond, the famous engineer; Joseph French Johnson, Dean of the New York University School of Commerce; and Jeremiah W. Jenks, the statistician and economist.

THE BALLAD OF JOSEPH THE NAZARENE

Being true recitative sequence commonly addressed Blueberry

The MASSES Book Shop

THE DEAR DEPARTING

By Andrey Translated by Julia Weit

The BALLAD OF JOSEPH THE NAZARENE

By W. A. Seaman on Reformation Day

Price 10 cents postpaid

THE MASSES BOOK SHOP

Will He Come Back?

A One Act Comedy

By FELIX GRENDO

Gives a clue to the changing sex morality of our time and illustrates how in which women are feeling and acting toward men.

Twenty Five Cents

The Masses Book Shop

hinders. But one of the sons, Jimmie, is by being a poet and a writer and it plays the dickens with him. Indeed, when he marries, he simply submits to the weaker tyranny of a conventional English wife for the stronger one of a God-fearing Scotch mother. The book might as well be called "The Artist and Respectability," since these are the forces that really wage struggle through its pages.

Jimmie comes from Scotland with Napoleon literary ambition of a bonnet, and goes into trade in an English industrial city like Manchester. He writes dramatic criticisms of the law, and in his mother's son, one of the Lawries. The quality of such a life is nowhere better revealed than in the passage about the repressive undertaking: "The task was Herculean, and made him work with and patience.

If it was worth doing, it was worth doing wholly, and that for him was impossible. His personality remained outside the work, behind the ambition to the ambitions entirely. He knew that if, as was necessary for the work he had undertaken, he became a vagabond, the idea of the brother Tom's triumph 'I told you so' would paralyze him. More than that, he wanted that Tom was not the real obstacle so much as his own liking for respectability. The duality of his existence was beginning to tell on him, and he had appalling moments when all sense of reality was

The MASSES Book Shop

Three Wonderful Plays!

Received from "The Bomb Shop"
of London

YOUTH
By Miles Malleson

34 cents

THE DEAR DEPARTING
By Andrey

Translated by Julia Weit

25 cents

THEATRE OF THE SOUL
By Evereit

Translated by Julius Weit

25 cents

Postage Extra. Send a dollar for these three kithlets unpublished plays. Only six hundred copies received.
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A New Book by the Editor of “The Masses”

UNDERSTANDING GERMANY

THE ONLY WAY TO END WAR AND OTHER ESSAYS
Ready September Fifteenth. $1.25 Net

Books by Edward Carpenter

LOVE’S COMING-OF-AGE
A Series of Papers on the Relations of the Sexes. $1.00 Net.

THE DRAMA OF LOVE AND DEATH
A Study of Human Evolution and Transfiguration. $1.50 Net.

INTERMEDIATE TYPES AMONG PRIMITIVE FOLK
A Study of Social Evolution. $2.00 Net.

Order from Your Bookseller or The Masses Book Shop.

Mitchell Kennerley, Publisher, New York

VARIUS MATTERS

IF The Masse exist solely for the edification of their editors, why publish it? Said editors can enjoy it at less cost in M. S. form. But despite its star-the-reader policy it must have a purpose, or else you would not ask those readers to trouble up new subscribers. If to make money in anything must be done, the purpose must be that of doing good. And the names of its publishers are a guarantee that to them the only good really worth the doing is to rob the poor of their “verdammten Judenheitlichkeit,” and to remove the causes of it. It is not just. To belong to a mutual admiration society like the family circle of Tuck Masses is the reader’s only hope, and his readers may be dying, but they isn’t getting anywhere.

For instance, I fail to see the usefulness of the sketches of a node on page 25 of the July issue, which sketches will mean nothing to the floundering nakedness must be such a simple. True, they are well drawn, but the poses are empty, even absurd; also, I think that a badly ignorant face helps the lady in her pose. Let us have the truth of nobleness of life, not of pose in our novels. Only when the social ill-division feeds us enough of the truth of ugliness (Dear me, I do not mean necessarily in the physical sense, but in the social sense) and now that I have put my foot in it with my ridiculous tolerance of the Beauhelt and stampled myself an impossible messmate in art matters, I reckon not the cost but boldly declare that much of the New Art is pure bank- relessness, a competitive striving after the average, the weird and of the what isn’t. (One artist in The Masses regularly draws scrawny slim children with 3-inch necks like Zwergs. I have never managed to absorb old eye or abolish enough to enable me to group some of the New Art. The nearest I ever come to be one of the campers was as a boy. Climbing after a neighbor’s apple, I fell. Brain fever developed. Whenever I opened my eyes, the landscape of the sick-room resolved itself into a monstrous crazy-quilt of unusually alive and wigglingly sinister design. It was Cubism, Futurism and Vorticism (It is not for me to say: I was viewing, had I come in). But it gave me the willies, and I screamed. Silly of me, I call it, and most un grateful for an opportunity that I may never again. And “free verse,” what sins are committed in the name? Much of the stuff seems to be free verse minus rhymes, rhythm and balance, in fact, just indifferent prose, slightly added by affectation and not half as good as R. L. S.’s prose.

Aside from bedding down a horse and water- ing the lawn I cannot do much, but I think I could do a better or two of free verse any day between chores and bedtime.

Not long ago we had a glorious pagan among us, released belatedly from the word of the Church. Life in him welled up to its fresh and untrammelled thing, to be voiced in full throat. He had a regal intolerance of form. We are not yet clear whether Whitman’s songs are great poetry, or just what, but they are as naturally his as the roar of the lion’s. But the volcano is dead, and only soft zepphas are heard in the land. Our small lyric voice, garbed in the rugged shell of “Leaves of Grass” are incongruous as the night of a little boy parading in father’s clothes.

No doubt many of your readers agree with me that I put a bridge on some of the usual stuff means an increased uselessness of the Masse for propaganda purposes. To rip it apart is the most delightful practice, but it does no more convict him than you can make a man appreciate you by dropping a piece of his down his back.

All of which is not denying that personally I enjoy The Masses immensely.

Paul W. Eberwein.

St. Louis, Mo.

What the War Really Means—

C War is a pitiless revealer of motives.
C The present war is a struggle for economic supremacy between the capitalist interests of various nations.
C But—what are the deeper economic causes? The "law of motion" driving the nations to slaughter?

SOCIALISM AND WAR

By LOUIS B. ROUDIN
Author of "The Theoretical System of Karl Marx."

C This book tells you, in a popular but thoroughly scientific way.
C "Socialism and War" has attracted attention in America and Europe. Boudin is a German social scholar. His explanation of the economic basis of imperialism is superb. The book is an irrefutable, clear, intelligible study of Socialism, Capitalism and War.

Price $1.10 Postpaid

The Masses Book Shop
33 West 14th St.
New York

Just Off the Press!

A New Book by Dr. Robinson

Sex Knowledge for Boys and Men

By WILLIAM J. ROBINSON, M. D.

An honest, unbiased, truthful, strictly scientific, and up-to-date book, dealing with the anatomy and physiology of the male sex organs, with the venerable diseases and their prevention, and manifestations of the sex instinct in boys and men.

Absolutely free from any sort, hypocrisy, fabrication, exaggeration, complicity, or any attempt to obfuscate the stupid or ignorant.

An elementary book written in plain, understandable language, which should be in the possession of every adolescent boy and present parent.

Dr. Robinson believes that FEAR should have no place in our life, for morality based upon fear is not morality at all, only cowardice. He believes in there being better methods, and that method he states in his book.

Price, cloth bound $2.00

The Masses Book Shop

THE MASSES +

CHE PAGAN

An Magazine for Eudaemonists

Original Translations Art
Stories Poems
Russian French Robert Henri
Ebooks German George Bellows
French Yiddish Abram Levy
English Scandinavian And others

Ten Cents Per Copy—One Dollar a Year

The Pagan Pub. Co., 174 Centre St., New York
FRANKLIN 2540 A Unique Monthly

JUST OUT

American Labor Year Book 1916

The first publication of its kind published in America.
To be Published Annually

The Department of Labor Research of The Rand School of Social Science

A comprehensive compilation of facts of the Socialist and Labor Movement in America.
The following are the contributors: Prof. Scott Nearing, Dr. I. M. Rubinow, The English Walling, H. S. Kenyon, Dr. W. A. Laidler, Morris Hillquitt, John R. Lawson, James R. Hauser, Dr. Frederick C. How, Carl D. Thompson and others.

ORDER NOW AS THE EDITION IS LIMITED
Single Copies: Paper bound, c., Cloth, $1.00.
Special prices in large quantities.
Order from
Rand School of Social Science
140 East 10th Street, New York City.
You Make This
Tobacco Experts' Test

The greatest tobacco experts in the world judge smoking tobacco by its odor. They first rub the tobacco briskly between the palms of their hands until the friction brings out the full aroma—then hold it up to the nose!

That test shows which tobacco is best—instantly unquestionably! Try it with Tuxedo—then with all other tobaccos. Your nose will tell you Tuxedo has a mild, fresh, delightful fragrance not found in any other tobacco. And the longer you smoke Tuxedo the more your taste will prove your nose is right.

5c Bags 10c Tins

THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY
Which Do You Agree With?
Ward & Gow vs The Public

By Artemas Ward

I HAVE received a number of letters (written at the request of its publisher), protesting against the exclusion of a certain paper from my newstands. These letters were sincere enough, no doubt, but so desperately biased as to be quite unreasonable. Having neither the time nor the inclination to start a controversy in defense of my own liberty as a citizen and a merchant, I take this method of replying, which may show that I have a right to my own freedom of action, and that none of these correspondents are justified in calling my course outrageous, tyrannical, destructive to the liberty of the press, and other extreme characterizations. Is not this the first time in the history of the world in which it is demanded that a merchant should buy and sell an article which he prefers not to handle? If such a procedure were established, would it not greatly limit individual liberty, which is surely more important than the liberty of the press? If I am not at liberty to decide what I shall sell on my newstands, to whom shall this power of decision be relegated? Would it be the duty of the mayor, or would it be open to every publisher of a periodical, and every manufacturer of confections? . . .

It is conceivable that a man who pays a rent of over half a million dollars should have his liberty limited in a way that would be intolerable to a news dealer who pays a $300 rental, or to an honest Catholic boy who has secured a free privilege through his alderman. Liberty, as I understand it, consists in personal freedom under the law, and not in making other people give up their liberty—either for millionaires or masses. Must Wanamaker sell Ingersoll’s books, or Bloomington anti-Semitic publications on his counters? hayner would be equally entitled to force his chocolates on the stands of the New York Central Railroad. I have no desire to limit the liberty of anyone, and I cannot conceive that any careful thinker should be so biased as to hold it just that I should be forced to buy, sell and profit by a publication which insults and defiles the religion which is not only mine, but which was handed down to me by my parents.

Without venturing a personal opinion, or starting a controversy, I will say that the United States census shows that a preponderance of our population is Christian. If, under our system of democracy, the majority is to rule, my course will be widely upheld. Is it not absurd to accuse me of interfering with the liberty of the press because I personally decline to aid this sheet by selling it? Do you, the editor, stop the press or hold up the edition? Do these protesting subscribers realize the difference, or is it a case of mental blindness? It is all absurd. The so-called ‘Friends of Liberty’ fail to distinguish between their liberties, which are not imposed upon, and the liberties of others, which they thoughtlessly seek to limit.

I expect to continue my business without interference: earning my living and paying my taxes. I make my own choice of the wares which I shall handle, and enjoying no greater rights than those of the very humblest citizen. The noise which has been made over my little stands, less than five feet wide, and averaging about twenty feet in length, is, and has been, out of all proportion to the importance of the publication which claims to be suppressed. The Massachusetts Committee belittled itself by giving the matter a hearing.—From “Fancy,” a monthly journal published by Artemas Ward.

By Our Readers

I believe that The Times is of value to the community and ought to be placed on the newstands. This is the age of frankness and sincerity. Although I do not believe all the principles enunciated in The Times, I certainly believe that any attempt, such as the refusal of Messrs. Ward & Gow to allow the publication on their newstands, is in principle an attempt to curb the liberty of the press, is small-minded and against the best American principles.

F. De Witt Wells,
Justice of the Municipal Court of the City of New York.

If the news vendors of New York can tell the people what not to read then some intelligent authority ought to choose the vendors.

Clarence Darrow.

That Ward & Gow should be judges of literature to be distributed to the public is as ridiculous as outrageous. I question the fitness of the purveyors of La Parisienne, etc., to determine for me what is immoral. I challenge under any condition their right. Such right, even when exercised by public authority, is dangerous. When exercised by private whim or prejudice is intolerable.

John Haynes Holmes,
Pastor Church of the Messiah.

I have read The Times from the beginning and do not consider it immoral or blasphemous. The remedy for alleged damage done thereby is to be sought in the courts. I deplore as fundamentally illegal and un-American any interference with its circulation other than by due process of law.

Rev. Chas. P. Fagnani,
Union Theological Seminary.

Restriction like barring Masses from subway is a violation of the right of free speech and so outrageous an assault on freedom of the press and decency in general, I can not believe it will succeed. There should be and I believe will be some remedy against oppression and injustice. Wish you success.

Ben B. Lindsey.

Am extremely sorry that I cannot attend meeting Wednesday and express in public my opinion. An unpardonable interference, the Ward & Gow censorship, with the liberty of the press. The greatest need of a community which tolerates such an abuse is The Times.

George W. Kinchley,
Acting Warden of Sing Sing Prison.
Formerly Dean of the Columbia University Law School.

Last number of Masses the most valuable contribution to sane thinking, should be widely read. Are we in Russia?

Prof. Vida D. Scudder,
Wellesley College.

I am very sorry indeed that I am obliged to leave town to-day and, therefore, can not attend the hearing on the arbitrary exclusion of The Masses from the subway newstands. What a travesty upon the freedom of the press that the morals of New York City are entrusted to censorship by Ward & Gow.

Florence Kelley.

In my opinion they have no more right to exclude The Masses than they have to refuse to sell me as an individual one of the magazines displayed on their stands.

Merrill Kennett, Publisher.