

Saturday, June 13, 1914.
No. 192.Where Mexico
Stands Today

An annual special edition should not, in our opinion, occupy itself with such mere details as that the Constitution has been pushed forward here and Zapata shown renewed activity there. These are but straws, and here we prefer to devote our space to general results and the causes of those results.

In all probability the Mexican Revolution still has a long course to run, but already one great jump into view as virtually achieved.

From the President of the United States to men who themselves are large land monopolists and staunch upholders of existing institutions, all unite today in the admission that the land of Mexico must belong to the people of Mexico; to the men who actually do the work; to the peons. Such a paper as the "Los Angeles Times" is forced continually to acknowledge it, and even Huerta himself has outlined what this very "Times" denounces as the "great plan of confiscation ever proposed by any government."

Staggering events are always followed by a pause, for the mind needs time in which to re-adjust itself. It will take the proletariat of the United States considerable time to assimilate the true import of what has been going on in Mexico, but the process of assimilation has begun. Naturally the most radical element—chiefly in the ranks of the I. W. W., Anarchists and Socialists—have taken the lead. Quite naturally also the leaders of these organizations turn their backs and refuse to face the music. All that explains itself. In the first place, new revolutionary developments discredit the set programs to which leaders have tied themselves. In the second place, such developments cause followers to doubt the foresight and omniscience of the leaders. This is regarded as fatal to party discipline,—personal pride is wounded and envy is aroused. These are among the most powerful of ruling passions, and the role they play in revolutionary movements has always been immense. At this very moment, therefore, the official spokesmen of the I. W. W., the Socialist Party are arguing laboriously that Zapata is an ally of capitalism. William English Walling and the editor of "Solidarity" are instances in point.

Clearing the Issues.

This will lead to prolonged discussion, from which all will benefit; if only because Socialists' compromises will be subjected to a criticism they have not hitherto received. By this the entire revolutionary movement will be strengthened incalculably, for Socialist political influences are still exceedingly strong in such bodies as the I. W. W., and are most debilitating.

It is to be hoped that from such discussion there will come a simplification of economic, which will be lifted out of the old metaphysical debates—economic determinism and such forth—which might well last centuries, and set on the firm ground of the indisputable fact that the sustenance of the human race depends exclusively on the application of labor to land. It will then become self-evident that Labor, to become triumphant, must be not only in control of itself—by organization or otherwise—but also in control of the other indispensable factor, the land. This the Mexican peon, who is still largely free from the thought-confusion into which the wage-system has plunged more advanced nations, understands. This lesson he is teaching.

John Kenneth Turner's article, "Why I am for Zapata," in the June number of "The New Review," sums up admirably the advance of thought begotten by less than four years of fighting by those who knew exactly what they want, and want it so badly that they are prepared to die for it. He says most truly that "unlettered as they are, the mass of Mexicans who are fighting with guns know better what they want than any equal number of 'superior Americans' going to the ballot-box know what THEY want—and they know better how to get it."

Incidentally we may remark that Walling has published a new book, "Progressivism and After," which is analyzed in the same number of "The New Review." The present writer expects to dissect it in the forth coming number of "Land and Liberty." Here it is sufficient to say that his contentions, if true, knock the pretensions of the Socialists for a fall, and prove that Berger, Debs and the other political leaders have been fooling away our time for a generation past.

Anti-Militarist Propaganda.

Thus the Mexican Revolution, fought out beyond the Rio Grande, is begetting a profound revolution in our own attitude toward the social question. The wide-spread opposition to war with Mexico must surely have been an eye-opener to our Hearsts and Otis', and should be most gratifying. It should lay the foundations in this country of a persistent and effective anti-military movement. The almost universal ridicule heaped on the Mediators shows a healthy conviction that the disinterested are not to be freed by gentlemen in laced uniforms but by their own brains and weapons right arms. That conviction is spreading and ultimately will have a deep effect on the revolutionary movement both here and abroad. It will give an impetus to what is called Direct Action, and will show that nineteen-twentieths of what our present labor movement abhors as such is not worthy of the name, since it does not aim, even in the remotest degree, at the abolition of human slavery. Expanding international capitalism, which seeks to bring Mexico under the yoke of wage slavery—as it has brought India, Africa and the greater part of the world—has received its

first decided check, at the hands of a nation which knows what capitalism is, loathes it and is fully determined to have none of it. From the plutocratic dream of owning down to the Panama Canal, and thereby establishing United States suzerainty from the Canadian border to Cape Horn—as voiced by Taft, Roosevelt and other Presidents—there has been a rude awakening.

No Longer Helpless.

A nation of fifteen millions, most of whom, four years ago, could hardly recognize a rifle when they saw it, has become armed and highly-skilled in those guerrilla tactics which are the most advanced and efficacious style of modern warfare. Moreover, the peons have armed themselves chiefly at the expense of their own governments, which they have been engaged in attacking and overthrowing. In no other country have the disinherited, yet, had the sense and courage to perform this necessary feat.

It will be no longer possible to impose in Mexico that hideous slavery with which the Congo, Peru and other localities too numerous to mention, have shocked mankind. There the natives have remained unarmed and, therefore, have stood helpless before their white exploiters. In Mexico the situation has been reversed, and it is well for the peon. This also is a lesson that will gradually be learned by the consciousness of the international proletariat. One ounce of this experience is worth a ton of peace orations.

Prolonged warfare between contending factions has not only given the Mexican peon opportunity to arm himself, but also has forced the contenders to court his support with economic promises of the most substantial kind. The more desperate the needs of the contending factions the more substantial will be the emancipation promised, and the more determined the leaders shall not cheat him will the peon grow. Our own United States history is one continuous record of politicians' promises broken with absolute impunity, and of a supposedly intelligent nation humbugged by fakes. Consider, for example, the career of William Jennings Bryan, who deluded half the United States into the ridiculous conception that Free Silver would rescue it from poverty. Do you suppose that we would entrust the economic future of the peon to Bryan's theorizings?

Meanwhile, and in conclusion, the Mexican situation is, by all odds, the most universally-discussed topic of the day, and justly so. As said before, it is the first serious check Capitalism has received at the hands of Labor. It marks, therefore, the opening of a new era, and as such is one of the world's great historical events.

WM. C. OWEN.

Assassin's Work

"Solidarity," official organ of the I. W. W., in its issue of May 23, indulges in an editorial headed "Zapata as an ally to capitalism." In this opinion piece the "aim of capitalism" is aiding the direct abolition of peonage, must be to follow the American method of making yeomen or freeholders of the peasantry." It adds oracularly that Zapata and his "Land and Liberty" peons "appear as aids to the supremacy of up-to-date capitalism," and that "no other conclusion is possible to one who views the Mexican situation from a revolutionary working class standpoint."

Is it possible, it is really possible, that there are workers who can swallow that sort of rubbish? Does any working man in his senses believe that the capitalists of this country have been helping Zapata, and the others to drive out the landlords and burn their title deeds? Does any one of even the most rudimentary intelligence suppose that men are driven into wage slavery by possession of the natural resources of their country? Does not every one with a grain of sense know that it is only when man has been so foolish as to part with his heritage that he finds himself helpless, as no other living creature is helpless, and compelled to fight and scheme and crawl for the blessed job the monopolist sees fit to throw him?

One should be perhaps content to laugh such folly out of court, but it is impossible not to feel indignant. Such an article is a direct malevolent stab at our fellow-workers struggling in Mexico; is a direct invitation to members of the I. W. W. to throw cold water on and ignore their struggle; is a base desertion of them in their hour of greatest need, when they find themselves face to face with the army and navy of the United States.

It is also a most cowardly treason to members of the I. W. W., who look to their "official" organ for guidance. But that organ is not in the business of serving truth. It is owned by the ring, which hangs on for due and undue influence in California of the I. W. W. work down to one of trades union organization. Hence all opposition to agitation on broader lines and sneers at Anarchists. Centralization is getting in its deadly work.

THE BRITISH GRIP.

Borax is a most important article and the supply on this Pacific Coast had been cornered by "Borax" Smith. His holdings have been taken over by a British syndicate, which has paid millions for them. The great oil deal by which a British syndicate was to have taken over the vast oil holdings in California of the General Petroleum Company is halted temporarily, owing to the failure, involving liabilities of from \$3,000,000 to \$4,000,000, of a London banking firm. It failed, as the despatches inform us, despite great efforts to hold it up made by the British nobility, with which it "has always been a favorite medium for stock exchange speculation." The firm's failure is attributed to heavy gambling in Grand Trunk stock. Meanwhile let all our good Americans sing a little "My Country, 'tis of thee!"

Oh, no! The land question is nothing to us, and the Mexicans must be crazy.

Mexican Manifesto

Workers of the world! Get up from your knees, sweep the cobwebs from your brains, think, and thereby put into your blood that iron which leads to victory. Face the facts; learn to recognize your riders and kick them off your backs. Understand that only by winning equality of economic power can you abolish that human slavery in which you rot. Master the great lesson written for you in letters of blood beyond the Rio Grande.

Chained to the System.

Freedom you yourselves must conquer; freedom from the landlord who levies on you for the use of that earth without which you cannot live; freedom from the usurious parasite who forbids you to lay a finger on what you have produced until you pay his toll. Can such men be your friends? Can the mouse make peace with the cat, or the lamb with the wolf that lives but to devour it?

You, Socialists! Do you not know that the whole power of Government is devoted to but one thing—the legal protection of legalized plunder? Do you not know that he who becomes an office-holder, be he President of the United States or dog-catcher of Sleepy Hollow, swears loyalty to and must work for the government machine which legalizes the robbery of Labor and restrains it from preventing or punishing that robbery? Do you not know that, by the very fact of taking office, the elected becomes a mere cog in a colossal wheel from which he cannot tear himself apart; that he is bound thenceforth to serve it and move as it moves; that when the court cages the starling who steals the loaf the officeholder becomes a partner in that judicial crime; that when the soldier shoots down a rebel worker the officeholder becomes a partner in that murder; that when Mexicans fall victims to the fire of our marines which clothes Woodrow Wilson with the power of a Czar, are personally responsible? How can you call yourselves revolutionists while upholding the machine that grinds out such results? How can you fail to see that the one great enemy the disinherited of Mexico face in their struggle for the land is the governing power, and those who seek to seize that power, that they in their turn may keep the robbed from onsting the robbers and taking back their own?

Scattering the Forces.

Look at it from another angle. Here we have, beyond the Rio Grande, a struggle for the vital principle that this earth, with one and only storehouse, is the joint property of the human race. Yet to that great struggle and to that great principle most even of those who call themselves Revolutionists still stand indifferent. Why? Is it not because we are still a nation of villagers, as Bernard Shaw has called us; because, instead of concentrating on the general struggle which raises, in the most dramatic and powerful form, those basic issues to which alone the masses respond, we waste ourselves upon a thousand local contests, growing wildly excited over the election of some councilman and forgetting those IM-PERIOUS DEMANDS OF LIFE which the International Revolutionary Movement must voice? Do you not know that when a candidate is being run all else is subordinated to the one great question of getting the vote? And what is the result? The local movement, to split it into thousands of weak and isolated fragments, to rob it of the great human conscience that gives it strength and reduce it to the merest shadow of its natural self? You wish the masses to support you. Understand then that the masses are like the wind, which fans the conflagration into fury but blows out a petty flame. Your little local conflicts never stir them. Only great revolutionary struggles, such as that now going on in Mexico, can rouse them into action. Such struggles give them courage; inspire them with the hope that they too may be able to shake off their riders, as the Mexican peon has shaken off Terrazas and other infamous monopolists.

Timidity Means Death.

If you would succeed, therefore; if you would get a real hold upon the masses and move deeply the currents of your time, step out of narrow localism; trust yourself boldly to big issues pregnant with coming life; shake off the timidity, the appeal to radical prejudices, the dread of really free discussion, all those weaknesses with which the cautious angling for votes has poisoned you. Join hands with your struggling brothers beyond the Rio Grande, acknowledging honestly that in fighting for the free and equal use of land they are fighting for what the disinherited of all the earth can break back and they can rid themselves of riders. Do not let Debs mislead you with twaddle about the necessity of long-continued education. Debs is tied to politics and cannot face the facts. Do not let a Berger lure you into the delusion that safety lies with the "respectables." What have you to do with respectability? You are played to the bone; excluded from the rich feast of a life which now, far more than at any previous period, should be well worth the living; fettered to useless, degrading and dangerous tasks, although plenty, dignified leisure and security should be now the lot of all. What have you to lose by being bold? Nothing. What can you expect to win by being timid? Nothing.

The Proletarian's Program.

You members of the I. W. W.! What are you? You are the great unskilled, the intermittent workers; the bums and hobos created by a system which cannot even give the willing worker. You pack your blankets hither and thither, hunting jobs; risk the breakneck and sneak at the danger of broken heads into the side-door Pullman. You furnish the fees on which the country constable and justice of the peace grow fat. You crowd the jails when they drag you from the soap-box. You bear the burden of the social struggle in its grimmest form. And what do you get for it? Nothing. Nothing except the satisfaction, which we share with you, of knowing that you are shaking the pillars of this accursed slavery and laying the foundations of a society in which no government official shall club you for daring to assert your manhood; no industrial pirate dictate to you when and how you shall work, or exact tribute for giving you employment; no legal net catch you in meshes through which the big fish break with ease; no legalized murderers swoop down on you with machine guns when you rebel at being treated worse than dogs; no mealy-mouthed sky-pilots wheedle you into submission with the lie that a paradise awaits you beyond the clouds.

One Duty and One Crime.

This is your propaganda; your proper propaganda; the only propaganda that can better your condition and give you true satisfaction. You are at war with the entire mis-named system of justice. Get out of the habit of looking to lawyers for protection. It matters not one jot whether you are shot by a Burns detective, a militiaman or a regular. Keep up your anti-military agitation. The masses are kept quiet by their moral scruples and religious fears. Go for the religious fakirs and moralists. There is but one great crime today—the upholding human slavery. The one duty is its complete and final overthrow; that all may share equally in the conquest of the human mind; that all may have equal access to Life's rich banquet, and that involuntary poverty and the fear of want may be swept away for ever.

This is the mission of the men who swell your ranks; of that hardy proletariat which does the world's most strenuous and risky work, and fights for life at every step. Fight all along the line, for the whole thing hangs together. The robber corners the means of life and the government protects the robbery, with the long arm of the law and the soldier's bayonet. The priest and moralist bless the theft and sanctify the thief. They are all essential parts of one machine, and your business is to force it to the scrap-heap. You must turn the enemy's whole flank and overwhelm him. To confine yourself to the peppering of some isolated outposts is to court certain and ignominious defeat.

Cut Out the Red Tape.

Snap your fingers at your executive officials and the organs they tune so carefully. They are after dues

and the influence their position gives. They don't want a battle all along the line, but petty outpost triumphs they can boom. They want centralized power such as Gompers and similar officials enjoy from generation to generation. They want the program cut down to the old trades union model, because that keeps you hanging on expectantly; because it means your absorption in routine work conducted by the local clique which they can boss; because it prevents that scattering of forces which, though imperatively needed, gets their men out of hand and beyond their individual control. It is the policy pursued for centuries by the priesthood of the Church of Rome, which knows its business and corrals its flocks. It is a policy absolutely fatal to revolutionary success. Another year of it and you will be as helpless for attack as is the American Federation of Labor, and that is today one of the strongest bulwarks of the existing system.

No! For you, above all others, there is nothing in this respectable, old-fashioned rule; nothing in copying the tactics of the A. F. of L. There is nothing in this official talk about construction and economic power, because you haven't got it, save as you move the mass and shake the whole social structure. You can't get it in any other way, for the simple reason that you have no monopoly of skill with which to combat the monopoly of natural resources and capital the employing class enjoys. As an instance; at this very moment and here in California, you are trying to corner the supply of labor for the hopfields, that you may force them to release Ford and Suhr. To do this you are seeking the co-operation of the Mexicans, Hindus and Japanese. But these people are absorbed in their own far-reaching revolutions, and it is only as you show interest in them that they feel interest in you. The large propaganda gives you alliances of value. A whittle-down agitation isolates you.

An Army of Parasites.

Look at all this talk about organization, tied up in red tape and stamped with the official label. The history of the A. F. of L. shows that there is nothing in it, except indeed the creation of an army of parasites. Your own history proves it, conclusively. All effective organization springs spontaneously from discontent, and never from the careful calculations of a central ring. Consider Lawrence, if you doubt it. There unorganized determination, flaming into action, brought about almost in a day what walking delegates had failed to produce in years of work, swelled instantaneously your membership and furnished for the months the reputation on which you thrive, for it was believed that you represented fighters who got results. When the results gave out; when the suspicion crept abroad that fighting was done only by order of the official ring, the prestige withered. You cannot sit safely on two stools. You must trust the masses or they will not trust you. Forward or backward you must go, advancing along revolutionary lines with the advancing revolutionary tendencies of the times or slumping into trades unionism and becoming a mere appendix of the A. F. of L.

Between Two Stools Men Fall.

You, members of the Federation of Labor! How is it with you? Among your two million and more workers there are many who are on fire with the spirit of revolt and will stick at nothing in a fight they believe to be for the interest of Labor. That has been proved by the history of a thousand strikes. Yet, with all your number and industrial heroism, the capitalist forces have been able to outwit you, overruling you. Vainly you struggle against the Steel Trust, for example; vainly you plunge into politics and win a San Francisco. It profits you less than nothing, for place-hunting fastens its tentacles on you and multiplies the parasites your producers have to feed. Vainly you mass that technical skill which is your only capital; vainly you force the passage of exclusion laws and ordinances; vainly you rely on the assurances of your political leaders.

Facts More Powerful Than Words.

The facts defeat you. Against the Government-created and Government-supported monopolies of Capitalism your strength is that of little children, and the story of San Francisco is the story of Labor everywhere. By no such methods can you force the House of Haves to give you work. You cannot keep your men out of the bread-line. In a thousand cases where you should protest and strike you dare not, for the unemployed hang threateningly on your flank. Even you are never secure, and even you must live as the proletariat lives and share its hazardous lot. You are not organized for the overthrow of slave slavery, and until you are you butt your heads against a granite wall.

Meanwhile, what do your numbers amount to when cancer is eating at your heart? We do not slander when we say you are almost hopelessly in the power of your labor politicians and official rings. Your Gompers are Czar, and against their your rank and file is impotent as is the Russian peasant faced by the forces of Imperialism. We do not calumniate when we say that in all your councils the Church of Rome is more and more dominant, and we do not calumniate that church when we say that she has been, always and everywhere, Privilege's great mainstay, Authority's great champion, the fortress which has sheltered the robbers from that popular indignation and summary chastisement they so richly merit. There is no escaping the verdict of history upon that head. There is no denying that the Church of Rome, herself organized for the acquisition of power, is always hand in glove with rulers. There is no question that her special part on the world-wide tragedy of exploitation is that of pouring oil upon the troubled waters and holding back that deluge which has yet to sweep human slavery out of existence, and is now long, far too long, overdue.

Has Mexico No Message?

Workers of America! Have we Mexicans no message for you? Workers of the World! Is there nothing we can teach you? Do you suppose that we, robbed of our lands and driven into slavery by the Spaniards, with the direct approval and blessing of the Roman Catholic Church, have done no thinking during the cruel centuries that have elapsed? Do you imagine that we have not made up our minds, fully and finally, about that church, or that, when we kill or expel her priests, and burn her churches, convents and monasteries, we do not know what we are about?

Do you suppose that we, who have toiled so long under the whip of the land monopolist, do not know what we are about when we drive a Terrazas from our borders, burn title deeds and blow up with dynamite those halls of records in which are stored the documents which give to the hand that does nothing and take from the hand that produces all?

Do you suppose that we, who have been ground for ages under the heel of armed tyranny, are blind to the curse of militarism and do not understand that a powerful Government will always keep an unarmed proletariat in a pitiable condition?

Do you suppose that we, over whose wealth of mine and field and forest the earth's money-lords have warred, do not comprehend the capitalist system, or that, until we have read Karl Marx, we can be robbed of surplus value without being aware of it?

We have learned in the hard school of suffering, and our studies have been in the great book of Life, and we have absorbed our lessons as book-readers seldom do. We have faced our facts, and we are so assured of them that we throw our lives by thousands into the fight for that economic freedom which we intend to conquer for ourselves and wish the disinherited of all the world to conquer. We fight for LAND AND LIBERTY. We fight for the abolition of HUMAN SLAVERY. With our brother Indians of the North, who suffered extermination because they had no modern arms, we are convinced most firmly that a life of slavery is not worth living. The echo of that truth we hope to send around the world.

This Manifesto has been reprinted in pamphlet form by the LAND AND LIBERTY Publishing Co., Bakunin Institute, R. P. D. No. 1, Hayward, Cal. Price: 5c a copy. Special reduction in bundles on application to the Publishing Company.

Our Popular Hero,
The Bluejacket

While Jack London (to the end of time I shall illustrate through him the insincerities of the buncombe revolutionists) is writing of the "equally splendid parts in shore fighting and shore work" our marines and sailors played at Veracruz, the real facts are beginning to crop out. "The Public" well remarks, they are "giving the lie to the hypocritical cant about bringing civilization and order into Mexico." As far back as May 15 that paper, fully awakened to the education on the land question which Mexico is giving us, published certain information which should go into every American home and school, be used to the limit in that anti-military propaganda which is one of the hour's most pressing needs, be shoved under the nose of every flag-worshipping fanatic, and distributed at those approaching Fourth of July celebrations wherein we shall wallow once more in the humbug that this country is run on the principles immortalized by the Declaration of Independence. Here I draw on "The Public" at considerable length, hoping that our readers may digest the extracts and give them circulation. To bottle them up for private luxury would be a crime.

The first quotation is from the "Connecticut Evening Citizen," of Winsted, which has published a letter from Sergeant John F. F. Ryan, marine detachment U. S. S. Vermont. It runs, in part, as follows: "Some bluejackets just had a scrap about a half hour ago and killed six more Mexicans. All of the murderers are being committed on Mexicans are being done by bluejackets and not the marines; so if you read about them in the paper, do not think that I am implicated in any of them as we only shoot the Mexicans who try to kill us." Clearly Sergeant Ryan knew what the bluejackets—those gentlemen who played so interesting a part in Seattle last year—were about, and wished to clear his own skirts and those of his brother marines. But there follows a letter from one William A. Loch, described as "First Gunner, aboard the battleship, Utah." It was published in the "Chicago Tribune" of May 8, and throws light on the methods adopted toward the barbarous Mexicans who, resenting invasion, "try to kill us." The letter runs, in part:

"We more than got peppered by the Mexicans. All those beasts are good for is pot shooting. By pot shooting is meant climbing up high buildings and then firing on us out of windows. We no sooner had our battalions together than we started in. It did not take long before the first round went one of our fellows with a bullet clear through his head. Death was instantaneous. That worked us fellows up to a savage mood. Kill! Right and left. We put the field guns in the middle of the streets and let fly. We had mercy on nobody, which was proper. Nobody showed a bit of cowardice. Murder and plunder was all we wanted, and we more than gave it to them. For every one of our fellows killed we shot down like dogs about ten Mexicans. It was rather bad for me to kill at the start, but when the fellow next to me was shot through the chest I became as savage as the rest. The fellow that was shot next to me let out a piercing cry and died in about sixty seconds. Firing ceased at about 10:15 p. m. on Tuesday. We had about 150 prisoners, of which we court-martialed about eighty and shot them the same night. That's biz. Show no mercy

to the Mexicans. All those beasts are good for is pot shooting. By pot shooting is meant climbing up high buildings and then firing on us out of windows. We no sooner had our battalions together than we started in. It did not take long before the first round went one of our fellows with a bullet clear through his head. Death was instantaneous. That worked us fellows up to a savage mood. Kill! Right and left. We put the field guns in the middle of the streets and let fly. We had mercy on nobody, which was proper. Nobody showed a bit of cowardice. Murder and plunder was all we wanted, and we more than gave it to them. For every one of our fellows killed we shot down like dogs about ten Mexicans. It was rather bad for me to kill at the start, but when the fellow next to me was shot through the chest I became as savage as the rest. The fellow that was shot next to me let out a piercing cry and died in about sixty seconds. Firing ceased at about 10:15 p. m. on Tuesday. We had about 150 prisoners, of which we court-martialed about eighty and shot them the same night. That's biz. Show no mercy

To Anarchists.

P. O. Box 1236, Los Angeles, Cal.,
June 12th, 1914.

International Anarchist Congress,
c/o "Freedom,"
127 Ossulston St., N. W.,
London, England.

Dear Comrade,

We wish, through you, to invite officially the forthcoming International Anarchist Congress to take a DEFINITE stand on the question of the Mexican Revolution. We wish the Congress to say bluntly that the Mexican peon, in taking back his natural inheritance, the land, and expelling the land monopolist—as in the case of Terrazas and others far too numerous to mention—has done what the disinherited of all countries MUST do, as the first step toward the conquest of bread and economic freedom. Other points, such as the innate hostility of Mexicans to centralized government, militarism, clerical rule and so forth, should make powerful appeal to an International Anarchist Congress. However, we do not care to emphasize them, because their consideration might lead to long and vain discussion. But on the land question, and on the action taken by the Mexican peon, we want a strong and most precisely-worded endorsement.

If your Congress feels that it cannot give such endorsement, should do the other thing, repudiating the Mexican Revolution in the most decided terms and denouncing it as a mere war of capitalists, politicians and bandits.

We feel that you must pursue one of these two courses, if you are to retain your own self-respect and have any standing in the court of intelligent public opinion. If the international Anarchist movement is to amount to anything it must be strong, and never can it be strong while sitting on two stools, unable to make up its mind about a struggle which no less a personage than the President of the United States has declared to be, in effect, a repetition of the great French Revolution.

Frankly, we call your hand. We do not take snap judgment against you, for this revolution has been going on for years and is now the most widely-discussed topic of the day. If any of your members are still igno-

is our policy now. We took the prisoners and made them dig trenches for us around the entire city. Made them clear the streets of the dead and pull around our three inches, etc. But we never torture them. We kill them just as fast as they show themselves, but never torture them. They would torture us if they were given the chance. We have plenty of grub, as we get a fresh supply from the ship every day. You have no idea how fast we kill them off. Perhaps you would like to know what we do with the dead ones. We take a horse and wagon and fill the wagon with bodies and drive to the outskirts of the city. There we go to an oil tank, sprinkle crude oil on them, and put a match to the pile. Up goes the whole works. What the flames do not consume the buzzards do."

Not mainly on behalf of the Mexicans do I reproduce these extracts. I am thinking chiefly of the future of the United States, and I raise a question on which the whole future of this country hangs. I raise the question of sexual degeneracy, which already fills our madhouses, swells our suicide returns, and is sapping to the core our national vitality. Fradishness thrusts this question habitually into the background, but it MUST be dragged to light. The Mexican Revolution and the barbarities of our own soldiers and sailors there, as in the Philippines, force it to the fore. They force it, because our army and navy, are the hotbeds of sexual degeneracy, the breeding-places of vice that is eating its way into the nation's heart and forming a malignant cancer which ere long no knife will have the power to cure.

The thoughtless cheer our sailors as they swagger down our streets, but I am sure the reflective think of the unnatural lives they lead, and of the decadent habits that swaggerer in all probability has brought ashore with him. Let them investigate the trade in degeneracy that runs rampant, as I have good reason to believe, up and down this Pacific Coast, as doubtless it does on the Atlantic. Let them look into the records of our so-called Reform Schools, whose inmates emerge ruined for life. Let them enquire what brought a large percentage of the victims there, and they will discover that these alleged defenders of America are also invaders of the American home, and that many a promising lad is done to death by his association with our deified bluejackets.

The subject is not a pleasant one, and perhaps few apply themselves to study of what scientific writers have to say. But those writers show that sexual degeneracy warps the whole being, robs it of moral sense and, in particular, fills it with the lust for cruelty which is notoriously characteristic of the Oriental encephal. To such men—and the Hearst, the Roosevelts and others seek to increase their numbers indefinitely—we entrust our nation's honor. To such men we propose to hand over the more peaceful and less "advanced" peoples whom we feel morally compelled to civilize. Such men we put on a pedestal, that our growing youth, with whom our future rests, may imitate them. As justification for a strong anti-military propaganda in this country the one question I have raised should in itself be all-sufficient. I give it as a lead to agitators, and say that if the Mexican Revolution results in that propaganda alone gathering the overwhelming force it should acquire, the blood spilled beyond the Rio Grande will not have been in vain.

WM. C. OWEN.

rant of its main features we cannot but think them out of place in an International Anarchist Congress.

Loosey, loosey, vagabond vagabonds will be worse than useless to us and will reflect discredit on us. We want a clean-cut declaration that the Mexican peon is right in holding that economic liberty can be won only by retaking possession of the land; that he is right in expelling the land monopolist; that you urge the disinherited of all countries to imitate him.

We remind you that the President of the United States, Carranza, Villa, Zapata, ALL the Mexican revolutionists, and even Huerta himself, have now been forced to admit that there can be no permanent pacification of Mexico without a restoration of the land to the peons. Many of the great land monopolists of the United States have made similar admissions publicly, and we take it to be the proper business of an International Anarchist Congress to point out, sternly and clearly, that what applies to Mexico applies with equal force to every country in which the few have fenced off that joint inheritance without which the human race cannot exist.

That Anarchists generally may have a full understanding of the position, we publish this letter, ahead of time, in our own Los Angeles paper, "Regeneration," and in "Land and Liberty," issued monthly from the Bakunin Institute, Hayward, California.

Fraternally,
By the Organizing Junta of the Mexican Liberal Party,
RICARDO FLORES MAGON, —LI-
BRADO RIVERA, —ANSELMO L. FIGUEROA, —ANTONIO DE P. ARAUJO.

Our last war with Mexico, in 1846, cost the lives of 2,703 United States soldiers, of whom 383 were officers. Mexico was then a practically unarmed country, and we found it necessary to send against her only 21,509 regulars and 22,027 volunteers. Today she is armed to the teeth with modern weapons of destruction. More than two years ago Gen. Wood told the government he would need 300,000 men. We note that Hearst raises the figure to 750,000.

DO YOU BELIEVE IN LEARNING THINGS FOR YOURSELF?

Are you aware of the fact that many earnest people are trying to establish the Millennium on earth without the aid of the preacher, the lawyer or the politician?

EMMA GOLDMAN
the well known anarchist, will deliver
a series of lectures in **SAN FRANCISCO**,
June 14th to 21st, 1914, at
Mission Turn Hall, 14th St., near
Valencia
Sunday, June 14th, 3 p. m., "Rev-
olution or Reform, Which?"
Sunday, June 14th, 8 p. m., "Our
Moral Censors."
Monday, June 15th, 8 p. m., "The
Superman in Relation to the Social
Revolution."
Tuesday, June 16th, 8 p. m., "The
Hypocrisy of Charity."

Wednesday, June 17th, 8 p. m.,
 "The Intellectual Proletarians."
 Thursday, June 18th, 8 p. m., "The
 Conflict of the Sexes."
 Friday, June 19th, 8 p. m., "Anar-
 chism vs. Socialism."
 Saturday, June 20th, 8 p. m., "The
 Mothers' Strike."
 Admission, 15 and 25 cents.

**STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND
 EXPENSES IN EMMA GOLD-
 MAN SOCIAL PRO RAN-
 GEL-CLINE.**

Receipts.

Tickets sold	5 75
--------------	------

Our own contribution in tickets given away free	1.25
At the Buffet	24.00
Collection	12.50
Sale of flowers	8.00
Raffle	10.00
Total	\$131.00
Expenses.	
Hall rent	\$ 15.00
Printing, advertisement and help	10.00
Music	7.00
Buffet, Ice Cream, Coffee, Sugar, Soft Drinks, Supplies	8.75
Total	\$ 40.75
Total receipts	\$131.00
Total expenses	40.75
Balance turned over to Victor Cravetto	90.25
EMMA GOLDMAN.	

[illegible]

FINLAND Socialist Branch, 181; Socialist Branch,
 Finland Local (German), 181; Socialist Branch,
 No. 10, by H. C. Freeman, 28; *Proceeds* from
 1934-35, 181; *Proceeds* from 1935-36, 181;
 DUGLASS, ARIZ., *Extempo Solo*, 27; 181, 50.
 TON, CAL., Socialist Local, by Robert A. Had-
 ley, 181; *Proceeds* from 1934-35, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1935-36, 181; *Proceeds* from 1936-37,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1937-38, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1938-39, 181; *Proceeds* from 1939-40,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1940-41, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1941-42, 181; *Proceeds* from 1942-43,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1943-44, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1944-45, 181; *Proceeds* from 1945-46,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1946-47, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1947-48, 181; *Proceeds* from 1948-49,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1949-50, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1950-51, 181; *Proceeds* from 1951-52,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1952-53, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1953-54, 181; *Proceeds* from 1954-55,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1955-56, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1956-57, 181; *Proceeds* from 1957-58,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1958-59, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1959-60, 181; *Proceeds* from 1960-61,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1961-62, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1962-63, 181; *Proceeds* from 1963-64,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1964-65, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1965-66, 181; *Proceeds* from 1966-67,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1967-68, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1968-69, 181; *Proceeds* from 1969-70,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1970-71, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1971-72, 181; *Proceeds* from 1972-73,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1973-74, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1974-75, 181; *Proceeds* from 1975-76,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1976-77, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1977-78, 181; *Proceeds* from 1978-79,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1979-80, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1980-81, 181; *Proceeds* from 1981-82,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1982-83, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1983-84, 181; *Proceeds* from 1984-85,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1985-86, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1986-87, 181; *Proceeds* from 1987-88,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1988-89, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1989-90, 181; *Proceeds* from 1990-91,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1991-92, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1992-93, 181; *Proceeds* from 1993-94,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1994-95, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1995-96, 181; *Proceeds* from 1996-97,
 181; *Proceeds* from 1997-98, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 1998-99, 181; *Proceeds* from 1999-00,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2000-01, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2001-02, 181; *Proceeds* from 2002-03,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2003-04, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2004-05, 181; *Proceeds* from 2005-06,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2006-07, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2007-08, 181; *Proceeds* from 2008-09,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2009-10, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2010-11, 181; *Proceeds* from 2011-12,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2012-13, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2013-14, 181; *Proceeds* from 2014-15,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2015-16, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2016-17, 181; *Proceeds* from 2017-18,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2018-19, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2019-20, 181; *Proceeds* from 2020-21,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2021-22, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2022-23, 181; *Proceeds* from 2023-24,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2024-25, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2025-26, 181; *Proceeds* from 2026-27,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2027-28, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2028-29, 181; *Proceeds* from 2029-30,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2030-31, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2031-32, 181; *Proceeds* from 2032-33,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2033-34, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2034-35, 181; *Proceeds* from 2035-36,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2036-37, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2037-38, 181; *Proceeds* from 2038-39,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2039-40, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2040-41, 181; *Proceeds* from 2041-42,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2042-43, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2043-44, 181; *Proceeds* from 2044-45,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2045-46, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2046-47, 181; *Proceeds* from 2047-48,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2048-49, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2049-50, 181; *Proceeds* from 2050-51,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2051-52, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2052-53, 181; *Proceeds* from 2053-54,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2054-55, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2055-56, 181; *Proceeds* from 2056-57,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2057-58, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2058-59, 181; *Proceeds* from 2059-60,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2060-61, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2061-62, 181; *Proceeds* from 2062-63,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2063-64, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2064-65, 181; *Proceeds* from 2065-66,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2066-67, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2067-68, 181; *Proceeds* from 2068-69,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2069-70, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2070-71, 181; *Proceeds* from 2071-72,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2072-73, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2073-74, 181; *Proceeds* from 2074-75,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2075-76, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2076-77, 181; *Proceeds* from 2077-78,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2078-79, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2079-80, 181; *Proceeds* from 2080-81,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2081-82, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2082-83, 181; *Proceeds* from 2083-84,
 181; *Proceeds* from 2084-85, 181; *Proceeds*
 from 2085-86, 181; *Proceeds* from 2086-87,
 181

**MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE
MEXICOLINE DEFENSE COMMITTEE.**

May 1st. Income: \$10.00 forward, \$3.25.
From Victor Cravetto, Sec. 2nd, \$3; 4th, \$9;
5th, \$5.00; 6th, \$2; 11th, \$2.50; 15th, \$16.00; 19th,
\$1.00; 20th, \$1.00; 21st, \$1.00; 22nd, \$1.00;
May 17th, \$10.00; From Victor Cravetto, Sec.
2nd, \$3; 4th, \$9; 5th, \$5.00; 6th, \$2; 11th,
\$2.50; 15th, \$16.00; 19th, \$1.00; 20th,
\$1.00; 21st, \$1.00; 22nd, \$1.00. Total,
\$29.75.

May Expenses.

To Victor Cravetto, Sec. 2nd, for telegram,
1.25; 4th, for stamps, 1.00; 5th, \$1.00; 6th, \$1.00;
for P. H. Moore, atty., fees, \$10; 12th, to
S. M. Gue, for stamps, \$5.00; 14th to T. H.
Moore, atty., \$1.00; 15th, to Victor Cravetto,
Sec. 2nd, for stamps, \$1; 18th, to J. H.
Moore, atty., \$1.00; 19th, to P. H. Moore,
attny., and legal expense, \$1.00; 21st, to
22nd, to Stanley M. Gue, for rent of office in
1.25; 23rd, to Victor Cravetto, Sec. 2nd, for
for legal expenses, \$1.00; 25th, to Citizen Printing
Co., for 1000 copies of circular, \$1.00; 26th,
and warrant book, \$1.95; 25th, to
shop for tickets and dogtags, \$9.75; 25th, to
J. H. Moore, atty., \$1.00; 26th, to Victor
25th, to Victor Cravetto, Sec. for message, \$2;

[illegible]