The American workers are up against a great decision. Upon their action depends much that is directly important to the workers. They will determine, inter alia, whether they will respond to the proposed general strike on July 5, to free Tom Mooney.

Response means action. It means power. It means power not only for the release of Mooney, but developing the offensive for the release of the workers from the tyranny and exploitation of capital.

The decision no longer concerns Mooney alone. It concerns the whole working class and its action and its immediate destiny. Just as the conscious workers have always consciously created a new world in the midst of war and death and the most desperate means to preserve itself. It is the force that comes out of the workers.

The Mooney issue is a class issue. It is not an issue of "justice." the justice of capitalism is class justice. It is not an issue of "fair play" or of "legal rights." The political strike is the most efficient weapon of the workers. It is not merely a "bargaining" weapon. It is a weapon that can not only compel the government to break the court's decision, it is a weapon that can serve to break the court's decision and compel the government to break the old tradition of the ruling class.

The A. F. of L. is an act of treason to the workers and a surrender to Capitalism. It must be broken by a more militant tactics than used of old. The political strike is the act of treason to the workers, and a symbol of class power and militancy, to release all class war prisoners. It means power, the power of the workers, to strengthen the power of the capitalists. They must be released.

The moderates, in the A. F. of L., in the Socialist Party, urge a campaign for "amnesty." The labor leaders of the capitalists or in its government or in the courts. The moderates imagine that the mobilization of bourgeois liberal public opinion will impose upon the government a decision to release the workers, without asking the workers.

But this is sabotage. This is a betrayal, not simply of the workers, but of the工人 movement. The decision of the workers is not an issue of the class war; and the workers must wage this war against Capitalism. Mooney is a symbol of the class war; and the Mooney strike must become a symbol of class power and militancy, to release all the prisoners of the militant working class.

These class war prisoners were captured in the front lines of the war against Capitalism. They were at the posts of danger, they struggled valiantly; and they were betrayed, imprisoned consciously and calculatedly to weaken the power of the workers, to strengthen the power of the capitalists. They must be released.

The Right of the workers to strike is a right which they have in common with all the democratic rights. It can be denied to them only by a conspiracy of the whole capitalist class.

The Mooney issue is a class issue. It is not an issue of personal opinion. You can deny Mooney; you can deny the workers; you can deny the class war; but you cannot deny the power of class war. You cannot deny the power of the workers. You cannot deny the power of the workers, to strengthen the power of the capitalists. They must be released.

The Right of the workers to strike is a right which they have in common with all the democratic rights. It can be denied to them only by a conspiracy of the whole capitalist class.

The Right of the workers to strike is a right which they have in common with all the democratic rights. It can be denied to them only by a conspiracy of the whole capitalist class.
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Another Peace of Aggression

The terms imposed upon Austria by the Allies are terms of a victorious imperialism, bent upon aggrandizing itself and maintaining its supremacy. The Austrians are bellowing in protest and protest they have against the treaty and reject the Revolution: Capitalism must exploit and oppress them. The terms are a “Socialist" basis of the Austrian Peace Delegation, was all urbanity at Paris, making a “favorable” impression upon the diplomats. But urbanity does not mean resultants for the proletariat. Dr. Renner is the Austrian Socialdemokraten, who during the war taught and organized the mass, however, he is the author of the capitalimperialist and the evils of his policy are now becoming apparent to the Austrian Socialdemokraten. The Peace Conference in Paris, while it reveals the malignity and Incompetence of Capitalism, equally reveals the degenerate character of the dominant spirit of the working class. In the revolutionary class struggle alone lies the hope of the proletariat and of Socialism.

But the revolutionary class struggle is flaring up in Peace—"progressive" or reactionary—or cannot, but in the future of life. The lumber government of Poland is monopolizing the iron trade and somehow it is now not only reactionary, but in utterest political stability. In Germany, a new Spartan revolt is in preparation. Austria itself may break loose in a new revolution. In France, in Italy, in England, the proletariat is awakening, seething with an unrest that may soon become conscious revolution. The Socialist Party of Norway has affiliated with the Communist International.

Peace is aggression, since Capitalism is aggression. Capitalism is the chief characteristic of the revolutionary age. Capitalism is obsolete, Capitalism is deadly, Capitalism is murderous. Capitalism and Socialism must make way for the revolutionary conquest of power by the proletariat.

Mass Action in the Unions

It is becoming characteristic of the large strikes that the amalgamation of the unions, not only brings the workers together, but also a revolt against the old unions, and the reactionary officials in control of these unions.

These strikes are mass movements, developing out of the upsurge of the membership itself, imposing its will upon the unions. Invariably, the old unions and the labor lieutenants of the capitalists in these unions act against the strike, practicing sabotage.

The great strikes in England were revolts against the old unions, and the reactionary officials in control of these unions. The call of the strikers was largely received by the international unions refused moral or financial support.

In Winnipeg and Toronto, and other cities of Canada, the great general strike is as much a revolt against the old unionism as it is against the employers. Chartists are bellowing in protest and protest they have against the strike and reject the strike. It is a struggle for strengthening the prestige of the union officials among the masses, the "labor lieutenants" had become essential to the big strikers.

The Seattle general strike was equally a mass movement, opposed by the old union bureaucracy. The American Federation of Labor officials even boycotted the strike, because the strikers are a large body of workers not under the control of the international unions refused moral or financial support.

In Russia, the masses are rising up against the old unions, and the labor lieutenants of the capitalists in these unions act against the strike, practicing sabotage.

Industrial Democracy

The conversion of the American Federation of Labor is, if anything, more reactionary than usual. The Stone Age minds of the leaders, who represent the bureaucracy much more than the workers themselves, are making a series of reactionary acts that make one stagger.

Accepting in toto the infamous peace treaty, rejected even by conservative labor in Europe: ratifying "industrial amalgamation" of the crafts, the A. F. of L. degenerates of industrial unionism: dodging the question of nationalization of the means of production, the Mooney strike, and suggesting "memorializing" Congress for more "labor laws,"—these constitute the "American Federation of Labor" Reactionary and the amoral, the treacherous, and the petty, it is any wonder that President Wilson (foolishly, the resolution of the Socialist Party, "democratically," perfiding the task of induding in progressive camouflaging. In his opening address, this Old Man of the Sea said: "The day of autocracy in America is gone, the day industrial autocracy is gone...it is the age of readjustment and reconstruction is at hand. Those who oppose the new order are reeling without their host. We are making no unjust demands, but we are going to insist on an opportunity to live full, rounded lives worthy of all the cost of our times."

Having applauded these pious wishes, the convention then proceeded to wreak its reactionary will upon labor and the workers.

Gompers proposes reconstruction—in words. Capitalism equally proposes reconstruction—in words. What are the deeds that Gompers proposes? Nothing but making labor an appendage of the predatory democracy of Capitalism.

Reconstruction implies limiting, at least, the prerogatives and power of the capitalists. But the A. F. of L, as expressed in its officials, is actually strengthening Capitalism by mobilizing the workers against the class struggle. It is the "Workers' William Green" program. The A. F. of L is not even accepting the "reconstruction" plan of the Socialist Party—which is surely moderate. These Stone Age minds are still wrapt in the past: they still consider craft traditions as more important than the struggle of the workers against Capitalism. They are protectors of Capitalism and betrayers of the proletariat.

In the case of the industrial unions, the conversion is a fraud. Gompers may use the term, but in his mouth it only means reactionary. Recognition of the unions, collective bargaining, labor laws, being favored upon by a treacherous government—all this does not constitute industrial democracy, but its opposite.

Industrial autocracy must be broken. How? Gompers offers no real answer. The A. P. of L has no answer, since officially it is part and parcel of the system of industrial autocracy, and serves the autocracy of Capitalism.

Industrial autocracy is based upon the private ownership of the industries. Many labor officials will coldly point to the necessity of the distributing of the industries among the masses, the "labor lieutenants." The ownership of the industries is the basis of autocracy.

The A. F. of L, as expressed in its officials, is actually strengthening Capitalism by mobilizing the workers against the class struggle. It is the "Workers' William Green" program. The A. F. of L is not even accepting the "reconstruction" plan of the Socialist Party—which is surely moderate. These Stone Age minds are still wrapt in the past: they still consider craft traditions as more important than the struggle of the workers against Capitalism. They are protectors of Capitalism and betrayers of the proletariat.
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Clear the Decks!

III

THE moderates in the Socialist Party, while de- noting the importance of the Left Wing, as desperately refuse to discuss the fundamental theoretical implications of the tactical controversy that is now in progress. They cannot afford, considering the party temper, to oppose the implications of their petty bourgeois reform policy, which approach the spirit and organization of our Communist proletarian policy.

Anarchists and Socialists indulge in sneers and operation. In his article in the New York Call of June 21, "The Socialist Task and Outlook," which has been the "cover" of the moderates, Morris Hillquit says:

The "Left Wing" movement as I see it, is a purely ephemeral and temporary movement, and that the "Left Wing" movement is a sort of rodeo in the Socialist Party.

This answer on argument and solves no problem—which is characteristic of the opportunist policy. We pretend, with every appearance of the "cover" of the moderates, Morris Hillquit says:

The "Left Wing" movement as I see it, is a purely ephemeral and temporary movement, and that the "Left Wing" movement is a sort of rodeo in the Socialist Party.
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An Appeal to the Workers of the Allies

By G. Chicherin
People's Commissioner for Foreign Affairs of the Russian Socialist Federal Soviet Republic.

(Written by W. J. Stannard)

The revolutionary age

1. The crisis—capitalism in collapse

By B. G.

Europe. Even as concerns bread Europe cannot get along on its own supply. Rumania, which before the war exported a million bushels of wheat, now can scarcely feed its own people. The acreage of cultivated land in Poland has decreased one third; there are no horses or domestic cattle. People are taking the place of horses in agricultural labor. A terrible want of seeds.

But even with the necessary products should come from other side of the ocean, they would scarcely get beyond the ports, since all the transportation systems from Europe to America had been destroyed.

The similarity of the position of the Near East and the Near East is that even the capitalists would not refuse to supply them. They are deceiving the people, all of them, in the struggle between the capitalists of the world's markets and those armies who are fighting for the suppression of the workers and soldiers. The time has come to realize that the workers and soldiers would not refuse to come out of the military prison of reaction for the destruction of revolution.

In Besarabia and Ukraine these armies have refused to be concentrated under the Russian flag. In London and Paris the workers have also come out against the suppression of Russian freedom.

The workers are not going to believe your governments. They are deceiving you. Though they are sending no more troops to Russia, they are continuing the blockade.

They have established a regime of the most unbridled reaction in Poland, and, under their orders, the Polish working masses are being shot down. Your governments are supporting the Boyar (Junker) government in Rumania, and are making it possible for the military party in Finland to establish itself into a government.

In Greece, Rumania, Finn, Germany—the reactionaries, the monarchists of Southern Russia and Siberia, which are in the advanced stage of their collapse, will wage a desperate struggle against Soviet Russia, cut off from the grain regions, deprived of the most necessary provisions, and suffering from the torments of famine and unemployment.

The governments call the Russian revolution the work of the dregs of humanity. They shamelessly declare that "these culprits must be summarily dealt with" or "be put down"—that it was a matter of something abandoned all intention of intervention.

Amidst this panic and terror the workers of the countries believe they are handed tylkoil to Russia in its misfortune by sending food. They would be willing send more, if they were not afraid that the soldiers would not refuse to obey. They do not spare materials or ammunition in trying to help the financing of Russian exploiters and exploiters in their struggle against the Russian revolution.

And these governments still wish to make us believe in their sincerity and they do not reveal their perfidy to the workers of this world which is attacking the Russian revolution.

If the workers of the world are not to be defeated, if they and their governments stop their infernal game and treacherous attempts. Let them not crush the freedom of the Russian workers and peasants.

The crisis—capitalism in collapse

Differences of opinion and outlook do not make the workers' "yellow socialism." It is a Muscovite, a Russian, an English, American. But it becomes pardonable to characterize Socialism as "yellow" which uses the name and language of Socialism in advancing its own interests.

It is an attribute of "Yellow Socialism" also to intensify its own eminent reactivity by outdoing the regular capitalist editors in reviling the Socialists which looks to proletarian mass assersion for its results, not to liberating the working class.

The Chicago Herald and Examiner of June 3rd carried an interview with Seymour Stedman, member of the National Executive Committee of the Socialist Party, in connection with alleged bomb explosions.

"I am very, very glad we expelled the extreme radicals of the Left Wing from the Socialist Party a week ago. Their attitude and their actions bring discredit upon all Socialists. If we have in orderly political action and are opposed to violence... There are a great many foreigners in the Left Wing who do not understand our political principles or our political and economic methods. Some of them I do not trust at all. They are not citizens, they cannot vote, and their ideas of what are good ideas and what are bad ideas at all. I am very sure these things have not been done by any official of my party with which I am acquainted.

Making all due reservation for incorrect assertion, the fact that Stedman would choose such an occasion for furnishing the capitalist press with the opportunity of assassinating Socialists constitutes a cowardly, dashingly attack on many Socialists who are engaged in the good work of the Socialist Party.

The Chicago Herald and Examiner of June 3rd carried an interview with Seymour Stedman, member of the National Executive Committee of the Socialist Party, in connection with alleged bomb explosions. This is to say that the Steedman would choose such an occasion for furnishing the capitalist press with the opportunity of assassinating Socialists constitutes a cowardly, dashingly attack on many Socialists who are engaged in the good work of the Socialist Party.

The first of the Left Wing—no to "real Socialists" of the Steedman variety.

This is the yellow streak with a vengeance. It outdoes in diabolical ingenuity the same streak which played the gulf between Marxism and Socialism and individualistic Anarchism; between the tactic of terrorism and the tactic of mass action, for terrorism is an atrocity, an abysmal, or his cowardice. Let him make the choice.

The yellow streak

"The proposal would not save the situation. Mr. Vanderlip's speech, which is a mere repetition of old stories, sounds very plausible to the capitalists, but will not deceive the workers of this world which is attacking the Russian revolution.

If the workers of the world are not to be defeated, if they and their governments stop their infernal game and treacherous attempts. Let them not crush the freedom of the Russian workers and peasants.
THE REVOLUTIONARY AGE

The N. E. C. Declaration of Party Principles

The session of the National Executive Committee of the Socialist Party which tried to split the Party in 1918 was the last born of radical and one knows that what follows victory-and 'the repudiated N. E. C.' silence.

ficialdom could have been all out of proportion to the achievement.

the 1918 meeting, four prevailed upon four others not to Council; in fact the whole People's Council business was semi-officially the affair of the Socialist Party.

rade pacifism. The revolutionary Socialist position was the clear call to the of its promulgation in April 1917, bas

rebellion from Wilson-Lloyd-George-Democrats-Orlando-Mikado democracy!

'the fate of Nations! How shocking!' "Demanded" in the platform written by this same N. E. C. of interventionism and federation.

People, with labor representation (Gompers as well anybody else, so far as appears from this "demand").

be anticipated that these democratic plenipotentiaries would get together for any purpose except to destroy finance.

nalin's request to read, honest sentiments of the Manifesto of the Communist International, after the sicknessing diet of these Hilpilinian sophistries expressed.

The platform of last Summer put the party on the basis of the officialish Socialist Party - on war terms on the Wilson platform; in favor of Socialist commonwealth from the fighting lines if the German labor spokesmen would first admit defeat and guilt—also a pale imitation of approved Jenio etiquette.

thereof. That the party membership does respond wholeheartedly to our cry to the imperialist regimes of Russia and Hungary. The party does protest against international finance capital's attempts to force their will on the governments of the world by means of "peace" or "peace conferences."

terrorism. The American pro-war socialists, the Russian barbarism; and the German Social-Democrats, the political opportunists and theirtroopers.

vitality of the Socialist Party was the clear call to the class war against the imperialist war.

vitality of the Socialist Party was the clear call to the class war against the imperialist war.

The vitality of the St. Louis platform consists, first, of Socialism as a meaning in the world, second, seconded by its own vitality and firmness in the face of the various attempts to destroy the platform, and third, of Socialism as a means of organizing the world's working class.

Finally, as to this "most advanced" stand of the party, the most advanced stand of the party is that of the National Executive Committee last Summer, far from voicing an advanced Socialist position, so is not a Socialist Platform at all. Its "military" position is that of the social democrats and the Sidney Webb's British Labor Party Program—which has since exploded of its own gasommes.

1. The Socialist Party at all times consistently added to its program a demand that the class war against the imperialist war is over, the party strivously objects to the imperialistic features of the alleged peace treaty drawn up at Paris.

A Criticism

and to the reactionary alliance of capitalist governments masquerading as a League of Nations. It demands the immediate repeal of the League of Nations legislation, complete amnesty for all political prisoners, and restoration of the Socialist movement at home and abroad.

of these hypothetical terms, Morris Hillquit (who submitted the draft of the declaration), offers his interpretation. What does one know that what follows is his own jugglery with the crucial issues upon which the party membership has not been consulted?

with the right to advise the representatives of the Communist International as "most advanced" and our Bolshevik comrades were elected the Bolsheviki from discriminating capitalist-peace resolutions that are in wordy nothingness.

Note also O'Neal's contribution to this maze of wordly nothingness. "The International of the future must consist of organizations that are committed against imperialism. It should be the aim of the Socialist Party to strengthen and hasten the realization of all radical and vocal forces of Socialism which have united."" It is said that the American International which to all must aspire—and to which all who are radical adherent to it—has long been and remained unattractive to its former representatives and members, which was perhaps the cost would have been dramatic.

Behold the American International to which all must aspire—and to which all who are radical adherent to it—has long been and remained unattractive to its former representatives and members, which was perhaps the cost would have been dramatic.

in the Platform written by this same N. E. C. of interventionism and federation.

who had the power to make war, must aspire—and to the realization of the Socialism revolution of the world. It is said that the American International which to all must aspire—and to which all who are radical adherent to it—has long been and remained unattractive to its former representatives and members, which was perhaps the cost would have been dramatic.

"The "American Socialists" of this party are not, it is true, precisely the same body of the old American Socialist Party, but they are now better organized and more democratic.

"The American Socialists" of this party are not, it is true, precisely the same body of the old American Socialist Party, but they are now better organized and more democratic.

The party has the power to make war, must aspire—and to the realization of the Socialism revolution of the world.

The party has the power to make war, must aspire—and to the realization of the Socialism revolution of the world.
Industrial Unionism in Canada

The One Big Union in Action

The One Big Union in Action were granted they refused. They wanted the strike, anyway. So it came.

They took prompt pains to call out the police, the firemen, the postmen and all civil servants, in a deliberate effort to paralyzed the government. The strike committee then set up a list of workers for fixed, for sanitation, for the distribution of food. It was to be the companies that throw the workers together promiscuously without regard for the industry; bottle washers, potter makers and mechanics. Such a contention is ridiculous on the face of it. Craft organization is according to craft, i.e., painter, plasterer, machinist, steel metal workers, etc. INDUSTRIAL ORGANIZATION MUST BE ACCORDING TO INDUSTRY, i.e., SHIPBUILDING, BUILDING TRADES, MINING, TRANSPORTATION, PUBLIC SERVICE (Civil Employees). These will be subdivided according to trades, necessarily, but still will discuss together common questions, and vote and act on them together.

"Do you see the difference?"

"Instead of one trade striking, or coming out on strike, by itself, it will and can only act, together with other trades of the same industry.

"A new working man is now working for the new Industrial Organization. It must be drafted upon the lines of industry as they exist present, and to that extent workers will be the organ which is according to the industrial association in the product of their joint labor, and not by the old-line labor organizations, that work the craft. The work of the skilled workmen is being wiped out by the machine.

"Industrial Organization is an advance upon the old and now obsolete "craft" form, because it places the workers in a position whereby they can function effectively in defense—for any such conditions that market conditions will allow.

"One Big Union of the workers would be impracticable unless cast in the industrial system in which we live and work.

"If we are prepared, as members of the working class, to recognize each other as comrades of one body, of one class, then the next logical step is to so organize as to have our forces in the same relation to employers as they are to us."

Stanley Frost, writing in the New York Tribune, presents an interesting picture of the O. B. U. movement in Canada. Writing from Winnipeg, June 5, 1919—(rememner, this appears in a capitalist paper):—

The One Big Union is frankly revolutionary. Its spokesmen are not afraid to say that. Is it not, in fact, what it does not frankly say is that it aims to introduce at once the Soviet idea into this country, and the power that is now held by the government and put in the hands of committees of workers only. It is the old idea of the proletariat which we have heard so much from Russia—it is Bolshevism by a new name.

Watch the Winnipeg developments. There was a demand for collective bargaining of a kind that would permit the paralyzing of a whole city, province or nation at the demand of a single shop force. But when the strike leaders were asked whether they would stop the strike if the principle of "collective bargaining"

The I. W. W. Convention

(Continued from page 8)

not raised anywhere within the I. W. W., as in the Socialist Party. There is a warm response to the revolutionaries, as by messages of greeting to the Soviet governments of Russia and Hungary—and to the Sinn Feiners. It was decided to send a representative to the Inter-Trade International Congress, as a mass official invitation. And there is distinct aversion to anything coming out of the Berne conference. At these meetings a number of leading members of theavored association of I. W. W. theories with the theoretical descriptions of the European revolutions.

The National Industrial Conference, ad libbing of the vagaries of its own theorists, calmly notes the general strike not alone for the 6-hour and 5-day week, and for abolition of all police protection of the capitalists, and intermittent strike for freeing political prisoners, for free speech, free press and free assembly, for abolition of all protection of real estate, offices, and for withdrawal of troops from Russia.

The prevalent fallacy in the discussions of Socialists of the I. W. W.—and this works both ways—of making much of the theory, while with little enthusiasm than with the acumen of scientific research, are the I. W. W. I. W. W. writers need not be called to task for interpreting the I. W. W. in terms of uniformed theorizing, instead of in terms of its actual functioning in the field. Some of these think they can dispose of the I. W. W. by sweeping aside the theorizing of these writers, miss whole vital essence of the I. W. W., as a living force in the American labor movement.

Meanwhilce this Convention, ad libbing of the vagaries of its own theorists, calmly notes the general strike not alone for the 6-hour and 5-day week, and for abolition of all police protection of the capitalists, and intermittent strike for freeing political prisoners, for free speech, free press and free assembly, for abolition of all protection of real estate, offices, and for withdrawal of troops from Russia.

The prevalent fallacy in the discussions of Socialists of the I. W. W.—and this works both ways—of making much of the theory, while with little enthusiasm than with the acumen of scientific research, are the I. W. W. writers need not be called to task for interpreting the I. W. W. in terms of of uniformed theorizing, instead of in terms of its actual functioning in the field. Some of these think they can dispose of the I. W. W. by sweeping aside the theorizing of these writers, miss whole vital essence of the I. W. W., as a living force in the American labor movement.

Meanwhilce this Convention, ad libbing of the vagaries of its own theorists, calmly notes the general strike not alone for the 6-hour and 5-day week, and for abolition of all police protection of the capitalists, and intermittent strike for freeing political prisoners, for free speech, free press and free assembly, for abolition of all protection of real estate, offices, and for withdrawal of troops from Russia.

The prevalent fallacy in the discussions of Socialists of the I. W. W.—and this works both ways—of making much of the theory, while with little enthusiasm than with the acumen of scientific research, are the I. W. W. writers need not be called to task for interpreting the I. W. W. in terms of of uniformed theorizing, instead of in terms of its actual functioning in the field. Some of these think they can dispose of the I. W. W. by sweeping aside the theorizing of these writers, miss whole vital essence of the I. W. W., as a living force in the American labor movement.

Meanwhilce this Convention, ad libbing of the vagaries of its own theorists, calmly notes the general strike not alone for the 6-hour and 5-day week, and for abolition of all police protection of the capitalists, and intermittent strike for freeing political prisoners, for free speech, free press and free assembly, for abolition of all protection of real estate, offices, and for withdrawal of troops from Russia.

The prevalent fallacy in the discussions of Socialists of the I. W. W.—and this works both ways—of making much of the theory, while with little enthusiasm than with the acumen of scientific research, are the I. W. W. writers need not be called to task for interpreting the I. W. W. in terms of of uniformed theorizing, instead of in terms of its actual functioning in the field. Some of these think they can dispose of the I. W. W. by sweeping aside the theorizing of these writers, miss whole vital essence of the I. W. W., as a living force in the American labor movement.
The Left Wing Manifesto and Program

By Louis C. Fraina

II

"Moderate" Socialism

The problems of the Revolution, Socialism developed into a peaceful movement of organization, of trades union struggles, of political agitation, and of participation in the bourgeois state as the means of introducing Socialism. This development meant, obviously, that the bourgeois Parliamentary System was a means for proletarian emancipation. This development meant, obviously, that the bourgeois state was the expression of the mass struggle. The aristocracy of labor, having secured concessions and a privileged position, was contented by this industrial concentration, which expropriated the skilled workers of their skill, was an expression of this industrial concentration, which expropriated the skilled workers of their skill.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.

The experience of the revolutionary proletariat in Russia and Germany, abundantly confirms. While supplanting a moderate Socialism. The parasitical character of the capitalist state, the provocation of the immediate struggle of the proletariat, the death of the middle class, the immediate program, the final end of the physical and economic power of the middle class, the revolution means of economic progress.
HOW is the I. W. W. really getting along? Has the I. W. W. lost its nerve and become conservative? These are questions that have been thought about the past three years, is it still an organization? Or nothing more than a collection of blacklegs? Will the I. W. W. persist as a real organization, or become merged as an idea in the general labor movement of the future? These are questions that have been among the many, many political ideas and solutions, questions and challenges, judgments and prophecies. Let the Convention now answer.

The Eleventh Annual Convention (with some gaps supplied by the author). The Convention assembled in Chicago. Since 1917 a national convention of the I. W. W. has been impossible. The governmental press and the Ku-Klux Klan have been among the organizations that have been working against the Convention efforts of many years. Raids, trials, official interference in the mails for conscription, deportation, whole town meetings to express his ideas about the Convention, the many defenses the I. W. W. has made and the things that have come out of the Convention, the results, the Moyer, Haywood and Pettibone defense, the Ettor, Grossviths, Ettor, Green-wood, and some others, and the Conventions, and with a pha for a defense for every indicted member. The most difficult of the questions was to leave the decision to the question of the Convention, on referendum. A vote against legal defense will become effective ten days after the referendum, except as to those already under indictment.

But there was a general sentiment against giving indicted men, or those on bonds, control of the organization. It was voted to discontinue all public denunciation of the Convention, and to give the Convention full authority. With few exceptions the names of indicted men were kept off the party ballots, even Haywood getting few votes.

The Convention decided to make a showing in the midst of the struggle to show that the I. W. W. is a living and active organization of industrial unions, and a class war party or organization. We cannot organize unions without organizing for the defense and organizing for industrial unionism.

The Convention was opened quietly. The Chicago papers had whipped themselves into the usual hysteria. In spite of the leadership of Mayor Short of Chicago, the Agricultural Workers Convention had been raided, the delegates scattered and conventions seized. Just a week before the Metal Miners had been forbidden public meeting in Salt Lake City. They held their meeting in private, with numbers of names in the minutes, to guard against being raided. The Chicago police police made the usual threats. The City Council was spurred into passing a resolution against the Convention. But Mayor Thompson remained silent. The Convention took its way. The ku-kluxing was controlled. Instead of names in the minutes, to guard against raids, we cannot organize unions and a change in defense and organizing for industrial unionism.

The convention of the Convention was the conflict between organizing for legal defense and organizing for industrial unionism. It was a conflict between organizing in this fashion: The I. W. W. has become too much an organization under the defense of its members in the courts. This has stopped the organization of industrial unions. We must get back to our real business, let the courts do what they will. First the Exploitation Law, and now the "criminal syndicalism" statutes and all other laws. If we allow ourselves to throw all our energies into legal defense, we will simply be making work for the courts and the jails.

The most important of articles and pamphlets written during the Convention, covering every important phase of the Revolution, arranged to make a consecutive story.

The Most Important Book of the Year—Just Out!

The Proletarian Revolution in Russia
By N. Lenin and Leon Trotsky
Edited, with an Introduction, Notes and Supplementary Chapters
By Louis Fraina

This unique book traces the course of the great Russian Revolution from March 19, 1917, to October 25 of that same year. It is the story of the two masters of the Revolution.

The Revolution is a collection of articles and pamphlets written during the Revolution, covering every important phase of the Revolution, arranged to make a consecutive story.

The Social Revolution in Germany
By Louis Fraina

The Social Revolution in Germany is a fundamental study of the great struggle in Germany, which is at the same time a study in revolution. Socialism, indispensable to an understanding of the character of the European Revolution, including two articles by Karl Liebknecht and Franz Mehring. Popular, Comprehensive, Incisive.

Revolutionsm Sociology
By Louis Fraina

What is Bolshevism, this "left wing" Socialism which is shaking Capitalism? This book provides a thorough analysis of the concepts and program of revolution by Socialism. It considers what is the proletariat and the war, Imperialism, Socialism in Action, the Death of Democracy, and Against the "Objectives of the War," Socialist Realization, Class and Nation, Problems of State Capitalism. Unionism and Mass Action, and Proletarian Dictatorship.

The Revolution in Russia

The Revolution in Russia, written by I. E. Ferguson, is a concise and clear account of the events that led to the overthrow of the czarist régime in Russia and the establishment of the first socialist state. The book covers the period from 1917 to 1921, and discusses the political, economic, and social changes that took place during this time.

The book begins with an introduction to the Russian Revolution, and then goes on to describe the events that led to the fall of the czarist régime and the establishment of the Soviet Republic. It covers the events of the February and October Revolutions, the establishment of the Soviet government, and the subsequent changes in Russian society and politics.

The book is well-researched and provides a detailed account of the events that took place during the Russian Revolution. It is written in an accessible manner, and is suitable for readers with a basic understanding of history.

In conclusion, The Revolution in Russia is a valuable resource for anyone interested in the history of the Russian Revolution. It provides a comprehensive overview of the events that took place during this period, and is written in an engaging and informative style.