THE WORKERS' CALL.

"Workmen of all countries unite! You have nothing to lose but your chains: you have a world to gain!"
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PRICE TWO CENTS

ST. LOUIS FOR UNION NOT TO BALK UNION

Democratic Herald of April 5th, signed by Maynard and Pickett, of the Socialist and Unionist Board of the R. P. U. This statement is of the greatest importance. It is a demand in a manner akin to that of the Socialist party of England. Our report of that demand is as follows:

"The Socialist party of England has as the general "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production". The Socialist party of England has as the "means of production" in its own "means of production".
AS TO THE "MANIFESTO".

The "Manifesto," long expected, appeared in the Tribune last night. While its writer, Mr. Charles Merriam, has been no stranger to the public, the effect of his present effort is likely to be considerable. It is a declaration of intention to "manifest" his ideas, and a call for "manifesto" movements on all sorts of issues. It is a bold step, and one that is likely to be resented by some. But the writer is a man of great experience and ability, and his work is likely to be of great value.

The "Manifesto" was not the only event of the evening. The National Labor Union held its annual meeting, and the Chicago Federation of Trades presented its annual report. Both events were attended by large audiences, and were highly successful.
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May Festival and Ball

For the Benefit of "Adorning."”

FEDERATION HALL, 1934-36 State St.

SATURDAY EVEN. MAY 5

Speech by THOMAS J. MORGAN.

Music by O. Nielsen's Orchestra.

TICKETS 25 CENTS.

I have not had much opportunity to write about the "Manifesto," but I think it is a valuable contribution to the literature of the labor movement. It is a clear and concise statement of the principles of the labor movement, and is likely to be of great service to workers everywhere.

I have also had an opportunity to listen to the speech delivered by Mr. Thomas J. Morgan, at the May Festival and Ball. It was a fine speech, and the music was excellent. The evening was a great success, and the money raised will be of great benefit to "Adorning."