## The Nation's Revolutionary Masses Repudiate China's Khrushchov

PUBLICATION of the articles "The Bourgeois Reactionary Line on the Question of Cadres Must Be Criticized and Repudiated" by Hongqi Commentator and "Patriotism or National Betrayal?" by Comrade Chi Pen-yu met with a tremendous response throughout China. Launching a general offensive against the top Party person in authority taking the capitalist road, many newspapers published editorials. Workers, peasants, soldiers and revolutionary cadres at their different posts held meetings or wrote articles criticizing and repudiating him. From many different angles and out of their own experience they made plain the great significance of unearthing this chief backer of revisionism in China and expressed their unshakable militant determination to carry the great proletarian cultural revolution through to the end.

\* \* \* \*
pril 2 the Shangkai *liefana Biba*o

On April 2, the Shanghai Jiefang Ribao (Liberation Daily) carried an editorial which pointed out: Publication of the two Hongqi articles has "deeply exposed the series of monstrous crimes committed by the top Party person in authority taking the capitalist road, the man who put forward the bourgeois reactionary line, the frantic steps he took for a capitalist restoration and his rabid opposition to our great leader Chairman Mao and the great thought of Mao Tse-tung. The articles showed that he is by no means a 'veteran revolutionary,' but a pseudo-revolutionary, a counter-revolutionary. That such a careerist of the Khrushchov type in China has been exposed is a great and fortunate event for the revolutionary people of the whole country; it is another great victory for Chairman Mao's proletarian revolutionary line and we enthusiastically acclaim it!"

"Quite apart from a series of grave crimes committed before and after the War of Resistance Against Japan, this top Party person in authority taking the capitalist road has, since the founding of the People's Republic of China 17 years ago, spread his poisonous revisionist influence far and wide in the political, economic, cultural and educational fields; he owes a big debt to the proletariat and the revolutionary people. It is high time that we make a final reckoning with him."

The Shanghai Wenhui Bao on April 2 published an editorial entitled "Thoroughly Criticize and Repudiate China's Khrushchov." It declared: "The heinous crimes of China's Khrushchov are too numerous to list. He is the mortal foe of the entire Party and the entire peo-

ple. Our enemies are before us, and we must overthrow them completely. We will start a people's war and drown them in the ocean of people's war! Chairman Mao has said: 'The revolutionary war is a war of the masses; it can be waged only by mobilizing the masses and relying on them.' Comrades working on every front and in every sphere, in the factories, villages, armed forces, schools, government offices and shops and stores, should rise in urgent mobilization against the top Party person in authority taking the capitalist road. Let the whole Party and the whole nation denounce and punish him!"

On April 8, the Guangming Ribao, in its editorial entitled "Thoroughly Criticize and Discredit China's Khrushchov," said: In the 17 years since the founding of New China, the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road, making use of the important positions he usurped in the Party and the government, has pushed forward the counter-revolutionary revisionist line with redoubled energy and furiously opposed the Party, socialism and Mao Tse-tung's thought. The series of criminal activities he has engaged in are focussed on restoring capitalism, usurping power in the Party and in the government, and seizing power from the proletariat so as to impose a dictatorship over it. If his schemes were allowed to succeed, the people's land would change colour, tens of millions of people would be killed, the Marxist-Leninist Party would become a revisionist party, a fascist party, and the fruits of victory won by countless revolutionary martyrs with their blood would be lost.

The editorial continued: Like all reactionaries in history, China's Khrushchov, too, won't fall if you don't hit him. We must pursue and hit him mercilessly until he is utterly down and out.

Editorials were also published by the Shansi Ribao, Heilungkiang Ribao and other provincial papers.

An article written by Hsieh Yueh, an activist in the study of Chairman Mao's works at the Taiyuan Textile Mill in Shansi Province and an outstanding worker of the textile industry, said:

"In the 17 years since the liberation, the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road has instructed and supported his hangers-on in carrying on activities everywhere for a capitalist restoration. Our Taiyuan Textile Mill has also been one of their strongholds for carrying out this restoration. Since our mill opened eight years ago, it was nominally a socialist enterprise but actually a testing ground for revisionism. The handful of Party people in authority taking the capitalist road in the province and in our mill opposed our running enterprises according to Mao Tse-tung's thought and frenziedly pushed their revisionist wares. They tied the workers hand and foot with their endless rules and regulations and used material incentives to corrupt them. Whoever opposed them were branded 'anti-Party elements' and 'counter-revolutionaries.' I myself was discriminated against and persecuted by them."

"The great proletarian cultural revolution personally initiated and led by our great teacher, great leader, great supreme commander and great helmsman Chairman Mao has uncovered the handful of counter-revolutionary revisionists and the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road who supports them from behind. This is a great victory for Mao Tse-tung's thought. We of the working class hail it a thousand times over and applaud it with the greatest satisfaction!"

Wang Kuo-fan, a national model peasant, said:

"The No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road wildly opposes the proletarian revolutionary line of our most respected and beloved great leader Chairman Mao. He has said the most preposterous things to create a reactionary public opinion for the restoration of capitalism. He publicized and fostered the capitalist economy and advocated the 'four freedoms' [freedom of usury, hiring labour, land sale, and private enterprise]; he opposed agricultural co-operation and slashed the number of agricultural co-operatives, propagated 'the extension of plots for private use and of free markets, the increase of small enterprises with sole responsibility for their own profits or losses and the fixing of output quotas based on the household'; he stirred up in the countryside the ill wind of 'going it alone.' He was hand in glove with the landlords and rich peasants in vainly attempting to overthrow the socialist state."

"Ruthless exploitation by landlords and rich peasants in the old society destroyed the lives of countless working people. With the liberation, Chairman Mao led us in 'turning over' and in taking the socialist road. Our Jianming People's Commune—once called the 'Paupers' Co-operative'—started off owning only a three-quarter share of a donkey and had to use manpower to pull its ploughs. Now we have farm machinery and have basically achieved mechanization. We poor and lower-middle peasants are now leading happy lives. We are firmly launched on the socialist road. Nobody can block this road! Never! Never!"

Air force combat hero Chang Chi-hui said: "The fact that the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road applauded the reactionary film Inside Story of the Ching Court completely reveals his most despicable obsequious features as a worshipper of impe-

rialism. Can the imperialists help the Chinese people? Can the imperialists really give the people peace? I took part in the War to Resist U.S. Aggression and Aid Korea and saw for myself how the vile U.S. bandits killed countless innocent Koreans, how homes were bombed and reduced to rubble and great tracts of farmland were laid waste. Was this the kind of 'peace' practised by the U.S. imperialists? Was this the kind of 'aid' given the Korean people by the U.S. imperialists? The crime of the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road and the handful of counterrevolutionary revisionists in embellishing imperialism is a big exposure of their 'philosophy of survival.' Our army's experience in its test of strength with the U.S. aggressors on the Korean battlefield shows that complete victory can be won only by waging a tit-for-tat struggle against the enemy."

Kuai Ta-fu, deputy head of the leading group of the Congress of Red Guards of Peking's Universities and Colleges and leader of the Chingkang Mountains Corps of Tsinghua University, declared: "I have the deepest hatred for the book on the self-cultivation of Communists written by the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road. This poisonous book talks about 'self-cultivation' completely divorced from the class struggle, from the revolution and from the political struggle; it has spread its poison widely and must be thoroughly criticized and discredited politically."

"This book is a big poisonous arrow aimed against Marxism-Leninism and Mao Tse-tung's thought. Some people, poisoned by this book, separate ideological remoulding from revolutionary struggles, pay no attention to state affairs and vacillate politically."

On April 3, the Congress of Red Guards of Peking's Universities and Colleges held a mass rally to thoroughly criticize and repudiate the reactionary essence of this book on the self-cultivation of Communists and indignantly denounce the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road for his heinous crime of using his book to poison great masses of Party members and revolutionary people. Under the disguise of Marxism-Leninism, the rally pointed out, this book peddles counter-revolutionary revisionist stuff to clear the way for a restoration of capitalism; it is through and through a big poisonous weed which is against the Party, against socialism and against Mao Tse-tung's thought. In the current new stage of the great proletarian cultural revolution, we proletarian revolutionaries must hold high the great red banner of Mao Tse-tung's thought and the revolutionary banner of criticism and repudiation, thoroughly criticize, repudiate and discredit this big poisonous weed, and completely overthrow the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road.

On the evening of April 3, proletarian revolutionaries of factories, enterprises, schools, government organs and shops in Tsingtao, Shantung Province, held a mass rally to criticize and repudiate the big poisonous weed, the book on the self-cultivation of Communists. Deeply indignant, proletarian revolutionaries from many fronts used the invincible thought of Mao Tse-tung as their weapon to sternly criticize and repudiate this book written by the No. 1 Party person in authority taking the capitalist road, which is a big poisonous weed negating revolution and class struggle, ignoring the dictatorship of the proletariat, opposing Mao Tse-tung's thought and propagating the decadent world outlook of the bourgeoisie.

Many literary and art workers of army units stationed in Peking held a forum on April 4. With deep indignation, they too exposed the towering crimes of the top Party person in authority taking the capitalist road. They unanimously expressed their determination to completely smash the scheme of restoring capitalism in China cooked up by this chief backer of revisionism hidden in our Party, this Khrushchov lying right among us. They pledged to put Mao Tse-tung's thought in control of every position and ensure that our great motherland will never change its colour.

All those who took part in the forum were of this opinion: In the past 17 years, the revolutionary line of Chairman Mao in literature and art has held the dominant position in literary and art work in the army. However, through a handful of counter-revolutionary

revisionists, the top Party person in authority taking the capitalist road vigorously spread the theory of the dying out of class struggle and spread around such nonsense as that the "question of orientation existed no longer" in the army's literary and art work and there only remained the "question of raising the artistic level." At the same time, on the literary and art front, they spared no efforts to promote "big, foreign and ancient" works and stage "feudal, capitalist and revisionist" repertoires. Under the impact of this sinister bourgeois literary and art line, some literary and art units had become divorced from the workers, peasants, and soldiers, had not served proletarian politics, and devoted their efforts to propagating mishmash of feudalism, capitalism and revisionism, and so preparing public opinion for a capitalist restoration.

The participants in the forum unanimously declared that fearless of death by a thousand cuts, they would unfailingly unhorse the top Party person in authority taking the capitalist road and make him stand aside; they would completely overthrow the chief backer of counter-revolutionary revisionism, smash the bourgeois reactionary line to smithereens, completely eliminate all his and his henchmen's poisonous influences and utterly suppress their last-ditch struggles.