Bankruptcy of "Peaceful Transition" Fraud

"Non-Congress Governments" — Instruments Of Reactionary Rule in India

The regime in the state of West Bengal which was dissolved by order of the New Delhi government on November 21 was one of the nine so-called "non-Congress state governments" set up following the fourth general elections in India last February. These "non-Congress state governments" were brought into existence with a great deal of ballyhoo, and the traitor Dange clique and the revisionists in the Indian Communist Party boosted them as "democratic" and "people's" regimes established through parliamentary elections. But like the rest of the state governments in India, they were nothing but instruments of reactionary rule.

The fourth general elections were held at a time when the Congress Party, the chief instrument of the Indian landlords and bureaucrat-comprador bourgeoisie, was beset with difficulties both at home and abroad. In the course of its 20 years' rule, the Congress Party, having fully revealed itself before the Indian people as reactionary and traitorous, has lost the magic of its deception. During the election campaign, Indira Gandhi, Morarji Desai, K. Kamaraj and many other Congress leading figures were on many an occasion given a rough reception by angry masses who attacked them with stones, bricks, shoes and flower pots. Indira Gandhi herself got a bleeding nose. These were the "votes" cast by the Indian people for the Congress Party.

The Indian reactionaries and their bosses, U.S. imperialism and Soviet revisionism, had realized for some time that it was next to impossible for them to rely on Congress alone to keep firm hold over the entire country. So, in those states where Congress control had been seriously weakened, they propped up the Swatantra, Jan Sangh and other reactionary parties, rajas and politicians as well to form "non-Congress governments." By giving an appearance of "democratic" multi-party rule, they hoped that the reactionary rule could be preserved. In areas where the people's movement was in high tide, the Indian reactionaries especially felt the need for revisionists of the Indian Communist Party to hoodwink the people. The reactionary government therefore released a number of Indian C.P. revisionists from prison before polling date so that they could take part in the elections and become M.P.'s or ministers. The Indian C.P. revisionists, on their part, badly needed a few posts in the "non-Congress state governments" to demonstrate the "feasibility" of "peaceful transition."

Thus, after the "general elections," while the Congress Party remained in control of the Central Government, by the end of July "non-Congress governments" were established in 8 out of the total 16 (not counting the Indian-occupied part of Kashmir) states of India. Of these, the Kerala and West Bengal governments were formed by Indian C.P. revisionists, the renegade Dange clique, and other reactionary parties; the Madras government was formed by the reactionary Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam Party alone; while in Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Orissa and Haryana, the state governments were jointly formed by reactionary politicians who withdrew from the Congress Party, together with the Jan Sangh, the Swatantra Party and the Samyukta Socialist Party. At the end of July, the Congress government in Madhya Pradesh, the biggest state in India, fell as a result of the defection of a number of the Congress Party's Assembly members. Hence a 9th "non-Congress state government" was formed by politicians who were ex-members of the Congress Party in conjunction with members of other reactionary parties.

Since assumption of office, the officials of these "non-Congress state governments," pretending to be concerned with the welfare of the people, have put forward slogans designed to deceive and have adopted reformist measures for winning popular favour and revolution, build rural base areas, use the countryside to encircle the cities and finally capture the cities.

We are convinced that the 500 million Indian people, under the guidance of the great thought of Mao Tse-tung and led by a revolutionary Party armed with Marxism-Leninism, Mao Tse-tung's thought, will wage a protracted struggle, surmount all difficulties, overthrow the reactionary rule of the imperialists and their lackeys in India, and win final victory in their revolution.
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stabilizing their rule. The impression they tried to create was that “non-Congress governments” were fundamentally different from Congress governments.

U.S. imperialism and Soviet revisionism, the bosses of the Indian landlords and bureaucrat-comprador capitalists, loudly applauded this farce of “democracy.”

U.S. Ambassador Chester Bowles praised the result of the elections as testifying to the vitality of Indian “democracy.” U.S. grain continued to be supplied to the “Communist government” of Kerala state. New Times, a mouthpiece of the Soviet revisionists, extolled the state governments of West Bengal and Kerala as comprising “Leftist and democratic parties.”

Official circles in New Delhi have revealed that it is the intention of U.S. imperialism and Indian monopoly capital to form a “multi-party government” in New Delhi, too, for the purpose of deceiving the people if the Congress Party can no longer maintain its rule from the centre.

Our great leader Chairman Mao Tse-tung penetratingly pointed out in 1947 that Chiang Kai-shek’s “reorganizing the one-party government into a multi-party government” only showed the bankruptcy of Chiang’s political tricks which were failing as fast as he played them. The tricks played by the Indian reactionaries at present are no less despicable and clumsy than those of Chiang Kai-shek.

All the parties and politicians taking part in the “non-Congress state governments” speak for the interests of the Indian landlords and bureaucrat-comprador capitalists. Although these reactionary parties display such words as “freedom” and “people” on their signboards, every one of them is pro-U.S. and anti-China to the bone and rabidly against the people. Both the Jan Sangh (People’s League) and Swatantra Party (Freedom Party) have made it clear in their programmes that they are in favour of the development of “free enterprise” (meaning the unrestricted development of monopoly capital) and an alliance with U.S. imperialism, and that they are against China and communism. Ajoy Mukherjee, chief minister of West Bengal, who has been acclaimed by the Indian revisionists as a “Leftist,” is an ex-Congress Party member of 44 years’ standing, and three years ago was Chairman of the Congress Party in West Bengal. It was only in a bid for power that he withdrew from the Congress Party some time ago. The chief minister of Bihar was also once Congress Chairman in that state and later quit the party. The chief minister of Orissa is a maharaja. The Dravid Munnetra Kazhagam in Madras received subsidies and support from U.S. imperialism in the election campaign.

West Bengal where U.S. and British capital in India is most concentrated reveals the fraudulent character of the “non-Congress state governments.” Here the major factories, mines, banks, transport and communications facilities, and tea plantations are in the hands of U.S. and British capitalists and their agents, the Indian comprador monopoly capitalists. Harsh feudal exploitation exists to this day in the rural areas. However, in the working programme of the West Bengal “non-Congress state government,” not a single word is said about countering or restricting the forces of foreign imperialism or the domestic monopolies and feudal forces. It only speaks vaguely of “working for the welfare of the people.”

While mouthing fine words in its programme about “fighting unemployment” and “creating new employment opportunities” for the workers, the state government encourages the domestic and foreign capitalists to ruthlessly exploit and enslave the workers and conspires at their summary dismissals.

Determined not to put up with the mounting wave of dismissals any longer, the workers in West Bengal developed a form of struggle known as the “gherao (besieging or lock-in) movement.” From early March to May 1, the workers, who have a rich revolutionary tradition, held 144 “besieging” actions, and encircled the offices and homes of the capitalists. Some 500 capitalists were subjected to this form of besieging struggle. The state government repeatedly sent police to suppress the workers with guns and tear-gas bombs. Openly clamouring for the right of capitalists to receive “due police protection,” deputy chief minister Joyti Basu, a revisionist of the Indian Communist Party, went in person to conduct so-called “mediation” between the capitalists and the workers and by suppression and deception helped the capitalists put down the workers’ strikes.

The bloody suppression of the peasants’ revolutionary armed struggle in Naxalbari and other places in Darjeeling District exposed even more glaringly the real features of the West Bengal “non-Congress government.”

In West Bengal and other states where “non-Congress governments” had been set up, the relations of production have remained the same as under Congress Party rule. Like their Congress predecessors, these state governments endeavour to preserve and promote the interest of monopoly capital and the landlords. The Indian revisionists, after the elections, sanctimoniously declared that they would see to it that the state governments “protect the interest of the people.” But did they strike down the exploiters — the landlords and monopoly capitalists — by force and violence? No. They have instead vilified the armed struggle of the peasants in Naxalbari and tried to assure the peasants that they can well trust the state government which, so they said, can find a “democratic and peaceful solution” to the agrarian problem and solve it “amicably and peacefully,” and which can “meet the just demands of the peasantry.” These revisionists who want to stay on amicable and peaceful terms with the landlord class have the impudence to claim that they can “protect the interest of the people” by this class capitulation and treachery. This is merely Gandhi’s Doctrine of Non-Violence plus revisionist humbug. When in power in Kerala in 1957, the Indian revisionists got out an “agrarian reform law” of a reformist character. Now, they do not even raise the
slogan of agrarian reform. They are preoccupied with making contacts with domestic and foreign financial magnates whom they are inviting to invest in Kerala, and this is simply an open licence for the ruthless exploitation of the people.

The Indian revisionists have hypocritically assured the people that “in West Bengal and Kerala our ministers have refused to use the police to crush the workers and people fighting a just battle for their jobs and livelihood.” However, when confronted with the people’s revolutionary struggle, they could no longer conceal their anti-people and counter-revolutionary nature. Facts show that together with the bureaucrats of the Congress and other reactionary parties, they have piled up one blood debt after another to the Indian people, for which history will mete out to them due punishment.

The Congress government can no longer fool the people, nor can the “non-Congress governments.” The Indian people have learnt from their own experience the real meaning of “parliamentary democracy,” “non-Congress government” and “peaceful transition” publicized by the Indian reactionaries and revisionists.

Under the guidance of Mao Tse-tung’s thought, the revolutionaries of the Indian Communist Party have led the peasants of Naxalbari and other places to wage revolutionary armed struggles. This is an important sign of the awakening of the Indian people. They will eventually cast off the spell of Gandhism and revisionist humbug about “peaceful transition” to socialism. Sooner or later, they will make violent revolution to overthrow and smash the machinery of the reactionary government. This is a law of historical development.

Our great leader Chairman Mao Tse-tung teaches: “Revolutions and revolutionary wars are inevitable in class society and without them, it is impossible to accomplish any leap in social development and to overthrow the reactionary ruling classes and therefore impossible for the people to win political power.”

**Johnson Administration Changes Horses In the Mire**

At a time when U.S. imperialism is sinking deeper and deeper in the quagmire of its aggressive war against Vietnam and will soon be submerged, Johnson recently announced the decision to remove McNamara from the post of secretary of defence. Like changing horses in a mire, this shows that U.S. imperialism is coming to the end of the rope in its war of aggression against Vietnam.

McNamara has been U.S. Secretary of Defence for nearly seven years and has been playing an important role in the U.S. imperialist war of aggression against Vietnam. Being a major criminal among the U.S. ruling circles in unleashing this massive war of aggression, he has all along been acclaimed by these ruling circles as the “most remarkable,” the “ablest” and the “wisest” “secretary of war.” Now, this U.S. warmonger, who once threw his weight around a good deal, has finally lost his job under the devastating blows of the heroic Vietnamese people and, crestfallen, he is forced to leave his office. Does not this clearly prove the abject failure of the U.S. imperialist policy of aggression in Vietnam?

As it is, the U.S. aggressors have thrown into south Vietnam more than half a million troops. Yet their position on the battlefield becomes increasingly passive and vulnerable. Over 60,000 U.S. aggressor troops were put out of action during the rainy season this year, more than double the number for the corresponding period last year. Since the current dry season set in, the People’s Liberation Armed Forces of south Vietnam, with the initiative firmly in their hands, have attacked in many places and won splendid and big victories at Loc Ninh, Phuoc Binh and Dac To in rapid succession.

In only 20 days or more beginning from the end of October, 14 battalions and 30 companies of the U.S. and puppet troops were wiped out. A total of over 2,600 U.S. pirate planes have been brought down over north Vietnam. The cost of conducting the U.S. war of aggression against Vietnam has been rapidly increasing and it has now reached the total of about 30,000 million dollars a year. Consequently, the financial and economic difficulties of the United States have been aggravated beyond measure, the mass movement of the American people against the aggressive war in Vietnam is gaining ever greater momentum, and the contradictions within the U.S. ruling circles have become more acute. The Johnson Administration finds the going tougher and tougher.

Speaking of the imperialists and reactionaries, our great leader Chairman Mao Tse-tung has pointed out: “They are so corrupt, so torn by ever-increasing and irreconcilable internal quarrels, so spurned by the people and utterly isolated and so frequently defeated in battle that their doom is inevitable.” U.S. imperialism is exactly in this plight in Vietnam at present.

The more disastrous the defeat of U.S. imperialism in its aggressive war against Vietnam, the fiercer the quarrel among its ruling circles. McNamara’s removal is the outcome of the sharpening of contradictions within the U.S. ruling circles. In order to put the blame on others for the failure of the aggressive war in Vietnam, ease the contradictions within the ruling circles and improve his own position for the coming presidential “election,” Johnson has put up a show of “changing horses in the mire” by kicking out McNamara and making him a sacrificial wolf.
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